

Evolution in response to an abiotic stress shapes species coexistence

Inês Fragata^{1*}, Diogo P. Godinho^{1*}, Leonor R. Rodrigues¹, Miguel A. Cruz¹, Flore Zélé², Oscar Godoy^{3#}, Sara Magalhães^{1#}

1 - cE3c - Center for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE - Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Departamento Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal.

2 - Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier (ISEM), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France.

3 – Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Americo Vespucio 26, E-41092 Sevilla, Spain. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4988-6626>

* co-first; # co-last

Abstract

Adaptation to abiotic stresses is pervasive and generally relies on traits that are not independent from those affecting species interactions. Still, how such evolution affects species coexistence remains elusive. We addressed this using populations of two herbivorous spider mite species (*Tetranychus urticae* and *T. evansi*) evolving separately on tomato plants hyper-accumulating cadmium, a stressful environment for the mites, or on control plants with no cadmium. Combinations of phenotypic analyses with structural stability theory predicted that adaptation of both species to cadmium allow them to coexist in that environment, whereas in cadmium-naïve mite populations the most likely outcome is exclusion. The shift from competitive exclusion to coexistence was due to an increase in niche differences accompanied by a decrease in fitness differences. A parallel population experiment validated these predictions. However, such changes were environment-specific, as spider-mite adaptation to cadmium did not affect species interactions and competitive outcomes in the cadmium-free environment. Our results suggest that evolution of single species in a new environment, even in absence of interspecific competitors, shapes species coexistence. Therefore, population shifts to novel environments may have unforeseen evolutionary consequences for community composition and the maintenance of species diversity.

34 **Introduction**

35 Understanding how evolutionary dynamics shape and are shaped by long-term species
 36 persistence is key to predict community composition and biodiversity maintenance. Character
 37 displacement in response to the presence of competitors is one of the classical examples of the
 38 role of natural selection in shaping trait evolution and species distribution¹⁻³. In the last
 39 decades, the acknowledgment that ecology and evolution operate at similar timescales has led
 40 to the prediction that the presence of competitors selects for the evolution of competitive
 41 interactions (i.e., the negative per-capita effect of one species on another⁴⁻⁶), which in turn
 42 affects the ability of species to coexist⁷⁻¹⁰. In line with these predictions, empirical studies have
 43 shown that short term evolution in presence of competitors can modify competitive traits¹¹ and
 44 change coexistence patterns^{6,12-15}.

45 Although the abovementioned studies have reinstated short-term evolution as an important
 46 force shaping ecological patterns, it may well be that we are still under-estimating the potential
 47 for evolution to impact species coexistence. An important yet overlooked possibility is that
 48 traits that affect species coexistence may be selected even in the absence of competitors. For
 49 example, species arriving in an environment before their interspecific competitors may adapt
 50 at a fast rate to that environment, which leads to an increased ability to monopolize it¹⁶.
 51 Likewise, prior adaptation to a given environment can lead to a lower relative growth rate of
 52 late arriving species¹⁷. In line with this, evidence that trait evolution affects species community
 53 composition has also been recently documented^{18,19}. However, to date no study has tested if
 54 and how trait evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure modifies species
 55 coexistence through changes in both intra- and interspecific competition. Moreover, adaptation
 56 to one environment can lead to changes in competitive abilities in other environments²⁰ but the
 57 potential for this to translate into changes in coexistence patterns remains unclear. Hence, our
 58 knowledge of the role of evolution in response to abiotic stresses in shaping coexistence
 59 patterns is yet in its infancy.

60 The recent development of the complementary theoretical frameworks of modern
 61 coexistence theory and structural stability²¹⁻²³ provide clear mechanistic pathways to
 62 understand how competing species coexist in ecological time. Both theories agree that species
 63 can coexist whenever their niche differences, which arise when species specialize in different
 64 resources, overcome their differences in population growth in the presence of
 65 competitors^{21,24,25}, but structural stability, unlike modern coexistence theory, takes a

probabilistic view of coexistence, which allows incorporating stochasticity and consider interactions other than direct competition, such as mutualism²⁶. This framework defines a feasibility domain, which is determined by the magnitude of niche differences. Coexistence is possible when the vectors defined by the intrinsic growth rates fall within this domain. Wider feasibility domains allow for the persistence of inferior competitors for species combinations with more uneven intrinsic growth rates^{22,25}. To date, this tool has so far not been applied to address the role of evolution in shaping coexistence patterns.

Here, we assessed how evolution in response to an abiotic stress affects species coexistence by combining two powerful tools: experimental evolution and structural stability. We used a system composed of two closely-related spider-mite species, *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. evansi*, known to engage in competitive interactions when feeding on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*)^{27,28}. These plants can hyper-accumulate cadmium in their upper parts, which strongly reduces spider-mite fecundity and offspring survival, producing a strong selective pressure^{29–31}. Each spider mite species evolved for 40 generations on plants with or without cadmium in absence of interspecific competitors (Figure S1). After this period, changes in intrinsic growth rates and in the strength of biotic interactions (intra and interspecific competition) were assessed in both environments using a fully orthogonal experimental design: the evolved populations were exposed to a density gradient of intraspecific competitors (same species) that evolved in the same environment or of interspecific competitors (different species) that evolved in each environment (plants with and without cadmium; Figure S1). Subsequently, we combined structural stability theory with model parameterization to predict how evolution in response to an abiotic stress (cadmium) of each species, or both affected competitive outcomes. This allowed singling out the role of evolution of each species, as well as that of the environment where the interactions occurred. Finally, we empirically validated the short-term predictions of our models by conducting a population growth experiment with the same full orthogonal design.

Results

Evolution in cadmium changes the strength of interspecific competition

Spider mites of both species evolved on plants with or without cadmium for *circa* 40 generations. To verify that plants with cadmium represent a stress for these populations and thus pose a significant selection pressure, we first compared the intrinsic growth rate of naïve populations (evolving on plants without cadmium) on plants with cadmium. Populations of

both mite species from the ‘no cadmium’ selection regime had significantly lower growth rates in the cadmium than in the no cadmium environment (*Tetranychus urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,7}=71.985$, P-value<0.001, *T. evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}=117.15$, P-value<0.001), confirming our hypothesis.

