

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction and background</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 A motivating example	3
1.2 The family business	4
1.3 Moduli of curves	6
1.4 The tautological ring	9
1.5 Moduli space of maps	10
<b>2 Equivariant Cohomology and Localization</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Basics of equivariant cohomology	11
2.2 Atiyah-Bott Localization	11
<b>Index</b>	<b>13</b>



## Chapter 1

### Introduction and background

Our goal is to understand the calculation of Gromov-Witten invariants of the space  $\overline{M}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ , the moduli space of degree  $d$  maps to  $\mathbb{P}^r$ , using techniques from Atiyah-Bott localization. To begin this endeavor, we must first study the moduli space  $\overline{M}_{g,n}$  and its intersection theory. This space, which parametrizes genus  $g$  Riemann surfaces with  $n$  marked points, was originally introduced and studied by Deligne and Mumford.

Subsequently, we will introduce the concept of equivariant cohomology and the Atiyah-Bott localization theorem. We will demonstrate the theorem's usefulness through several illustrative examples, culminating in its application to the calculation of Gromov-Witten invariants via localization on the moduli space of maps.

#### 1.1 A motivating example

The question that initially motivated my study of this topic appears deceptively simple:

*Which quadratic curves pass through four points in general position inside  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?*

A natural first step is to fix specific points. Consider, for example, the points  $(1,1)$ ,  $(1,-1)$ ,  $(-1,-1)$ , and  $(-1,1)$ . A circle passes through these four points, given explicitly by the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2.$$

To obtain more quadratics passing through these points, we introduce parameters into the equation. We modify it to the form

$$Ax^2 + By^2 = 2$$

and by substituting the coordinates of one of the points, we find the relation  $A + B = 2$ . Thus, we obtain a *family* of conics parametrized by  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$Ax^2 + (2 - A)y^2 = 2.$$

We can naturally extend this family to include  $A = \infty$ , corresponding to the curve

$$x^2 = y^2,$$

which is a singular conic, specifically, a pair of lines intersecting at the origin. Similarly, at  $A = 0$  and  $A = 2$ , we obtain other singular quadratics. Aside from these special cases, the curves in the family are smooth.

This example suggests a general strategy for solving the original problem:

Given four distinct points  $A, B, C$ , and  $D$  in general position, define  $F$  to be the reducible conic formed by the union of the lines through  $A$  and  $B$ , and  $C$  and  $D$ . Similarly, let  $G$  be the conic formed by the lines through  $A$  and  $C$ , and  $B$  and  $D$ . Then the family of conics

$$\lambda F + \mu G = 0, \quad [\lambda, \mu] \in \mathbb{P}^1$$

describes all conics passing through the four given points.

In other words, the space of conics passing through four points in general position is naturally parametrized by  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . This is an example of a moduli space: a geometric space whose points parametrize certain types of objects. Furthermore, we can arrange all these conics into a *family* over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , with each point of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  corresponding to a specific conic in the family.

## 1.2 The family business

**Recheck the information about families in the Doctoral notebook to compare.** Having mentioned that conics form a family over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we must now define precisely what is meant by a family.

**Definition 1.2.1** (J. K  llar). Let  $B$  be a regular, one-dimensional scheme. A family of varieties over  $B$  is a flat morphism of finite type

$$\pi: E \rightarrow B$$

whose fibers are pure-dimensional and geometrically reduced. Such a family is also called a *one-parameter family*. For each  $b \in B$ , the fiber  $E_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$  denotes the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $b$ .

Similarly, when we speak of families of *pointed* varieties, we refer to families equipped with sections

$$\sigma_i: B \rightarrow E.$$

If we require marked points to remain distinct, we are asking for disjoint sections.

**Remark 1.2.2.** Observe that the notion of a family of varieties is similar to that of a vector bundle: in both cases, we have a morphism from a total space to a base, with fibers that are comparable.

However, families of varieties lack *local trivializations*, which vector bundles do have.

