

Exercise 1 (Exercise 4). Prove the generating function identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k.$$

You may either use induction on n , or a direct combinatorial argument about what the coefficients must be when you expand the product on the left

Answer

Differentiating both sides of the equality $\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k$ n times^a we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^n \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(1-x)^n}, \\ D^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \right) &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k)(k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-n+1) x^{k-n} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=\ell+n \\ \Rightarrow \ell \rightarrow 0}}^{\infty} (\ell+n)(\ell+n-1)(\ell+n-2) \dots (\ell+1) x^{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the following equality

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+n)(\ell+n-1)(\ell+n-2) \dots (\ell+1)}{(n-1)!} x^{\ell},$$

and the coefficient in question is precisely

$$\frac{(\ell+n)(\ell+n-1)(\ell+n-2) \dots (\ell+1)}{(n-1)!} = \frac{(\ell+n)!}{(n-1)!\ell!} = \binom{n+\ell-1}{\ell} = \binom{n}{\ell}.$$

^aImplicitly I'm using induction here

This fact can also be proven using the multiplication principle:

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right).$$

If by induction we assume that the identity holds up to $n-1$, then the product on the right becomes

$$\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k} x^k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \right).$$

After multiplying we obtain the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-1}{j} \right] x^k.$$

If we were to prove the identity $\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-1}{j} = \binom{n}{k}$, then we would be done.

Lemma 1. *The following identity holds for n, k , positive integers:*

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-1}{j} = \binom{n}{k}.$$

This is a type of Pascal recurrence for the multichoose coefficient. We can state the first recurrence and the inductively prove this one, or we can prove this one by a counting argument.

Initially consider the recurrence

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

- ◊ The quantity on the left counts the number of ways I can distribute k cookies among n grad students.
- ◊ For the quantity on the right, choose the n^{th} grad student. There are two ways to give my k cookies.
 - Either I exclude the last grad student and give out my k cookies among the other $n-1$.
 - Or I give *at least 1* cookie to the last one, and I give out the remaining $k-1$ among all the n grad students.

With this recurrence it is immediate to prove the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} &= \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k-2} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{n}{0} \end{aligned}$$

However we can prove the identity in another way:

Consider the same situation where we label the n^{th} grad student. Giving out k cookies to n grad students is the same as giving $k - j$ to the last grad student and distribute the remaining j cookies among the $n - 1$ other grad students. Since this events are disjoint, the total number of ways can be obtained by summing for each j , thus obtaining the identity.

There are two more ways in which I'm certain that this problem can be proven:

- i) Using the n -fold multiplication principle. The sequence $\mathbf{1} = (1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s generating function is precisely $1/(1 - x)$ so

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)^n = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k\right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{(\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1} * \dots * \mathbf{1})}_{n \text{ times}} x^k.$$

Using induction and algebraic manipulation, it is possible to prove that the convolution in question is the multichoose coefficient.

- ii) The coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ also counts *weak compositions* of k into n parts. This is in correspondence with the amount of ways one can form an x^k monomial from the product

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k\right)^n = (1 + x + x^2 + \dots)(1 + x + x^2 + \dots) \cdots (1 + x + x^2 + \dots)$$

since the exponents in the n factors are the *parts* of k .

Exercise 2 (Exercise 6). Find a closed form for the generating function of the sequence b_n defined by $b_0 = 1$ and for all $n \geq 0$, $b_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n k b_{n-k}$. Use it to find an explicit formula for b_n in terms of n .

Answer

Let us call $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. Then

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots \Rightarrow \frac{B(x) - b_0}{x} = b_1 + b_2 x + b_3 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1} x^n.$$

However, from the recurrence we have that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n * b_n) x^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n x^n \right) B(x) = x D \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) B(x).$$

Equating this quantities, and using the initial condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B(x) - 1}{x} &= \frac{x B(x)}{(1-x)^2} \Rightarrow B(x) \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{x} \\ \Rightarrow B(x) &= \frac{(1-x)^2}{1-2x} \\ \Rightarrow B(x) &= \frac{1}{1-2x} - \frac{2x}{1-2x} + \frac{x^2}{1-2x}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the generating function for the sequence (b_n) . After converting the functions into sums and rearranging the terms, the closed form of b_n can be obtained. This is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} x^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^{n+2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n x^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{n-2} x^n \\ &= 1 + (2-2)x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{n-2} x^n \end{aligned}$$

From the last equality we extract that

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{when } n = 1 \\ 2^{n-2}, & \text{when } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Exercise 3 (Exercise 8). Let $p(n, k)$ be the number of partitions of n into exactly k nonzero parts. Show that

$$\sum_{n,k} p(n, k) y^k x^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - yx^k}.$$

Answer

Let us first consider the case of counting the number of partitions without any restrictions. In this case it holds that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x^k} = (1 + x + x^2 + \dots) \cdots [(x^k)^0 + (x^k)^1 + (x^k)^2 + \dots] \cdots$$

because each term in the k^{th} infinite sum tells us how many parts (or λ_i 's) are equal to k , then every x^n monomial corresponds to one partition of n and adding them all up we get $p(n)$.

Let us now add a dummy variable y which will count the number of parts in each λ . We can expand one of the factors of the product on the right as follows

$$\frac{1}{1 - yx^j} = [(yx^j)^0 + (yx^j)^1 + (yx^j)^2 + \dots].^a$$

Now this dummy variable appears in every other factor. It doesn't care about what *type* of part we are counting, it only counts the total number of parts. So, in every monomial $x^n y^k$ the x 's exponent breaks down into the parts, for each $\lambda_i = j$, y 's exponent is the sum of the amount of parts we have collected. Collecting all the monomials of this type gives us a coefficient of $p(n, k)$. Thus the result follows.

^aI was overthinking this problem a lot and thinking like in the first homework. Because I wanted to use a dummy variable $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for *each* different number. But that is too much information. Once again, **Kyle** saved the day by helping me clear out my doubts about counting.

Exercise 4 (Exercise 9). Use generating functions to prove that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}.$$

Do NOT give a combinatorial proof. Instead, give a proof by comparing coefficients of two equal generating functions or polynomials.

Answer

Let us begin by considering the binomial formula:

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k.$$

The square of this function can be computed in two ways:

i) Directly applying the formula

$$(1+x)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} x^k.$$

ii) Or by using the multiplication principle

$$[(1+x)^n]^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{n-j} \right] x^k.$$

It follows that

$$\binom{2n}{k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{n-j}$$

since two equal polynomials must share the same coefficients. By setting $k = n$ and relabeling the counter from j to k we arrive at the desired identity.

The identity in question is an specific case of Vandermonde's identity which can be proven using the same strategy by multiplying $(1+x)^m$ with $(1+x)^n$.