After evolution, we estimated the offspring production of populations that had evolved on plants with or without cadmium when exposed to each of these environments, in presence or absence of intra- and interspecific competition. To this aim, we exposed mites from each species to a gradient of intra and interspecific competitors, then fitted the Ricker model³² to estimate the intrinsic growth rate, intra and interspecific competition ability of each population in each environment. Using these estimated parameters, we predicted the number of females of each species in each environment. In the cadmium environment, the intrinsic growth rate of populations evolving on plants with cadmium was higher than that of populations evolving on plants with no cadmium, for both species (*T. urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}=6.187$, P-value=0.013, *T. evansi*: $Z_{1,7}=4.483$, P-value=0.034, Fig 1, Fig. S2. Associated with this increase, we also found an increase in the strength of intraspecific competition for *T. evansi* ($\chi^2_{1,7}=8.570$, P-value=0.003, Fig S3A), but not for *T. urticae* ($\chi^2_{1,6}=0.131$, P-value=0.717, Fig S3B). This resulted in a decreased predicted number of offspring produced by *T. evansi* in competition (relative to when growing alone) but only in the treatments in which *T. evansi* evolved in the cadmium environment (Fig. 1A, λ vs $\lambda+\alpha_{ii}$ for the yellow and blue vs the green and red dot). Moreover, evolving in the cadmium selection regime made *T. evansi* more sensitive to competition with *T. urticae* from the no cadmium selection regime (Fig. S4A, Table S1A, S1B, contrasts between the *T. evansi* cadmium vs no cadmium selection regime, when exposed to *T. urticae* from the no cadmium selection regime: T ratio_{1,13}= -0.053, P-value=0.003), reducing the predicted number of offspring produced after one generation of competition (Fig. 1A, $\lambda+\alpha_{ii}+\alpha_{ij}$, comparing yellow and red dots). Conversely, evolution in cadmium did not affect the impact of interspecific competition for *T. urticae* (Fig. S4B, Table S1A). Interestingly, we observe weak positive interactions within and between species in several replicates (i.e., negative alpha values, corresponding to facilitation) across selection regimes (Fig S3, S4), which reinforces the added value of using the structural stability framework.

In the no cadmium environment, evolution in cadmium did not lead to significant changes in the intrinsic growth rate (Fig. S5, *T. evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}=2.628$, P-value=0.105; *T. urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}=0.121$, P-value=0.728), intraspecific competition (Fig. S6, *T. evansi*: $\chi^2_{1,7}=1.445$, P-value=0.229; *T. urticae*: $\chi^2_{1,6}=0.003$, P-value=0.998) or interspecific competition (Fig. S7, Table S2). Still, the predicted growth rate of *T. evansi* populations from the cadmium selection

regime was lower than that of populations evolved in the no cadmium selection regime (Fig 1A, right panel, λ , comparing green and red to blue and yellow dots), a reduction not observed in *T. urticae* (Fig. 1B, right panel, λ). Weak intra and interspecific facilitation was found for several replicates across selection regimes (Figs. S6, S7), similarly to what happened in the cadmium environment.

Evolution of both competitors increases the probability of long-term coexistence in the cadmium environment

We predicted competitive outcomes (coexistence or competitive exclusion) for each combination of selection regimes by coupling structural stability with the population model that describes the temporal dynamics of interacting species (the Ricker model). This framework led to the prediction that, in the cadmium environment, coexistence was more likely when both species had evolved in that environment (Fig. 2). This occurs because evolution of both species in cadmium stabilized their interactions (i.e., increased their niche differences) and equalized their fitness differences (Fig. 2). Indeed, evolution in cadmium led to increased intraspecific competition only in *T. evansi*, the better competitor, (Fig S3) and to an increase in the intrinsic growth rate in both species, but with a smaller magnitude in *T. urticae* (Fig S2). This coexistence prediction is robust to relatively large variation in the species intrinsic growth rates, as reported by the distance between the species observed intrinsic growth rates and the edge of the feasibility domain (Fig. 3, S8, S9). When only one species evolved in the cadmium selection regime, coexistence was not possible in the cadmium environment (Figs 2, 3). Contrary to our initial expectation that the species that evolved in cadmium would be best suited to the new environment and thus would exclude competitors from the no cadmium selection regimes, we observe that the species that evolved in cadmium was predicted to be excluded (Figs. 2, 3, S8, S9). In the case when *T. evansi* evolved in cadmium, this can be explained by increased intraspecific competition (Fig S3) and increased sensitivity to competition from *T. urticae* of the no cadmium selection regime (Fig S4). In the reverse case, *T. urticae* that evolved in cadmium was negatively affected by interspecific competition from the *T. evansi* no cadmium selection regime but did not affect it (Fig. S4), which led to a prediction of exclusion in the cadmium environment (Fig. 4).

In the no cadmium environment, the two species were generally predicted to coexist except when *T. urticae* from the cadmium selection regime competed with *T. evansi* from the no cadmium selection regime, in which case *T. urticae* was predicted to be excluded by *T. evansi* (Fig. 2). However, the minimum distance to the edges of the feasibility domain was

short in all cases (Fig. 3, S9), indicating that small perturbations may shift the prediction from coexistence to exclusion and vice versa. Importantly, there was high heterogeneity among replicates but little effect of the evolutionary history of both species (Fig. 3). Our results thus indicate that evolution in cadmium did not affect the range of opportunities for species to coexist in the no cadmium environment (Fig. 2, S8).

Simulated competitive dynamics partially recover empirical patterns

To validate our approach we compared theoretical predictions of ecological short-term dynamics with a population dynamic experiment in which the two species, in all possible combinations of selection regimes, were maintained for two generations. (Fig. S1). We observed a close agreement between model predictions and experimental observations (Fig. 4, slope pooled replicates: 0.707, P-value<0.001), despite some heterogeneity between replicate populations, especially in the no cadmium environment (slope with replicates: 0.631, P-value<0.001, Table S3). Interestingly, predictions for *T. urticae* evolved in the cadmium selection regime were the most accurate in the no cadmium environment, but the least in the cadmium environment (Fig. 4).