**Example 1.2.3.** Let us verify that the motivating example indeed forms a family.

First, observe that any smooth conic passing through four points in general position is isomorphic to a four-pointed projective line  $(\mathbb{P}^1, p_1, \dots, p_4)$ .

To see this, choose a point (not necessarily one of the marked points) on the conic and draw lines from this point to every other point on the conic. This construction associates to each point on the conic the *slope* of the line through it, yielding a bijection between the points of the conic and the points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Within this copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we consider the map

$$z \mapsto \frac{(z-p_1)(p_2-p_3)}{(z-p_3)(p_2-p_1)}$$

which sends

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3) \mapsto (0, 1, \infty)$$

and  $p_4$  to the cross-ratio of the four points. This is the unique map with these properties. Thus, the variation of the cross-ratio is equivalent to the variation of the conic through the four points. Each smooth conic then corresponds to a point in  $(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, 1, \infty, t)$  where  $t$  varies in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} = M_{0,4}$ .

Hence, we obtain a family of pointed projective lines:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{0,4} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ M_{0,4} & & (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^4 \end{array}$$

where the maps are defined by

$$\pi([( \mathbb{P}^1, (0, 1, \infty, t) ]), t) \mapsto [(\mathbb{P}^1, (0, 1, \infty, t))]$$

and each  $\sigma_i$  singles out each of the marks on the corresponding fiber.

To account for the three nodal conics, we blow up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the three points

$$(0, 0), (1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\infty, \infty).$$

This procedure yields a new family over the whole of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  whose fibers over  $t \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  remain smooth, while the special fibers become

*stable* nodal curves composed of two spheres joined at a node, with two marked points on each component.

Thus, the problem of classifying conics with marked points transforms into the problem of classifying four-pointed copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , that is, genus-zero Riemann surfaces with marked points. This equivalence between pointed varieties and marked Riemann surfaces was explored by Kapranov [10]. Following this, we will emphasize the viewpoint of marked Riemann surfaces, as it better aligns with the study I have realized. With this perspective in mind, we now turn to the construction and compactification of moduli spaces of curves.

### 1.3 Moduli of curves

**Definition 1.3.1.** A Riemann surface is a complex analytic manifold of dimension 1.

For every point, there's a neighborhood which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  and transition functions are linear isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will interchangeably say Riemann surface or *smooth compact complex curve*.

**Example 1.3.2.** The following classes define Riemann surfaces.

- (a)  $\mathbb{C}$  itself is a Riemann surface with one chart.
- (b) Any open set of  $\mathbb{C}$  is a Riemann surface.
- (c) A holomorphic function  $f: U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defines a Riemann surface by considering  $\Gamma_f \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ . There's only one chart determined by the projection and the inclusion  $i_{\Gamma_f}$  is its inverse.
- (d) Take another holomorphic function  $f$ , then  $\{f(x, y) = 0\}$  is a Riemann surface such that

$$\text{Sing}(f) = \{\partial_x f = \partial_y f = f = 0\} = \emptyset.$$

This means that at every point the gradient identifies a normal direction to the level set  $f = 0$ . In particular, there's a well defined tangent line. The inverse function theorem guarantees that this is a complex manifold.

- (e) The first compact example is  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .

**Definition 1.3.3.** The moduli space  $M_{g,n}$  is the set of isomorphism classes of genus  $g$ ,  $n$ -pointed Riemann surfaces.

*Remark 1.3.4.* This immediately implies that the parametrized curves are smooth complex algebraic curves.

Recalling our motivating example, an isomorphism class of a four-pointed  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is determined by the cross-ratio of the four points. Observe that at this point we haven't determined what happens to

the nodal curves, but we will return to that in a bit when talking about stability. For now, our next example is...

