## How to Use this Reviewer

Hello! This is a compilation of solved exercises for module 4 of MATH 51.4. All of these exercises are taken straight from Aldrich and Cisco's course notes, so you can expect tests to be very similar to the items given. Some items are bound to be a little bit trickier than others, so I'll note when these items show up.

Normal items will look like this:

1 A very easy math problem. What's 1 + 1?

whereas difficult problems will be soulless, like this:

2 A very difficult math problem. Prove that  $\binom{2n}{n} < 2^{2n-2}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 5$  using induction.

I might also include warnings in my Nerd Interjections!



**Nerd Interjection!**<sup>a</sup> These sections are for me to remind you of some necessary information to solve the problems, elaborate on something that I think isn't all that clear with just pure math symbols, give a helpful theorem, be an annoying piece of shit, anything, really! Just think of it as a tips and tricks section.

<sup>a</sup>Image from @Ellem\_\_ on Twitter.

I also have another section called **Can we Prove it?** (unfortunately lacking a cute picture to go along with it; Mikh was nice enough to edit one up for me, but I haven't been able to format it in a way I like), where I include some interesting, not really necessary, but nonetheless relevant proofs. So far, these two are my only two gimmicks, but I might add more in the future.

```
Can we Prove it? This is just a random proof I yoinked from our homeworks.

Proof. ( \Longrightarrow ) Let x \in (A \cap B) \setminus C. Then, x \in (A \cap B) and x \notin C.

Since x \in (A \cap B), x \in A and x \in B.

Since x \in A and x \notin C, x \in (A \setminus C).

Since x \in B and x \notin C, x \in (B \setminus C).

Thus, x \in (A \setminus C) \cap (B \setminus C).

( \Longleftrightarrow ) Let x \in (A \setminus C) \cap (B \setminus C). Then, x \in (A \setminus C) and x \in (B \setminus C).

Since x \in (A \setminus C), x \in A and x \notin C.

Since x \in (A \setminus C), x \in B and x \notin C.

Since x \in (A \cap B) \setminus C.

Since both sides of the conditional are true, it holds that (A \cap B) \setminus C = (A \setminus C) \cap (B \setminus C).
```

Finally, there are blue boxes to indicate when instructions aren't obvious from the question itself, or if there are similar items that can be grouped together.

## For items #7 to #12, we need to reevaluate our life decisions.

It's very important to note that this is a *work in progress!* I am human, and I will make mistakes, and I cannot finish doing all the exercises within the span of one day. If you spot anything wrong, please feel free to message me; I will correct it as soon as possible.

As a final note, these are not replacements for the modules/paying attention in class, these are supplements for them. I won't explain all the topics here, and I'll assume that you at least have read the basics, so don't treat these reviewers as your only source of information. Our teachers spends a lot of time on the handouts, they're really good! (except when they're wrong) With that, though, I think I've covered all pertinent points. Good luck, and happy studying!

## 4.1: Determinants

This is mostly pure computation, but there are some proving and show questions later on, which are a little bit interesting. Also, spoiler, items #10 and #11 are foreshadowing for eigenvalues. You'll see!

Find the determinants of the following matrices. In case they have elements with variables, solve for the determinants in terms of those variables.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution. We can just use the basic ad - bc formula for a 2 × 2 matrix, giving us 4 - 6 = -2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 99 & -1 \\ -2 & 99 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution. We pick the row with the most zeros, then use the cofactor expansion.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 99 & -1 \\ -2 & 99 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \begin{vmatrix} 99 & -1 \\ 99 & 5 \end{vmatrix} - 6 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 99 \\ -2 & 99 \end{vmatrix} = -6(15 - 2) = -78.$$

You can notice that because of where these 99's and 0's are placed, it doesn't matter what row or column we pick; we're never going to have to multiply a 99 by something. Try it out for yourself and pick a different option!

