Chapter 5

Names, Bindings, and Scopes



Chapter 5 Topics

- Introduction
- Names
- Variables
- The Concept of Binding
- Scope
- Scope and Lifetime
- Referencing Environments
- Named Constants

5.1 Introduction

- Imperative languages are abstractions of von Neumann architecture
  - Memory
  - Processor
- Variables are characterized by attributes
  - To design a type, must consider scope, lifetime, type checking, initialization, and type compatibility

5.2 Names

- Design issues for names:
  - Are names case sensitive?
  - Are special words reserved words or keywords?

Sum sum are two diff. variables if have case sensitivity

Names (continued)

- Length
  - If too short, they cannot be connotative
  - Language examples:
    - FORTRAN 95: maximum of 31
    - C99: no limit but only the first 63 are significant; also, external names are limited to a maximum of 31
    - C#, Ada, and Java: no limit, and all are significant
    - C++: no limit, but implementers often impose one

Names (continued)

- Special characters & - + ! etc..
  - PHP: all variable names must begin with dollar signs
  - Perl: all variable names begin with special characters, which specify the variable's type
  - Ruby: variable names that begin with @ are instance variables; those that begin with @@ are class variables

Names (continued)

- Case sensitivity
  - Disadvantage: readability (names that look alike are different)
    - Names in the C-based languages are case sensitive
    - Names in others are not
    - Worse in C++, Java, and C# because predefined names are mixed case (e.g. `IndexOutOfBoundsException`)

Names (continued)

- Special words
  - An aid to readability; used to delimit or separate statement clauses
    - A *keyword* is a word that is special only in certain contexts, e.g., in Fortran
      - `Real VarName` (`Real` *is a data type followed with a name, therefore* `Real` *is a keyword*)
      - `Real = 3.4` (`Real` *is a variable*)
  - A *reserved word* is a special word that cannot be used as a user-defined name
  - Potential problem with reserved words: If there are too many, many collisions occur (e.g., COBOL has 300 reserved words!)

5.3 Variables / identifiers

- A variable is an abstraction of a memory cell
- Variables can be characterized as a sextuple of attributes:
  - Name
  - Address — memory location
  - Value
  - Type — int, float, etc...
  - Lifetime
  - Scope

Variables Attributes

Sum = 5 + count

- Name – not all variables have them — identifier
- Address – the memory address with which it is associated
  - A variable may have different addresses at different times during execution
  - A variable may have different addresses at different places in a program
  - If two variable names can be used to access the same memory location, they are called aliases
  - Aliases are created via pointers, reference variables, C and C++ unions
  - Aliases are harmful to readability (program readers must remember all of them)

l-value = address of variable

Variables Attributes (continued)

- *Type* – determines the range of values of variables and the set of operations that are defined for values of that type; in the case of floating point, type also determines the precision
- *Value* – the contents of the location with which the variable is associated
  - The l-value of a variable is its address
  - The r-value of a variable is its value
- *Abstract memory cell* – the physical cell or collection of cells associated with a variable

5.4 The Concept of Binding

A *binding* is an association between an entity and an attribute, such as between a variable and its type or value, or between an operation and a symbol

- *Binding time* is the time at which a binding takes place.

Possible Binding Times

- Language design time -- bind operator symbols to operations
- Language implementation time-- bind floating point type to a representation
- Compile time -- bind a variable to a type in C or Java
- Load time -- bind a C or C++ `static` variable to a memory cell)
- Runtime -- bind a nonstatic local variable to a memory cell

EX:

```
integer :: count
count = count + 5
```

possible data types for "count" are bound at language design time

actual data type for count is bound at compile time

Set of possible values for count — bound at compiler design time

actual value for count is bound at run time

set of possible meanings for + — bound at language design time

actual meaning of + in this program is bound at compile time

Static and Dynamic Binding

- A binding is *static* if it first occurs before run time and remains unchanged throughout program execution.
- A binding is *dynamic* if it first occurs during execution or can change during execution of the program

Type Binding

- How is a type specified? int vs. integer
- When does the binding take place?
- If static, the type may be specified by either an explicit or an implicit declaration

integer :: count

count → real
icount → int

(rule) i-n → integer
all others → real

Explicit/Implicit Declaration

- An *explicit declaration* is a program statement used for declaring the types of variables
- An *implicit declaration* is a default mechanism for specifying types of variables through default conventions, rather than declaration statements
- Fortran, BASIC, Perl, Ruby, JavaScript, and PHP provide implicit declarations (Fortran has both explicit and implicit)
  - Advantage: writability (a minor convenience)
  - Disadvantage: reliability (less trouble with Perl)

