

## RECITATION 6

### Comparing Several Multivariate Population Mean (MANOVA)

Assume that we have  $g$  populations and would like to compare these,

$$Pop1 = X_{11}, \dots, X_{1,n}$$

..

$$Pop g = X_{g1}, \dots, X_{g,n}$$

The MANOVA model can be written as

$$X_{lj} = \mu + \tau_l + \epsilon_{lj} \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, g \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, n_l$$

where

$$\epsilon_{lj} \sim N_p(0, \Sigma)$$

$\sum_{l=1}^g n_l \tau_l = 0$  where  $\tau_l$  indicates treatment effect.

### MANOVA model has the following assumptions

- The data from all groups have common variance covariance matrix.
- The subjects are drawn from independent samples.
- The data follows multivariate normal distribution.

In MANOVA, we test the following hypothesis

$H_0 = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_g = 0$  (No treatment effect) vs  $H_1 =$  At least one differs.

The standard MANOVA table can be arranged as follow

MANOVA Table for Comparing Population Mean Vectors

Source of variation	Matrix of sum of squares and cross products (SSP)	Degrees of freedom (d.f.)
Treatment	$\mathbf{B} = \sum_{\ell=1}^g n_{\ell} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})'$	$g - 1$
Residual (Error)	$\mathbf{W} = \sum_{\ell=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\ell}} (\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell})(\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell})'$	$\sum_{\ell=1}^g n_{\ell} - g$
Total (corrected for the mean)	$\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W} = \sum_{\ell=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\ell}} (\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})'$	$\sum_{\ell=1}^g n_{\ell} - 1$

To make a final decision about the null hypothesis, we'll use

$$\Lambda^* = \frac{|\mathbf{W}|}{|\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W}|} = \frac{\left| \sum_{\ell=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\ell}} (\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell})(\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\ell})' \right|}{\left| \sum_{\ell=1}^g \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\ell}} (\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_{\ell j} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \right|}.$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $\Lambda^*$  is too small (i.e close to 0)

Fail to reject  $H_0$  if  $\Lambda^*$  is too large. (i.e close to 1)

The quantity  $\Lambda^* = |\mathbf{W}|/|\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W}|$ , proposed originally by Wilks, corresponds to the equivalent form of the  $F$ -test of  $H_0$ : no treatment effects in the univariate case. Wilks' lambda has the virtue of being convenient and related to the likelihood ratio criterion. The exact distribution of  $\Lambda^*$  can be derived for the special cases. For other cases and large sample sizes, a modification of  $\Lambda^*$  due to Bartlett can be used to test  $H_0$ .

### Multivariate Linear Regression Model

Consider the problem of modelling the relationship between  $m$  responses.

$(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$  and set the predictors  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_m)$

The multivariate linear regression model is

$$\underset{(n \times m)}{\mathbf{Y}} = \underset{(n \times (r+1))}{\mathbf{Z}} \underset{((r+1) \times m)}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \underset{(n \times m)}{\mathbf{E}}$$

with

$$E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(i)}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(i)}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(k)}) = \sigma_{ik} \mathbf{I} \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

The  $m$  observations on the  $j$ th trial have covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{ik}\}$ , but observations from different trials are uncorrelated. Here  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\sigma_{ik}$  are unknown parameters; the design matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$  has  $j$ th row  $[z_{j0}, z_{j1}, \dots, z_{jr}]$  In matrix notation, the design matrix is

$$\underset{(n \times (r+1))}{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{10} & z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1r} \\ z_{20} & z_{21} & \cdots & z_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{n0} & z_{n1} & \cdots & z_{nr} \end{bmatrix}$$

The other quantities are,

$$\mathbf{Y}_{(n \times m)} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & \cdots & Y_{1m} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} & \cdots & Y_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_{n1} & Y_{n2} & \cdots & Y_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{Y}_{(1)} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{(2)} \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{Y}_{(m)}]$$

$$\mathbf{\beta}_{((r+1) \times m)} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} & \cdots & \beta_{0m} \\ \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \cdots & \beta_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{r1} & \beta_{r2} & \cdots & \beta_{rm} \end{bmatrix} = [\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(1)} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}_{(2)} \mid \cdots \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}_{(m)}]$$

$$\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{(n \times m)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1m} \\ \varepsilon_{21} & \varepsilon_{22} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{n1} & \varepsilon_{n2} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(1)} \mid \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(2)} \mid \cdots \mid \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(m)}]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The least square estimation of coefficient matrix is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(1)} \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(2)} \mid \cdots \mid \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(m)}] = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'[\mathbf{Y}_{(1)} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{(2)} \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{Y}_{(m)}]$$

or

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Y}$$

### Properties of Estimators

- $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(k)}) = \sigma_{ik}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}$
- $Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{(k)}) = 0$

### Recall

In simple linear regression, we have one  $X$  and one  $Y$ .

