



European
Commission



CAMSS - Solutions Core Assessment Vocabulary (CAV)

v1.1.0

Specification

Directorate-General for Informatics

interoperable
europe

CHANGE CONTROL

Modification	Details
Version 1.1.0	
Current version	Main changes for this minor version of the Vocabulary are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Change in class cav:Score definition.- Change in attribute cav:value definition.- Change in property cav:considers definitions for classes, attributes, and properties to adapt the vocabulary to users' needs.
Version 1.0.0	
Initial version	

DISCLAIMER

The information and views set out in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this document. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

© European Union, 2022

European Commission

Directorate-General for Informatics
Directorate D - Digital Public Services, Unit D2 - Interoperability Unit
Contact: Raul-Mario Abril-Jimenez - Project Officer for the CAMSS action
E-mail: Raul-Mario.ABRIL-JIMENEZ@ec.europa.eu
European Commission
B-1049 Brussels

Intellectual Property Rights:

The work is licensed under the European Union Public Licence (EUPL) v1.2. Reuse is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

The reuse policy of European Commission documents is regulated by Decision 2011/833/EU (OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39).

Foreword

This Specification has been produced by the Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specifications (CAMSS) Team, a Digital Europe (DEP) Programme initiative in alignment with the European Standardisation Regulation 1025/2012.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	8
1.1 Context.....	8
1.2. Objective and Scope of the document	8
1.3 Methodological approach	8
1.4 Structure of this document.....	9
2. Related Solutions	11
2.1 EIRA Library of Interoperability Specifications (ELIS)	11
2.2 Core Standards and Specifications Vocabulary (CSSV)	11
2.3 Data Catalogue Vocabulary (DCAT)	11
3. Core Assessment Vocabulary (CAV)	14
3.1 Data Model for the CAV	14
3.1.1. Interpretation	14
3.2 Class: cav:Asset	15
3.2.1 Property: cav:documentedIn	16
3.3 Class: cav:Assessment.....	16
3.3.1. Property: cav:hasStatus	16
3.3.2. Property: cav:hasReport	16
3.3.3. Property: cav:hasSummary	17
3.3.4. Property: cav:assesses	18
3.3.5. Property: cav:performedBy	18
3.3.6. Property: cav:requestedBy	18
3.3.7. Property: cav:contextualisedBy	19
3.3.8. Property: cav:resultsIn	19
3.3.9. Property: cav:considers	19
3.3.10. Property: cav:triggeredFrom	20
3.3.11. Property: cav:triggers	20
3.4 Class: cav:Scenario	21
3.4.1. Property: cav:includes	21
3.4.2. Property: cav:definesSub	21
3.4.3. Property: cav:defines	22
3.4.4. Property: cav:Purpose	22
3.5 Class: cav:Statement.....	22
3.5.1. Property: cav:Judgement	23
3.5.2. Property: cav:Type	23
3.5.3. Property: cav:Interpretation.....	23
3.5.4. Property: cav:formulatedBy	24
3.5.5. Property: cav:refersTo	24
3.5.6. Property: cav:refersTo	24
3.5.7. Property: cav:refersTo	25
3.6 Class: cav:EvaluationContext	25

3.6.1. Property: <i>cav:InstructionDescription</i>	25
3.6.2. Property: <i>cav:definedBy</i>	25
3.6.3. Property: <i>cav:contextualises</i>	26
3.6.4. Property: <i>cav:relatesTo</i>	26
3.7 Class: <i>cav:Score</i>	27
3.7.1. Property: <i>cav:providedBy</i>	27
3.7.2. Property: <i>cav:Value</i>	27
3.7.3. Property: <i>cav:hasInput</i>	27
3.7.4. Property: <i>cav:basedOn</i>	28
3.7.5. Property: <i>cav:assignedTo</i>	28
4. Conformance Statement.....	30
5. Accessibility and Multilingual Aspects	32
6. Acronyms.....	34
7. References.....	36
7.1 Normative references	36
7.2 Informative references	36
Annex 1: CAV Model.....	39



1

INTRODUCTION

1.Introduction

The Core Assessment Vocabulary represents, expresses, and defines what an “Assessment” of “Assets” is and how to perform the assessment based on “Criteria”. It is a domain-agnostic vocabulary, meaning that it can be used to assess any asset.