Discussion

We used a combination of experimental evolution and structural stability theory to predict the impact of evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure (cadmium) on coexistence patterns. We also tested how such evolution affected coexistence in an environment without cadmium. To obtain such theoretical predictions, we rigorously quantified the intrinsic growth rate of two spider-mite species and the strength of their intra- and interspecific competitive interactions across different environmental and selection scenarios. While evolutionary history had little effect on coexistence patterns in the environment with no cadmium, the independent evolution of both species in response to cadmium led to a change from competitive exclusion to coexistence in that stressful environment. This was due to differential changes in intrinsic growth rates (that equalized fitness differences between species) coupled with an increase in intraspecific competition in the superior, but not in the inferior competitor. In sum, we here show for the first time that evolution in response to an abiotic selection pressure can change the interactions between and within populations and affect the probability of coexistence, even without a direct selection pressure posed by the presence of competitors.

We found that both species adapted to the cadmium environment after 40 generations of experimental evolution in that environment, as evidenced by an increase in the intrinsic growth rate of populations from the cadmium selection regime, compared to those from the no-cadmium regime. At the 33rd generation of evolution, these population of *T. evansi* had not shown signs of adaptation³¹, thus the adaptation reported here occurred after that generation. In line with this result, genetic variation for life-history traits on cadmium was absent in the base population³¹. In addition, this previous study revealed lower performance of *T. evansi* populations from the cadmium selection regime on the cadmium-free environment, compared to populations evolving in the latter³¹. We do not recover this result, suggesting that extending time for evolution to operate led to reduced costs of adaptation. This adaptation pattern is compatible with the existence of cryptic genetic variation that is released upon evolution in the cadmium environment³³. Still, such adaptation may be too slow to enable the establishment of mites in cadmium-contaminated sites. Indeed, assuming equal generation time in the lab and in the field, one tomato growing season roughly corresponds to 15 generations in spider mites, which is not sufficient to lead to genetic changes allowing adaptation to cadmium.

Evolution of *T. evansi* in cadmium also led to stronger sensitivity to intraspecific competition in that environment, as compared to mites evolving on plants without cadmium. Recently, evidence that intraspecific competitive ability may evolve during adaptation to a novel abiotic environment has been accumulating^{5,20,34}. There are many reasons to expect such evolution. For example, individuals may become more efficient at extracting resources when these are limiting⁵, or higher population growth may lead to higher densities being reached earlier, thus increasing intraspecific competition. Measuring intraspecific competition should thus be mainstreamed in experimental evolution studies, which typically measure only individual life-history traits such as fecundity and survival³⁵.

An overlooked logical follow-up of the fact that adaptation to abiotic selection pressures may affect intraspecific interactions is that it may affect interspecific interactions as well. Indeed, the latter are also based on (the relative values of) traits that may be modified by adaptation in absence of competitors. Here, we shed light on this poorly studied issue by documenting that evolving in a stressful environment without heterospecific competitors affects competitive interactions between species in that environment. Specifically, when spider mites evolved in cadmium, they affected their competitors as much or less than mites that evolved on plants with no cadmium. This counter-intuitive result may be due to a growth-competition trade-off, given that both species also increased their growth rate on plants with cadmium upon evolution in that environment. Such trade-off has been an assumption of

theoretical models³⁶, and experimentally demonstrated in *Brassica nigra*^{37,38}. Also, Limberger and Fussmann²⁰ report that algae adapt more rapidly to abiotic selection pressures when they evolve alone vs when in presence of competitors, which is compatible with the existence of such trade-off. In sum, we show that interspecific competitive ability evolves in absence of competitors, a result similar to when competing species coevolve^{6,11,12,18}. The fact that we recover such patterns even in the absence of a competitor in the environment implies both that causality needs to be scrutinized in eco-evolutionary studies and that evolution has consequences for community structure that are much more far reaching than initially thought.

Many empirical studies have shown that adaptation to an abiotic selection pressure can change species interactions^{18,20,39} but none have addressed how it affects species coexistence. In fact, the only studies applying coexistence theory to evolutionary data concern adaptation to the presence of a competitor^{11,12,15}. In our study, we found that, in the cadmium environment, species are only predicted to coexist when both competitors evolved separately in the presence of cadmium. Such change from competitive exclusion to coexistence was not due to the large change of a specific parameter but rather a small but full reorganization of how species grow and interact with each other. Namely, coexistence was due to a combination of an increase in the intrinsic growth rate of both species as they adapt to the cadmium environment, with stronger intraspecific competition of the superior competitor, coupled with a reduced impact of interspecific competition. Curiously, studies addressing how evolution in the presence of a competitor affected species coexistence have not found such a radical effect of changing from exclusion to coexistence. Instead, they found that coexistence is maintained when species coevolve, albeit by mechanisms different than those operating in the absence of such evolution^{11,12,15}. We thus find that adaptation to an abiotic selection pressure may affect community composition to a higher degree than direct adaptation to the presence of competitors.

We also provide here a test for the accuracy of the theoretical predictions, by comparing relative abundance of both species obtained by model predictions based on parameters estimated from competition experiments with a short-term population dynamics experiment. We found a high overlap between predicted and observed relative abundances of the two species. Some mismatch was found when only one of the populations evolved in the cadmium environment. This is probably due to stochasticity, as the experiment started with a small number of individuals (six females of each species). Still, the strong correlation between observed and predicted values suggests that our model parameterization is robust to changes in the number of individuals, resource and space availability, indicating that the combination of

theoretical modelling and experimental estimation of competitive interactions has a high predictive power.

Another strength of our study is that, unlike previous studies, we applied a full factorial design, in which we explore how different combinations of evolutionary histories of both competitors affect the probability of coexistence. We find that coexistence in an environment with cadmium is only possible when both species evolved in that environment. Hence, evolution does not follow a linear path, being contingent on the evolutionary history of the two species. This suggests that asynchronies in the arrival to cadmium-contaminated sites may lead to species exclusion, due to evolution of the species first colonizing that environment. Coexistence is only possible when the two species are both adapted, which can be achieved either if they arrive simultaneously to a site with cadmium, then adapt at a similar pace, or if they are already adapted upon arrival. Hence, as previously shown for short-term differences in arrival time²⁷, we here show that historical contingencies affect species coexistence also via their effect on evolution.

