

Ignacio Rojas

8. (3-) [8 points] Prove that all conics in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ are equivalent up to a projective transformation. That is, prove that any two conics defined by quadratic equations of the form

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dxy + exz + fyz = 0$$

can be transformed into one another by applying a projective transformation to the homogeneous coordinates $(x : y : z)$.

First assume we are working over \mathbb{R}^3 , then we'll adjust to \mathbb{P}^2 .

→ Consider two quadratic forms $\begin{cases} ax^2 + by^2 + \dots + t yz \\ a'x^2 + b'y^2 + \dots + t' yz \end{cases}$

We may write them as $\vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$ where $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & d/2 & e/2 \\ d/2 & b & t/2 \\ e/2 & t/2 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

As A is symmetric, the spectral theorem guarantees the existence of $P \in O_3(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $D = P^T A P$ where D is diagonal.

Furthermore, we can normalize the columns of P and rearrange them so that $P \in SO_3(\mathbb{R})$.

↳ Rearranging the columns just switches the positions of the eigenvalues in D , while normalizing rescales the columns of P .
This process doesn't change the basis of eigenvectors.

→ Also, as $SO_3 \leq GL_3$, when taking the quotient by $M \sim \lambda M$, we have that $SO_3 / \sim \leq GL_3 / \sim = PGL_3$ which means that these matrices also define projective changes of coordinates.

↳ In fact $SO_3 \cong SO_3 / \sim = SO_3 / \{\pm I\}$

We may change coordinates by taking $\vec{x} = P\vec{u}$, $\vec{u} = (u, v, w)$. So that

$$\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = (P\vec{u})^T A (P\vec{u}) = \vec{u}^T P^T A P \vec{u} = \vec{u}^T D \vec{u} = \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 v^2 + \lambda_3 w^2$$

And in a similar fashion we can orthogonally diagonalize A'

to obtain $P' \in SO_3$ and D' diagonal, such that $P'^T A' P' = D'$.

This gives rise to the quadratic form $\lambda'_1 p^2 + \lambda'_2 q^2 + \lambda'_3 r^2$.

Now we wish to find a way to transform between the 2 quadratic forms without mixed terms.

But beware, (u, v, w) and (p, q, r) are different coordinates.

In fact, this will help us to actually change coordinates.

If we take $u = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} p$, $v = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} q$, $w = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} r$ which corresponds

to the matrix equation $\vec{u} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}\right) \vec{p} =: C \vec{p}$

So from the quadratic form $\lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 v^2 + \lambda_3 w^2$ we have

$$\vec{u}^T D \vec{u} = (C\vec{p})^T D (C\vec{p}) = \vec{p}^T \overset{C=C^T}{\underbrace{(C^T D C)}} \vec{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Subtle reference to Center for Disease Control}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & & \\ & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \\ & & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & & \\ & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \\ & & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & & \\ & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \\ & & \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & & \\ & \lambda_2 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} & \\ & & \lambda_3 \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \lambda_2 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2} & \\ & & \lambda_3 \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_1 & & \\ & \lambda'_2 & \\ & & \lambda'_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which means that the product CDC is the diagonal matrix D' .

Our quadratic form is now $\vec{p}^T D' \vec{p}$ which we may transfer back to \vec{x} coordinates by the change of variables $\vec{x} = P' \vec{p} \Rightarrow P'^T \vec{x} = \vec{p}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{p}^T D' \vec{p} = (P'^T \vec{x})^T D' (P' \vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T (P' D' P'^T) \vec{x} = \vec{x}^T A' \vec{x}$$

In summary, the desired change of coordinates is

$$\vec{x} = P \vec{u}, \quad \vec{u} = C \vec{p}, \quad \vec{p} = P'^T \vec{x} \Rightarrow P' C^{-1} P^T \text{ is our change of coords.}$$

Observe that in all the steps of the argument, the matrices are still projective changes of coordinates.