1.1 Context

CAMSS stands for Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specifications. It is a development of the DEP Programme Action “*Achieving a modern ICT standardisation policy*”¹ aiming at “assessing and selecting standards and specifications for an eGovernment project, a reference when building an architecture and an enabler for justifying the choice of standards and specifications in terms of interoperability needs and requirements. It is fully aligned with the European Standardisation Regulation 1025/2012”².

The Core Assessment Vocabulary represents, expresses, and defines what an “Assessment” of “Assets” is and how to perform the assessment based on “Criteria”. It is a domain-agnostic vocabulary, meaning that it can be used to assess any asset.

1.2. Objective and Scope of the document

The objective of this document is to provide an interoperability-oriented solution for the expression and exchange of CAMSS Assessments.

The scope of this document encompasses the following.

- Conceptual data models used for the CAMSS Vocabulary.
- Constraints and rules specific to the CAMSS domain.
- A reference implementation of the A-Box as an OWL Turtle syntax.

In addition, this vocabulary has been publicly analysed to create a stable version of the vocabulary.

The CAV has been reviewed by a group of experts contributing to the new release of the vocabulary.

1.3 Methodological approach

The approach followed for the development of the CAV adheres to three fundamental principles.

¹ Achieving a modern standard ICT standardisation policy; CAMSS Action 2016.27: https://ec.europa.eu/isa2/actions/achieving-modern-ict-standardisation-policy_en.

² See CAMSS Joinup Community for additional details: <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/common-assessment-method-standards-and-specifications-camss/about>.

1. Reuse and share when possible (i.e., do not reinvent the wheel).
2. Do not betray the knowledge and experience of the domain, nor the terminology and interpretation of the concepts (i.e., do not invent new terms when they already exist in the communities of practice or generic domains).
3. Isolate technical and business constraints and rules as much as possible (i.e., externalise them in separate artefacts, for example, graph and data shapes for the control and validation of the data). This has a great impact on the quality and cost of the implementation, as well as the maintenance of the vocabulary.
4. One way of facilitating the semantic interoperability consists of reusing existing generic ontologies and vocabularies. This way, the semantics of common concepts and properties are agreed upon without the need for re-discussion. When concepts or properties have not been identified nor defined for the purposes pursued, they must be proposed as either extensions, or from scratch.

The methodological approach followed for the development of the CAV reuses the following ontologies and vocabularies.

- Friend of a Friend (FOAF)
- Core Person Vocabulary (CPV), only Natural Persons
- The Organization Ontology
- Core Criterion and Core Evidence Vocabulary (CCCEV)

The rationale for defining this vocabulary goes as follows.

1. No generic ontologies or vocabularies have been found defining what an Assessment is that fulfils the purposes of CAMSS, partially or totally (e.g., some initiatives define methodologies for assessment, but not ontologies or vocabularies).
2. Existing concepts in other ontologies did not cover all the information requirements needed in CAV and had to be reused or specialised by new classes.
3. Concepts and properties existing in other ontologies have different semantics to those needed in CAV.
4. Concepts required in CAV have not been identified in any other existing ontologies and therefore needed to be defined.
5. Given this is “Core” vocabulary, a key goal is to make it as flexible as possible. This means that predicates are set with optional and multiple cardinality (0..n) unless there is a strong reason for further restriction.

1.4 Structure of this document

This document consists of the following sections.

- Section 2 describes the related solutions to the Core Assessment Vocabulary (CAV).
- Section 3 explains the CAV model and identifies the classes and properties defined for the vocabulary.
- Section 4 contains the Conformance Statement for this vocabulary.
- Section 5 describes specific accessibility and multilingualism aspects.
- Section 6 lists the different acronyms used in the whole document.
- Section 7 contains related references.



2

RELATED
SOLUTIONS

2.Related Solutions

This section lists the different CAV related solutions. Note that some are still under development.

2.1 EIRA Library of Interoperability Specifications (ELIS)

The ELIS is a family of interoperability specifications that define the interoperability aspects of the Architecture Building Blocks (ABBs) contained in EIRA©. ELIS aims to support architects for the modelling of solutions based on EIRA©. The current version of ELIS will need to be slightly revamped to accommodate the concepts defined in the CSSV and to support the requirement of all stakeholders, e.g., EIRA-based solution developer needs, NATO profiles, and others.

2.2 Core Standards and Specifications Vocabulary³ (CSSV)

The CSSV is the vocabulary used for the information exchange related to standards and specifications amongst software solutions, as well as being the key element for the development of the new release of the EIRA Library of Interoperability Specifications (ELIS).