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Solution.* We pick the column/row with the most zeros (in this case the second row or first column) then use the cofactor expansion. I'm going to omit the terms that are being multiplied to 0 for my sanity.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} + 1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= 2(12 - 8) + 1(5 - 8) = 8 - 3 = 5.$$

The rows I picked in order were second row, then second row, then first row. If you're wondering why the sign changes at some points, remember the checkerboard of +-+ that defines the cofactors.

- $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ a & 0 & -a \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} b & b & c \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} d & d & e & e \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
- 10  $\begin{bmatrix} 1-u & 2 \\ 3 & 4-u \end{bmatrix}$
- 11  $\begin{bmatrix} 1-x & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2-x & 15 \\ 1 & -18 & 3-x \end{bmatrix}$
- For items #6 to #11, find all real values (or tuples of real values) of the given variable/s that will make the determinant be equal to 0.
- 13 Find the determinants of the three elementary "column" operations, that is
  - **a** Swapping two columns
  - **b** Multiplying all elements in a column by k
  - c Add a multiple of one column to another column
- 14 Prove that any square matrix with a row of 0's or a column of 0's has determinant 0.
- Show that any square matrix with two equal columns or two equal rows (i.e. having the same values) has determinant
- Prove that if the set of column vectors of a square matrix is linearly dependent, then the matrix has determinant 0. Also, prove the analogous result for row vectors.
- 17 Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $AA^T = I_n$ . Find all possible values for  $\det(A)$ .
- 18 Let A be a non-invertible  $n \times n$  matrix. Show that AB is also non-invertible, for any  $n \times n$  matrix B.

## 4.2: Eigenvectors, eigenvalues, and diagonalization

My favorite lesson, because of course it is. This part is hard to grasp if you don't know the bigger picture, so I really recommend watching 3B1B's video on it to see what eigenvectors really are, geometrically.

Determine whether or not the following vectors are eigenvectors for their corresponding matrix. If they are, give their corresponding eigenvalues.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Solution.* To check if a given vector is an eigenvector of some matrix, we simply check if it satisfies the equation  $A\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$ , where  $\lambda$  is some real numer (i.e. not a vector). Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This vector cannot be obtained by multiplying  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  by a real number, so  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  is not an eigenvector.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. Multiplying the matrix by the vector gives us

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of the matrix, with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 3$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\mathbf{21} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & -17 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. Multiplying the matrix by the vector gives us

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & -17 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This vector cannot be obtained by multiplying the original one by a real number, so it is not an eigenvector.

22 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & -17 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 - \sqrt{3} \\ 1 \\ 2 + \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solution. Multiplying the matrix by the vector gives us

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & -17 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \sqrt{3} \\ 1 \\ 2 + \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 + \sqrt{3} \\ 7 + 4\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} = (2 + \sqrt{3}) \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \sqrt{3} \\ 1 \\ 2 + \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the vector is an eigenvector of the matrix, with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 2 + \sqrt{3}$  If you don't believe that  $(2 + \sqrt{3})^2 = 7 + 4\sqrt{3}$ , that's understandable, because like, where did that 7 come from? Expand it out yourself to verify.

4

Find all *real* eigenvalues of the following matrices. For each, describe the complete set of its corresponding eigenvectors. Also, determine which of the matrices can be diagonalized. If they can be diagonalized, diagonalize them. If they cannot be diagonalized, explain why.

Before we proceed, I want to remark that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$  is an equivalent statement to  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . The handouts use  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$  but I prefer  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . This is because the former requires you to negate the matrix, then add  $\lambda$  to the diagonals, whereas the latter just requires you to subtract  $\lambda$  from the diagonals.

