

Parametric Tests



Idaho State
University

Computer
Science

Isaac Griffith

CS 6620

Department of Informatics and Computer Science
Idaho State University

ROAR



Hypothesis Testing

- **Objective:** Determine if we can reject a null hypothesis, H_0 , based on a sample
- The null hypothesis should be formulated negatively
 - If not rejected, nothing can be said about the outcome
 - If rejected, H_0 is said to be false with a significance of α
- When a test is carried out
 - we calculate the lowest possible significance value (p-value) with which we can reject H_0



Hypothesis Testing

- To test H_0
 - A test, t , is defined
 - A critical area, C , is given over which, partly, t varies
- Significance testing is then formulated as:
 - If $t \in C$, reject H_0
 - If $t \notin C$, fail to reject H_0
- **Example:**
 - H_0 : the observed vehicle is a car
 - t is the number of wheels
 - $C = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$
 - Test:
 - If $t \leq 3$ and $t \geq 5$, reject H_0
 - If $t = 4$, fail to reject H_0

Important Probabilities

- $\alpha = P(\text{type-I-error}) = P(\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$
- $\beta = P(\text{type-II-error}) = P(\text{not reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false})$
- $\text{Power} = 1 - \beta = P(\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false})$
- Power is affected by:
 - Test efficacy
 - Sample size (larger sample = higher power)
 - Choice of one- or two-sided H_A (one-sided = higher power)



Parametric and Non-parametric Tests

- **Parametric Tests:** tests based on a model (set of parameters) involving a specific distribution.
 - Typically assumes that some of the parameters are normally distributed
 - Requires parameters be at least interval scale
- **Non-Parametric Tests:** Do not make the same assumptions, rather only very general assumptions



Selecting Tests

- Two factors to be considered when selecting between non-parametric and parametric tests:
 - **Applicability:** What are the assumptions to be made by the tests?
 - **Power:** Parametric tests tend to have higher power than non-parametric
 - Thus, require fewer data points, if the assumptions are true.
- It should be noted that several parametric tests are fairly robust to violations of their assumptions
 - Thus, they can be used as long as the deviations are not too large

Parametric Tests

Parametric Tests: Overview

- **t-Test:** Used to compare two sample means (medians)
- **Paired t-Test:** t-test for paired comparison designs
- **F-Test:** Used to compare two sample distributions
- **ANOVA:** Family of tests used for designs with more than two levels of a factor



t-Test Overview

- Compare to **independent** samples (one factor with two levels).
- **Input:** samples x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m
- **Hypotheses:**
 - $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$
 - Two-Sided $H_A: \mu_x \neq \mu_y$
 - One-Sided $H_A: \mu_x > \mu_y$
- **Calculations:**
 - $t_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$
 - $S_p = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S_x^2 + (m-1)S_y^2}{n+m-2}}$
 - S_x^2 and S_y^2 are sample variances
- **Criterion:**
 - Degrees of freedom: $df = n + m - 2$
 - Two-Sided: reject H_0 if $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, df}$
 - One-Sided: reject H_0 if $t_0 > t_{\alpha, df}$

t-Test Example

Defect density in different programs have been compared in two projects

- Hypotheses
 - H_0 : defect density is the same in both projects
 - H_A : defect density is not the same
- Data: Defect density results for project x and project y
 - $x = 3.42, 2.71, 2.84, 1.85, 3.22, 3.48, 3.68, 4.30, 2.49, 1.54$
 - $y = 3.44, 4.97, 4.76, 4.96, 4.10, 3.05, 4.09, 3.69, 4.21, 4.40, 3.49$
- Data Sizes and Means:
 - $n = 10$ - size of x
 - $m = 11$ - size of y
 - $\bar{x} = 2.853$
 - $\bar{y} = 4.1055$



t-Test Example

- Sample variances:

- $S_x^2 = 0.6506$

- $S_y^2 = 0.4112$

- Calculations

- $t_0 = -3.96$

- $S_p = 0.7243$

- $df = n + m - 2 = 10 + 11 - 2 = 19$

- Statistic

- $t_{0.025,19} = 2.093$

- Since $|t_0| > t_{0.025,19}$ we can reject H_0 with a two tailed test at the 0.05 level.



Paired t-Test Overview

- Compares two samples from repeated measures
- **Input:** Paired samples $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$
- **Hypotheses:**
 - $H_0: \mu_d = 0$, where $d_i = x_i - y_i$
 - Two-Sided $H_A: \mu_d \neq 0$
 - One-Sided $H_A: \mu_d > 0$
- **Calculations:**
 - Degrees of freedom: $df = n - 1$
 - $t_0 = \frac{\bar{d}}{S_d/(\sqrt{n})}$
 - $S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{n-1}}$
- **Criterion:**
 - Two-Sided: reject H_0 if $|t_0| > t_{\alpha/2, df}$
 - One-Sided: reject H_0 if $|t_0| > t_{\alpha, df}$

Paired t-Test Example

Ten programs independently developed two different programs. They measured the effort required, as shown in the table

- Hypotheses

- H_0 : required effort to develop program 1 is the same as for program 2
- H_A : it is not

Programmer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Program 1	105	137	124	111	151	150	168	159	104	102
Program 2	86.1	115	175	94.9	174	120	153	178	71.3	110