We also explored how evolution in response to cadmium affects coexistence outcomes in an environment with no cadmium. As found in studies with no evolution, we found that interactions are specific to a given environment^{40–43}. In general, our results align well with previous work showing that stable coexistence is fostered in stressful environments via a reduction in growth rate that equalize fitness differences, and by a shift from interspecific to intraspecific competition, which increases the stabilizing niche differences^{40–44}. However, our study tempers this statement by the finding that this is only true when both species have evolved in that stressful environment. Moreover, the use of the structural stability framework allows incorporating sensitivity to changes in environmental conditions in these coexistence predictions^{45–47}. In the no cadmium environment, we found a small distance to the edge (i.e., low robustness) across all selection regimes, suggesting that changes in competitive outcomes are likely to occur due to stochastic events. Instead, in the cadmium environment, the distance to the edge was higher in all cases, suggesting that communities in that environment are more long-lasting. Thus, our results suggest that communities in cadmium-free environments will be modulated by small environmental changes, whereas those in environments with cadmium will be more shaped by evolution.

Our study highlights the added value of combining experimental evolution and controlled experiments with ecological theory, by providing novel insights of how species adaptation to an abiotic stressor affects their ability to coexist. Our work provides significant advances in both evolutionary and ecological fields. On the one hand, it shows that traits such as the strength

of intra- and interspecific competition should be incorporated in the characterization of species adaptation to novel environments. This is particularly true in experimental evolution studies, which generally quantify evolution by measuring classical life-history traits such as fecundity or offspring juvenile survival of single individuals^{35,48}, which may not reflect the conditions in which populations evolve⁴⁹. On the other hand, ecological studies benefit from incorporating past evolutionary history, as such approach has the capacity to refine our understanding of how species interact and coexist^{36,50–52}. All in all, our work provides novel arguments for the need to combine ecological and evolutionary perspectives and methodologies to understand community composition.

Material and methods

Overview of the experimental system and maintenance conditions

We used a system composed of *Tetranychus urticae*, a generalist spider mite species that feeds on several economically important crops⁵³, *T. evansi*, a solanaceous specialist that has recently invaded Europe⁵⁴, and tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*, var MoneyMaker). Population maintenance and experiments were performed in a climatic room under controlled conditions (25:20 °C, 65% of humidity, light:dark = 16:8). Outbred populations of each species were maintained using 5-week-old plants, having at least five fully expanded leaves. Plants used in all experiments (including in the experimental evolution) were watered twice a week with 100 mL of tap water for a duration of two weeks, then transplanted to new pots and watered each week once with 100mL tap water and another two times with either 100mL of distilled water or 100mL of 2mM cadmium chloride solution during another three weeks. This cadmium concentration is highly detrimental to both spider-mite species, resulting in at least a 50% reduction in fecundity as compared to mites on metal-free plants^{29,30}.

Experimental evolution

Outbred populations, formed via controlled, one-on-one crosses of 400 individuals (200 females and 200 males of each population) of three and four field populations of *T. urticae* and *T. evansi* spider mites, respectively⁵⁵ were used to initiate experimental evolution populations. The latter were created by transferring 220 adult females of each species from the outbred populations to an experimental box (size: 26 x 18 x 13.5 cm) covered with a lid with a gauze-covered opening to allow air circulation. The box contained four tomato leaves with their stems in a small pot with water (two leaves per pot, two pots per box), replenished every week. To

minimize differences among plants and leaves, each box contained the second, third, fourth and fifth leaves to develop (starting from the cotyledons), each taken from a different plant. We established five populations for each of four selection regimes: *T. urticae* or *T. evansi*, exposed to leaves from plants watered either with a 2mM cadmium solution or with water only. Every two weeks (corresponding to a mite generation), 220 adult females were transferred by aspiration to a new experimental box containing four new tomato leaves (i.e., populations were maintained in discrete generations). The remaining mites were transferred to a new box with two tomato leaves to create a T-1 box. These mites were used as a back-up when less than 220 females were found in the experimental box. If still this did not reach 220 mites, females from the base population were added until 220 adult females were reached (cf. ⁵⁵ for details).

Prior to the experiments, individuals from all regimes were placed in a common garden of cadmium-free tomato leaves for two generations. For that, 200 females from each population were transferred to new boxes (100 per box) with two tomato leaves, except for replicate 2 of *T. urticae* evolving on leaves with cadmium, which did not produce enough individuals and was thus not used in subsequent experiments. After three weeks, six cohorts of 50 adult females were transferred to petri dishes with cotton soaked in water and two tomato leaflets. Females were left to oviposit for four days, and then killed. Two weeks later, the adult female offspring was collected for the experiment. Experiments were performed at generation 40 (empirical estimation of competitive ability and intrinsic growth rate) and 42 (estimation of the growth rate of populations in cages with interspecific competitors).

Empirical estimation of competitive abilities and intrinsic growth rate

To test if evolution on plants with or without cadmium affected the probability of coexistence between *T. urticae* and *T. evansi* in environments with or without cadmium, we estimated the intrinsic growth rates and the strength of intra- and interspecific interactions of mites from each selection regime in each environment (Fig. S1). The data generated was then used to estimate parameters to be used in a population model that describes the dynamics of interacting species (cf. below).

To quantify changes in growth rate with different competition scenarios and estimate the parameters to predict coexistence outcomes, we followed the methodology described in ⁵⁶. For that, we cut leaf discs (18mm diameter) from tomato plants grown with or without cadmium and placed one female alone or with 1, 3 or 9 females (i.e., competitors). To estimate intraspecific competition, the competitor females were from the same species, selection regime (with or without cadmium) and replicate population as the focal female. To estimate

interspecific competition, the focal females and the competitor females were necessarily from different species, and all possible combinations of selection regime (with or without cadmium - full factorial design) with matching population replicates (replicate 1 of *T. urticae* was exposed to replicate 1 of *T. evansi*, etc) were performed. The intrinsic growth rate was estimated from leaf discs with single females.

