

The Software Engineer in Test (SET)

writing feature code Or
writing test code

Thinking involved in writing feature code and writing test code

- Google SWE
 - feature developer
 - building components for customers
 - write feature code and test code
- Google SET
 - test developer
 - assist SWE with unit test and larger test frameworks in small and medium tests
- Google TE
 - user developer
 - automation for user scenarios

The Life of an SET

- Development and Test Workflow
- Early Phase of a Project
- Team Structure
- Design Docs
- Interfaces and Protocols
- Automation Planning
- Testability

Openness of codebase

- Most code at Google shares a single repository and common tool chain
- These tools and repository feed Google's build and release process
- All Google engineers, are familiar with this environment
 - checking in new code
 - submitting and executing tests,
 - launching a build

Guide for the shared infrastructure

- All engineers must reuse existing libraries
- All shared code written first
- Shared code must be reusable and self-contained
- Dependencies are surfaced and impossible to overlook
- Take code reviews seriously, especially with common code
- Code in shared repository is with a higher bar for testing

Simple and Uniform Google development platform

- a common linux distribution
 - engineering workstations
 - production deployment machines
 - centrally managed set of common, core libraries
 - common source build
 - test infrastructure
 - single compiler for each core programming language
 - language independent common build specification
 - **respect and reward the maintenance of these shared resources**

single platform, single repository, a unified build system

- A build specification language
 - independent of a project's language
 - share the same “build files”

The flow of a build (if TDD, step 3 proceeds Step 1 and 2)

1. Write a class or set of functions for a service
 - a. make sure all the code compiles
2. Identify a library build target for this new service
3. Write a unit test importing the library, mocking out its nontrivial dependencies
 - a. executes the most interesting code paths with interesting inputs
4. Create a test build target for the unit test
5. Build and run the test target
 - a. making necessary changes until all the tests pass cleanly
6. Run all required static analysis tools
7. Send the code out for code review

What the roles SETs play ?

- SETs are the engineers involved in enabling testing at all levels of the Google development process
- Software Engineers in Test
 - 100% coding
- Test is just another feature of the application
 - SETs are the owner of the testing feature

Early Phase of a Project

- Common scenario for new project creation is from informal 20 percent effort
 - Gmail and Chrome OS are such examples
 - Quality is not important until the software is important
- Google doesn't make specific attempts to get testers involved early in the project lifecycle

Early life of a project

- No project gets testing resources as some right of its existence

SWE and SET in a Team

- SWEs tend to make decisions optimized for local and narrow view of a product
- SETs take the opposite approach
 - assume not only a broad view of the entire product
 - consider all features over a product's lifetime
- SWEs may come and go
 - product will outlive the people who created it

Testing at the Speed and Scale of Google: Continuous integration systems

1. Get the latest copy of the code
2. Run all tests
3. Report results
4. Repeat 1-3

Software Development at Google

- 20 changes per minute and 50% files change every month

Test Certified

- Level 1
 - Set up test coverage bundles
 - Set up a continuous build
 - Classify your tests as Small, Medium, and Large
 - Identify nondeterministic tests
 - Create a smoke test suite

Level 2

- No releases with red tests
- Require a smoke test suite to pass before a submit
- Incremental coverage by all tests $\geq 50\%$
- Incremental coverage by small tests $\geq 10\%$
- At least one feature tested by an integration test

TDD

- Red-Green-Refactor
 - Write some skeleton code that compiles, has enough API to be testable.
 - Write tests which -- initially -- will be mostly failures. Red.
 - Finish the code. The tests pass. Green.

Level 3

- Require tests for all nontrivial changes
- Incremental coverage by small tests $\geq 50\%$
- New significant features are tested by integration tests

Level 4

- Automate running of smoke tests before submitting new code
- Smoke tests should take less than 30 minutes to run
- No nondeterministic tests
- Total test coverage should be at least 40%
- Test coverage from small tests alone should be at least 25%
- All significant features are tested by interaction tests

Level 5

- Add a test for each nontrivial bug fix
- Actively use available analysis tools
- Total test coverage should be at least 60%
- Test coverage from small tests alone should be at least 40%