

This is meant to evaluate both yourself and your other team members as to their contribution to the project.

Part 1: Self-Evaluation

- Identify yourself:

Alex Diviney

- Describe your responsibilities in the group:

System Analysis, design, backend implementation, DevOps.

- Assign yourself the grade:

A

- What percentage of the work did you complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

25%

- Did you complete your tasks? If you did not complete your assigned tasks explain why.
- How would you rate your participation in this group? (choose one of the following)
 - I was incredibly involved.

Part 2 - Team Member Evaluation

David Hellwig

- Assign this person a grade: A – F

A

- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

20%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person was incredibly involved
 - This person completed all assigned work but was not otherwise involved
 - This person completed most of the work assigned but other group members contributed more
 - This person did not contribute
 - If you need to defend your answer, do so here.

- State their name

Show Prat

- Assign this person a grade: A – F

A+

- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

25%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person was incredibly involved

- State their name

Michael Collier

- Assign this person a grade: A – F

A

- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

25%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person was incredibly involved

Ekow Barlow

- Assign this person a grade: A – F

C

- What percentage of the work did this person complete? (Total team percentage must add up to 100)

5%

- How would you rate their participation in the group? (select one of the following)
 - This person completed most of the work assigned but other group members contributed more.

Ekow has shown up to most (not all) meetings and has done some testing. It is hard because on one hand it is hard to say that there is a lot of work that can be assigned to him since we currently have him on supplementary testing, but on the other hand it is also hard to say that he isn't mostly checked out.

Part 3 - Team Use of Scrum and Essence

- Briefly describe how your team has been utilizing Scrum and the Essence concepts
- Describe any issues the team is having following these approaches
- Describe any methods your team has adopted to improve

Our scrum follows the scrum meeting format closely after class, and much more loosely in our team meetings. Team meetings have ended up being either mostly paired programming sessions or planning sessions, we have needed to do much more rapid architecture reassessing than I had initially hypothesized.

The iterative process has been super helpful in letting us pivot our system very quickly, since we are on such a tight time crunch though I do worry that our group may be implementing faster than we can plan, ie. Running before we can walk.

There has been a little bit of contention regarding Scrum Master and Product owner roles (due to some people missing meetings early on in the group project), and I have had to work pretty hard to make sure that lines of communication are open. To keep stuff running early on I tended to "assign" work when I didn't really have the authority to do so. Our group has since formalized roles more clearly.