

Sprint 2

Team Member: Myself, David Hellwig

Grade: B

% of work completed: 15%

Tasks: I completed all my assigned tasks

Participation: I was incredibly involved*

Defense*: I was in between incredibly involved and not otherwise involved. I had other academic obligations that prevented me from spending as much time as I wanted on the project. However I did do all the work I was assigned. As with sprint 1, having time to contribute due to other obligations was an issue.

Team Member: Alex Diviney

Grade: A

% of work completed: 30

Tasks: Team member accomplished all assigned tasks

Participation: This person was incredibly involved

Defense*: n/a

Team Member: Show Pratoomratana

Grade: A

% of work completed: 28.5

Tasks: Team member accomplished all assigned tasks

Participation: This person was incredibly involved

Defense*: n/a

Team Member: Michael Collier

Grade: A

% of work completed: 23.5%

Participation: This person was incredibly involved

Defense*: n/a

Team Member: Ekow Barlow

Grade: C

% of work completed: 3%

Participation: This person did not contribute

Defense: Ekow did less work during sprint 2, but since he hasn't been able to get feedback for sprint 2 I am not going to give him a failing grade. He was notably absent from class which was worrying.

Scrum and essence

As with sprint 1, we had issues sticking to our roles. And as with sprint 1, we decided to refrain from the ontological terms of essence in our language. We still used essence however, despite not using the ontological terms.