Leaf discs were placed on water saturated cotton inside a square petri dish (size: 12.6 x 12.6 x 1.6 cm, with a gauze covered top to allow for ventilation), with 16 or 12 discs (for intra and interspecific assays, respectively). Each petri dish corresponded to one environment (cadmium or no cadmium) and one treatment (a combination of the selection regimes of the focal female and of the competitors – in the case of intraspecific competition these are the same), with each density of competitors being allocated to one row within the petri dish (i.e., each petri dish had four replicates of all densities). Females were left to oviposit for three days and then killed. Two weeks later, the number of adult females per patch was counted. Ten replicates per intra- or intraspecific treatment were done for each of the five experimental populations. The experiment was done in six blocks, the first three with replicate populations one to three and the last with replicate populations four and five.

Empirical estimation of the growth rate of populations exposed to interspecific competitors

To test if evolution on plants with or without cadmium affected the population growth rate under competition, we placed six females of the two species from different selection regimes on plants with or without cadmium (full factorial design, *T. urticae* cadmium / no cadmium * *T. evansi* cadmium / no cadmium * plants with / without cadmium, i.e., eight experimental conditions) and measured the number of adult females produced after two generations. For that, we placed two leaves from plants with or without cadmium in plastic boxes (size: 16.5 x 16.5 x 12 cm) covered with a lid with a gauze-covered opening to allow air circulation. The petiole of the leaves was in a small pot with water. After one mite generation (two weeks) another two leaves were added to the box. By the end of the second generation, we counted the number of adult females from each species in each box. Ten experimental replicates were tested per experimental condition. The experiment was done in five blocks, each including one replicate population of each selection regime. This data was then compared with model predictions based on parameters estimated from the intrinsic growth rate and competitive abilities (cf. Model validation section below).

Theoretical estimation of competition and growth parameters

Data collected in the intrinsic growth rate and competitive ability experiment was used to parameterize a model, from which we quantified niche and fitness differences to predict the outcome of competition between the two spider mite species. We assume that the population dynamics in our experiment can be described by Ricker's competition model³², which has been used in a previous study with spider mites⁵⁷. Unlike the often-used Beverton-Holt model⁵⁸, this model allows incorporating positive interactions (i.e., facilitation), which based on initial data scrutiny, were likely to occur in our system. The Ricker model is described by the following equation (equation 1):

$$(1) \frac{N_{i,t+1}}{N_{i,t}} = \lambda_i e^{-\alpha_{ii}N_{i,t} - \alpha_{ij}N_{j,t}}$$

where $N_{i,t+1}$ is the number of individuals of species i in the next generation, λ_i the intrinsic growth rate of species i in absence of competitors, α_{ii} is the coefficient representing the strength of intraspecific competition, that describes the per-capita effect of species i on itself, α_{ij} is the coefficient representing the strength of interspecific competition, which describes the per-capita effect of species j on species i , and $N_{i,t}$, $N_{j,t}$ are the number of competitors of species i and j , respectively, in the current generation. To fit the Ricker model, we used the function `cxr_pm_fit` from the “cxr” package⁵⁹ in R to estimate λ_i , α_{ii} and α_{ij} for all selection regimes. The parameters were estimated for each replicate population separately. To ensure enough statistical power (as survival in the cadmium environment was low), we also pooled the data of all replicates associated with each selection regime. As most females (across replicate populations) did not produce offspring in the cadmium environment, and the `cxr` package does not allow the inclusion of zeros, we transformed our data by summing one to each of our datapoints (since the logarithm of one is zero, we can this way include the filtering effect of cadmium in our data). Initial parameters were set at $\lambda_i=1$, $\alpha_{ii}=\alpha_{ij}=0.1$ and confidence intervals were estimated using 1000 bootstrap samples. Visual inspection of the model fitting was done for each combination of parameters, selection regimes and environments by plotting predicted vs. observed values (figures available in the git repository). To estimate the relative impact of intra and interspecific competition in the offspring production of the two spider mite species, we simulated the number of offspring produced after one generation using the estimated parameters and the Ricker model. We used as a starting condition 10 individuals of each species

and simulated one generation assuming population growth following only the intrinsic growth rate, the joint effects of intrinsic growth rate and of intraspecific competition and joint effects of the intrinsic growth rate and intra and interspecific competition. Confidence intervals for the predictions were calculated using the lower and upper estimates of the intrinsic growth rate and intra and interspecific competition indexes obtained from the *cxr* package.

Structural stability approach to predict coexistence

Estimates obtained from the Ricker model (Fig. S4, S5, S7, S8) showed widespread weak positive interspecific interactions (i.e., facilitation) across selection regimes and replicate populations. Since Modern Coexistence Theory does not incorporate facilitation between species, we used the structural stability framework^{22,45,60} to predict the outcomes of species interactions in our system. This approach generates a cone shaped feasibility domain representing the range of species interactions (including competition and facilitation) that allow for coexistence. If the vector with the species intrinsic growth rate falls within the feasibility domain, coexistence is possible, otherwise there is exclusion. Using the parameters estimated with the Ricker model (*cxr* package), we calculated the structural niche differences (Ω), defined as the normalized solid angle of the cone that represents the feasibility domain of a system²², and structural fitness differences (θ), as the angle between the vector of intrinsic growth rates of the species (r) and the centroid of the feasibility cone (r_c). For a system to be feasible (that is, for coexistence to occur) the fitness differences (measured as a degree) must be 45 times lower than the niche differences. This corresponds to individuals of each species affecting conspecifics more than heterospecifics and fitness differences being smaller than niche differences. Note that these are also the conditions for coexistence to occur in Modern Coexistence Theory^{22,25}.

To measure each species' vulnerability to exclusion, we tested how resistant coexistence is to perturbations. Following a recently-developed approach^{45,61}, we estimated the species exclusion distance, which corresponds to the minimal distance between the vector of intrinsic growth rates ($r = [\lambda_i, \lambda_j]$) and the edges of the feasibility domain (vectors corresponding to either $[0, \frac{\alpha_{22}}{\alpha_{12}}]$ or $[0, \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}}]$) as a proxy for how strong a perturbation must be to change the coexistence outcome in each system. To calculate the distance between the vector of intrinsic growth rates and the edges of the feasibility domain, we applied the following formula⁴⁵:

$$(2) \text{dist}_i = \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{21}}{\alpha_{11}} \right]$$

Model validation

We validated our approach by comparing model predictions with the empirical results from the population growth experiment. For that, we used the Ricker model to predict the relative abundance of each species after two generations in each treatment. Then, we performed a general linear regression with a binomial error distribution to compare the mean observed proportions of each competitor in all replicates of all treatments with the model proportion estimates.

