Writing Test Plans and Test Implementation

Idaho State University | Computer Science

Isaac Griffith

CS 4422 and CS 5599
Department of Computer Science
Idaho State University

ROAR

Idaho State University
Computer Science

Outcomes

At the end of Today's Lecture you will be able to:

- Understand the issues surrounding test plans
  - Issues with Testing Documentation
  - Current Standards
  - Types of Test plans
- Understand issues in Testing Implementation
  - Specifically issues with Integration Testing
  - Approaches to selecting which classes to test first

ROAR

Writing Test Plans

Testing Documentation

- Organizations tend to document testing using
  - Test Plans
  - Test Plan Reporting
- But, focusing too much on documentation leads to:
  - An environment that produces a lot of **meaningless reports**
  - An environment wherein **nothing gets done**
- Test Plan Contents
  - How the tests were created
  - Why the tests were created
  - How the tests will be run

Standardization

- Companies and organizations have developed many different templates/outlines for test plans
- Unfortunately they are too numerous to discuss here
- Thus we will focus on the IEEE standard -> IEEE 829-2008
  - Current version
  - Original was from IEEE 829-1983
  - Updated in both 1990 and 1998 prior to current edition

Standardization

According to IEEE 829-2008, a **Test Plan** is:

Ⓐ “A document describing the scope, approach, resources, and schedule of intended activities. It identifies test items, features to be tested, the testing tasks, and any risks requiring contingency planning.”

Ⓑ “A document that describes the technical and management approach to be followed for testing a system or component. Typical contents identify the items to be tested, tasks to be performed, responsibilities, schedules, and required resources for testing.”

Types of Test Plans

The IEEE 829-2008 identifies two types of test plans:

1. **Master Test Plan (MTP)** - provides overall test planning and management document for multiple levels of test.
   - Useful for either a single project or multiple projects within an organization
2. **Level Test Plan (LTP)** - describes testing at a particular level.
   - Describes the scope, approach, resources, and schedule of testing activities for the level of testing
   - Defines items tested, features tested, testing tasks, who is responsible, and risks

Level Test Plan Template

- Consists of four main sections:
  1. **Introduction** - places the test activities in the context of the overall project
  2. **Details For This Level of Test Plan** - describes the general test approach and criteria for test completion
  3. **Test Management** - what will be done when and who will do it
  4. **General** - general testing information including QA procedures, metrics, glossary, etc.
- The following slides describe these sections in more detail

1. Introduction

Should contain the following subsections:

1. **Document identifier** - documents unique name which encodes document info such as date, author, etc.
2. **Scope** - describes what is being tested for the document level
3. **References** - related documents separated by internal and external
4. **Level in the overall sequence** - presents a figure representing how the testing level described fits within the overall project dev and test structure
5. **Test classes and overall test conditions** - describes what is unique about the testing activity documented. General this describes what should be tested or the test criteria used

2. Plan Details

Should contain the following subsections:

1. **Test items and their identifiers** - identifies the system under test (or component/subsystem) and also documents details about the component under test (i.e. how to install it, run it, and any environmental needs it has)
2. **Test traceability matrix** - documents the origin of each test (i.e. requirements, test coverage requirements or design elements)
3. **Features to be tested** - explicitly lists all features to be tested using names that are referenced in other documentation
4. **Features not to be tested** - identifies everything that will not be tested and the reason it will not be tested

2. Plan Details

5. **Approach** - describes how this testing should be carried out, including test criteria, level of automation, etc.
6. **Item pass/fail criteria** - identifies the criteria for each item to be tested to identify when it is deemed to have passed testing
7. **Suspension criteria and resumption requirements** - defines criteria for when to suspend testing and wait for dev team to correct the problem
8. **Test deliverables** - documents all data that is delivered during testing

Idaho State University
Computer Science

3. Test Management

Should contain the following subsections:

1. **Planned activities and tasks; test progression** - describes the tasks that must be done to plan for testing and carry out testing. Identifies any inter-task dependencies and constraints.
2. **Environment and infrastructure** - Describes the test environment, including anything needed before running tests (hardware, software, database, support tools, results capturing tools, privacy issues, security issues)
3. **Responsibilities and authority** - identifies who is responsible for managing, designing, preparing, executing, checking results, and resolving problems found during testing.
4. **Interfaces among the parties involved** - describes how the people involved should communicate

ROAR

3. Test Management

5. **Resources and their allocation** - describes any needed resources not previously identified
6. **Training** - identifies the knowledge, skills and training the test personnel need and where this knowledge can be obtained
7. **Schedules, estimates, and costs** - provides the schedule for testing, including preparation, design and execution of tests. Identifies the major test milestones.
8. **Risks and contingencies** - identifies any risks that can be foreseen, and provides suggestions to avoid, mitigate and recover from these risks.

Idaho State University
Computer Science

4. General

Should contain the following subsections:

1. **Quality assurance procedures** - describes plan for QA of the testing effort
2. **Metrics** - how testing is measured and reported
3. **Test coverage** - describes how coverage is measures and coverage requirements
4. **Glossary** - list of terms and their definitions (includes acronyms)
5. **Document change procedures and history** - documents changes to the LTP document

Test Implementation

Test Implementation

- To gain immediate feedback
  - All code must compile
  - Test must not cause collateral damage
  - The process must be repeatable
  - Must compile in a timely manner
- These constraints do not typically pose a challenge to unit testing
- The challenge occurs when testing a fully integrated system

Integration Testing

- **Integration Testing** - The testing of incompatibilities and interfaces between otherwise correctly working components
  - Goal: assure the correct integration of subcomponents into a bigger working component.
- Integration testing is often done with an incomplete system
  - Testers may be evaluating how components work together
  - Testers may be testing integration aspects before the system is complete
  - Testers may be putting the system together piece by piece and evaluating how each component fits within the system

Components

- **Component** - a piece of a program that can be tested independently of the complete program or system
- A Component can be:
  - Classes
  - Modules
  - Methods
  - Packages
  - Non-executable software artifacts such as XML, JSON, or YAML files
  - XML Schemas
  - Databases

Integration Order

- When integrating components, the order classes or subsystems are integrated and tested matters
- For example:
  - If class `A` uses methods from class `B`, and `B` is not available, then we need test doubles for those methods in order to test `A`
  - Instead, if we test `B` first, then when `A` is tested we can simply use actual objects of `B` rather than doubles

CITO

- The previous slide exemplifies the **class integration test order (CITO) problem**
- CITO typically applies to components rather than classes
- The general goal is to:
  - Test classes/components in the order that requires the least scaffolding, or additional software
    - Creating test doubles is considered to be a major cost of integration testing

CITO

- Another way of think about this is based on the dependencies of a class.
- **Dependency Graph** - a graph where the nodes are classes, and the edges are dependencies (couplings) between classes
- Using this graph
  - If there are no cycles, then integration testing is easy
    - Classes with no dependencies are tested first
    - Testing proceeds by following a topological sort of the graph
    - Each subsequent class is integrated and the integration tested

CITO

- Cycles in the dependency graph make things more complicated
  - The tester will be required to choose where to “break the cycle”
    - That is selecting one class in the cycle to test first
  - Here some sort of stubbing or test doubles will be required to handle missing functionality
- The good news is
  - Most class diagrams have few if any cycles
  - As designers we should attempt to avoid cyclical designs in the first place

Are there any questions?