

Part 1: Self-Evaluation

- Alex Diviney
- Chief Architect, Microservices Design, Microservices implementation, Product Owner for sprint.
- Assign yourself the grade: A
- What percentage of the work did you complete?

40%

- Did you complete your tasks? If you did not complete your assigned tasks explain why.

I completed all necessary tasks to feel confident with the state of our project at the end of the sprint. This included microservice implementation, discussion with other group members, and testing and refactoring as needed.

- How would you rate your participation in this group? (choose one of the following)
 - I was incredibly involved.

To be frank, I put in more hours in this project than most of my other team members combined (I love my team, they are great to be clear). This was necessary, as without my work and coordination with other developers this sprint we would not have a viable product by the end of the semester. I could see complaints being made about my machinegun style fact dumping tendencies, or somewhat abrasive approach to managing meetings but as far as participation in this project, I did an incredible amount of work.

Part 2 - Team Member Evaluation

For each other team member complete the following:

- State their name: Show Pratt:
- Assign this person a grade: A
- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

33%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person was incredibly involved

Show may be the best team member I have ever had in any academic setting ever. He is highly intelligent and insightful, very collaborative and communicative, and incredibly friendly. He also writes good code, and puts in the hours. He heavily influenced the current system architecture.

For each other team member complete the following:

- State their name: Michael Collier:
- Assign this person a grade: A
- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

10%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person completed all assigned work but was not otherwise involved

Michael is a fantastic team member. His busy schedule precludes him from attending meetings as often but he is communicative and has met with me repeatedly about integrating his JavaScript frontend and my backend. I haven't audited his code so I have to assume he is doing a good job, knowing him.

For each other team member complete the following:

- State their name: David Hellwig:
- Assign this person a grade: A
- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

15%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person was incredibly involved

Michael may come off as somewhat timid or abrasive sometimes, but he is smart, compliant, and from what I can tell, competent. He did not do as much testing this sprint, partially because I was in the implementation phase so I dumped a bunch of stuff to test near the end, but he is a pleasure to work with and very communicative. He also shows up all team meetings and completes work. He is our test guy, and from what I have seen, does good work.

For each other team member complete the following:

- State their name: Ekow Barlow:
- Assign this person a grade: F
- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

2%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)

- This person did not contribute

I do not like writing failing scores. I cannot audit Ekows code, mainly because he hasn't pushed much. He shows up to many team meetings, but barely talks. That is all I will say on this matter.

Part 3 - Team Use of Scrum and Essence

- Briefly describe how your team has been utilizing Scrum and the Essence concepts

Our team uses Scrum and Essence concepts. We mainly integrate scrum to hold rapid fire meetings at the end of class, and we use the paired programming practice from essentialized scrum.

- Describe any issues the team is having following these approaches

I have found no main issues, other than seeing the need for a standardization of a team meeting (other than scrum) since those can become a little bit disorganized.

- Describe any methods your team has adopted to improve

We tend to follow scrum a little bit loosely due to time constraints and for example have broken up our team review into two pieces this sprint.