

David Lindeman

CS 3321

Sprint 3 Team Evaluations

Self Evaluation:

Responsibilities: Creating the library for the backend and working with other members to host and deploy the backed and database so that the front ends can utilize the API.

Grade: A

Percentage of Work: 30%

I completed my task of finishing the library in addition to being the acting project owner this sprint. However I didn't finish the activeJDBC implementation this sprint. The ActiveJDBC implementation was originally planned for this sprint however refactoring and polishing the library took more time than estimated so it got push to the next sprint.

Participation: I was incredibly involved.

Team Member Evaluation:

Traee Bloxham

Grade: A,

Percentage of Work: 25%,

Involvement: This person was incredibly involved

Traee and I have been working hard on the database implementation and design, we went through several iterations of different database options and he successfully set up the API framework this sprint.

Tyler Kelly,

Grade: A,

Percentage of Work: 25%,

Involvement: This person was incredibly involved

Tyler completed all of his tasks including writing tests for the UI in addition to assisting with the project report for the sprint.

Steven Yerka,

Grade A,

Percentage of Work: 15%,

Involvement: This person completed all assigned work but was not otherwise involved,

Steven created his document file saver and pushed it to the repo. Steven has several external factors limiting his time on this project so he has not been able to be as involved as others. None the less Steven has done a great job communicating with the team and showing up to meetings.

Hyunse Seo,

Grade: D,

Percentage of Work: 5%,

Involvement: This person did not contribute

Seo didn't show up to the 2nd Scrum meeting and has not made a single push or even joined the group repo. I know he's done some work but without having that work pushed to the repo I have no way of knowing what he did. I have made multiple posts on the group chat asking for him to push his work and he did not.

Team Use of Scrum and Essence:

Due to scheduling constraints we are only able to hold 1 full scrum meeting every week on Wednesdays, however to compensate for this we hold an additional scrum meeting on Fridays and if needed Saturday morning. The major issue we have had following this approach is that each spring we get a new product owner and scrum leader and the hand off of roles is not done as efficiently as the methods of one may not match up with the methods of the other. We did not take on any additional methods for this sprint as our twice a week meetings and group messaging have been working well for our team's communication