

**Exercise 1** (Exercise 4). Prove that the standard representation of  $S_n$ , defined as the orthogonal subspace to the all ones vector under the permutation representation, is isomorphic to  $V_{(n-1,1)}$  and is therefore irreducible.

### Answer

Let us call  $\mathbf{o} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we know that

$$\dim(\text{gen}(\mathbf{o})) + \dim(\text{gen}(\mathbf{o})^\perp) = n \Rightarrow \dim(\text{gen}(\mathbf{o})^\perp) = n - 1.$$

Now the Specht module  $V_{(n-1,1)}$  has dimension

$$\dim(V_{(n-1,1)}) = \# \text{SYT}((n-1, 1)),$$

and any standard Young tableau must begin with a 1 on the lower-left corner. We have  $n - 1$  possibilities for the block on top and the rest are determined because there's only one way to order. Thus, there are  $n - 1$  standard Young tableaux with shape  $(n - 1, 1)$ . This means that

$$\dim(V_{(n-1,1)}) = \# \text{SYT}((n-1, 1)) = n - 1,$$

and thus  $V_{(n-1,1)} \simeq \text{gen}(\mathbf{o})^\perp$  as vector spaces. Now pick bases for each of these spaces, by showing that the group action commutes with the isomorphism we can extend linearly to conclude that they are isomorphic as representations. We pick the basis

$$\text{gen}(\mathbf{o})^\perp = \text{gen}(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_1), \quad V_{(n-1,1)} = \text{gen}(x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, \dots, x_n - x_1)$$

and if  $\varphi$  is the isomorphism which sends  $e_i - e_1$  to  $x_i - x_1$  then take  $\sigma \in S_n$ . We have

$$\sigma(\varphi(e_i - e_1)) = \sigma(x_i - x_1) = x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(1)} = \varphi(e_{\sigma(i)} - e_{\sigma(1)}) = \varphi(\sigma(e_i - e_1))$$

and this holds for all basis elements. As the isomorphism is compatible with the action, we have that this is an isomorphism of representations.

**Exercise 2** (Exercise 7.b). Show that  $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 0, 1) = h_\mu$  and  $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 1, 0) = h_{\mu^\tau}$ .

Answer

- ◇ We will begin by proving the second identity. The Macdonald polynomial at  $(1, 0)$  is

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 1, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \text{ fills } \mu} 1^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} 0^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} \mathbf{x}^\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ fills } \mu \\ \text{maj}(\sigma)=0}} \mathbf{x}^\sigma$$

where we have eliminated terms without zero maj because the zero factor kills them.

Now, this sum can be done in another way: a filling of  $\mu$  with  $\text{maj}(\sigma) = 0$  implies that there are no descents in the columns from top-to-bottom. This also means that we can consider the columns to be weakly-increasing from top-to-bottom.

The ways to fill every column are independent from each other and for a particular column, by counting from top-to-bottom, we are taking

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{\mu_k^\tau} \leq n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{\mu_k^\tau}}$$

which is precisely  $h_{\mu_k^\tau}$ . As the columns are independent from one another, we have that the whole  $h_{\mu^\tau}$  is associated to the tableau as is  $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 1, 0)$ . We conclude that they are equal.

- ◇ For the case of  $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 0, 1)$ , we have that this is

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 0, 1) = \sum_{\sigma \text{ fills } \mu} 0^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} 1^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} \mathbf{x}^\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ fills } \mu \\ \text{inv}(\sigma)=0}} \mathbf{x}^\sigma$$

and in this case counting tableau with no relative inversions is a tad bit different because it isn't directly clear that rows will be weakly increasing. However we may find a correspondence between *weakly-increasing on rows fillings* and *inversion-free fillings*.

Suppose we have a filling  $\sigma$  which is weakly-increasing on the rows, then there are no relative inversions on the first row. When considering the second row, suppose  $u < v$  is the first relative inversion that appears on

the row, this is because there's a  $b \in \text{ROW1}$  such that  $u \leq b < v$ . We flip  $u$  and  $v$  and now the tableau looks like

*	$u$	$v$	*
*	$b$	*	

 $\rightarrow$ 

*	$v$	$u$	*
*	$b$	*	

which means that there's no longer a relative inversion as  $b$  is *between*  $u, v$ . If  $w$  is any element to the right of  $v$ , this process doesn't create any relative inversions because  $b < v < w$ .

This process can continue through the second row until we get rid of all relative inversions. Inductively we may finish the whole tableau this way until we have an inversion-less tableau.

To return to a weakly-increasing on rows tableau it suffices to order the elements on the rows of an inversion-less tableau.

Finally, the weakly-increasing on rows tableau can be paired with an  $h_\mu$  by the same argument on rows than in columns as in the last item. So  $h_\mu$  is a rearrangement of the sum  $\tilde{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}; 0, 1)$ , we conclude that they must be equal.