1. The real numbers

1.1. Conventions on sets and functions

Definition. For $f: X \to Y$, **preimage** of $Z \subseteq Y$ is

$$f^{-1}(Z) := \{ x \in X : f(x) \in Z \}$$

Definition. $f: X \to Y$ injective if

$$\forall y \in f(X), \exists ! x \in X : y = f(x)$$

Definition. $f: X \to Y$ surjective if Y = f(X).

Proposition. Let $f: X \to Y$, $A, B \subseteq X$, then

$$f(A\cap B)\subseteq f(A)\cap f(B),$$

$$f(A\cup B)=f(A)\cup f(B),$$

$$f(X)-f(A)\subseteq f(X-A)$$

Proposition. Let $f: X \to Y$, $C, D \subseteq Y$, then

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(C \cap D) &= f^{-1}(C) \cap f^{-1}(D), \\ f^{-1}(C \cup D) &= f^{-1}(C) \cup f^{-1}(D), \\ f^{-1}(Y - C) &= X - f^{-1}(C) \end{split}$$

1.2. The real numbers

Definition. $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall x \in E, x \leq a$.

Definition. $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **least upper bound (supremum)** of E, $c = \sup(E)$, if $c \le a$ for every upper bound a.

Definition. $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is an **lower bound** of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall x \in E, x \geq a$.

Definition. $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a greatest lower bound (infimum), $c = \inf(E)$, if $c \geq a$ for every lower bound a.

Theorem (Completeness axiom of the real numbers). Every $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with an upper bound has a least upper bound. Every $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with a lower bound has a greatest lower bound.

Proposition (Archimedes' principle).

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : n > x$$

Remark. Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} has a minimum.

Proposition. \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} :

$$\forall x < y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q} : r \in (x, y)$$

1.3. Sequences, limits and series

Definition. $l \in \mathbb{R}$ is **limit** of (x_n) $((x_n)$ converges to l) if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \ge N, \quad |x_n - l| < \varepsilon$$

A sequence converges in \mathbb{R} (is convergent) if it has a limit $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Limit $l = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ is unique. **Definition**. (x_n) tends to infinity if

$$\forall K > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \ge N, \quad x_n > K$$

Definition. Subsequence of (x_n) is sequence (x_{n_i}) , $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$.

Definition. Limit inferior of sequence x_n is

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n \coloneqq \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Bigl\{ \inf_{m\geq n} x_m \Bigr\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Bigl(\inf_{m\geq n} x_m \Bigr)$$

Definition. Limit superior of sequence x_n is

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \coloneqq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \biggl\{ \sup_{m \ge n} x_m \biggr\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \biggl(\sup_{m \ge n} x_m \biggr)$$

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l = \limsup x_n$ iff both of the following hold:

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n < l + \varepsilon.$
- $\bullet \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}: \exists n \geq N: x_n > l \varepsilon.$

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l = \liminf x_n$ iff both of the following hold:

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n > l \varepsilon.$
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : \exists n \geq N : x_n < l + \varepsilon.$

Theorem (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded. There exists convergent subsequence with $\limsup x_n$ and convergent subsequence with $\liminf x_n$.

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, then (x_n) is convergent iff $\limsup x_n = \liminf x_n$.

Theorem (Monotone convergence theorem for sequences). Monotone sequence converges in \mathbb{R} or tends to either ∞ or $-\infty$. **Definition**. (x_n) is Cauchy sequence if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n, m \geq N, \quad |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem. Every Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} is convergent.

1.4. Open and closed sets

Definition. $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **open** if

$$\forall x \in U, \exists \varepsilon > 0: (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq U$$

Proposition. Arbitrary unions of open sets are open. Finite intersections of open sets are open.

Definition. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is **point of closure (limit point)** for $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in E : |x - y| < \varepsilon$$

Equivalently, x is point of closure of E if every open interval containing x contains a point of E.

Definition. Closure of E, \overline{E} , is set of points of closure. Note $E \subseteq \overline{E}$.

Definition. F is closed if $F = \overline{F}$.

Proposition. $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$. If $A \subset B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$.

Proposition. For any set E, \overline{E} is closed, i.e. $\overline{E} = \overline{\overline{E}}$.

Proposition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed iff $\mathbb{R} - E$ is open.

Proposition. Arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed. Finite unions of closed sets are closed.