For instance, let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then,  $\lambda I - A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - a & -b \\ -c & \lambda - d \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solving for both their determinants gives us  $\lambda^2 - ad\lambda + ad - bc$ . I don't know about you guys, but I like less negative signs rather than more. But regardless, you can use either.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 23 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Solution.* We begin by setting up the characteristic equation. We can do this by subtracting a variable,  $\lambda$  from the diagonal and setting the determinant of the resultant matrix to be 0. Then,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 4 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 8 = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 = (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 1) := 0.$$

This gives us  $\lambda_1 = 5$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ . Solving for their respective eigenvectors,

$$\rightarrow$$
 For  $\lambda_1 = 5$ , we need to solve  $\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then,  $-4x + 4y = 0$ , so  $x = y$ .

Thus, the set of eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda = 5$  is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t \\ t \end{bmatrix} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ .

$$\rightarrow$$
 For  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , we need to solve  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then,  $2x + 4y = 0$ , so  $x = -2y$ .

Thus, the set of eigenvectors with eigenvalue 
$$\lambda = -1$$
 is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -2t \\ t \end{bmatrix} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ .

For a matrix to be diagonalizable, the set of its eigenvectors must be linearly independent. Then, the diagonalization of A will be given by  $PDP^{-1}$ , where P is a matrix with the eigenvectors of A as its columns, and D is a matrix with the eigenvalues of A along its diagonal, each one in the same column as its corresponding eigenvector.

We can see that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is not a multiple of  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so the two vectors are linearly independent. This means our

matrix is diagonalizable, and its diagonalization is given by  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 24 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution. We begin by setting up the characteristic equation. Then,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 \\ 2 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)(-1 - \lambda) - 4 = \lambda^2 - 5 = (\lambda - \sqrt{5})(\lambda + \sqrt{5}) := 0.$$

This gives us  $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{5}$  and  $\lambda_2 = -\sqrt{5}$ . Solving for their respective eigenvectors,

$$\rightarrow$$
 For  $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{5}$ , we need to solve for  $x$  and  $y$  in the equation  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{5} & 2 \\ 2 & -1 - \sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Then, we have 
$$x(1-\sqrt{5}) + 2y = 0$$
, so  $x = \frac{-2y}{1-\sqrt{5}}$ .

Thus, the set of eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda = \sqrt{5}$  is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2t}{1-\sqrt{5}} \\ t \end{bmatrix} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  For  $\lambda_1 = -\sqrt{5}$ , we need to solve for x and y in the equation  $\begin{bmatrix} 1+\sqrt{5} & 2 \\ 2 & -1+\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then, we have  $x(1+\sqrt{5}) + 2y = 0$ , so  $x = \frac{-2y}{1+\sqrt{5}}$ 

Thus, the set of eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda = -\sqrt{5}$  is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2t}{1+\sqrt{5}} \\ t \end{bmatrix} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ .

We can see that  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2}{1-\sqrt{5}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2}{1+\sqrt{5}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are not multiples of each other, so the two vectors are linearly independent.

Thus, its diagonalization is given by  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{6}{-4\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2}{1-\sqrt{5}} & \frac{-2}{1+\sqrt{5}} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}} \\ -1 & \frac{-2}{1-\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix}.$ 

- $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix}
  9 & -8 & 6 & 3 \\
  0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 7
  \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix}
  4 & 0 & -1 \\
  0 & 3 & 0 \\
  1 & 0 & 2
  \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- Let  $f_n$  be the nth entry of a second degree linear recurrence, where  $f_0 = s$  and  $f_1 = t$  are given constants. Then, for  $n \ge 2$ , let  $f_n = af_{n-1} + bf_{n-2}$ , where again, a and b are given constants. Symbolically,

6

$$f_n = \begin{cases} s & n = 0 \\ t & n = 1 \\ af_{n-1} + bf_{n-2} & n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Prove, by mathematical induction, that  $A^n \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* Let the inductive hypothesis be that  $A^n \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Base Case.** When n=0,  $A^0 \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix}$ , which shows that it holds for the base case.

**Inductive Step.** Assume that the statement holds for some value n. Then,

$$A^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = AA^n \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} af_{n+1} + bf_n \\ f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which shows that the statement holds for the inductive step.