Evolution of holographic dark energy models in f(Q,T) gravity and cosmic constraint

Xuwei Zhang D¹ and Xiaofeng Yang D¹

¹Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory 1667 K Street NW, Suite 800 Urumqi, Xinjiang, 830046, China

ABSTRACT

We study a holographic dark energy model in f(Q,T) gravity

Keywords: Cosmology

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decaeds, a series of discoveries in cosmology have profoundly changed our understanding of the universe. In 1998, the accelerated expansion of the universe was discovered through the study of Type Ia supernovae(Perlmutter et al. (1998); Riess et al. (1998)). This fact had been later confirmed by many other cosmological observations, such as the measurement of temperature anisotropy and polarization in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation(Smoot et al. (1992); Aghanim et al. (2020)); Baryon acoustic oscillations (Baryon Acoustic Oscillations, BAO) peak length scale(Eisenstein et al. (2005); Blake et al. (2011)); the study of the large-scale structure (LSS) of the universe(Dodelson et al. (2002); Percival et al. (2007)) and use Cosmic Chronometers to direct measurement of Hubble parameter(Stern et al. (2010); Moresco (2015)). These observations suggest the existence of a mysterious energy in our universe, also named dark energy (DE) who has high negative pressure and increasing density. Dark energy behaves as anti-gravity, but its nature remains unknown.

Theoretical predictions and astronomical observations indicate that there may be a mysterious form of energy in the universe. This energy has the characteristics of negative pressure, and its density increases over time. This is considered to be the key factor driving the accelerated expansion of the universe, accounting for about three-quarters of the total energy of the universe (Ratra & Peebles (1988); Armendariz-Picon et al. (2001); Tomita (2001)). In order to achieve such accelerated expansion, this form of energy needs to produce an anti-gravitational effect throughout the observable universe. However, ordinary baryonic matter neither has this equation of state nor can it explain such a large proportion of the cosmic energy component. Therefore, scientists have proposed and studied a variety of alternative theories and models to explore the nature of this cosmic acceleration phenomenon.

The simplest and most widely accepted theory is Λ CDM model, where Λ means cosmological constant predicted by Einstein(Carroll (2001)). Based on Λ CDM model, the lastest observations suggest that our universe consists of 68.3% dark energy, 26.8% cold dark matter and 4.9% ordinary matter (Aghanim et al. (2020)). However, this model is not free from problems and the problems it is facing are cosmic coincidence, fine-tuning and the Hubble tension—a discrepancy between the value of the Hubble constant H_0 inferred from the CMB by the Planck satellite and that obtained from local measurements using Type Ia supernovae—has sparked significant debate.

Another interesting attempt is to deviate from general ralativity toward a modified form (detailed research progress can be reviewed in Clifton et al. (2012)). These theories assume that general relativity not work in large scale requiring a modification in action rather than standard Einstein-Hilbert action. The most well-known is F(R) gravity which replaces the Ricci scalar R in the action by a general function f(R) (Buchdahl (1970)). Another modified theory of gravity f(T) extends the teleparallel equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR). It replaces the curvature scalar R in action with the torsion scalar T, derived from the Weitzenböck connection. Also shows some interpretations for the accelerating phases of our Universe(Cai et al. (2016); Bengochea & Ferraro (2009)). f(Q) is generalized symmetric teleparallel gravity, with curvature and torsion both being zero, which is inspired by Weyl and Einstein's trial to unify electromagenetic and gravity. The geometric properties of gravity are described by "non-metricity". That is, the

42 43

45

46

47

49

50

51

53

55

56

57

60

61

66

71

72

73

covariant derivative of the metric tensor is no longer zero (some detailed information can be found in review Heisenberg (2024)).

Holographic dark energy is an famous alternative theory for the interpretation of dark energy, originating from the holographic principle.

In this article, we assume that

2. F(Q,T) GRAVITY THEORY AND HOLOGRAPHIC DARK ENERGY

In Weyl-Cartan geometry the connection can be decomposed into three parts: the Christoffel symbol $\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$, the contortion tensor $K^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ and the disformation tensor $L^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$, so that the general affine connection can be expressed as

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} + K^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} + L^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

The first term $\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-civita connection of metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, given by

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\beta\nu} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\beta\epsilon} - \partial_{\beta} g_{\epsilon\nu}) \tag{2}$$

The second term $K^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ is the contortion tensor

$$K^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} + T^{\ \alpha}_{(\mu\ \nu)} \tag{3}$$

The Last term is distortion tensor $L^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$, given by

$$L^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} - Q^{\ ,\ \alpha}_{(\mu\ \nu)} \tag{4}$$

Enclose $Q^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ with nonmetricity tensor

$$Q_{\rho\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\beta}{}_{\rho \ mu} g_{\beta\nu} - \Gamma^{\beta}{}_{\rho\nu} g_{\mu\beta} , \qquad (5)$$

$$T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu} , \qquad (6)$$

$$R^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\rho} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\alpha} - \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\alpha} , \qquad (7)$$

In weyl geometry, affine connection is not compatible with the metric tensor as

$$Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} = -w_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} \tag{8}$$

In gravity, we consider the action is

$$S = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}f(Q,T) + \mathcal{L}_m\right)\sqrt{-g}d^4x \tag{9}$$

where f is an arbitrary function of the non-metricity and T is the trace of the matter-energy-momentum tensor, \mathcal{L}_m is known as matter Lagrangian and $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ denotes determinant of metric tensor.