Explicit/Implicit Declaration (continued)

- Some languages use type inferencing to determine types of variables (context)
  - C# – a variable can be declared with `var` and an initial value. The initial value sets the type
  - Visual BASIC 9.0+, ML, Haskell, F#, and Go use type inferencing. The context of the appearance of a variable determines its type

Dynamic Type Binding

- Dynamic Type Binding (JavaScript, Python, Ruby, PHP, and C# (limited))
- Specified through an assignment statement
  e.g., JavaScript

```
list = [2, 4.33, 6, 8];
list = 17.3;
```

  - Advantage: flexibility (generic program units)
  - Disadvantages:
    - High cost (dynamic type checking and interpretation)
    - Type error detection by the compiler is difficult

EX: type error detection problem

i, x are integer variables
y is floating-pt. array

Want to type i := x

but mistype i := y

the compiler does not catch as an error, it simply changes i to a floating-pt array

Variable Attributes (continued)

- Storage Bindings & Lifetime
  - Allocation – getting a cell from some pool of available cells
  - Deallocation – putting a cell back into the pool
- The lifetime of a variable is the time during which it is bound to a particular memory cell

begins w/allocation and ends w/ deallocation

Categories of Variables by Lifetimes

① · Static--bound to memory cells before execution begins and remains bound to the same memory cell throughout execution, e.g., C and C++ `static` variables in functions
  - Advantages: efficiency (direct addressing), history-sensitive subprogram support
  - Disadvantage: lack of flexibility (no recursion)

Categories of Variables by Lifetimes

② · Stack-dynamic--Storage bindings are created for variables when their declaration statements are *elaborated*.
  (A declaration is elaborated when the executable code associated with it is executed)
- If scalar, all attributes except address are statically bound
  - local variables in C subprograms (not declared `static`) and Java methods
- Advantage: allows recursion; conserves storage
- Disadvantages:
  - Overhead of allocation and deallocation
  - Subprograms cannot be history sensitive
  - Inefficient references (indirect addressing)

Categories of Variables by Lifetimes

③

- *Explicit heap-dynamic* -- Allocated and deallocated by explicit directives, specified by the programmer, which take effect during execution
- Referenced only through pointers or references, e.g. dynamic objects in C++ (via `new` and `delete`), all objects in Java
- Advantage: provides for dynamic storage management
- Disadvantage: inefficient and unreliable

new ...
:
:
delete

Categories of Variables by Lifetimes

④

- *Implicit heap-dynamic*--Allocation and deallocation caused by assignment statements
  - all variables in APL; all strings and arrays in Perl, JavaScript, and PHP
- Advantage: flexibility (generic code)
- Disadvantages:
  - Inefficient, because all attributes are dynamic
  - Loss of error detection

5.5 Variable Attributes: Scope

- The *scope* of a variable is the range of statements over which it is visible
- The *local variables* of a program unit are those that are declared in that unit
- The *nonlocal variables* of a program unit are those that are visible in the unit but not declared there
- *Global variables* are a special category of nonlocal variables
- The scope rules of a language determine how references to names are associated with variables

A variable is "visible" in a statement if it can be referenced or used in that statement

Static Scope

method of binding names to nonlocal variables

- Based on program text
- To connect a name reference to a variable, you (or the compiler) must find the declaration
- *Search process*: search declarations, first locally, then in increasingly larger enclosing scopes, until one is found for the given name
- Enclosing static scopes (to a specific scope) are called its *static ancestors*; the nearest static ancestor is called a *static parent*
- Some languages allow nested subprogram definitions, which create nested static scopes (e.g., Ada, JavaScript, Common LISP, Scheme, Fortran 2003+, F#, and Python)

Scope (continued)

- Variables can be hidden from a unit by having a "closer" variable with the same name
- Ada allows access to these "hidden" variables
  - E.g., `unit.name`

Blocks

- A method of creating static scopes inside program units--from ALGOL 60
- Example in C:

```
void sub() {
  int count;
  while (...) {
    int count;
    count++;
    ...
  }
}
```

scope
scope
this count is hidden from the rest of subprogram

- Note: legal in C and C++, but not in Java and C# - too error-prone

Example

```
procedure big;
  var x : integer;        <- x declared once (in big)
  procedure sub1;
    begin {sub1}
    ... x ...             <- x referenced
    end; {sub1}
  procedure sub2;
    var x : integer;      <- x declared twice (in sub2)
    begin {sub2}
    ...
    end; {sub2}
```

```
begin {big}
...
end; {big}
```

when x is referenced in sub1, which declaration is found & used?

