
Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree

Project 3 - Customer Segments

In this project we will analyze a dataset containing annual spending amounts for internal structure, to understand the variation in the different types of customers that a wholesale distributor interacts with.

1. Feature Transformation

- 1) In this section you will be using PCA and ICA to start to understand the structure of the data. Before doing any computations, what do you think will show up in your computations? List one or two ideas for what might show up as the first PCA dimensions, or what type of vectors will show up as ICA dimensions.

Dataset has 440 rows, 6 columns

	Fresh	Milk	Grocery	Frozen	Detergents_Paper	Delicatessen
0	12669	9656	7561	214	2674	1338
1	7057	9810	9568	1762	3293	1776
2	6353	8808	7684	2405	3516	7844
3	13265	1196	4221	6404	507	1788
4	22615	5410	7198	3915	1777	5185

Basic statistics:

	Fresh	Milk	Grocery	Frozen
count	440.000000	440.000000	440.000000	440.000000
mean	12000.297727	5796.265909	7951.277273	3071.931818
std	12647.328865	7380.377175	9503.162829	4854.673333
min	3.000000	55.000000	3.000000	25.000000
25%	3127.750000	1533.000000	2153.000000	742.250000
50%	8504.000000	3627.000000	4755.500000	1526.000000
75%	16933.750000	7190.250000	10655.750000	3554.250000
max	112151.000000	73498.000000	92780.000000	60869.000000


	Detergents_Paper	Delicatessen
count	440.000000	440.000000
mean	2881.493182	1524.870455
std	4767.854448	2820.105937
min	3.000000	3.000000
25%	256.750000	408.250000
50%	816.500000	965.500000
75%	3922.000000	1820.250000
max	40827.000000	47943.000000

Answer:


PCA will use the features with higher variance to build the components. Given the descriptive statistics, the Fresh feature has the **highest standard deviation (12647.329)** and the **largest range in values (min=3.0 and max= 112151.0)**. Based on the descriptive statistic we could expect Fresh, Grocery and Milk to be highly important for the first and the second PCA components.

By definition the vectors that show up as ICA dimensions are independent. ICA focus on the independent factors. ICA needs features that best separate different types of customers according to their purchasing behaviors. Different types of customers (customers with similar purchasing behaviors, unique behaviors and large enough) will be identified as an independent component.

2. PCA



- 2) How quickly does the variance drop off by dimension? If you were to use PCA on this dataset, how many dimensions would you choose for your analysis? Why?



PCA Explained Variance Ratio

[0.45961362 0.40517227 0.07003008 0.04402344 0.01502212 0.00613848]

Answer:

From the above plot, we can see the drop-off after the second principle component. The PCA's explained variance ratio drops of significantly after the second principle component/dimension. The first and second principle components explain 46% and 41% of the total variance, and the third principle component explains only 7% of the total variance.

If I were to use PCA on this dataset for my analysis, based on the above numbers and insights, I would choose 2 dimensions. Using 2 dimensions only has an advantage for easy visualization, since 2 dimensional plots are more intuitive for people to understand.

3) What do the dimensions seem to represent? How can you use this information?

```
[ -0.97653685 -0.12118407 -0.06154039 -0.15236462  0.00705417 -0.06810471]
[ -0.11061386  0.51580216  0.76460638 -0.01872345  0.36535076  0.05707921]
```

Answer:

Each dimension represents one principal component.


First Principle Component: Looking at the first principle component, we see the value corresponding to **Fresh** is **-0.9765** and all other values are much closer to 0.

Second Principle Component: The second principle component (dimension) seems to represent a weighted combination of **Grocery (0.765)**, **Milk (0.516)**, and **Detergents Paper (0.365)**. This component explains the variations among the important products (except Fresh).

From the analysis above, it seems we can determine our customer segments using just these two dimensions (**Fresh and Non-Fresh**). Using the two principle components described above, we can run unsupervised clustering algorithms to discover customer segments.

4) For each vector in the ICA decomposition, write a sentence or two explaining what sort of object or property it corresponds to. What could these components be used for?

3. ICA



Answer:

Since the ICA algorithm seems to be pretty consistent among different runs, we can conclude that there is some kind of customer behavior pattern. Each independent component is driven by one type of product with slightly or strong and negative or positive correlation with other products.

- **Independent Component 1:** an independent component of customers with a **strong negative correlation between Grocery and Detergents Paper**. Customer who buy much more Grocery and much less Detergents, or vice versa.
- **Independent Component 2:** driven by the **Delicatessen** products spending.
- **Independent Component 3:** driven by **Grocery** spending, **slightly negatively correlated with Milk**
- **Independent Component 4:** driven prevalently by **Frozen** products with a **negative correlation to Delicatessen**.
- **Independent Component 5:** driven by **Milk** spending **negatively correlated by Grocery** and **slightly negatively correlated with Delicatessen**
- **Independent Component 6:** driven by the **Fresh** products. A customer with strongly spending on frozen products and average spending on all other products.

