



SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEWS

DR. ISAAC GRIFFITH

IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY

Introduction

What is a Systematic Literature Review?

What is a Systematic Literature Review?

- Conducted to “identify, analyze, and interpret all available evidence related to a specific research question”

What is a Systematic Literature Review?

- Conducted to “identify, analyze, and interpret all available evidence related to a specific research question”
- Aims to give a complete, comprehensive, and valid picture of existing evidence

What is a Systematic Literature Review?

- Conducted to “identify, analyze, and interpret all available evidence related to a specific research question”
- Aims to give a complete, comprehensive, and valid picture of existing evidence
- This must be done in a scientific and rigorous way, using the following 3-step process:
 1. Planning the review
 2. Conducting the review
 3. Reporting the review

Outcomes



At the end of today's lecture you should be able to

- Know and understand the steps to conduct a SLR
- Understand various analysis approaches used for an SLR
- Structure and produce a review report
- Know why and when to conduct a review



Phase 1: Plan Review

IDG

Planning the Review



Planning an SLR requires the following actions:

- Identification of the need for a review

Planning the Review



Planning an SLR requires the following actions:

- Identification of the need for a review
- Specifying the review questions

Planning the Review



Planning an SLR requires the following actions:

- Identification of the need for a review
- Specifying the review questions
- Developing a review protocol

Need for a Review

The need for an SLR is typically one or more of the following:

Need for a Review

The need for an SLR is typically one or more of the following:

- Aiming to understand the state-of-the art in a research area

Need for a Review



The need for an SLR is typically one or more of the following:

- Aiming to understand the state-of-the art in a research area
- A desire to use empirical evidence in decision-making

Need for a Review



The need for an SLR is typically one or more of the following:

- Aiming to understand the state-of-the art in a research area
- A desire to use empirical evidence in decision-making

This goes in the introduction section of your report

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?
 - **I: Intervention** - the intervention applied in the empirical study, i.e., which technology, tool or procedure is under study?

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?
 - **I: Intervention** - the intervention applied in the empirical study, i.e., which technology, tool or procedure is under study?
 - **C: Comparison** - the comparison to which the intervention is compared, i.e. how is the control treatment defined?

Review Questions



- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?
 - **I: Intervention** - the intervention applied in the empirical study, i.e., which technology, tool or procedure is under study?
 - **C: Comparison** - the comparison to which the intervention is compared, i.e. how is the control treatment defined?
 - **O: Outcomes** - the outcomes of the experiment should not only be statistically significant, but also be significant from a practical point of view.

- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?
 - **I: Intervention** - the intervention applied in the empirical study, i.e., which technology, tool or procedure is under study?
 - **C: Comparison** - the comparison to which the intervention is compared, i.e. how is the control treatment defined?
 - **O: Outcomes** - the outcomes of the experiment should not only be statistically significant, but also be significant from a practical point of view.
 - **C: Context** - the context of the study must be defined, which is an extended view of the population, including whether it is conducted in academia or industry, in which industry segment, and also the incentives for the subjects.

- The area of review and the questions set the focus.
- To develop appropriate questions use the PICO(C) method:
 - **P: Population** - the population in which the evidence is collected, i.e., which group of people, programs or businesses are of interest for the review?
 - **I: Intervention** - the intervention applied in the empirical study, i.e., which technology, tool or procedure is under study?
 - **C: Comparison** - the comparison to which the intervention is compared, i.e. how is the control treatment defined?
 - **O: Outcomes** - the outcomes of the experiment should not only be statistically significant, but also be significant from a practical point of view.
 - **C: Context** - the context of the study must be defined, which is an extended view of the population, including whether it is conducted in academia or industry, in which industry segment, and also the incentives for the subjects.
- Additionally the experiment designs to include should be noted in the research question.

Population Examples



- A specific software engineering role
 - testers, managers
- A category of software engineer
 - novice or experienced
- An application area
 - IT systems, command and control systems
- An industry group
 - Telecomm companies or small IT companies

From Kitchenham et al.

- **Population:** software or web project
- **Intervention:** cross-company project effort estimation model
- **Comparison:** single-company project effort estimation model
- **Outcomes:** prediction or estimation accuracy

This led to the following questions:

1. What evidence is there that cross-company estimation models are not significantly different from within-company estimation models for predicting effort for software/web projects?
2. What characteristics of the study data sets and the data analysis methods used in the study affect the outcome of within- and cross-company effort estimation accuracy studies?
3. Which experimental procedures is most appropriate for studies comparing within- and cross-company estimation models?