2.3 Data Catalogue Vocabulary⁴ (DCAT)

The Data Catalogue Vocabulary (DCAT) is used to describe public sector datasets in Europe. This vocabulary has been developed by the W3C. DCAT can be used to describe any type of asset (treated as a dataset, especially when considering that metadata is also data).

The figure below shows the DCAT conceptual data model with its classes and properties :

³ CSSV Public Consultation: https://github.com/SEMICEu/CAMSS_CSSV

⁴ DCAT: <https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-dcat-2/>



In the CAV model, the class Assessment can be considered the “root” class and is a “Resource” as defined in DCAT.



3

CORE ASSESSMENT VOCABULARY (CAV)

3.Core Assessment Vocabulary (CAV)

The Core Assessment Vocabulary represents and defines what an “Assessment” of an “Asset” is and how to perform the Assessment using scenario-based “Criteria”. It is a domain-agnostic vocabulary, meaning that it can be used to assess any type of asset. Hence, the CAV is at the very core of the CAMSS ecosystem.

The CAV is depicted in *Figure 2: The Core Assessment Vocabulary*. The figure shows the classes and properties used or defined in the vocabulary.

3.1 Data Model for the CAV




Figure 2: The Core Assessment Vocabulary

3.1.1. Interpretation

A CAV Assessment is a specialisation of an Asset, which is itself a specialisation of the *dcat:Resource*. As any asset, it can be identified and described, and holds individual distributions, publishers, etc. Additionally to these properties the CAV class Assessment needs to specify who the requestors and evaluators of the Assessments are. These can be anything represented by a FOAF⁶ Agent, such as a natural person or an organisation. Notice that the objects assessed are also Resources, meaning that the CAV may be used to assess anything that is considered a valuable resource. Example of such resources could be products, services, or, in the case of CAMSS, standards and specifications.

⁶ FOAF Vocabulary Specification <http://xmlns.com/foaf/spec/>

An Assessment results in **Statements** that capture the produced knowledge and provide value judgments. These can refer to the Assessment as a whole or to a specific section, even being as detailed as to refer to individual evaluated criteria.

A **Criterion** is typically derived from a Reference Framework, which can be understood as a series of “agreed and descriptive reference requirements” coming from one or more sources (e.g. legislation, specifications and standards, ICT policy-related works like the EIF within the EIS, etc.).

Throughout the Assessment each Criterion is assigned a **Score** (in principle by human users, but potentially also by systems) as the value output that is considered when formulating the resulting Statement(s). The **Score** can take into consideration any resource input (e.g. when the score is calculated based on different input parameters, algorithms, and formulae).

Any Assessment is performed in the context of a **Scenario**. The Scenario defines the purpose of the Assessment and the set of Criteria to be scored by one or more Agents. Scenarios can be defined with a flexible structure including nested sections (represented as sub-Scenarios) that serve to provide additional context, thematically group Criteria, and to be referred by the Assessment’s resulting Statement(s). Criteria can themselves be simple or complex and originate from various reference sources. The overall context for the evaluation of the Criteria is provided by the Scenario, however in the certain case that Criteria require additional contextualisation or evaluation instructions, these can be exceptionally provided by means of **EvaluationContext** which is related to a resource.

Finally, an Assessment might trigger another related Assessment of different content with its own Scenario and Criteria. Note that it is also possible to model work in progress, expressed by having the Assessment defining optional links to Scores, Statements, reports, and summaries.

3.2 Class: cav:Asset

OWL Class	cav:Asset
Label	Asset
Definition	A resource, probably resulting from a work, with purpose and value. Additional information: This definition considers "resource" as a res "available for use" (see the definition of res in the IFLA FRBR/LRM specifications).
Subclass of	dcat:Dataset

3.2.1 Property: *cav:documentedIn*

OWL Property	cav:documentedIn
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	Documented in
Definition	<p>A link to any information supporting the value of the asset and any other related relevant details.</p> <p>Additional information:</p> <p>A good choice to implement instances of resources supporting the value of the asset can be the use of the <i>cccev:Evidence</i> class from the Core Criterion and Core Evidence Vocabulary.</p>
Domain	cav:Asset
Range	Rdfs:Resource
Cardinality	0..n

3.3 Class: *cav:Assessment*

OWL Class	cav:Assessment
Label	Assessment
Definition	The intellectual work to evaluate an asset against the criteria of a given scenario.
Subclass of	dcat:Dataset