Definition. Collection C of subsets of \mathbb{R} **covers** (is a **covering** of) $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $F \subseteq \bigcup_{S \in C} S$. If each S in C open, C is **open covering**. If C is finite, C is **finite covering**.

Definition. Covering C of F contains a finite subcover if exists $\{S_1, ..., S_n\} \subseteq C$ with $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ (i.e. a finite subset of C covers F).

Definition. F is **compact** if any open covering of F contains a finite subcover.

Example. \mathbb{R} is not compact, [a, b] is compact.

Theorem (Heine Borel). F compact iff F closed and bounded.

1.5. Continuity, pointwise and uniform convergence of functions

Definition. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous at** $a \in E$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0: \forall x \in E, |x - a| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$$

f is **continuous** if continuous at all $y \in E$.

Definition. $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = l$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0: \forall x \in E, |x - a| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - l| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition. $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = l$ iff for every sequence (a_n) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(a_n) = l$.

Proposition. f is continuous at $a \in E$ iff $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = f(a)$ (and this limit exists).

Definition. $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall x, y \in E, |x - y| < \delta \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition. Let F closed and bounded, $f: F \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous.

Definition. Let $f_n : E \to \mathbb{R}$ sequence of functions, $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$. (f_n) converges pointwise to f if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall x \in E, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

 (f_n) converges uniformly to f is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, \forall x \in E, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem. Let $f_n: E \to \mathbb{R}$ sequence of continuous functions converging uniformly to $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$. Then f is continuous.

Definition. $P = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$ is **partition** of [a, b] if $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_n = b$.

Definition. $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is **piecewise linear** if there exists partition $P = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$ and $m_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall i \in [n], \forall x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad f(x) = m_i x + c_i$$

f is continuous on [a,b]-P.

Definition. $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is **step function** if there exists partition $P = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall i \in [n], \forall x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad g(x) = m_i$$

g is continuous on [a,b]-P.

Theorem. Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, E closed and bounded. Then there exist continuous piecewise linear f_n with $f_n \to f$ uniformly, and step functions g_n with $g_n \to f$ uniformly.

Definition. $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ is **Lipschitz** if

$$\exists C > 0: \forall x, y \in E, \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \le C|x - y|$$

Definition. $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ is **bi-Lipschitz** if

 $\exists C > 0: \forall x, y \in E, \quad C^{-1}|x - y| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|$

1.6. The extended real numbers

Definition. **Extended reals** are $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ with the order relation $-\infty < \infty$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < \infty$. ∞ is an upper bound and $-\infty$ is a lower bound for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\sup(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$, $\inf(\mathbb{R}) = -\infty$, $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$, $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$.

- Addition: $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, a + \infty = \infty \land a + (-\infty) = -\infty. \infty + \infty = \infty (-\infty) = \infty. \infty \infty$ is undefined.
- Multiplication: $\forall a > 0, a \cdot \infty = \infty, \ \forall a < 0, a \cdot \infty = -\infty.$ Also $\infty \cdot \infty = \infty$.
- lim sup and lim inf are defined as

 $\operatorname{m}\sup x_n\coloneqq\inf\{\sup\{x_k:k\geq n\}:n\in\mathbb{N}\},\quad \liminf x_n\coloneqq\sup\{\inf\{x_k:k\geq n\}:n\in\mathbb{N}\},$

Definition. Extended real number l is **limit** of (x_n) if either

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, |x_n l| < \varepsilon$. Then (x_n) converges to l. or
- $\forall \Delta > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n > \Delta \text{ (limit is } \infty \text{) or }$
- $\forall \Delta > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n < -\Delta \text{ (limit is } -\infty).$

 (x_n) converges in the extended reals if it has a limit in the extended reals.

2. Further analysis of subsets of $\mathbb R$

2.1. Countability and uncountability

Definition. A is **countable** if $A = \emptyset$, A is finite or there is a bijection $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to A$ (in which case A is **countably infinite**). Otherwise A is **uncountable**. **Enumeration** is bijection to A from [n] or \mathbb{N} .

Proposition. If there is surjection from countable set to A, or injection from A to countable set, then A is countable.

Proposition. Any subset of \mathbb{N} is countable.

Proposition. \mathbb{Q} is countable.

Proposition. If (a_n) is a nonnegative sequence and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\varphi(n)}$$

Proposition. If $(a_{n,k})$ is a nonnegative sequence and $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_{n,k}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_{\varphi(n)}$$

Definition. $f: X \to Y$ is **monotone** if $x \ge y \Rightarrow f(x) \ge f(y)$ or $x \le y \Rightarrow f(x) \ge f(y)$.

