Exercise 1 (Exercise 3). Prove that a word w has highest weight (i.e., $E_i(w) = 0$ for all i) if and only if w is Yamanouchi

Answer

First suppose $w \in [n]^k$ is a word of length k on the alphabet [n]. Now suppose additionally that w is Yamanouchi. This means that for every $s \leq k$, the suffix

$$w_{k-s+1} \dots w_{k-1} w_k$$

contains at least as many i's after the (i + 1)'s. In particular this holds when s = k. So when applying the raising E_i operator we pair (i + 1) with an i to its right as a parenthesis. There are as much i's as (i + 1)'s so every (i + 1) is paired and so the E_i operator can't convert any (i + 1) to an i.

As i is arbitrary, we can't apply any E_i to w which means that w has highest weight.

On the other hand^a suppose $w \in [n]^k$ has highest weight. Then for all i, we can't apply E_i to w. This means that in w, it is possible to match all the (i + 1)'s with i's that succeed them.

The previous fact lets us see that when reading w from right-to-left we will find at least as many i's as we find (i+1)'s. In other words, this means that w is Yamanouchi.

Exercise 2 (Exercise 4). Formulate and prove a Yamanouchi-type condition for w to be lowest weight, that is, $F_i(w) = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Such a word is called <u>anti-ballot</u>.

Answer

We will define anti-ballot by remembering the definition of ballot. Recall a ballot word w in $[n]^k$ has the property that for every $p \le k$, the prefix

$$w_1w_2\ldots w_p$$

contains at least as many i's before the (i+1)'s. So an anti-ballot word will contain as many (i+1)'s before the \underline{i} 's. The claim is as follows:

A word w has lowest weight if and only if w is anti-ballot.

^aOnce again, **Kelsey**, **Trent**, and myself have talked about this problem in order to under the idea.

To prove this we first assume a word w is anti-ballot. Before applying F_i we notice that all the latter i's must already be paired with a previous (i+1) because the word is anti-ballot.

This property holds for all i so it happens that we can't apply F_i to w, thus w has lowest weight.

On the flip-side, if we assume w has lowest weight, it means that all i's in w are matched with (i+1) that precede them. So it must hold that there are at least as many (i+1)'s as i's in w. This condition holds for every i which means that w is anti-ballot.

Remark 1. It is important to notice that an anti-ballot word is not necessarily Yamanouchi even if it contains all the possible letters of the alphabet in question. The word

 $33 \dots 321$

is anti-ballot but not Yamanouchi.

The examples from the crystal graphs contain Yamanouchi words on the top and anti-ballot words on the bottom.