3.3.1. Property: *cav:hasStatus*

OWL Property	cav:hasStatus
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	hasStatus
Definition	<p>The current situation of the assessment.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>A list with different status codes is to be provided by context/domain-specific application profiles to identify the statuses that make sense for that context or domain.</p>
Domain	<i>cav:Assessment</i>
Property Type	skos:Concept

3.3.2. Property: *cav:hasReport*

OWL Property	cav:hasReport
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	hasReport
Definition	<p>A manifestation⁷ of all the information related to and resulting from an assessment.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>The included information usually contains everything about the assessment, e.g. the purpose of the assessment, the criteria defined in the scenario, the responses and the scoring provided by the evaluator;</p> <p>The report may be manifested in one or multiple ways (distributed as different formats), e.g. as OWL triples, as an HTML, as a narrative text (pdf, doc, ods, etc.).</p>
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	dcat:Distribution
Cardinality	0..n

3.3.3. Property: cav:hasSummary

OWL Property	cav:hasSummary
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	hasSummary
Definition	An abbreviated manifestation of the performed assessment.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	dcat:Distribution
Cardinality	0..n

⁷ The term “manifestation” is used herein as defined in the IFLA Library Reference Model (IFLA LRM): <https://www.ifla.org/publications/node/11412>

3.3.4. Property: *cav:assesses*

OWL Property	cav:assesses
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	assesses
Definition	The reference to the asset(s) that are the object of the assessment.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	dcat:Resource
Cardinality	1..n

3.3.5. Property: *cav:performedBy*

OWL Property	cav:performedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	performedBy
Definition	The agent(s) that carry out the assessment.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	foaf:Agent
Cardinality	0..n

3.3.6. Property: *cav:requestedBy*

OWL Property	cav:requestedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	requestedBy
Definition	The agent(s) requesting the assessment of an asset.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	foaf:Agent
Cardinality	0..n

3.3.7. Property: *cav:contextualisedBy*

OWL Property	cav:contextualisedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	contextualisedBy
Definition	The assignment of the scenario for the current assessment providing its context, purpose, and criteria.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	cav:Scenario
Cardinality	1

3.3.8. Property: *cav:resultsIn*

OWL Property	cav:resultsIn
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	resultsIn
Definition	The creation of the statement(s) resulting from the assessment. Additional Information: The cardinality allows for optional associations to express an Assessment that is typically a work in progress.
Domain	cav:Assessment
Range	cav:Statement
Cardinality	0..n

3.3.9. Property: *cav:considers*

OWL Property	cav:considers
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	considers
Definition	The evaluation of an assessment score as input to issue one or more statements
Domain	cav:Assessment

Range	<i>cav:Score</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.3.10. Property: *cav:triggeredFrom*

OWL Property	<i>cav:triggeredFrom</i>
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	triggeredFrom
Definition	The event causing the current assessment as the result of another related assessment.
Domain	<i>cav:Assessment</i>
Range	<i>cav:Assessment</i>
Cardinality	0..1

3.3.11. Property: *cav:triggers*

OWL Property	<i>cav:triggers</i>
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	triggers
Definition	The event causing further related assessment(s) due to the current one.
Domain	<i>cav:Assessment</i>
Range	<i>cav:Assessment</i>
Examples	0..n

3.4 Class: cav:Scenario

OWL Class	cav:Scenario
Label	Scenario
Definition	<p>The context of the assessment establishing its purpose, the organisation of criteria being evaluated, and its reference Framework(s).</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>A scenario can be used to include criteria sourced from various reference frameworks and organised in a flexible structure including nested parts (expressed as sub-scenarios each with a further specified context). A scenario with no included criteria is considered as high-level or informal.</p>
Subclass of	dcat:Dataset

3.4.1. Property: cav:includes

OWL Property	cav:includes
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	includes
Definition	<p>The aggregation of criteria to one scenario or parts of it.</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>This aggregation may be contextualised at different granularity levels, scenario, and parts of the scenario or specific criteria.</p> <p>The cardinality is 0..* to allow assessments that are very high-level, informal or subjective without criteria and scoring.</p>
Domain	cav:Scenario
Range	cccev:Criterion
Cardinality	0..n

3.4.2. Property: cav:definesSub

OWL Property	cav:definesSub
OWL typ	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	definesSub