Proposition. Let f be monotone on (a, b). Then it is discontinuous on a countable set.

Lemma. Set of sequences in $\{0,1\}$, $\{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}: \forall n\in\mathbb{N}, x_n\in\{0,1\}\}$ is uncountable.

Theorem. \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

2.2. The structure theorem for open sets

Definition. Collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of sets is **(pairwise) disjoint** if $n \neq m \Longrightarrow A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$.

Theorem (Structure theorem for open sets). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open. Then exists countable collection of disjoint open intervals $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$. 2.3. Accumulation points and perfect sets

Definition. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is accumulation point of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if x is point of closure of $E - \{x\}$. Equivalently, x is a point of closure if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in E : y \neq x \land |x - y| < \varepsilon$$

Equivalently, there exists a sequence of distinct $y_n \in E$ with $y_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition. Set of accumulation points of \mathbb{Q} is \mathbb{R} .

Proposition. Set of accumulation points E' of E is closed.

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **isolated** if

$$\forall x \in E, \exists \varepsilon > 0: (x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon) \cap E = \{x\}$$

Proposition. E is isolated iff it has no accumulation points.

Definition. Bounded set E is **perfect** if it equals its set of accumulation points.

Theorem. Every non-empty perfect set is uncountable.

2.4. The middle-third Cantor set

Proposition. Let $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be collection of non-empty nested closed sets (so $F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n$), one of which is bounded. Then

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}F_n\neq\emptyset$$

Definition. The **middle third Cantor set** is defined by:

- Define $C_0 := [0, 1]$
- Given $C_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n} [a_i, b_i], a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2^n} < b_{2^n},$ with $|b_i a_i| = 3^{-n}$, define

$$C_{n+1} \coloneqq \cup_{i=1}^{2^n} \left[a_i, a_i + 3^{-(n+1)} \right] \cup \left[b_i - 3^{-(n+1)}, b_i \right]$$

which is a union of 2^{n+1} disjoint intervals, with all differences in endpoints equalling $3^{-(n+1)}$.

• The middle third Cantor set is

$$C\coloneqq \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} C_n$$

Observe that if a is an endpoint of an interval in C_n , it is contained in C.

Proposition. The middle third Cantor set is closed, non-empty and equal to its set of accumulation points. Hence it is perfect and so uncountable.

Definition. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, $x \in [0, 1)$. $0.a_1a_2..., a_i \in \{0, ..., k - 1\}$, is a k-ary expansion of x if

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{k^i}$$

Remark. The k-ary expansion may not be unique, but there is a countable set $E \subseteq [0,1)$ such that every $x \in [0,1) - E$ has a unique k-ary expansion.

Remark. For every $x \in C$, the ternary (k = 3) expansion of x is unique and

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i}, \quad a_i \in \{0, 2\}$$

Moreover, every choice of sequence (a_i) , $a_i \in \{0, 2\}$, gives $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i} \in C$.

Definition. Cantor-Lebesgue function, $g:[0,1] \to [0,1]$, is defined by

$$g(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i/2}{2^i} & \text{if } x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i}, a_i \in \{0, 2\} \\ \sup\{g(y) : y \in C, y \leq x\} \text{ if } x \not\in C \end{cases}$$

g is a surjection, monotone and continuous.

2.5. G_{δ}, F_{σ}

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is G_{δ} if $E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ with U_n open.

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is F_{σ} if $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ with F_n closed.

Lemma. Set of points where $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous is G_{δ} .

3. Construction of Lebesgue measure

3.1. Lebesgue outer measure

Definition. Let I non-empty interval with endpoints $a = \inf(I) \in \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R}$ and $b = \sup(I) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The **length** of I is

$$\ell(I) := b - a$$

and set $\ell(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Lebesgue outer measure of A is infimum of all sums of lengths of intervals covering A:

$$\mu^*(A) \coloneqq \inf \biggl\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(I_k) : A \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k, I_k \text{ intervals} \biggr\}$$

It satisfies monotonicity: $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B)$.

Proposition. Outer measure is countably subadditive:

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k \right) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu^*(E_k)$$

This implies **finite subadditivity**:

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \right) \le \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^* (E_k)$$

Lemma. We have

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(I_k) : A \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k, I_k \neq \emptyset \text{ open intervals} \right\}$$

Proposition. Outer measure of interval is its length: $\mu^*(I) = \ell(I)$.

