Syntax-based Testing



Computer Science

Isaac Griffith

CS 4422 and CS 5599 Department of Computer Science Idaho State University





Outcomes

At the end of Today's Lecture you will be able to:

- Understand the basics of regular expressions and BNF grammars
- Understand the concepts related to mutants and how to kill them
- Understand basic grammar-based and syntax-based coverage criteria





Inspiration


"Just like with everything else, tools won't give you good results unless you know how, when, and why to apply them. If you go out and you buy the most expensive frying pan on the market it's still not going to make you a good chef." – Christin Wiedemann

"A fool with a tool is still a fool." - Grady Booch





Syntax Coverage







Using Syntax to Generate Tests

- Lots of software artifacts follow strict syntax rules
- The syntax is often expressed as a grammar
 - Commonly BNF
- Syntactic descriptions can come from many sources
 - Programs
 - Integration elements
 - Design documents
 - Input descriptions
- Tests are created with two general goals
 - Cover the syntax in some way
 - Violate the syntax (invalid tests)





Grammar Coverage Criteria

- Software engineering makes practical use of automata theory in several ways
 - **Programming languages** defined in BNF
 - Program behavior described as finite state machines
 - Allowable inputs defined by grammars
- A simple regular expression: (G s n | B t n)*
 - '*' is closure operator, zero or more occurrences
 - '|' is choice, either one can be used
- Any sequence of "G s n" and "B t n"
- 'G' and 'B' could represent commands, methods, or events
- 's', 't', and 'n' can represent arguments, parameters or values
- 's', 't', and 'n' could represent literals or a set of values





Test Cases from Grammar

- A string that satisfies the derivation rules is said to be "in the grammar"
- A test case is a **sequence of strings** that satisfy the regular expression
- Suppose 's', 't', and 'n' are numbers

Example

```
G 26 08.01.90
```

Could be one test with four parts or four separate tests, etc.





BNF Grammars

```
Stream ::= action*
action ::= actG | actB
actG ::= "G" s n
actB ::= "B" t n
       ::= digit\{1,3\}
S
       ::= digit\{1,3\}
t
       ::= digit{2} "." digit{2} "."
n
           digit{2}
digit
           "4" | "5" | "6" |
           "8"
                  11911
```

- Stream is called the **start symbol**
- action and actG are examples of non-terminals
- actB ::= "B" t n wholly is an example of a production rule
- "5" is an example of a **terminal**
- digit{1,3} means 1 to 3 digits (inclusive)
- digit{2} means exactly 2 digits





Using Grammars

- **Recognizer**: Is a string (or test) in the grammar?
 - This is called parsing
 - Tools exist to support parsing
 - Programs can use them for input validation
- Generator: Given a grammar, derive strings in the grammar





Idaho Mutation as Grammar-Based Testing Computer Science Page 1






Figure State Grammar-based Coverage Criteria Computer Com

• The most common and straightforward criteria use every terminal and every production at least once

Terminal Symbol Coverage (TSC)

TR contains each terminal symbol t in the grammar G.

Production Coverage(PDC)

TR contains each production p in the grammar G.

- PDC subsumes TSC
- Grammars and graphs are interchangeable
 - PDC is equivalent to EC, TSC is equivalent to NC
- Other graph-based coverage criteria could be defined on grammar
 - But have not

daho State University Grammar-based Coverage Criteria

• A related criterion is the impractical one of deriving all possible strings

Derivation Coverage (DC)

TR contains every possible string that can be derived from the grammar G

- The number of **TSC tests** is bound by the number of **terminal symbols**
 - 13 in the stream grammar
- The number of **PDC tests** is bound by the number of **productions**
 - 18 in the stream grammar
- The number of **DC tests** depends on the **details** of the grammar
 - **2,000,000,000** in the stream grammar!
- All TSC, PDC and DC tests are in the grammar ... how about tests that are **NOT in the grammar**?



Mutation Testing

- Grammars describe both **valid** and **invalid** strings
- Both types can be produced as **mutants**
- A mutant is a **variation** of a valid string
 - Mutants may be valid or invalid strings
- Mutation is based on "mutation operators" and "ground strings"





What is Mutation?

General View

We are performing mutation analysis whenever we

- Use well defined rules
- defined on syntactic descriptions
- to make systematic changes
- to the **syntax** or to **objects** developed from the syntax.





What is Mutation?

General View

We are performing mutation analysis whenever we

- Use well defined mutation operators
- defined on grammars
- to make applied universally or according to empirically verified distributions
- to the grammar or to ground strings (tests or programs) developed from the syntax.





