

Traae Bloxham
Dr. Issac Griffith
CS 3321
4/11/22

Team Evaluation:
Sprint 3

Part 1: Self-Evaluation

Traae Bloxham

This sprint improved what I had already worked on, and worked together with David on a major design issue. After a lot of discussion we ultimately settled it, and have a better grasp going forward.

Grade: B

Percentage of work: 25%

I completed my tasks, but they were few.

I completed my work but was not otherwise involved.

Comments:

- I ultimately did not find time to handle documentation beyond my own code.

Part 2 - Team Member Evaluation

Tyler Kelley

Grade: A

Percentage of the work: 25%

This person was incredibly involved

Comments:

- Tyler continued to put in his work as promised.

David Lindeman

Grade: A

Percentage of the work: 35%

This person was incredibly involved

Comments:

- David has been putting in a lot of work, and when it came to our final design choices, he was right all along. I concede.

Hyun Se Seo

Grade: B

Percentage of the work: 10%

This person completed all assigned work but was not otherwise involved

Comments:

- I have seen Seo's laptop, I know that he has done work, but he has yet to put anything on the repo, and, unbeknownst to me until today, David has been offering to help him with git, and Seo hasn't made the effort to take the offer.
- We're slightly concerned about Seo's performance, but I'm willing to wait.

Steven Yerka

Grade: B

Percentage of the work: 10%

This person completed most of the work assigned but other group members contributed more.

Comments:

- Steve keeps trucking on his assignment. Due to the changes to the repo's structure hasn't been able to start committing code yet, but that should be done with.

Part 3 - Team Use of Scrum and Essence

We continued our scrum and essence usage from part 2. Not enough. However, for the next sprint, I'll be product owner, and David Scrum Master, I think we stand a chance of course correcting.

There is no mechanism that has forced us to properly document and scrum.