In multiple linear regression, we have more than one  $X$  and one  $Y$ .

In multivariate linear regression, we have more than one  $Y$

## QUESTIONS

1. Observations on two responses are collected for three treatments. The observation vector  $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$  are;

Treatment 1:  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$

Treatment 2:  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Treatment 3:  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

a) Test if there is any significant difference between treatments. State your assumptions for this test.

b) If you found a significant treatment effect, find out which treatment this difference is due to

2. Consider the following data on one predictor variable and two responses:

$Z_1$	-2	-1	0	1	2
$Y_1$	5	3	4	2	1
$Y_2$	-3	-1	-1	2	3

a) Determine the least squares estimates of the parameters in the straight line regression model.

b) Calculate the matrices of fitted values  $\hat{Y}$  and residuals  $\hat{\epsilon}$  with  $Y: [\mathbf{y}_1 : \mathbf{y}_2]$ . Verify the sum of squares and cross products decomposition.  $Y'Y = \hat{Y}'\hat{Y} + \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}$

STAT 467/ Recitation 6  
Answer Key

1) One way MANOVA

Group	# of obs	Sample mean Vectors	Sample Cov Matrix	$\bar{X}$
$g_1 = \text{trt } 1$	$n_1 = 5$	$\bar{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$	$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & -1.5 \\ -1.5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{n_1 \bar{x}_1 + n_2 \bar{x}_2 + n_3 \bar{x}_3}{N}$
$g_2 = \text{trt } 2$	$n_2 = 3$	$\bar{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.5 \\ & 3 \end{bmatrix}$	
$g_3 = \text{trt } 3$	$n_3 = 4$	$\bar{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$S_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1.33 \\ & 1.33 \end{bmatrix}$	
$N = \sum n_i = 12$				

$$H_0 = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 = \text{at least one differs}$$

SS	matrix of SS	df
Treatment	B	g-1
Residual	W	n-g
Total	B+W	n-1

$$\text{Trt effect: } B = \sum_{l=1}^g n_l (\bar{x}_l - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_l - \bar{x})'$$

$$= 5 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 5 \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 30 \\ 30 & 45 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 6 \\ 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 12 \\ 12 & 36 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 36 & 48 \\ 48 & 84 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W = \sum_{l=1}^g \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} (x_{li} - \bar{x}_l)(x_{li} - \bar{x}_l)' = \sum_{l=1}^g (n_l - 1) S_l$$

$$= 4 \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & -1.5 \\ -1.5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.5 \\ -1.5 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1.33 \\ -1.33 & 1.33 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & -12.99 \\ -12.99 & 17.99 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B+W = \begin{bmatrix} 54 & 35.01 \\ 35.01 & 101.99 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda^* = \frac{|W|}{|B+W|} = \frac{155.0799}{42481.7599} = 0.036$$

→ Reject  $H_0$   
since it's  
close to 0.



b) Simultaneous CI for trt effect

$$\tau_{ki} - \tau_{li} = (\bar{x}_{ki} - \bar{x}_{li}) \pm t_{n-g} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2pg \frac{(g-1)}{2}} \right) \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_l} \right) \frac{w_{ii}}{n-g}}$$

$$\tau_{11} - \tau_{21} = (\bar{x}_{11} - \bar{x}_{21}) \pm t_{12-3} \left( \frac{0.05}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \right) \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \frac{18}{12-3}}$$

$$= 4 \pm (3.25)(1.033) = (0.643, 7.35) \rightarrow \text{not contain 300}$$

(this makes the difference)

$$\tau_{22} - \tau_{32} = 4 - 2 \pm 3.25 \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{17.99}{12-3} \right)}$$

$$2 \pm 3.25 (1.08)$$

$$(-1.51, 5.51) \times$$

2.) a)  $n = \# \text{ of obs, } m = \# \text{ of resp, } r = \# \text{ of pred}$

$$y_{n \times n} = Z_{(n \times (r+1))} \beta_{((r+1) \times m)} + \epsilon_{n \times m}$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} \\ . & . \\ . & . \\ \epsilon_{51} & \epsilon_{52} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{01} & \beta_{02} \\ \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T y = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -0.9 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \quad (Z^T Z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{50} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z^T y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 \\ -9 & 15 \end{bmatrix}$$



b) Hence, the predicted values

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$$

$$\hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -0.9 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.8 & -3.0 \\ 3.9 & -1.5 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 2.1 & 1.5 \\ 1.2 & 3.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The residual matrix

$$\hat{\epsilon} = y - \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ -0.9 & 0.5 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sum of squares

$$y'y = \hat{y}'\hat{y} + \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 55 & -15 \\ -15 & 24 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 53.1 & -13.5 \\ -13.5 & 22.5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1.9 & -1.5 \\ -1.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$