Definition	The definition of nested scenarios grouped based on different sub-purposes, commonalities or particularities of the sub-sets of criteria.
Domain	<i>cav:Scenario</i>
Range	<i>cav:Scenario</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.4.3. Property: *cav:defines*

OWL Property	<i>cav:defines</i>
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	defines
Definition	The link to the evaluation contexts for specific criteria provided by the given scenario.
Domain	<i>cav:Scenario</i>
Range	<i>cav:EvaluationContext</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.4.4. Property: *cav:Purpose*

OWL Property	<i>cav:Purpose</i>
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Purpose
Definition	The reason for which the assessment is done.
Property Type	xsd:String

3.5 Class: *cav:Statement*

OWL Class	<i>cav:Statement</i>
Label	Statement
Definition	A value judgement, resulting from the assessment, pertinent to its entirety or to one or more of its specific parts.

3.5.1. Property: *cav:Judgement*

OWL Property	cav:Judgement
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Judgement
Definition	The text expressing the statement's resulting value judgement.
Property Type	xsd:String

3.5.2. Property: *cav:Type*

OWL Property	cav:Type
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Type
Definition	<p>The categorisation of the statement. Additional Information:</p> <p>This code needs a context/domain-specific application profile codelist. An example of what this code can be used for is when there is need of signalling whether the statement is totally subjective, a judgement based on comparative actions performed upon several score inputs, a sentence picked-up from a database and as a result of an automated calculation, etc.</p>
Property Type	skos:Concept

3.5.3. Property: *cav:Interpretation*

OWL Property	cav:Interpretation
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Interpretation
Definition	The favorability perception of the statement (e.g. positive, negative or neutral).
Property Type	skos:Concept

3.5.4. Property: *cav:formulatedBy*

OWL Property	cav:formulatedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	formulatedBy
Definition	The reference to the agent(s) responsible for issuing the current statement.
Domain	<i>cav:Statement</i>
Range	<i>foaf:Agent</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.5.5. Property: *cav:refersTo*

OWL Property	cav:refersTo
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	refersTo
Definition	The provision of a value judgement on one or more elements of the assessment.
Domain	<i>cav:Statement</i>
Range	<i>cav:Scenario</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.5.6. Property: *cav:refersTo*

OWL Property	cav:refersTo
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	refersTo
Definition	The provision of a value judgement on one or more elements of the assessment.
Domain	<i>cav:Statement</i>
Range	<i>cccev:Criterion</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.5.7. Property: *cav:refersTo*

OWL Property	cav:refersTo
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	refersTo
Definition	The provision of a value judgement on one or more elements of the assessment.
Domain	<i>cav:Statement</i>
Range	<i>cav:Score</i>
Cardinality	0..n

3.6 Class: *cav:EvaluationContext*

OWL Class	cav:EvaluationContext
Label	Evaluation Context
Definition	The context for a criterion providing guidance on its evaluation considering the given scenario. This is used exceptionally to extend the context offered by the scenario when it is not sufficient for the evaluation of a given criterion. A criterion's evaluation produces an objective output that will then be considered to form value judgments expressed as the assessment's statements.

3.6.1. Property: *cav:InstructionDescription*

OWL Property	cav:InstructionDescription
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Instruction Description
Definition	Guideline or description that needs to followed during the evaluation of one particular criterion.
Property Type	xsd:String

3.6.2. Property: *cav:definedBy*

OWL Property	cav:definedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	definedBy

Definition	The link to the scenario that provides the evaluation context for one or more criteria.
Domain	<i>cav:EvaluationContext</i>
Range	<i>cav:Scenario</i>
Cardinality	1

3.6.3. Property: *cav:contextualises*

OWL Property	<i>cav:contextualises</i>
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	contextualises
Definition	The provision of context for the evaluation of the criterion.
Domain	<i>cav:EvaluationContext</i>
Range	<i>cccev:Criterion</i>
Cardinality	1

3.6.4. Property: *cav:relatesTo*

OWL Property	<i>cav:relatesTo</i>
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	relates To
Definition	The context for a criterion related to a resource.
Domain	<i>cav:EvaluationContext</i>
Range	<i>rdfs:Resource</i>

3.7 Class: cav:Score

OWL Class	cav:Score
Label	Score
Definition	The value output assigned to the assessment as the result of assessing all the criteria. e.g. In the case of CAMSS, assessments include two different scores.