4. Clustering

In this section you will choose either K Means clustering or Gaussian Mixed Models clustering, which implements expectation-maximization. Then you will sample elements from the clusters to understand their significance.

Choose a Cluster Type

5) What are the advantages of using K Means clustering or Gaussian Mixture Models?

Answer:

K-Means


- Hard clustering. Sharp decision boundaries. Each point always belongs to only one cluster (class).
- Only provide information about which cluster a data point belongs to.
- No information about the likelihood/probability of the data point belonging to said cluster
- Fast way to perform clustering (in terms of computational time)
- Strong sensitive to outliers
- This algorithm will not always converge to the same final clusters. The final clusters will depend on the starting centroids. Run more times in order to find what could be the best cauterization.

Gaussian Mixture Models

- Soft clustering
- Provide information about which cluster a data point belongs to
- Provide information about the likelihood/probability of that a particular data point belongs to said cluster
- Runs slower than K-Means (GMM requires more computation time)
- Can calculate more complex decision boundaries compared to K-Means

Since Gaussian Mixture Models can provide the probability that a particular data point belongs to the cluster and not only information about which cluster a data point belongs to compared to K-Means, and it can calculate more complex decision boundaries compared to K-Means, I think that the Gaussian Mixture Models will work better for our problem. I also want to note that in our case we have a small dataset so the computational power will not be the issue.

- 6) Below is some starter code to help you visualize some cluster data. The visualization is based on [this demo](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/cluster/plot_kmeans_digits.html) from the sklearn documentation.




- 7) What are the central objects in each cluster? Describe them as customers.

Answer:

The central objects in each cluster are the centroids. They represent an average customer within that cluster. Based on the PCA analysis the first component was responsible for Fresh variable, and the second component was the linear combination of mostly three features: Milk, Grocery and Detergents (Non-Fresh).

I liked the way how the cluster was separating the customers with soft boundaries (GMM). I was no able to get those boundaries with K-means. Also mean values gave me more meaningful values about each cluster.



- **Cluster 0: Important customers who spend a lot on Fresh Food.** Customers interested in Fresh products. Small amount. These could be considered as important (loyal) customers interested in Fresh products.
- **Cluster 1: Small Grocery Stores.** Sales more groceries than other types of products, but it does not sell as many groceries as Cluster 3.
- **Cluster 2: Restaurants. Salad Bars.** Customers interested in Fresh products. Big amount. Possibly restaurants because of low Grocery Milk and Detergents values and a big range of Fresh values.
- **Cluster 3: Chain supermarkets.** These customer have significant spending. It looks like they sell a lot of everything.

5. Conclusions

8) Which of these techniques did you feel gave you the most insight into the data?

Answer:

PCA and ICA (valuable insights: what most people buy; similar buying patterns)

PCA and ICA gave me different valuable insights. By using PCA we understand what people are interested in (what most people buy). This is important and useful and it gives us the direction to look at. But we still

can't find the reason why there are unexpected customers complaints. ICA gives us the power to analyze independent customer segments and their behaviors. ICA is helpful in understanding the potential group of independent customers. Customer with similar buying patterns.

Feature reduction

Using PCA for feature reduction is really useful, it allows us to display our data even if the data is of high dimensionality. The variance in the data being quite important, PCA resulted in being powerful approach to be able to limit the number of dimensions used. Using PCA and ICA, we get deeper understanding of the data.

Plotting

Plotting all values was really helpful. Plotting the output of the cluster models algorithm gave me the most insight into the data. The plot using GMM clustering made more intuitive sense, in terms of valid clustering decision boundaries.

9) How would you use that technique to help the company design new experiments?

Answer:

We can use small samples of customers from each cluster when conducting a new experiment on a possible change. That way we can see how each of the clusters would react to the change. If the sample from a cluster liked the change, we could implement the change for all members of the cluster.

To test the effects of changing from regular morning delivery to bulk evening delivery, the company can run A/B tests on each customer segments separately. The company can test how each customer type react on the time delivery change (regular morning delivery to a cheaper bulk evening delivery).

If the change went well for the sample from a particular cluster, it would make sense to consider implementing the change for all the members of that cluster. If the change didn't go well for the sample from a particular cluster, it would make sense to not implement the change for the members of that cluster.

10) How would you use that data to help you predict future customer needs?

Answer:

By knowing the different customer groups (clusters) the business can better tailor its services to individual customer segments without necessarily combine them all to the same group as one. Using the GMM or K-Means model we can label each new customer. That way we can predict what will work best for each new customer. What type of products, delivery time and other policies they will likely to have. Supervised learning techniques such as classification could assign new customers to the already known clusters to better understand their needs and provide better targeted services to them.