Statistical analyses

To test the impact of the cadmium environment on the intrinsic growth rate of *T. urticae* and *T. evansi* populations that were naïve to this selection pressure, we applied, for each species, a linear model using as dependent variables the estimates of the intrinsic growth rate (estimated from the cxr, see above) of the five experimental replicates of the no cadmium selection regimes and environment as fixed factor (with two levels, cadmium and no cadmium)

To test how evolution on plants with cadmium affected population growth and competition in cadmium we used general linear models with a gamma distribution for the intrinsic growth rate, and with a normal distribution for the coefficients for intra and interspecific competition. All models were applied separately for both species, and normality and dispersion of the residuals was inspected for all models tested. For all models, we included the parameters estimated with the cxr package for each replicate as a dependent variable and Selection Regime as fixed factor (with two levels corresponding to selection regimes evolving in cadmium or no cadmium environment). For the strength of interspecific competition, the model included the selection regime of the focal and of the competitor species, as well as their interaction as fixed factors. Whenever the interaction term was significant, we applied contrasts to compare estimates for all combinations of focal and competitor selection regimes. Similar models were applied to test the impact of evolution in cadmium on the performance in the no cadmium environment.

All analyses were done using R 4.2.1 version⁶², statistical analysis were done using the package “glmmTMB”⁶³, contrasts were performed using the “emmeans” package⁶⁴, analyses of residuals were done for each model using the DHARMA package⁶⁵, and graphical representation was done using “ggplot2”⁶⁶. All data scripts and data used for the analyses is available in the git repository https://github.com/irfragata/evolution_cadmium_coexistence.

499

500 **Acknowledgments:**

501 This work was financed by an ERC (European Research Council) consolidator grant
502 COMPCON, GA 725419 attributed to SM, an ERC starting grant DYNAMICTRIO, GA
503 101042392 attributed to IF, and by FCT (Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia) with the Junior
504 researcher contract (CEECIND/02616/2018) attributed to IF. OG acknowledges financial
505 support provided by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) and
506 by the European Social Fund through the UCCO project (CNS2023-144337). This is
507 contribution ISEM-2023-XXX of the Institute of Evolutionary Science of Montpellier (ISEM).
508 The authors acknowledge stimulating discussion with all members of the Mite Squad and Raul
509 Costa-Pereira, which have significantly improved the experimental design and interpretation
510 of the results, and Marta Artal and Liesbeth de Jong for invaluable infrastructure for meetings
511 in Sevilla. For the purpose of Open Access, a CC-BY 4.0 public copyright licence has been
512 applied by the authors to the present document and will be applied to all subsequent versions
513 up to the Author Accepted Manuscript arising from this submission.

514

515 **Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing interests.

516

517 **Bibliography**

- 518 1. Brown, W. L. & Wilson, E. O. Character displacement. *Syst Zool* **5**, 46–94 (1956).
- 519 2. Lack, D. *Darwin's Finches*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1947).
- 520 3. Slatkin, M. Ecological character displacement. *Ecology* **61**, 163–177 (1980).
- 521 4. Abrams, P. A. & Matsuda, H. The evolution of traits that determine ability in
522 competitive contests. *Evol Ecol* **8**, 667–686 (1994).
- 523 5. Bernhardt, J. R. *et al.* The evolution of competitive ability for essential resources. *Phil*
524 *Trans R Soc B* **375**, 20190247 (2020).
- 525 6. Sakarchi, J. & Germain, R. M. The Evolution of Competitive Ability. *Am Nat* **201**, 1–
526 15 (2023).
- 527 7. Edwards, K. F. *et al.* Evolutionarily stable communities: a framework for
528 understanding the role of trait evolution in the maintenance of diversity. *Ecol Lett* **21**,
529 1853–1868 (2018).

- 530 8. Germain, R. M., Williams, J. L., Schluter, D. & Angert, A. L. Moving Character
531 Displacement beyond Characters Using Contemporary Coexistence Theory. *Trends*
532 *Ecol Evol* **33**, 74–84 (2018).
- 533 9. Vasseur, D. A., Amarasekare, P., Rudolf, V. H. W. & Levine, J. M. Eco-evolutionary
534 dynamics enable coexistence via neighbor-dependent selection. *Am Na* **178**, 96–109
535 (2011).
- 536 10. Yamamichi, M. & Letten, A. D. Rapid evolution promotes fluctuation-dependent
537 species coexistence. *Ecol Lett* **24**, 812–818 (2021).
- 538 11. Hart, S. P., Turcotte, M. M. & Levine, J. M. Effects of rapid evolution on species
539 coexistence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**, 2112–2117 (2019).
- 540 12. Germain, R. M., Srivastava, D. & Angert, A. L. Evolution of an inferior competitor
541 increases resistance to biological invasion. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 419–425 (2020).
- 542 13. Hiltunen, T., Kaitala, V., Laakso, J. & Becks, L. Evolutionary contribution to
543 coexistence of competitors in microbial food webs. *Proc R Soc B* **284**, 7–9 (2017).
- 544 14. Lankau, R. Rapid evolutionary change and the coexistence of species. *Annu Rev Ecol*
545 *Evol Syst* **42**, 335–354 (2011).
- 546 15. Zhao, L., Zhang, Q. G. & Zhang, D. Y. Evolution alters ecological mechanisms of
547 coexistence in experimental microcosms. *Funct Ecol* **30**, 1440–1446 (2016).
- 548 16. Nadeau, C. P., Farkas, T. E., Makkay, A. M., Papke, R. T. & Urban, M. C. Adaptation
549 reduces competitive dominance and alters community assembly. *Proc R Soc B* **288**,
550 20203133 (2021).
- 551 17. Low-Décarie, E., Fussmann, G. F. & Bell, G. The effect of elevated CO₂ on growth
552 and competition in experimental phytoplankton communities. *Glob Chang Biol* **17**,
553 2525–2535 (2011).
- 554 18. Fukano, Y., Tachiki, Y., Kasada, M. & Uchida, K. Evolution of competitive traits
555 changes species diversity in a natural field. *Proc R Soc B* **289**, 20221376 (2022).
- 556 19. Gallego, I. & Narwani, A. Ecology and evolution of competitive trait variation in
557 natural phytoplankton communities under selection. *Ecol Lett* **25**, 2397–2409 (2022).
- 558 20. Limberger, R. & Fussmann, G. F. Adaptation and competition in deteriorating
559 environments. *Proc R Soc B* **288**, 20202967 (2021).
- 560 21. Chesson, P. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* **31**,
561 343–366 (2000).
- 562 22. Saavedra, S. *et al.* A structural approach for understanding multispecies coexistence.
563 *Ecol Monogr* **87**, 470–486 (2017).