Vary the action we can get

$$\delta S = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta[f(Q,T)\sqrt{-g}] + \delta(\mathcal{L}_m\sqrt{-g})\right)d^4x \tag{10}$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + f_Q \sqrt{-g} \delta Q + f_T \sqrt{-g} \delta T - \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \right) d^4x \tag{11}$$

Field equation is

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\nabla_{\alpha}(f_{Q}\sqrt{-g}P^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2}fg_{\mu\nu} + f_{T}(T_{\mu\nu} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}) - f_{Q}(P_{\mu\alpha\beta}Q^{\nu}_{\ \alpha\beta} - 2Q^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \mu}P_{\alpha\beta\nu}) = T_{\mu\nu}$$
 (12)

In FLRW metric, given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \tag{13}$$

then we can get Friedmann equations

$$\rho = \frac{f}{2} - 6f_Q H^2 - \frac{2f_T}{1 + f_T} (\dot{f}_Q H + f_Q \dot{H}) \tag{14}$$

$$p = -\frac{f}{2} + 6f_Q H^2 + 2(\dot{f}_Q H + f_Q \dot{H}) \tag{15}$$

EoS parameter

80

81

82

83

87

91

$$w = \frac{p}{\rho} = -1 + \frac{4f_Q H + f_Q \dot{H}}{(1 + f_T)(f - 12f_Q H^2) - 4f_T(\dot{f}_Q H + f_Q \dot{H})}$$
(16)

In our universe w < -1/3, where ρ and p denote total fluid energy density and pressure of the universe, which $\rho = \rho_m + \rho_{de}$, $p = p_m + p_{de}$.

Effective EoS parameter denote geometry qualities.

$$\rho_{\text{eff}} = 3H^2 = \frac{f}{4f_Q} - \frac{1}{2f_Q} [(1 + f_T)\rho + f_T p]$$
(17)

$$-p_{\text{eff}} = 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = \frac{f}{4f_Q} - \frac{2\dot{f}_Q H}{f_Q} + \frac{1}{2f_Q} [(1 + f_T)\rho + (2 + f_T)p]$$
(18)

EoS parameter for equivalent dark energy

$$w_{\text{eff}} = \frac{p_{\text{eff}}}{\rho_{\text{eff}}} = -\frac{f - 8\dot{f}_Q H + 2[(1 + f_T)\rho + (2 + f_T)p]}{f - 2[(1 + f_T)\rho + f_T p]}$$
(19)

Combine, we can get the evolution equation of Hubble parameter H Deceleration parameter

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}a}{\dot{a}^2} = \frac{1}{2}(1+3w) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+3\frac{p_{\text{eff}}}{\rho_{\text{eff}}}\right) = -1 + \frac{3(4\dot{f}_QH - f + p)}{f - [(1+f_T)\rho + f_Tp]}$$
(20)

We assume that

$$f(Q,T) = \alpha Q^n + \beta T \tag{21}$$

where $Q = 6H^2$, $T = -\rho + 3p$, so that we can derive $f_Q = \alpha n Q^{n-1} = \alpha n 6^{n-1} H^{2n-2}$, $f_T = \beta$, $\dot{f}_Q = 2\alpha n (n-1)6^{n-1} H^{2n-3} \dot{H}$

3. COSMIC SOLUTIONS

Hybrid Expansion Law (HEL)

$$H(z) = \frac{H_0}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 + (1+z)^{2m}} \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{H}(z) = \frac{H_0}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2m(1+z)^{2m-1}}{\sqrt{1+(1+z)^{2m}}} \tag{23}$$

use deceleration parameter to simplify calculation

$$\dot{H} = -H^2(1+q) \tag{24}$$

98 get

100

101

$$\rho = \frac{f}{2} - 2f_Q(-H^2(1+q) + 3H^2 + \frac{f_T}{1+f_T}\frac{\dot{f}_Q}{f_Q}H)$$
(25)

$$p = -\frac{f}{2} + 2f_Q(-H^2(1+q) + 3H^2 + \frac{\dot{f}_Q}{f_Q}H)$$
(26)