**Example 1.3.5.** The space  $M_{1,1}$  parametrizes 1-pointed *elliptic curves*. Any such curve is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{C} / L, \quad L = \mathbb{Z}u + \mathbb{Z}v, \quad \text{where } u, v \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the image of the origin under the quotient map is the natural choice for the marked point. We have that two lattices  $L_1, L_2$  determine the same elliptic curve whenever

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times (L_2 = \alpha L_1).$$

So that

$$M_{1,1} = \{\text{lattices}\} / \mathbb{C}^\times$$

but we can be more precise!

Explicitly, a lattice  $L = \text{gen}_{\mathbb{Z}}(u, v)$  can be rescaled to

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{u}L = \text{gen}_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, \tau).$$

This quantity  $\tau$  always lies in the upper half plane when

$$\arg(v) > \arg(u) \bmod [-\pi, \pi]$$

which means that  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  parametrizes  $[\mathbb{C}/L]$ . Let us apply two  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{gen}(S, T)$  actions on  $\tau$  which will leave the quotient unchanged:

$$\begin{cases} T: \tau \mapsto \tau + 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \tau = \frac{\tau + 1}{0\tau + 1}, \\ S: \tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \circ \tau = \frac{0 - 1}{\tau + 0}. \end{cases}$$

Then observe that the lattices

$$\text{gen}_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, T \cdot \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gen}_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, S \cdot \tau)$$

give us the same quotient. From this we can be more specific and say

$$M_{1,1} = \mathbb{H} / \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In the same fashion as before, we may describe a family of elliptic curves over  $M_{1,1}$  such that the fiber over a particular  $\tau \in M_{1,1}$  corresponds to the torus with the corresponding manifold structure determined by  $\tau$ . Our goal is to describe maps between moduli spaces. Before doing so, however, we must address what happens to nodal curves.

## Stable curves

**Definition 1.3.6** ([14], pg. 16). A genus  $g$ ,  $n$ -pointed stable curve  $(C, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is a compact complex algebraic curve<sup>1</sup> satisfying:

- (a) The only singularities of  $C$  are simple nodes.
- (b) Marked points and nodes are all distinct. Marked points and nodes do not coincide.
- (c)  $(C, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  has a finite number of automorphisms, or equivalently:

$$2 - 2g - n < 0.$$

Throughout, we assume that stable curves are connected. The *genus* of  $C$  is the arithmetic genus, or equivalently, the genus of the curve obtained when *smoothing the nodes*.

**Theorem 1.3.7** ([14], pg. 17). *A stable curve admits a finite number of automorphisms (as in condition c) if and only if every connected component  $C_i$  of its normalization with genus  $g_i$  and  $n_i$  special points satisfies*

$$2 - 2g_i - n_i < 0.$$

*Remark 1.3.8.* Normalization can be intuitively understood as the process of “ungluing” a variety at its singularities.

Formally, the normalization of a variety  $X$  is a non-singular (possibly disconnected) variety  $\tilde{X}$  equipped with a finite birational morphism

$$\nu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X.$$

This map is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of  $X$  but may identify several points in  $\tilde{X}$  over a singular point of  $X$ . Thus, we may think of  $\tilde{X}$  as a version of  $X$  in which the undue gluings of subvarieties or tangent spaces have been resolved. In the case of curves, normalization replaces each node with two distinct smooth points, resulting in a smooth curve (or collection of curves) marked by the preimages of the nodes.

Write a proof for sufficient condition of the theorem.

Idea should go like: if  $C$  has  $k$  irreducible components, then

<sup>1</sup>It's almost a manifold, but it's not because it can lack smoothness



we may add up the contributions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall i(2-2g_i-n_i < 0) &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k (2-2g_i-2n_i) < 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 2k-2\sum_{i=1}^k g_i-2\sum_{i=1}^k n_i < 0.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $g$  can be larger than  $\sum_{i=1}^k g_i$  as we can add arithmetic genus when joining two different points on a component.