3.2. Measurable sets

Notation. $E^c = \mathbb{R} - E$.

Proposition. Let $E = (a, \infty)$. Then

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **Lebesgue measurable** if

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Collection of such sets is \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} .

Lemma (Excision Property). Let E Lebesgue measurable set with finite measure and $E \subseteq B$, then

$$\mu^*(B - E) = \mu^*(B) - \mu^*(E)$$

Proposition. If $E_1, ..., E_n$ Lebesgue measurable then $\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$ is Lebesgue measurable. If $E_1, ..., E_n$ disjoint then

$$\mu^*\left(A\cap\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right)=\sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(A\cap E_k)$$

for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $A = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(E_k)$$

Remark. Not every set is Lebesgue measurable.

Definition. Collection of subsets of \mathbb{R} is an **algebra** if contains \emptyset and closed under taking complements and finite unions: if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathbb{R} - A, A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark. A union of a countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets is also the union of a countable disjoint collection of Lebesgue measurable sets: if $\{A_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets, then let $A_1' := A_1$ and for k > 1, define

$$A_k' := A_k - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$$

then $\{A_k'\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is disjoint union of Lebesgue measurable sets and $\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} A_k' = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} A_k$.

Proposition. If E is countable union of Lebesgue measurable sets, then E is Lebesgue measurable. Also, if $\{E_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is countable disjoint collection of Lebesgue measurable sets then

$$\mu\!\left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}E_k\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mu(E_k)$$

3.3. Abstract definition of a measure

Definition. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Collection of subsets of \mathcal{F} of X is σ -algebra if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- $E \in \mathcal{F} \Longrightarrow E^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- If $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_k \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example.

- Trivial examples are $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Countable intersections of σ -algebras are σ -algebras.

Definition. Let \mathcal{F} σ -algebra of X. $\nu: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ is **measure** satisfying

- $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$
- $\forall E \in \mathcal{F}, \nu(E) \geq 0$
- Countable additivity: if $E_1, E_2, ... \in \mathcal{F}$ are disjoint then

$$\nu\!\left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}E_k\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\nu(E_k)$$

Elements of \mathcal{F} are **measurable** (as they are the only sets on which the measure ν is defined).

Proposition. If ν is measure then it satisfies:

- Monotonicity: $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \nu(A) \le \nu(B)$.
- Countable subadditivity: $\nu(\cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} E_k) \leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \nu(E_k)$.
- Excision: if B has finite measure, then $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \nu(B-A) = \nu(B) \nu(A)$.

3.4. Lebesgue measure

Lemma. F_{μ^*} is σ -algebra and contains every interval.

Theorem (Carathéodory Extension). Restriction of the μ^* to F_{μ^*} is a measure.

Theorem (Hahn extension theorem). There exists unique measure μ defined on \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} for which $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for any interval I.

Definition. The measure μ of μ^* restricted to \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} is the **Lebesgue measure**. It satisfies $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for any interval I and is translation invariant.

3.5. Sets of measure 0

Proposition. Middle-third Cantor set is Lebesgue measurable and has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proposition. Any countable set is Lebesgue measurable and has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proposition. Any E with $\mu^*(E) = 0$ is Lebesgue measurable and has $\mu(E) = 0$.

Lemma. Let E Lebesgue measurable set with $\mu(E) = 0$, then $\forall E' \subseteq E, E'$ is Lebesgue measurable.

3.6. Continuity of measure

Definition. Countable collection $\{E_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is **ascending** if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_k \subseteq E_{k+1}$ and **descending** if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_{k+1} \subseteq E_k$.

Theorem. Every measure m satisfies:

• If $\{A_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is ascending collection of measurable sets, then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}A_k\right)=\lim_{k\to\infty}m(A_k)$$

• If $\{B_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is descending collection of measurable sets and $m(B_1) < \infty$, then

$$m\left(\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}}B_k\right)=\lim_{k\to\infty}m(B_k)$$

3.7. An approximation result for Lebesgue measure

Definition. **Borel** σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is smallest σ -algebra containing all intervals: for any other σ -algebra \mathcal{F} containing all intervals, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\coloneqq\bigcap\{\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{F}\ \sigma\ \text{-algebra containing all intervals}\}$$

 $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is **Borel** or **Borel measurable**.