Holographic dark energy with Hubble cutoff is

102

103

105

106 107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

121

122

132

133

$$\rho_{de} = 3c^2 H^2 \tag{27}$$

$$p_{de} = \frac{\alpha \left(6H(z)^2\right)^{n-1} \left(2n(-2\beta + (3\beta + 2)n - 1)\dot{H}(z) + 3(\beta + 1)(2n - 1)H(z)^2\right)}{(\beta + 1)(2\beta + 1)}$$
(28)

dark energy EoS parameter

$$w_{de} = \frac{p_{de}}{\rho_{de}} = \frac{\alpha \left(6H(z)^2\right)^{n-1} \left(2n(-2\beta + (3\beta + 2)n - 1)\dot{H}(z) + 3(\beta + 1)(2n - 1)H(z)^2\right)}{3c^2H^2(\beta + 1)(2\beta + 1)}$$
(29)

where $\dot{H}(z) = \frac{d}{dt}H(z) = -\frac{dH(z)}{dz}H(z)(1+z)$ stability parameter of in this situation can be described as

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{de}}{\mathrm{d}\rho_{de}} = \frac{\alpha(2n(-2\beta + (3\beta + 2)n - 1))6^{n-1}((2n - 2)H^{2n-3}\dot{H}^2 + \ddot{H}H^{2n-2}) + \alpha3(\beta + 1)(2n - 1)6^{n-1}2nH^{2n-1}\dot{H}}{6c^2H\dot{H}(\beta + 1)(2\beta + 1)}$$

In principle, we can get the form of H(z) through the solution of differential equation. However, solving higher-order differential equations analytically is difficult. So we assume n=1 first to simplify calculation and get analytically solution as follow

$$H(z) = H_0(z+1)^{\frac{3(\alpha+1)}{3\alpha+2}} - (1+2\alpha)c^2 w_{de} \left((z+1)^{\frac{3(\alpha+1)}{3\alpha+2}} - 1 \right)$$
(31)

in other situation, if $n \neq 1$

4. TSALIS ENTROPY DARK ENERGY IN DIFFERENT IR CUTOFF

Tsallis proposed a modified black hole entropy

$$S_{\delta} = \gamma A^{\delta} \tag{32}$$

Tsallis holographic dark energy density

$$\rho_{de} = BH^{4-2\delta} \tag{33}$$

5. OBSERVATIONAL DATA AND PARAMETER CONSTRAINT

6. CONCLUSION

APPENDIX

A. APPENDIX INFORMATION

REFERENCES

140

Aghanim, N., Akrami, Y., Ashdown, M., et al. 2020, 123 Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A6, 124 doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201833910 125 Armendariz-Picon, C., Mukhanov, V., & Steinhardt, P. J. 126 2001, Phys. Rev. D, 63, 103510, 127 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.63.103510 128 Bengochea, G. R., & Ferraro, R. 2009, Physical Review D, 129 79, doi: 10.1103/physrevd.79.124019

Blake, C., Kazin, E. A., Beutler, F., et al. 2011, Monthly 131

Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 418, 1707,

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19592.x

Buchdahl, H. A. 1970, MNRAS, 150, 1,

doi: 10.1093/mnras/150.1.1

Cai, Y.-F., Capozziello, S., De Laurentis, M., & Saridakis, 136

E. N. 2016, Reports on Progress in Physics, 79, 106901, 137

doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/79/10/106901 138

Carroll, S. M. 2001, Living Reviews in Relativity, 4, 139

doi: 10.12942/lrr-2001-1

Clifton, T., Ferreira, P. G., Padilla, A., & Skordis, C. 2012, 141

Physics Reports, 513, 1-189, 142

doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2012.01.001 143

```
Dodelson, S., Narayanan, V. K., Tegmark, M., et al. 2002,
144
      The Astrophysical Journal, 572, 140-156,
145
      doi: 10.1086/340225
146
    Eisenstein, D. J., Zehavi, I., Hogg, D. W., et al. 2005, The
147
      Astrophysical Journal, 633, 560-574, doi: 10.1086/466512
148
    Heisenberg, L. 2024, Physics Reports, 1066, 1,
149
      doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2024.02.001
150
    Moresco, M. 2015, Monthly Notices of the Royal
151
      Astronomical Society: Letters, 450, L16-L20,
152
      doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/slv037
153
    Percival, W. J., Cole, S., Eisenstein, D. J., et al. 2007,
154
      Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 381,
155
      1053-1066, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12268.x
156
```

- Perlmutter, S., Aldering, G., Valle, M. D., et al. 1998, Nature, 391, 51, doi: 10.1038/34124 158 Ratra, B., & Peebles, P. J. E. 1988, Phys. Rev. D, 37, 3406, 159 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.37.3406 160 Riess, A. G., Filippenko, A. V., Challis, P., et al. 1998, The 161 Astronomical Journal, 116, 1009-1038, 162 doi: 10.1086/300499 163 Smoot, G. F., Bennett, C. L., Kogut, A., et al. 1992, ApJL, 164 396, L1, doi: 10.1086/186504 165 Stern, D., Jimenez, R., Verde, L., Kamionkowski, M., & 166 Stanford, S. A. 2010, Journal of Cosmology and 167 Astroparticle Physics, 2010, 008-008, 168
 - Tomita, K. 2001, Progress of Theoretical Physics, 106, 929,
 doi: 10.1143/PTP.106.929

doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2010/02/008

169