

On endomorphism bases

J. DONALD MONK

In the article Baldwin, Berman, Glass, Hodges [1], with which we assume acquaintance, the authors prove a general theorem about endomorphism bases and apply it in several situations. We give here several comments. First, their proof of the theorem gives a slightly stronger result (Theorem 1 below). Second, this stronger result does not extend to singular cardinals (Example 1). Third, there is a weaker result that does hold for singular cardinals of cofinality $>\omega$ (Theorem 2). Fourth, this result does not hold for singular cardinals of cofinality ω (Example 2). In Example 2 we also apply these theorems to Boolean algebras.

The proof of Theorem 1 in [1] clearly gives the following result:

THEOREM 1. *In addition to the conclusion of Theorem 1 in [1] we have that for any mapping f from Y' into Y' , the endomorphism f^* of A corresponding to f maps X into X .*

EXAMPLE 1. Let κ be singular; say $\langle \mu_\alpha : \alpha < cf\kappa \rangle$ is a strictly increasing sequence of infinite cardinals with supremum κ . Let A be a free Boolean algebra with free generators $\langle a_\alpha : \alpha < cf\kappa \rangle$ and $\langle b_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < cf\kappa, \beta < \mu_\alpha \rangle$. Let $Y = \{a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < cf\kappa, \beta < \mu_\alpha\}$. Then $X = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < cf\kappa\} \cup \{b_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < cf\kappa, \beta < \mu_\alpha\}$ is an endomorphism base for A . We claim, however, that the conclusion of Theorem 1 fails. For, suppose that $Y' \in [Y]^\kappa$ is an endomorphism base, with the additional property mentioned. Then there exist distinct $\alpha, \delta < cf\kappa$ and distinct $\beta, \gamma < \mu_\alpha, \varepsilon < \mu_\delta$ such that $a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}, a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\gamma}, a_\delta \cdot b_{\delta\varepsilon} \in Y'$. Take a mapping f of Y' into Y' such that $f(a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}) = a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}$ and $f(a_\alpha \cdot b_{\alpha\gamma}) = a_\delta \cdot b_{\delta\varepsilon}$. If f^* is the extension of f to an endomorphism of A , clearly f^* does not map X into X .

Now we formulate a notion which enables us to extend Theorem 1 in a weaker form to singular cardinals. We call a subset X of A a *singular endomorphism base* if $\kappa = |X|$ is singular, and there is a partition $\langle Y_\alpha : \alpha < cf\kappa \rangle$ of X such that for all $\tau : cf\kappa \rightarrow cf\kappa$ and all $f : X \rightarrow X$, if $f[Y_\alpha] \subseteq Y_{\tau(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha < cf\kappa$, then f extends to an endomorphism f^* of A in a functorial way.

Presented by S. Burris. Received September 20, 1983. Accepted for publication in final form May 8, 1984.

THEOREM 2. Let A be a structure of countable type generated by an endomorphism base X . Suppose that κ is a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}\kappa > \omega$, and $Y \in [A]^\kappa$. Then there is a singular endomorphism base $Z \in [Y]^\kappa$ such that the indicated extensions map X into Z .

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], using the well-known double Δ -system lemma instead of the usual Δ -system lemma (see, e.g., Monk [2], Theorem 10.6). We then obtain $Z \in [Y]^\kappa$ and a partition $(W_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}\kappa)$ of Z such that for some term t , every element $b \in W_\alpha$, $\alpha < \text{cf}\kappa$, can be written as $b = t^A(\bar{c}, \bar{d}_\alpha, \bar{e}_b)$, where the \bar{c} , \bar{d} , \bar{e}_b are finite sequences of elements of X , all disjoint for various α and b . Given $\tau : \text{cf}\kappa \rightarrow \text{cf}\kappa$ and $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $f[W_\alpha] \subseteq W_{\tau\alpha}$ for all $\alpha < \text{cf}\kappa$, we define $g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$gx = \begin{cases} i\text{-th term of } \bar{e}_{fb}, & \text{if } x \text{ is the} \\ & \text{i-th term of } \bar{e}_b, \text{ for some } b \in Z, \\ i\text{-th term of } \bar{d}_{\tau\alpha}, & \text{if } x \text{ is the} \\ & \text{i-th term of } \bar{d}_\alpha, \text{ for some } \alpha < \text{cf}\kappa \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The rest of the proof is as for Theorem 1 of [1].

EXAMPLE 2. We apply both theorems to Boolean algebras, and show in particular that Theorem 2 does not hold for singular κ of cofinality ω . In [2], Corollary 10.9, it is shown that if $\text{cf}\kappa > \omega$, then any set of κ elements of a free BA contains an independent subset of size κ . The above results generalize this, according to the following

FACT. If X is a singular endomorphism base of a BA A , or an infinite endomorphism base of A , then X is independent.

Proof. We take only the case of a singular endomorphism base X , with notation as above. Suppose that Y and Z are disjoint finite subsets of X ; we show that $\prod_{y \in Y} y \cdot \prod_{z \in Z} z \neq 0$. Choose $\alpha < \text{cf}\kappa$ such that $|Y_\alpha| > 1$. Let $z : \text{cf}\kappa \rightarrow \text{cf}\kappa$ be such that $\tau\beta = \alpha$ for all $\beta < \text{cf}\kappa$. Choose $u, v \in Y_\alpha$ with $u \neq v$. Then let $f : X \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be such that $f[Y] \subseteq \{u\}$ and $f[Z] \subseteq \{v\}$. The extension of f to an endomorphism of A shows that $\prod_{y \in Y} y \cdot \prod_{z \in Z} z \neq 0$.

If A is a free BA and $\kappa \leq |A|$ is singular of cofinality ω , it is well-known that there is a subset $X \subseteq A$ of power κ with no independent subset of power κ . By the FACT, this shows that the condition $\text{cf}\kappa > \omega$ in Theorem 2 cannot be removed. To construct such a subset, let $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a partition of A , and for each $n \in \omega$

let Y_n be a subset of the set of free generators not appearing in the canonical forms of any a_n , of power μ_n , where the μ_n are strictly increasing with supremum κ and the Y_n are pairwise disjoint. Then, as is easily seen,

$$X = \{a_n \cdot y : n < \omega, y \in Y_n\}$$

is the desired set.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. T. BALDWIN, J. BERMAN, A. M. W. GLASS and W. A. HODGES, *A combinatorial fact about free algebras*, Alg. Univ. 15 (1982), 145–152.
- [2] J. D. MONK, *Independence in Boolean algebras*, Per. Math. Hungar. 14 (1983), 269–308.

*University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado
U.S.A.*