Lemma. All open subsets of \mathbb{R} , closed subsets of \mathbb{R} , G_{δ} sets and F_{σ} sets are Borel.

Proposition. The following are equivalent:

- E is Lebesgue measurable
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ open } G : E \subseteq G \land \mu^*(G E) < \varepsilon$
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ closed } F : F \subseteq E \land \mu^*(E F) < \varepsilon$
- $\exists G \in G_{\delta} : E \subseteq G \land \mu^*(G E) = 0$
- $\exists F \in F_{\sigma} : F \subseteq E \land \mu^*(E F) = 0$

4. Measurable functions

4.1. Definition of a measurable function

Proposition. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. f continuous iff \forall open $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open.

Lemma. Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ with E Lebesgue measurable. The following are equivalent:

- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) > c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) \ge c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) < c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) \le c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.

The same statement holds for Borel measurable sets.


Definition. $f: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ is **(Lebesgue) measurable** if it satisfies any of the above properties and if E is Lebesgue measurable. f being **Borel measurable** is defined similarly.

Corollary. If f is Lebesgue measurable then for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable. In particular, if f is Lebesgue measurable, preimage of any interval is measurable.

Definition. Indicator function on set A, $\mathbb{1}_A : \mathbb{R} \to \{0,1\}$, is

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in A \\ 0 \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Definition. $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is **simple (measurable) function** if φ is measurable function that has finite codomain.



Definition. Let $E \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $f : F \to \mathbb{R}$. Restriction f_E is function with domain E and for which $\forall x \in E, f_E(x) = f(x)$.

Definition. Real-valued function which is increasing or decreasing is **monotone**.

Definition. Sequence (f_n) on domain E is increasing if $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ on E for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example. Continuous functions are measurable.

Definition. For $f_1: E \to \mathbb{R}, ..., f_n: E \to \mathbb{R}$, define $\max\{f_1, ..., f_n\}(x) := \max\{f_1(x), ..., f_n(x)\}$

 $\min\{f_1,...,f_n\}$ is defined similarly.

Proposition. For finite family $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of measurable functions with common domain E, $\max\{f_1,...,f_n\}$ and $\min\{f_1,...,f_n\}$ are measurable.

Definition. For $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$, functions $|f|, f^+, f^-$ defined on E are

$$|f|(x) \coloneqq \max\{f(x), -f(x)\}, \quad f^+(x) \coloneqq \max\{f(x), 0\}, \quad f^-(x) \coloneqq \max\{-f(x), 0\}$$

Corollary. If f measurable on E, so are |f|, f^+ and f^- .

Proposition. Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. For measurable $D \subseteq E$, f measurable on E iff restrictions of f to D and E-D are measurable.

Theorem. Let $f, g : E \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable.

- Linearity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha f + \beta g$ is measurable.
- **Products**: fg is measurable.

Proposition. Let $f_n: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ be sequence of measurable functions that converges pointwise to $f: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. Then f is measurable.

Lemma (Simple approximation lemma). Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable and bounded, so $\exists M \geq 0 : \forall x \in E, |f|(x) < M$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exist simple measurable functions $\varphi_{\varepsilon}, \psi_{\varepsilon}: E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \in E, \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq f(x) \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \land 0 \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) < \varepsilon$$

Theorem (Simple approximation theorem). Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$, E measurable. Then f is measurable iff there exists sequence (φ_n) of simple functions on E which converge pointwise on E to f and satisfy

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in E, |\varphi_n|(x) \leq |f|(x)$$

If f is nonnegative, (φ_n) can be chosen to be increasing.

Definition. Let $f, g : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$. Then f = g almost everywhere if $\{x \in E : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ has measure 0.

Proposition. Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ measurable. If $f_1 = f_2$ almost everywhere and $f_2 = f_3$ almost everywhere then $f_1 = f_3$ almost everywhere.

Remark. Lebesgue measurable functions can be modified arbitrarily on a set of measure 0 without affecting measurability.

Proposition. Let $f_n : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ sequence of measurable functions, $f : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ measurable. Set of points where (f_n) converges pointwise to f is measurable.

Proposition. Let $f, g : E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ measurable and finite almost everywhere on E.

- Linearity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists function equal to $\alpha f + \beta g$ almost everywhere on E (any such function is measurable).
- **Products**: there exists function equal to fg almost everywhere on E (any such function is measurable).