3.7.1. Property: cav:providedBy

OWL Property	cav:providedBy
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	providedBy
Definition	The agent responsible to provide score.
Domain	cav:Score
Range	foaf:Agent
Cardinality	0..1

3.7.2. Property: cav:Value

OWL Property	cav:Value
OWL type	owl:DataProperty
Label	Value
Definition	<p>The literal representing the final score assigned the assessment and criteria.</p> <p>Additional Information</p> <p>This literal is normally a number, generally a decimal. Be aware that one criterion may have multiple scores assigned, especially when there is a need to identify who the agent is providing the score.</p>
Property Type	rdfs:Literal

3.7.3. Property: cav:hasInput

OWL Property	cav:hasInput
--------------	--------------

OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	hasInput
Definition	<p>The different resources provided to feed the context for the evaluation of a criterion.</p> <p>Additional Information: For example, the assessment of the quality of a criterion that is answered by a multiple respondents, as the cases of an exam question answered by multiple students or the case of multiple evaluators evaluating the same quality aspect, etc.</p>
Domain	cav:Score
Range	rdfs:Resource
Cardinality	0..1

3.7.4. Property: cav:basedOn

OWL Property	cav:basedOn
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	basedOn
Definition	The consideration of a specific evaluation context when assigning the score to a criterion.
Domain	cav:Score
Range	cav:CriterionEvaluationContext
Cardinality	0..n

3.7.5. Property: cav:assignedTo

OWL Property	cav:assignedTo
OWL type	owl:ObjectProperty
Label	assignedTo
Definition	The assignment of a value output to the criterion.
Domain	cav:Score
Range	cccev:Criterion
Cardinality	1



4

CONFORMANCE STATEMENT

4. Conformance Statement

The performance of an Assessment using scenario-based “Criteria” is conformant with the CAV if:

- the Assessment uses the terms (classes and properties) in a consistent way with their semantics as declared in this specification;
- the Assessment does not use terms from other vocabularies instead of ones defined in this vocabulary that could reasonably be used.
 - A conforming data interchange:
 - may include terms from other vocabularies;
 - may use only a subset of CAV terms.

The CAV is technology-neutral, and a publisher may use any of the terms defined in this document encoded in any technology although RDF and XML are preferred.



5

ACCESSIBILITY AND MULTILINGUAL ASPECTS

5. Accessibility and Multilingual Aspects

The CAV can operate in any language due to the following.

- In a multilingual context, with all properties that are datatype “Text”, the value may exist in multiple languages, the property may be instantiated multiple times and tagged with the language identifier for the value used for that property.
- The CAV specification encourages the use of PURIs as identifiers.



6

ACRONYMS

6.Acronyms

Term	Description
CAV	Core Assessment Vocabulary
CCCEV	Core Criterion and Core Evidence Vocabulary
CSSV	Core Standards and Specifications Vocabulary
DCAT	Data Catalogue Vocabulary
ELIS	EIRA Library of Interoperability Specifications



7

REFERENCES

7. References

7.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, the CAMSS Team cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

DCAT Application Profile . (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/solution/dcat-application-profile-data-portals-europe/releases>

Dublin Core Metadata Initiative. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.dublincore.org/specifications/dublin-core/dcmi-terms/>

Friend of a Friend (FOAF) Vocabulary Specification. Retrieved from: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/spec/>

Common Criterion and Common Evidence Vocabulary (CCCEV). Retrieved from: [CAMSS Assessment of CCCEV EIF Scenario | Joinup \(europa.eu\)](#)

7.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, the CAMSS Team cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document, but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

CAMSS Joinup Community. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/common-assessment-method-standards-and-specifications-camss/about>

Common Assessment Method for Standards and Specifications (CAMSS). (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/common-assessment-method-standards-and-specifications-camss/about>

DCAT Application Profile . (n.d.). Retrieved from [Releases for DCAT Application Profile for data portals in Europe solution | Joinup \(europa.eu\)](#)

EU Vocabularies. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://publications.europa.eu/en/web/eu-vocabularies/controlled-vocabularies>

Interoperability Maturity Assessment of a Public Service (IMAPS). (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/imaps-interoperability-maturity-assessment-public-service>

Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 . (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32012R1025>

SEMIC CCCEV issues. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://github.com/SEMICeu/CCCEV/issues>

The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications. Chapter 2. January 2007. Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition. . (n.d.).



Annex 1

CAV Model

Annex 1: CAV Model



cav_tbox.ttl



CAV_UML.xml