Deep Learning Image Classification Project Report

This report compares three image classification approaches using the CIFAR-10 dataset: a custom CNN architecture and two transfer learning methods using VGG16.



```
same', activation='relu', input shape=(32,32,3),
'same', activation='relu', kernel regularizer=120
:'same', activation='relu', kernel regularizer=12
:'same', activation='relu', kernel_regularizer=12
:'same', activation='relu', kernel regularizer=12
:'same', activation='relu', kernel regularizer=1;
i', kernel regularizer=12(0.01)),
nax'
                              Made with Gamma
```

Methodology & Architecture Decisions

Custom CNN Architecture

A VGG-style architecture with progressive depth, regularization techniques, and an optimization strategy.

Transfer Learning with VGG16

Two approaches: basic transfer learning with frozen layers and fine-tuned transfer learning with unfrozen layers.

Results Analysis

88%

63%

Custom CNN

VGG16 Transfer

75%

VGG16 Fine-tuned



- Transfer learning Parnuidal Transfer learning Transfer transfer nedels Custom CNN 12.0-Transfer-leanning 0.55 Transfer learning 34.5 Transfer learning redels 37.0 14.0 2.00-Custom model

Findings

Custom CNN Performance

Best overall performance (88% accuracy) with consistent metrics.

Basic Transfer Learning Limitations

Unexpectedly lower performance (63% accuracy) due to possible reasons.

Fine-tuning Improvements

Significant improvement over basic transfer learning with a 12% accuracy increase.

Conclusion