Definition. Sequence of functions (f_n) with domain E converge in measure to f if (f_n) and f are finite almost everywhere and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \mu(\{x \in E : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

5. The Lebesgue integral



Definition. Let φ be real-valued function taking finitely many values $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$, then **standard representation** of φ is

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}, \quad A_i = \varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha_i\})$$

Lemma. Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i}$, B_i disjoint measurable collection, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then φ is simple measurable. If φ takes value 0 outside a set of finite measure then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mu(B_i)$$

where A_i in standard representation.

Definition. Let φ be simple nonnegative measurable function or simple measurable function taking value 0 outside set of finite measure. **Integral** of φ with respect to μ is

$$\int \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mu(A_i)$$

where $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ is standard representation. Here, use convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. For measurable $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\int_E \varphi = \int \mathbb{1}_E \varphi$$

Example.

- Let $\varphi_2 = \mathbb{1}_{[0,2]} + \mathbb{1}_{[1,3]} = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1)\cup(2,3]} + 2\mathbb{1}_{[1,2]}$ so $\int \varphi_2 = 4$.
- Let $\varphi_3 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\int \varphi_3 = 1 \cdot \infty = \infty$.
- Let $\varphi_4 = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)} + (-1)\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$. This can't be integrated.
- Let $\varphi_5 = \mathbb{1}_{(-1,0)} + (-1)\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}$, then $\int \varphi_5 = 0$.

Lemma. Let $B_1, ..., B_m$ be measurable sets, $\beta_1, ..., \beta_m \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Then $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i}$ is simple measurable function. Also,

$$\mu\!\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i\right) < \infty \Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mu(B_i)$$

where A_i in standard representation.

Proposition. Let φ, ψ be simple measurable functions:

• If φ, ψ take value 0 outside a set of finite measure, then $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int (\alpha \varphi + \beta \psi) = \alpha \int \varphi + \beta \int \psi$$

• If φ, ψ nonnegative, then $\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0$,

$$\int (\alpha \varphi + \beta \psi) = \alpha \int \varphi + \beta \int \psi$$

• Monotonicity:

$$0 \le \varphi \le \psi \Longrightarrow 0 \le \int \varphi \le \int \psi$$

Corollary. Let φ nonnegative simple function, then

$$\int \varphi = \sup \left\{ \int \psi : 0 \le \psi \le \varphi, \, \psi \text{ simple measurable} \right\}$$

Lemma. Let φ simple measurable nonnegative function. φ takes value 0 outside a set of finite measure iff $\int \varphi < \infty$. Also, $\int \varphi = \infty$ iff there exist $\alpha > 0$, measurable A with $\mu(A) = \infty$ and $\forall x \in A, \varphi(x) \geq \alpha$.

Lemma. Let $\{E_n\}$ be ascending collection of measurable sets, $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n = \mathbb{R}$. Let φ be simple nonnegative measurable function. Then

$$\int_{E} \varphi \to \int \varphi \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

5.2. The integral of a nonnegative function

Notation. Let \mathcal{M}^+ denote collection of nonnegative measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition. Support of measurable function f with domain E is $supp(f) := \{x \in E : f(x) \neq 0\}.$

Definition. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Integral of f with respect to μ is

$$\int f \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \int \varphi : 0 \le \varphi \le f, \varphi \text{ simple measurable} \right\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

For measurable set E, define

$$\int_E f \coloneqq \int \mathbb{1}_E f$$

Proposition (Monotonicity). Let f, g measurable, nonnegative. If $g \leq f$ then $\int g \leq \int f$. Let E, F measurable. If $E \subseteq F$ then $\int_E f \leq \int_F f$.

Theorem (Monotone convergence theorem). Let (f_n) be sequence in \mathcal{M}^+ . If (f_n) is increasing on measurable set E and converges pointwise to f on E then

$$\int_{E} f_n \to \int_{E} f \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Corollary. Restriction of integral to nonnegative functions is linear: $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{M}^+, \forall \alpha \geq 0$,

$$\int (f+g) = \int f + \int g$$

$$\int \alpha f = \alpha \int f$$

Lemma (Fatou's Lemma). Let (f_n) be sequence in \mathcal{M}^+ , then

$$\int \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n$$

Lemma. Let $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{M}^+$, then

$$\int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n$$

Proposition (Chebyshev's inequality). Let f be nonnegative measurable function on E. Then

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mu(\{x \in E : f(x) \ge \lambda\}) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{F} f(x) dx$$

Proposition. Let f be nonnegative measurable function on E. Then

$$\int_{E} f = 0 \iff f = 0 \text{ almost everywhere on } E$$

5.3. Integration of measurable functions

Notation. Let \mathcal{M} denote set of measurable functions.