- 564 23. Yamamichi, M., Gibbs, T. & Levine, J. M. Integrating eco-evolutionary dynamics and
565 modern coexistence theory. *Ecol Lett* **25**, 2091–2106 (2022).
- 566 24. Barabás, G., D’Andrea, R. & Stump, S. M. Chesson’s coexistence theory. *Ecol*
567 *Monogr* **88**, 277–303 (2018).
- 568 25. Godoy, O., Bartomeus, I., Rohr, R. P. & Saavedra, S. Towards the Integration of Niche
569 and Network Theories. *Trends Ecol Evol* vol. 33 287–300 (2018).
- 570 26. Rohr, R. P., Saavedra, S. & Bascompte, J. On the structural stability of mutualistic
571 systems. *Science* **345**, 1253497 (2014).
- 572 27. Fragata, I. *et al.* Specific sequence of arrival promotes coexistence via spatial niche
573 pre-emption by the weak competitor. *Ecol Lett* **25**, 1629–1639 (2022).
- 574 28. Sarmiento, R. A. *et al.* A herbivorous mite down-regulates plant defence and produces
575 web to exclude competitors. *PLoS One* **6**, e23757 (2011).
- 576 29. Godinho, D. P., Serrano, H. C., Da Silva, A. B., Branquinho, C. & Magalhães, S.
577 Effect of cadmium accumulation on the performance of plants and of herbivores that
578 cope differently with organic defenses. *Front Plant Sci* **871**, 1723 (2018).
- 579 30. Godinho, D. P., Branquinho, C. & Magalhães, S. Intraspecific variability in herbivore
580 response to elemental defences is caused by the metal itself. *J Pest Sci* **96**, 797–806
581 (2023).
- 582 31. Godinho, D. P., Fragata, I., Majer, A., Rodrigues, L. R. & Magalhães, S. Limits to the
583 adaptation of herbivorous spider mites to metal accumulation in homogeneous and
584 heterogeneous environments. *J Evol Biol* **voae003**, (2024).
- 585 32. Fowler, C. W. Density dependence as related to life history strategy. *Ecology* **62**, 602–
586 610 (1981).
- 587 33. Paaby, A. B. & Rockman, M. V. Cryptic genetic variation: Evolution’s hidden
588 substrate. *Nat Rev Genet* **15**, 247–258 (2014).
- 589 34. Bono, L. M., Gensel, C. L., Pfennig, D. W. & Burch, C. L. Evolutionary rescue and the
590 coexistence of generalist and specialist competitors: An experimental test. *Proc R*
591 *Soc B* **282**, 20151932. (2015).
- 592 35. Kawecki, T. J. *et al.* Experimental evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol* **27**, 547–560 (2012).
- 593 36. Wittmann, M. J. & Fukami, T. Eco-Evolutionary Buffering: Rapid Evolution
594 Facilitates Regional Species Coexistence despite Local Priority Effects. *Am Nat* **191**,
595 171–184 (2018).
- 596 37. Lankau, R. A. & Strauss, S. Y. Genetic and Species Diversity in a Plant Community.
597 *Science* **317**, 1561–1563 (2007).

- 598 38. Lankau, R. A Chemical Trait Creates a Genetic Trade-Off between Intra- and
599 Interspecific Competitive Ability. *Ecology* **89**, 1181–1187 (2008).
- 600 39. Bach, L. T., Lohbeck, K. T., Reusch, T. B. H. & Riebesell, U. Rapid evolution of
601 highly variable competitive abilities in a key phytoplankton species. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**,
602 611–613 (2018).
- 603 40. Grainger, T. N., Letten, A. D., Gilbert, B. & Fukami, T. Applying modern coexistence
604 theory to priority effects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**, 6205–6210 (2019).
- 605 41. Granjel, R. R., Allan, E. & Godoy, O. Nitrogen enrichment and foliar fungal pathogens
606 affect the mechanisms of multispecies plant coexistence. *New Phytol* **237**, 2332–2346
607 (2023).
- 608 42. Matías, L., Godoy, O., Gómez-Aparicio, L. & Pérez-Ramos, I. M. An experimental
609 extreme drought reduces the likelihood of species to coexist despite increasing
610 intransitivity in competitive networks. *J Ecol* **106**, 826–837 (2018).
- 611 43. Wainwright, C. E., HilleRisLambers, J., Lai, H. R., Loy, X. & Mayfield, M. M.
612 Distinct responses of niche and fitness differences to water availability underlie
613 variable coexistence outcomes in semi-arid annual plant communities. *J Ecol* **107**,
614 293–306 (2019).
- 615 44. Song, C., Rohr, R. P., Vasseur, D. & Saavedra, S. Disentangling the effects of external
616 perturbations on coexistence and priority effects. *J Ecol* **108**, 1677–1689 (2020).
- 617 45. Allen-Perkins, A., García-Callejas, D., Bartomeus, I. & Godoy, O. Structural
618 asymmetry in biotic interactions as a tool to understand and predict ecological
619 persistence. *Ecol Lett* **26**, 1647–1662 (2023).
- 620 46. Song, C., Von Ahn, S., Rohr, R. P. & Saavedra, S. Towards a Probabilistic
621 Understanding About the Context-Dependency of Species Interactions. *Trends Ecol*
622 *Evol* **35**, 384–396 (2020).
- 623 47. Tabi, A. *et al.* Species multidimensional effects explain idiosyncratic responses of
624 communities to environmental change. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 1036–1043 (2020).
- 625 48. *Experimental Evolution: Concepts, Methods and Applications of Selection*
626 *Experiments*. (University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles and London,
627 2009).
- 628 49. Chippindale, A. K., Ngo, A. L. & Rose, M. R. The devil in the details of life-history
629 evolution: instability and reversal of genetic correlations during selection on
630 *Drosophila* development. *J Genet* **82**, 133–145 (2003).