Observe that the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i$  accounts for the marks and nodes-to-be in the component  $C_i$ . All marks in the normalization arise from a mark in the original curve and every node in the original curve is counted *twice* in the sum by the handshaking lemma. This means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n + 2(\# \text{nodes in } C).$$

Thus our quantity becomes

$$2k-2\sum_{i=1}^k g_i-2n-4(\# \text{nodes in } C).$$

How do we get to the final quantity?

**Example 1.3.9.** Observe that following our motivational example, we get to the three stable curves in  $\overline{M}_{0,4}$ . Each one of these is a nodal curve where the marks and the node differ. And applying the theorem, we see that each component of the normalization satisfies

$$2-0-3=-1 < 0$$

so that we do have the stability condition.

**Example 1.3.10.** For the case of  $M_{1,1}$ , we compactify by adding a singular stable curve. This is a one-point  $\mathbb{P}^1$  where we attach two points together. The resulting curve has arithmetic genus one. It can be also imagined as “pinching a loop around the torus which doesn’t go around the hole”. This is once again a stable curve as we have the stability condition:

$$2-2(1)-1=-1 < 0.$$

## 1.4 The tautological ring

- (a) Talk about divisors in Mgn, what is a boundary divisor and dual trees.
- (b)  $\psi, \lambda$  classes
- (c) Intersection product Examples

- (d) Projection formula
- (e) String and Dilaton relations
- (f) Integral examples

## 1.5 Moduli space of maps

## Chapter 2

# Equivariant Cohomology and Localization

## 2.1 Basics of equivariant cohomology

- (a) Borel Construction of Equivariant Cohomology
- (b) Examples of point equivariant Cohomology
- (c) Equivariant Cohomology of projective space

## 2.2 Atiyah-Bott Localization

- (a) Example of  $H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^r)$  through Localization
- (b) Toric varieties Euler characteristic via Atiyah-Bott
- (c) Hodge integral  $\int_{\overline{M}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^2,1)} \text{ev}_1^*([1:0:0])\text{ev}_2^*([0:1:0])$  via localization.



## Index

cross-ratio, 5

family of varieties, 4

Riemann surface, 6

stable curve, 8



## Bibliography

- [1] Dave Anderson and William Fulton. *Equivariant Cohomology in Algebraic Geometry*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2025.
- [2] Enrico Arbarello and David Mumford. *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes: Includes the Michigan Lectures (1974) on Curves and their Jacobians*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [3] Luca Battistella, Francesca Carocci, and Cristina Manolache. Reduced invariants from cuspidal maps, 2018.
- [4] Renzo Cavalieri, Joel Gallegos, Dustin Ross, Brandon Van Over, and Jonathan Wise. Pseudostable hodge integrals, 2022.
- [5] Renzo Cavalieri, Maria Gillespie, and Leonid Monin. Projective embeddings of  $\overline{M}_{0,n}$  and parking functions, 2021.
- [6] Renzo Cavalieri and Matthew M. Williams. Quadratic pseudostable hodge integrals and mumford’s relations, 2024.
- [7] William Fulton and Rahul Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, 1997.
- [8] Oliver Goertsches and Leopold Zoller. Equivariant de rham cohomology: Theory and applications, 2019.
- [9] K. Hori, S. Katz, A. Klemm, R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, C. Vafa, R. Vakil, and E. Zaslow. *Mirror Symmetry*. Clay Mathematics Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2023.
- [10] Mikhail M. Kapranov. Veronese curves and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space  $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ . *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 2(2):239–262, 1993.
- [11] Joachim Kock and Israel Vainsencher. *An Invitation to Quantum Cohomology: Kontsevich’s Formula for Rational Plane Curves*. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2006.
- [12] Julianna S. Tymoczko. An introduction to equivariant cohomology and homology, following goresky, kottwitz, and macpherson, 2005.
- [13] Michael Viscardi. Alternate compactifications of the moduli space of genus one maps, 2010.
- [14] Dimitri Zvonkine. An introduction to moduli spaces of curves and their intersection theory. <https://www.hse.ru/data/2014/11/13/1101420183/2013.04.03.pdf>, 2014.