Exercise



Take out a sheet of paper and for the next 5 minutes identify the PICO structure of your research project:

- **Population?**
- **Intervention?**
- **Comparison?**
- **Outcomes?**

- Defines the review procedures and acts as a log
- The protocol should contain:
 - Background and rationale
 - Research questions
 - Search strategy for primary studies
 - Study selection criteria
 - Study selection procedures
 - Study quality assessment checklists and procedures
 - Data extraction strategy
 - Synthesis of the extracted data
 - Dissemination strategy
 - Project timetable

Review Protocol



Idaho State
University

Computer
Science

- Ensures consistency

Review Protocol

- Ensures consistency
- Ensures validity



- Ensures consistency
- Ensures validity
- You should also conduct a pre-study to
 - scope the research questions
 - validate the search strings
 - validate the inclusion/exclusion criteria



- Ensures consistency
- Ensures validity
- You should also conduct a pre-study to
 - scope the research questions
 - validate the search strings
 - validate the inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Be open to modifying questions during protocol development

Review Protocol



- Ensures consistency
- Ensures validity
- You should also conduct a pre-study to
 - scope the research questions
 - validate the search strings
 - validate the inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Be open to modifying questions during protocol development
- Once finalized, you should review the protocol for validity

Phase 2: Conduct Review

IDG

Conducting the Review



A review is conducted by executing the following tasks:

1. Identification of research
2. Selection of primary studies
3. Study quality assessment
4. Data extraction and monitoring
5. Data synthesis

Research Identification

This step focuses on the search strategies that will be used to find primary studies, which include:

This step focuses on the search strategies that will be used to find primary studies, which include:

- Primary Study Identification
 - Manual Search
 - Database Search

This step focuses on the search strategies that will be used to find primary studies, which include:

- Primary Study Identification
 - Manual Search
 - Database Search
- Snowball sampling

This step focuses on the search strategies that will be used to find primary studies, which include:

- Primary Study Identification
 - Manual Search
 - Database Search
- Snowball sampling
- Grey Literature

- Manual search can be conducted in the following locations:
 - Prominent Author's webpages
 - References of existing literature reviews
 - Known Conferences for the research area
 - Known Journals for the research area

- Manual search can be conducted in the following locations:
 - Prominent Author's webpages
 - References of existing literature reviews
 - Known Conferences for the research area
 - Known Journals for the research area
- **Goal:** Obtain a representative sample of papers then conduct snowball sampling.
 - The tradeoff between manual and database search is
 - Less false positives up front than with database search
 - Requires more rounds of snowball sampling
 - Underrepresentative samples lead to higher chance of missing key primary studies

Database Search



Use when you do not have enough knowledge to conduct a manual search

- The process is as follows:
 1. Develop your search string
 2. Database selection
 3. Search execution

Database Search



Use when you do not have enough knowledge to conduct a manual search

- The process is as follows:
 1. Develop your search string
 2. Database selection
 3. Search execution

Note that there is always a tradeoff between:

- finding all relevant primary studies
- being overwhelmed with false positives to be removed manually
- Thus, we need to calibrate our search string



- Use the research questions to create sets of keywords

Search String



- Use the research questions to create sets of keywords
- You should have one set per item of your PICO(C) question criteria



- Use the research questions to create sets of keywords
- You should have one set per item of your PICO(C) question criteria
- For each item in each set, identify applicable synonyms for each keyword and add to the set.

- Use the research questions to create sets of keywords
- You should have one set per item of your PICO(C) question criteria
- For each item in each set, identify applicable synonyms for each keyword and add to the set.
- Build a Boolean search string from the selected keywords
 - **OR** together keywords within a set
 - surround sets with parentheses
 - **AND** together the sets
- If necessary, refine the expression to improve your results.

- Use the research questions to create sets of keywords
- You should have one set per item of your PICO(C) question criteria
- For each item in each set, identify applicable synonyms for each keyword and add to the set.
- Build a Boolean search string from the selected keywords
 - **OR** together keywords within a set
 - surround sets with parentheses
 - **AND** together the sets
- If necessary, refine the expression to improve your results.
- You should analyze the sensitivity of the results of your search to refine the search string.

Search String Examples



From Kitchenham et al.