Mutation Testing

- Ground string: A string in the grammar
 - The term "ground" is used as an analogy to algebraic ground terms
- Mutation Operator: A rule that specifies syntactic variations of strings generated from a grammar
- Mutant: The result of one application of a mutation operator
 - A mutant is a string either in the grammar or very close to being in the grammar





Mutants and Ground Strings

- The key to mutation testing is the **design** of the mutation operators
 - Well designed operators lead to powerful testing
- Sometimes mutant strings are based on ground strings
- Sometimes they are derived directly from the grammar
 - Ground strings are used for valid tests
 - Invalid tests do not need ground strings

| Valid Mutants | Ground String Mutants | Invalid Mutants |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| G 26 08.01.90 | B 26 08.01.90 | 7 26 08.01.90 |
| B 22 06.27.94 | B 45 06.27.94 | B 22 06.27.1 |





Questions About Mutation

- Should more than one operator be applied at the same time?
 - Should a mutated string contain more than one mutated element?
 - Usually not multiple mutations can interfere with each other
 - Experience with program-based mutation indicates not
 - Recent research is finding exceptions
- Should every possible application of a mutation operator be considered?
 - Necessary with program-based mutation
- Mutation operators have been defined for many languages
 - Programming languages (Fortran, Lisp, Ada, C, C++, Java)
 - Specification languages (SMV, Z, Object-Z, algebraic specs)
 - Modeling languages (Statecharts, activity diagrams)
 - Input grammars (XML, SQL, HTML)





Killing Mutants

- When ground strings are mutated to create valid strings, the hope is to exhibit different behavior from the ground string
- This is normally used when the grammars are programming languages, the strings are programs, and the ground strings are pre-existing programs
- **Killing Mutants**: Given a mutant $m \in M$ for a derivation D and a test t, t is said to kill m iff the output of t on D is different from the output of t on m
- The derivation D may be represented by the list of productions or by the final string





Syntax-based Coverage Criteria

• Coverage is defined in terms of killing mutants

Mutation Coverage (MC)

For each $m \in M$, TR contains exactly one requirement, to kill m.

- Coverage in mutation equates to number of mutants killed
- The amount of mutants killed is called the **mutation score**





Syntax-based Coverage Criteria

- When creating invalid strings, we just apply the operators
- This results in two simple criteria
- It makes sense to either use every operator once or every production once

Mutation Operator Coverage (MOC)

For each mutation operator, TR contains exactly one requirement, to create a mutated string m that is derived using the mutation operator.

Mutation Production Coverage (MPC)

For each mutation operator, TR contains several requirements, to create one mutated string m that includes every production that can be mutated by that operator.



Example

```
Grammar
```

```
Stream
             action*
             actG
action
                      actB
             "G" s
actG
actB
             "B"
                 t.
             digit1-3
S
             digit1-3
t
             digit2 "."
                         digit2 "." digit2
                                "3" | "4" | "5"
                                                   "6" I
                                                                       "9"
digit
```

Ground String G 25 08.01.90

B 21 06.27.94 **Mutants using MOC**

B 25 08.01.90 B 23 06.27.94

Mutation Operators

- Exchange actG and act.B
- Replace digits with all other digits

Mutants using MPC

25 08.01.90 G 21 06.27.94 15 08.01.90 B 22 06.27.94

G 35 08.01.90 B 23 06.27.94 B 24 06.27.94 G 45 08.01.90




Mutation Testing

- The **number of test requirements** for mutation depends on two things
 - The syntax of the artifact being mutated
 - The mutation operators
- Mutation testing is very difficult to apply by hand
- Mutation testing is very effective considered the "gold standard" of testing
- Mutation testing is often used to **evaluate** other criteria





Idahos tate Univernity Stantiating Grammar-Based Testing Computer Science







Structure of Chapter

| | Program-based | Integration | Model-based | Input space |
|----------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Grammar | 9.2.1 | 9.3.1 | 9.4.1 | 9.5.1 |
| Grammar | Programming languages | No known applications | Algebraic specifications | Input languages, including XML |
| Summary | Compiler testing | | • | Input space testing |
| Valid? | Valid & invalid | | | Valid |
| Mutation | 9.2.2 | 9.3.2 | 9.4.2 | 9.5.2 |
| Grammar | Programming languages | Programming | FSMs | Input languages, |
| | | languages | | including XML |
| Summary | Mutates programs | Tests integration | Model checking | Error checking |
| Ground? | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Valid? | Yes, must compile | Yes, must compile | Yes | No |
| Tests? | Mutants not tests | Mutants not tests | Traces are tests | Mutants are tests |
| Killing | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Notes | Strong and weak. Subsumes other techniques | Includes OO testing | | Sometimes the grammar is mutated |





Are there any questions?