Paired t-Test Example

- Calculation:

- $d = 18.9, 22, -51, 16.1, 23, 30, 15, 19, 32.7, 9$
- $S_d = 27.358$
- $t_0 = 0.39$
- $df = n - 1 = 10 - 1 = 9$

- Statistics

- $t_{0.025,9} = 2.262$

- Result:

- Since $t_0 < t_{0.025,9}$ we cannot reject H_0 at the 0.05 level



F-Test Overview

- Compares variances of two **independent** samples
- **Input:** samples x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m
- **Hypotheses:**
 - $H_0: \sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2$
 - Two-Sided: $H_A: \sigma_x^2 \neq \sigma_y^2$
 - One-Sided: $H_A: \sigma_x^2 > \sigma_y^2$
- **Calculations:**
 - $F_0 = \frac{\max(S_x^2, S_y^2)}{\min(S_x^2, S_y^2)}$
 - S_x^2 and S_y^2 are sample variances
- **Criterion**
 - Degrees of Freedom: $df_1 = n_{\min} - 1$ and $df_2 = n_{\max} - 1$
 - Two-Sided: reject H_0 if $F_0 > F_{\alpha/2, df_1, df_2}$
 - One-Sided: reject H_0 if $F_0 > F_{\alpha, df_1, df_2}$ and $S_x^2 > S_y^2$

F-Test Example

Defect density in different programs have been compared in two projects

- Hypotheses
 - H_0 : both project defect densities have the same variance
 - H_A : they do not
- Data: Defect density results for project x and project y
 - $x = 3.42, 2.71, 2.84, 1.85, 3.22, 3.48, 3.68, 4.30, 2.49, 1.54$
 - $y = 3.44, 4.97, 4.76, 4.96, 4.10, 3.05, 4.09, 3.69, 4.21, 4.40, 3.49$



F-Test Example

- Data Sizes and Means:

- $n_{min} = 10$ - size of x
- $n_{max} = 11$ - size of y
- $df_1 = n_{min} - 1 = 9$
- $df_2 = n_{max} - 1 = 10$

- Calculations

- $S_x = 0.6506$
- $S_y = 0.4112$
- $F_0 = 1.58$

- Statistic

- $F_{0.025,9,10} = 3.78$

- Result

- $F_0 < F_{0.025,9,10}$, fail to reject H_0 at 0.05 level



ANOVA Overview

- Used to analyze experiments of many different designs.
- Looks at the total variability of the data as well as the variability of different components
- **Input:** a samples: $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n_1}; x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n_2}; \dots; x_{a1}, x_{a2}, \dots, x_{an_a}$
- **Hypotheses:**
 - $H_0: \mu_{x_1} = \mu_{x_2} = \dots = \mu_{x_a}$
 - $H_A: \mu_{x_i} \neq \mu_{x_j}$ where $i \neq j$



ANOVA Overview

- **Calculations:**

- $SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}^2 - \frac{x_{..}^2}{N}$

- $SS_{Treatment} = \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{x_{i.}^2}{n_i} - \frac{x_{..}^2}{N}$

- $SS_{Error} = SS_T - SS_{Treatment}$

- $MS_{Treatment} = SS_{Treatment} / (a - 1)$

- $MS_{Error} = SS_{Error} / (N - a)$

- $F_0 = MS_{Treatment} / MS_{Error}$

- N is the total number of measurements

- a is the number of groups

- $x_{i.} = \sum_j x_{ij}$



ANOVA Overview

Source of variation	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Square	F_0
Between Treatments	$SS_{Treatment}$	$df_1 = a - 1$	$MS_{Treatment}$	$F_0 = \frac{MS_{Treatment}}{MS_{Error}}$
Error	SS_{Error}	$df_2 = N - a$	MS_{Error}	
Total	SS_T	$N - 1$		

- **Criterion:**

- reject H_0 if $F_0 > F_{\alpha, df_1, df_2}$

ANOVA Example

The module size in three different programs have been measured.

- Hypotheses:

- H_0 : mean module size is the same across programs
- H_A : at least one program's mean module size is different

- Data:

- Program 1: 221, 159, 191, 194, 156, 238, 220, 197, 197, 194
- Program 2: 173, 171, 168, 286, 206, 140, 226, 248, 189, 208, 213
- Program 3: 234, 188, 181, 207, 266, 153, 190, 195, 181, 238, 191, 260



ANOVA Example

- Calculations:

Source of variation	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Square	F_0
Between treatments	579.0515	2	289.5258	0.24
Error	36,151	30	1,205	
Total	36,730	32		

- Error row also called “Within treatments”
- Statistic:
 - $df_1 = a - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$ and $df_2 = N - a = 33 - 3 = 30$
 - $F_{0.025,2,30} = 4.18$
- Result
 - Since $F_0 < F_{0.025,2,30}$, fail to reject H_0



Multiple Comparison

- ANOVA: We rejected H_0 , what's next?
 - Contrasts
 - Multiple Comparison
- Multiple Comparison Procedures
 - Bonferroni's MCP
 - Tukey's HSD
 - Sidak's MCP
 - Fischer's LSD
 - Dunnett's Comparison to Control



Are there any questions?