- **Population:** software or web project
- **Intervention:** cross-company project effort estimation model
- **Comparison:** single-company project effort estimation model
- **Outcomes:** prediction or estimation accuracy

Leads to the following search strings:

- **Population:** software OR application OR product OR web OR www OR Internet OR World-Wide Web OR project OR development
- **Intervention:** cross company OR cross organization OR cross organisation OR multiple-organizational OR multiple-organizational model OR modeling OR modelling effort OR cost OR resource estimation OR prediction OR assessment
- **Comparison:** within-organization OR within-organization OR within-organizational OR within-organisational OR single company OR single organisation
- **Outcomes:** accuracy OR mean magnitude relative error

Search String Examples

The Final Search string becomes:

```
(software OR application OR product OR web OR www OR Internet OR World-Wide Web OR project OR development)
AND (cross company OR cross organization OR cross organisation OR multiple-organizational OR
    multiple-organizational model OR modeling OR modelling effort OR cost OR resource estimation OR
    prediction OR assessment)
AND (within-organization OR within-organization OR within-organizational OR within-organisational OR
    single company OR single organisation)
AND (accuracy OR mean magnitude relative error)
```


Exercise



Take the next 5 minutes, using the same sheet of paper from before, for each of the PICO items define a set of search strings:

- **Population?**
- **Intervention?**
- **Comparison?**
- **Outcomes?**

Database Selection



- A single database is usually not enough to identify your primary studies.

- A single database is usually not enough to identify your primary studies.
- Using multiple databases will inevitably result in duplicates, but a greater sample of the population as well.



- A single database is usually not enough to identify your primary studies.
- Using multiple databases will inevitably result in duplicates, but a greater sample of the population as well.
- For CS/SE Studies you should use at least the following:
 - IEEExplore
 - ACM Digital Library



- A single database is usually not enough to identify your primary studies.
- Using multiple databases will inevitably result in duplicates, but a greater sample of the population as well.
- For CS/SE Studies you should use at least the following:
 - IEEExplore
 - ACM Digital Library
- You should use 2 or more general indexes such as:
 - INSPEC/Compendex
 - Web of Science

Database Selection



- Additionally, you can use the following indexes
 - ScienceDirect
 - SpringerLink

Database Selection



- Additionally, you can use the following indexes
 - ScienceDirect
 - SpringerLink
- **Do NOT use Google Scholar** since the results are not replicable



- Additionally, you can use the following indexes
 - ScienceDirect
 - SpringerLink
- **Do NOT use Google Scholar** since the results are not replicable
- Realize: you cannot find all primary studies for a given topic, and the what is found is simply a sample



- Keep a detailed record of the search findings.
 - Number found
 - Included studies
 - Excluded studies
 - Duplicate studies

- Keep a detailed record of the search findings.
 - Number found
 - Included studies
 - Excluded studies
 - Duplicate studies

When to Stop Searching

- Search is a time-consuming process, and we will never be able to find all of the papers.

- Keep a detailed record of the search findings.
 - Number found
 - Included studies
 - Excluded studies
 - Duplicate studies

When to Stop Searching

- Search is a time-consuming process, and we will never be able to find all of the papers.
- To save time, adopt search stoppage criteria (and don't forget to note them in the report)
 - If using database and another complementary search (manual or snowball)
 - Stop when the manual or snowball does not return a certain number of selected studies (i.e., < 4 new studies added to the list of primary studies)
 - Use a time budget (based on funding or time constraints) and create a list of reviewed studies and a list of not considered studies

Snowball Sampling



- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.

- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.
- There are two types of snowball sampling:

- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.
- There are two types of snowball sampling:

Backward Snowballing

- Search the references of a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Searching actual paper reference section, or
 - Searching through listed references on online paper listing

- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.
- There are two types of snowball sampling:

Backward Snowballing

- Search the references of a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Searching actual paper reference section, or
 - Searching through listed references on online paper listing

Forward Snowballing

- Search the items citing a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Using Google scholar cited-by listings
 - Using “Citations” section at paper listing

- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.
- There are two types of snowball sampling:

Backward Snowballing

- Search the references of a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Searching actual paper reference section, or
 - Searching through listed references on online paper listing

Forward Snowballing

- Search the items citing a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Using Google scholar cited-by listings
 - Using “Citations” section at paper listing

- After each round, you will need to apply inclusion/exclusion criteria

- Snowball sampling is simply a manual search for primary studies based on a selection of identified primary studies.
- There are two types of snowball sampling:

Backward Snowballing

- Search the references of a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Searching actual paper reference section, or
 - Searching through listed references on online paper listing

Forward Snowballing

- Search the items citing a primary study for new primary studies
- Can be done either by:
 - Using Google scholar cited-by listings
 - Using “Citations” section at paper listing

- After each round, you will need to apply inclusion/exclusion criteria
- This process continues until no new studies are added



- Primary studies are selected based on a set of well-defined inclusion/exclusion criteria
 - These need to be defined before the search is conducted to reduce bias