* Also, if it happens that one of the matrices has zero as an eigenvalue, it suffices to set the respective entry of the matrix

$C = \text{diag}(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}})$ to zero when doing the change of basis and when going backwards, instead of dividing we just multiply.

7. (2-) [3 points] Prove that a projective transformation of \mathbb{P}^1 is uniquely determined by where it sends three points (say, $(0:1)$, $(1:0)$, $(1:1)$).

→ Suppose $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is a projective transformation with

$$\begin{cases} Te_1 = p = [p_1:p_2] \\ Te_2 = q = [q_1:q_2] \\ Te = r = [r_1:r_2] \end{cases} \quad \text{Here } e_1 = [1:0], e_2 = [0:1] \text{ and } e = [1:1]$$

We wish to determine t_{11}, \dots, t_{22} .

These equations give us the following:

$$Te_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} \\ t_{21} \end{pmatrix} = [p_1:p_2] \Rightarrow \exists m_1 \neq 0 \left(\begin{matrix} t_{11} \\ t_{21} \end{matrix} \overset{\text{as affine pts.}}{=} m_1 \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\wedge Te_2 = \begin{pmatrix} t_{12} \\ t_{22} \end{pmatrix} = [q_1:q_2] \Rightarrow \exists m_2 \neq 0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_{12} \\ t_{22} \end{pmatrix} = m_2 \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

↳ In other words, columns are proportional to images.

* Observe that just knowing the ratios columnwise doesn't determine T because we could have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{smallmatrix} \times 2 & \times 3 \end{smallmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 6 & 12 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ but no } \lambda \text{ satisfies } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 6 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now the preceding relations imply that $T = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 p_1 & m_2 q_1 \\ m_1 p_2 & m_2 q_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where

p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 are known. So, to determine T , it suffices to determine m_1, m_2 .

Observe also that $T = (MP^T)^T$ where

$$M = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2), \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \right)^T \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \right)^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} m_1 p_1 & m_1 p_2 \\ m_2 q_1 & m_2 q_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} m_1 p_1 & m_2 q_1 \\ m_1 p_2 & m_2 q_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The last condition is $Te = r$, so we have

$$Te = r \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} m_1 p_1 & m_2 q_1 \\ m_1 p_2 & m_2 q_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p_1 m_1 + q_1 m_2 = r_1 \\ p_2 m_1 + q_2 m_2 = r_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow P \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Observe that P 's columns are lin. indep. because, in particular,

T is a linear transformation. Thus, P^{-1} exists, and so:

$$P \vec{m} = \vec{r} \Rightarrow \vec{m} = P^{-1} \vec{r}$$

This determines m_1, m_2 up to projective equivalence because we know P and \vec{r} .

Ex.: Assume $Te_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $Te_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Te = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\text{Then } \exists m_1, m_2 \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} \\ t_{21} \end{pmatrix} = m_1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5m_1 \\ 3m_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} t_{12} \\ t_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3m_2 \\ 2m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Now } Te = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} + t_{12} \\ t_{21} + t_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5m_1 + 3m_2 \\ 3m_1 + 2m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11m_3 \\ 12m_3 \end{pmatrix}, m_3 \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 5m_1 + 3m_2 - 11m_3 = 0 \\ 3m_1 + 2m_2 - 12m_3 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} m_1 = -14m_3 \\ m_2 = 27m_3 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \begin{pmatrix} -70m_3 & 81m_3 \\ -42m_3 & 54m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -70 & 81 \\ -42 & 54 \end{bmatrix}$$

We are left with checking T is unique, so assume that

$$\begin{cases} Te_1 = p \\ Te_2 = q \\ Te = r \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{T}e_1 = p \\ \tilde{T}e_2 = q \\ \tilde{T}e = r \end{cases}$$

Call $S = T^{-1}\tilde{T}$, then e_1, e_2 and e are fixed by S . The conditions $Se_i = e_i$ implies S is a diagonal matrix. And $Se = e$ now gives us that S is a scalar multiple of id. which means that T is unique up-to-scaling or in other words T is a unique projective transformation.