we search in sub1 and find no declaration for x
so we go next to big (since sub1 is inside big but not inside sub2)
and we find the declaration to use

big is the static parent of sub1

The LET Construct

- Most functional languages include some form of `let` construct
- A let construct has two parts
  - The first part binds names to values
  - The second part uses the names defined in the first part
- In Scheme:

```
(LET (
  (name1 expression1)
  ...
  (namen expressionn)
)
```


The LET Construct (continued)

- In ML:

```
let
  val name1 = expression1
  ...
  val namen = expressionn
in
 expression
end;
```

- In F#:
  - First part: `let` left_side = expression
  - (left_side is either a name or a tuple pattern)
  - All that follows is the second part

Declaration Order (continued)

- In C++, Java, and C#, variables can be declared in `for` statements
  - The scope of such variables is restricted to the `for` construct

```
for (int n=0; n<=5; n++)
  .
  .
  .
```


Global Scope

- C, C++, PHP, and Python support a program structure that consists of a sequence of function definitions in a file
  - These languages allow variable declarations to appear outside function definitions
- C and C++ have both declarations (just attributes) and definitions (attributes and storage)
  - A declaration outside a function definition specifies that it is defined in another file


```
procedure main
    var x: integer;
    begin {main}
    .
    .
    .
    end {main}
```

← this declaration occurs outside function definitions so it will have global scope

Global Scope (continued)

- PHP
  - Programs are embedded in HTML markup documents, in any number of fragments, some statements and some function definitions
  - The scope of a variable (implicitly) declared in a function is local to the function
  - The scope of a variable implicitly declared outside functions is from the declaration to the end of the program, but skips over any intervening functions
    - Global variables can be accessed in a function through the `$GLOBALS` array or by declaring it `global`

Global Scope (continued)

- Python
  - A global variable can be referenced in functions, but can be assigned in a function only if it has been declared to be `global` in the function

Evaluation of Static Scoping

- Works well in many situations
- Problems:
  - In most cases, too much access is possible
  - As a program evolves, the initial structure is destroyed and local variables often become global; subprograms also gravitate toward become global, rather than nested



if we want B to call C
or D to call E
then we need dynamic scoping
(b/c not based on layout but on calling sequence)

Dynamic Scope

- Based on calling sequences of program units, not their textual layout (temporal versus spatial)
- References to variables are connected to declarations by searching back through the chain of subprogram calls that forced execution to this point

Scope Example

```
function big() {
  function sub1() {
    var x = 7;
  function sub2() {
    var y = x;
  }
  var x = 3;
}
```

big calls sub1
sub1 calls sub2
sub2 uses x

- Static scoping
  - Reference to x in sub2 is to big's x x=3
- Dynamic scoping
  - Reference to x in sub2 is to sub1's x x=7

Scope Example

- Evaluation of Dynamic Scoping:
  - Advantage: convenience
  - *Disadvantages:*
    1. While a subprogram is executing, its variables are visible to all subprograms it calls
    2. Impossible to statically type check
    3. Poor readability– it is not possible to statically determine the type of a variable

Stopped 10/2/13

5.6 Scope and Lifetime

- Scope and lifetime are sometimes closely related, but are different concepts
- Consider a **static** variable in a C or C++ function

5.7 Referencing Environments

- The *referencing environment* of a statement is the collection of all names that are visible in the statement
- In a static-scoped language, it is the local variables plus all of the visible variables in all of the enclosing scopes
- A subprogram is active if its execution has begun but has not yet terminated
- In a dynamic-scoped language, the referencing environment is the local variables plus all visible variables in all active subprograms

5.8 Named Constants

- A *named constant* is a variable that is bound to a value only when it is bound to storage
- Advantages: readability and modifiability
- Used to parameterize programs
- The binding of values to named constants can be either static (called *manifest constants*) or dynamic
- Languages:
  - Ada, C++, and Java: expressions of any kind, dynamically bound
  - C# has two kinds, **readonly** and **const**
    - the values of **const** named constants are bound at compile time
    - The values of **readonly** named constants are dynamically bound

Summary

- Case sensitivity and the relationship of names to special words represent design issues of names
- Variables are characterized by the sextuples: name, address, value, type, lifetime, scope
- Binding is the association of attributes with program entities
- Scalar variables are categorized as: static, stack dynamic, explicit heap dynamic, implicit heap dynamic
- Strong typing means detecting all type errors

Chapter 5 Homework

- **Review Questions**
  - p.235 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 16, 23
- **Problem Set**
  - p.236 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12acf
- **Programming Exercises**
  - p.241 5 using C++ only