- Primary studies are selected based on a set of well-defined inclusion/exclusion criteria
 - These need to be defined before the search is conducted to reduce bias
- To reduce threats to validity it is wise to have more than one reviewer
 - Because selection is based on researcher judgment, you need to address this
 - After all researchers have made their assessments
 - Measure the **inter-rater agreement** with **Cohen's Kappa**
 - Use the **Think-Aloud technique** to attempt to come to a consensus
 - Measure the inter-rater agreement again
 - Report all of this in the final report



- Studies are selected based on researcher judgment and the application of inclusion/exclusion criteria

- Studies are selected based on researcher judgment and the application of inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Reducing the search results to the set of primary studies should be done in an iterative fashion
 - Start by removing those which can easily be excluded from their title or abstract alone
 - Next expand to those studies which can be excluded by their introduction and conclusions
 - The remaining studies should be thoroughly reviewed by a full text reading

- Studies are selected based on researcher judgment and the application of inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Reducing the search results to the set of primary studies should be done in an iterative fashion
 - Start by removing those which can easily be excluded from their title or abstract alone
 - Next expand to those studies which can be excluded by their introduction and conclusions
 - The remaining studies should be thoroughly reviewed by a full text reading
- Remove any papers with more than one version (conference and journal) keeping only the most recent version

Inclusion and Exclusion



- We need to define inclusion/exclusion criteria to select our primary studies.

- We need to define inclusion/exclusion criteria to select our primary studies.
 - The relevance of the topic of the article -> does it answer the research questions
 - The venue of publication and type of publication
 - The time period considered (typically 10 years, usually excluding the current partial year)
 - Requirements on evaluation (avoid if research has not yet reached maturation for evaluation)
 - Restrictions with respect to language

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”



Inclusion/Exclusion Examples

Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”

Exclusion Criteria

- “Articles that are not related to software product lines”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”

Exclusion Criteria

- “Articles that are not related to software product lines”
- “Articles that do not imply evolution of any software artifact”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”

Exclusion Criteria

- “Articles that are not related to software product lines”
- “Articles that do not imply evolution of any software artifact”
- “Non-peer reviewed publications”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”

Exclusion Criteria

- “Articles that are not related to software product lines”
- “Articles that do not imply evolution of any software artifact”
- “Non-peer reviewed publications”
- “Articles that are not written in English”

Inclusion/Exclusion Examples



Inclusion Criteria

- “English peer-reviewed articles in conferences or journals published until Dec. 2011”
- “Articles that focus on software product lines”
- “Articles that provide some type of evolution of existing software artifacts, included among the terms selected”
- “Context, objectives, and research method are reasonably present”

Exclusion Criteria

- “Articles that are not related to software product lines”
- “Articles that do not imply evolution of any software artifact”
- “Non-peer reviewed publications”
- “Articles that are not written in English”
- “Context, objectives, or research method are manifestly missing”



- There is a bias associated with published primary studies
 - They typically focus on positive results and discount negative results



- There is a bias associated with published primary studies
 - They typically focus on positive results and discount negative results
- To overcome this bias you should consider gray literature
 - Technical Reports
 - Dissertations and Theses
 - Rejected Publications
 - Works in progress



- Primary study quality is important as it
 - Can be used to analyze cause of contradicting results
 - Can be used in weighting the value of evidence from primary studies



- Primary study quality is important as it
 - Can be used to analyze cause of contradicting results
 - Can be used in weighting the value of evidence from primary studies
- We measure quality through the use of checklists, several of which have been published



- Primary study quality is important as it
 - Can be used to analyze cause of contradicting results
 - Can be used in weighting the value of evidence from primary studies
- We measure quality through the use of checklists, several of which have been published
- Note that the quality of the study not the reporting are to be evaluated



- After primary studies are selected, data is extracted.

- After primary studies are selected, data is extracted.
- A form for data collection should be developed from research questions
 - Expedites data collection
 - Increases reliability of data collection

- After primary studies are selected, data is extracted.
- A form for data collection should be developed from research questions
 - Expedites data collection
 - Increases reliability of data collection
- You should conduct a small data extraction using your forms on a subset of studies to validate the form

Data Synthesis



There are several approaches to synthesize the data from a literature review

There are several approaches to synthesize the data from a literature review

- **Meta-analysis** (most advanced and most constrained)
 - Requires primary studies to be of the same type, with the same hypotheses, have the same measures, and report on the same explanatory factors