- 631 50. Leibold, M. A., Urban, M. C., De Meester, L., Klausmeier, C. A. & Vanoverbeke, J.
632 Regional neutrality evolves through local adaptive niche evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
633 *USA* **116**, 2612–2617 (2019).
- 634 51. Urban, M. C. & De Meester, L. Community monopolization: Local adaptation
635 enhances priority effects in an evolving metacommunity. *Proc R Soc B* **276**, 4129–
636 4138 (2009).
- 637 52. Yamamichi, M. *et al.* Intraspecific Adaptation Load: A Mechanism for Species
638 Coexistence. *Trends Ecol Evol* **35**, 897–907 (2020).
- 639 53. Sousa, V. C. *et al.* Rapid host-plant adaptation in the herbivorous spider mite
640 *Tetranychus urticae* occurs at low cost. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* **36**, 82–89 (2019).
- 641 54. Boubou, A. *et al.* Test of colonisation scenarios reveals complex invasion history of
642 the red tomato spider mite *Tetranychus evansi*. *PLoS One* **7**, e35601 (2012).
- 643 55. Godinho, D. P. *et al.* Creating outbred and inbred populations in haplodiploids to
644 measure adaptive responses in the laboratory. *Ecol Evol* **10**, 7291–7305 (2020).
- 645 56. Hart, S. P., Freckleton, R. P. & Levine, J. M. How to quantify competitive ability. *J*
646 *Ecol* **106**, 1902–1909 (2018).
- 647 57. Bisschop, K., Alzate, A., Bonte, D. & Etienne, R. S. The Demographic Consequences
648 of Adaptation: Evidence from Experimental Evolution. *Am Nat* **199**, 729–742 (2022).
- 649 58. *On the Dynamics of Exploited Fish Populations. Fishery Investigations Series II*
650 (Springer Science Business Media Dordrecht, Great Britain, 1957).
- 651 59. García-Callejas, D., Godoy, O. & Bartomeus, I. cxx: A toolbox for modelling species
652 coexistence in R. *Methods Ecol Evol* **11**, 1221–1226 (2020).
- 653 60. Saavedra, S., Medeiros, L. P. & AlAdwani, M. Structural forecasting of species
654 persistence under changing environments. *Ecol Lett* **23**, 1511–1521 (2020).
- 655 61. Medeiros, L. P., Boege, K., Del-Val, E., Zaldívar-Riverón, A. & Saavedra, S.
656 Observed ecological communities are formed by species combinations that are among
657 the most likely to persist under changing environments. *Am Nat* **197**, 17–29 (2021).
- 658 62. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Preprint at
659 (2022).
- 660 63. Brooks, M. E. *et al.* glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for
661 Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *R J* **9**, 378–400 (2017).
- 662 64. Lenth, R. Emmeans: estimated marginal means. *Aka Least-Squares Means*.
- 663 65. Hartig, F. DHARMA: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed)
664 Regression Models.

665 66. Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Preprint at (2016).

666

667

668

669

670

Figures




Figure 1 – Predicted number of female offspring after one generation for A) *T. evansi* (Te) and B) *T. urticae* (Tu), to estimate the relative effect of competition on the growth rate of the different populations. Estimate were done for the intrinsic growth rate (λ), the intrinsic growth rate plus intraspecific competition ($\lambda + \alpha_{ii}$) and the latter plus interspecific competition ($\lambda + \alpha_{ii} + \alpha_{ij}$) in an environment with or without cadmium (left and right panels, respectively). Note that since two of the four treatments share the same focal selection regime (e.g. for *T. urticae* the orange and red dots correspond to the focal control regime “Tu no cadmium”), two colours are duplicates for the metrics without interspecific competition (i.e., λ and $\lambda + \alpha_{ii}$).

Starting conditions for simulations: 10 individuals of each species. Treatments correspond to combinations of *T. evansi* (Te) and *T. urticae* (Tu) selection regimes (cf. colour code).




Figure 2 – Predicted structural niche and fitness differences in cadmium (left panel) and no cadmium (right panel) environments for the different treatments (i.e. combinations of cadmium or no cadmium selection regimes). The dark grey region indicates the coexistence region (i.e., the feasibility domain) and light yellow delimitates the region for exclusion (i.e., the unfeasibility domain). Treatments correspond to combinations of *T. evansi* (Te) and *T. urticae* (Tu) selection regimes (cf. colour code). In the unfeasibility domain (light yellow area), Te always excludes Tu except in the treatment Te cadmium: Tu no cadmium (orange circle). Circles correspond to the parameters estimated from all replicates pooled and triangles to parameters estimated from each replicate. Error bars were obtained by performing 1000 bootstrap samples with replacement when estimating parameters and were obtained from the data of all experimental replicates pooled.





Figure 3 – Distance between the vector of intrinsic growth rates and the closest edge of the feasibility domain in the cadmium (left panel) and no cadmium (right panel) environments for the different treatments (i.e. combinations of no cadmium or cadmium selection regimes for *T. evansi* (Te) and for *T. urticae* (Tu); cf. colour code). Positive distances indicate that the vector of growth rates is inside of the feasibility domain (i.e., coexistence is possible), and negative distances indicate that the vector is outside of the feasibility domain (i.e., exclusion is predicted). Circles correspond to the distance calculated with data from all replicates pooled and triangles to distance calculated per replicate.



● Te no cadmium:Tu no cadmium ● Te no cadmium:Tu cadmium
 ● Te cadmium:Tu no cadmium ● Te cadmium:Tu cadmium

Figure 4 – Correlation between predicted and observed relative abundance of *T. evansi* after 2 generations in an independent population growth experiment in which the two species are released at equal densities. Squares indicate data from the cadmium environment and diamonds indicate data from the no-cadmium environment. Predicted error bars were calculated based on standard error obtained from 1000 bootstrap samples and observed error bars were obtained from replicate populations. Treatments correspond to combinations of *T. evansi* (Te) and *T. urticae* (Tu) selection regimes (cf. colour code).