There are several approaches to synthesize the data from a literature review

- **Meta-analysis** (most advanced and most constrained)
 - Requires primary studies to be of the same type, with the same hypotheses, have the same measures, and report on the same explanatory factors
- **Descriptive synthesis** - tabulation of data to shed light on research questions
 - Should include sample size of each intervention, estimates of effect sizes, differences in means (with CI), and units for effect measurements

There are several approaches to synthesize the data from a literature review

- **Meta-analysis** (most advanced and most constrained)
 - Requires primary studies to be of the same type, with the same hypotheses, have the same measures, and report on the same explanatory factors
- **Descriptive synthesis** - tabulation of data to shed light on research questions
 - Should include sample size of each intervention, estimates of effect sizes, differences in means (with CI), and units for effect measurements
- Qualitative approaches for inhomogenous and mixed-method studies. These approaches include but are not limited to:
 - **Thematic analysis** - analysis of patterns or themes in primary studies
 - **Narrative synthesis** - the story originating in the primary studies
 - **Comparative analysis** - logic to explain cause and effect
 - **Case survey** - aggregate primary studies using a survey instrument (can be analyzed using statistical methods)

There are several approaches to synthesize the data from a literature review

- **Meta-analysis** (most advanced and most constrained)
 - Requires primary studies to be of the same type, with the same hypotheses, have the same measures, and report on the same explanatory factors
- **Descriptive synthesis** - tabulation of data to shed light on research questions
 - Should include sample size of each intervention, estimates of effect sizes, differences in means (with CI), and units for effect measurements
- Qualitative approaches for inhomogenous and mixed-method studies. These approaches include but are not limited to:
 - **Thematic analysis** - analysis of patterns or themes in primary studies
 - **Narrative synthesis** - the story originating in the primary studies
 - **Comparative analysis** - logic to explain cause and effect
 - **Case survey** - aggregate primary studies using a survey instrument (can be analyzed using statistical methods)

Independent of approach used, a sensitivity analysis should take place

§ Phase 3: Document Review

IDG

Reporting the Review



Idaho State
University

Computer
Science

The results of your research should be published where your intended audience can review it

The results of your research should be published where your intended audience can review it

Practitioner Oriented

1. Practitioner Journals and Magazines
2. Press Releases to popular or specialist press
3. Short summary leaflets
4. Posters
5. Websites
6. Direct communication

The results of your research should be published where your intended audience can review it

Practitioner Oriented

1. Practitioner Journals and Magazines
2. Press Releases to popular or specialist press
3. Short summary leaflets
4. Posters
5. Websites
6. Direct communication

Academia

1. Academic Journals
 2. Academic Conferences
- If publication constraints prevent providing necessary detail for replication, write an accompanying Tech Report which can be posted online.



- Chapter 4 of “Experimentation in Software Engineering” 2nd Edition by Wohlin et al.
- Kitchenham and Charters, “Guidelines for performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering”, version 2.3 - on moodle.
- Numerous additional articles, for a full list, please email me at isaacgriffith@isu.edu

- DistillerSR
 - 19.95/mo for students
- JBI SUMARI
 - 130/yr for individuals
 - facilitates the entire review process
- Rayyan.ai
 - There is a Free-tier and Student Tier 4/mo
 - useful for screening and coding of studies
- SysRev
 - Basic - 0/mo, Premium - 10/mo
 - lacks most of the necessary features
- Abstrackr
 - free
 - used for automated abstract screening for systematic reviews.
- CADIMA
 - free
 - web tool facilitating the conduct and documentation of systematic reviews, systematic maps, and other literature reviews
- Of course, there is the most basic tool – A Spreadsheet

- DistillerSR
 - 19.95/mo for students
- JBI SUMARI
 - 130/yr for individuals
 - facilitates the entire review process
- Rayyan.ai
 - There is a Free-tier and Student Tier 4/mo
 - useful for screening and coding of studies
- SysRev
 - Basic - 0/mo, Premium - 10/mo
 - lacks most of the necessary features
- Personally, I would choose CADIMA
 - based on data from <https://www.ifis.org/en/research-skills-blog/software-tools-to-support-your-systematic-review-processes>
- Abstrackr
 - free
 - used for automated abstract screening for systematic reviews.
- CADIMA
 - free
 - web tool facilitating the conduct and documentation of systematic reviews, systematic maps, and other literature reviews
- Of course, there is the most basic tool – A Spreadsheet

A final word



- SLRs are extremely useful studies
 - Help new researchers gain entry into a field of research
 - Develops the background section of a thesis or dissertation
 - Serve as the first key publication of your graduate degree
 - Help you identify current research challenges



Are there any questions?