Definition. $f \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is **integrable** if $\int f < \infty$. By Chebyshev's inequality, if f is integrable, then f is finite almost everywhere.

Definition. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ measurable function. f is **integrable** if $\int f^+$ and $\int f^-$ are finite. In this case, for any measurable set E, define

$$\int_E f := \int_E f^+ - \int_E f^-$$

Note that if f integrable then $f^+ - f^-$ is well-defined.

Proposition. If $f = f_1 - f_2$, $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}^+$, f_1, f_2 integrable, then

$$\int f^{+} - \int f^{-} = \int f_{1} - \int f_{2}$$

Definition. $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is **integrable over** E (E is measurable) if $\int_{E} f^{+}$ and $\int_{E} f^{-}$ are finite (i.e. $f \cdot \mathbb{1}_{E}$ is integrable).

Theorem. $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is integrable iff |f| is integrable. If f integrable, then

$$\left| \int f \right| \le \int |f|$$

Corollary. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$, $|f| \leq |g|$. If g integrable then |f| is integrable, and $\int |f| \leq \int |g|$.

Example. sin is not integrable over \mathbb{R} , but is integrable over $[0, 2\pi]$, since $|f_{[0,2\pi]}| \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,2\pi]}$.

Theorem (Linearity of Integration). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ integrable. Then f + g is integrable and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha f$ is integrable. The integral is linear:

$$\int (f+g) = \int f + \int g$$

$$\int \alpha f = \alpha \int f$$

Theorem (Dominated Convergence Theorem). Let (f_n) be sequence of integrable functions. If there exists an integrable g with $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |f_n| \leq g$, and $f_n \to f$ pointwise almost everywhere then f is integrable and

$$\int f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n$$

5.4. Integrability: Riemann vs Lebesgue

Proposition. Let f bounded function on bounded measurable domain E. Then f is measurable and $\int_E |f| < \infty$ iff

$$0$$
 $\left\{ \int_{E} \varphi : \varphi \leq f, \varphi \text{ simple measurable} \right\} = \inf \left\{ \int_{E} \psi : f \leq \psi : \psi \text{ simple measurable} \right\}$

(If f satisfies either condition then $\int_E f$ is equal to the two above expressions).

Definition. Bounded function f is **Lebesgue integrable** if it satisfies either of the equivalences in the above proposition.

Definition. Let $P = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$ partition of $[a, b], f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded. **Lower and upper Darboux sums** for f with respect to P are

$$L(f,P) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}), \quad U(f,P) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

where

$$m_i \coloneqq \inf\{f(x): x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}, \quad M_i \coloneqq \sup\{f(x): x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$$

If $P \subseteq Q$ (Q is a **refinement of** P), then

$$L(f,P) \le L(f,Q) \le U(f,Q) \le U(f,P)$$

Definition. Lower and upper Riemann integrals of f over [a,b] are

$$\underline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f) \coloneqq \sup\{L(f,P) : P \text{ partition of } [a,b]\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f) \coloneqq \inf\{U(f,P) : P \text{ partition of } [a,b]\}$$

Definition. Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded, then f is **Riemann** integrable $(f \in \mathcal{R})$, if

$$\underline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f) = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f)$$

and common value $\mathcal{I}_a^b(f) = \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is **Riemann integral** of f.

Remark. Let $g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ step function with discontinuities at $P=\{x_0,...,x_n\}$, so $g=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_i\mathbb{1}_{(x_{i-1},x_i)}$ almost everywhere. So g is simple measurable and

$$L(g,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) = U(g,P) = \int g = \mathcal{I}_a^b(g)$$

Hence for any bounded $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f) &= \sup \biggl\{ \int \varphi : \varphi \leq f, \varphi \text{ step function} \biggr\}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{I}}_a^b(f) &= \inf \biggl\{ \int \psi : f \leq \psi, \psi \text{ step function} \biggr\} \end{split}$$

Theorem. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded, $a,b \neq \pm \infty$. If f Riemann integrable over [a,b] then f Lebesgue integrable over [a,b] and the two integrals are equal.

Theorem. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded, $a,b \neq \pm \infty$. Then f is Riemann integrable on [a,b] iff f is continuous on [a,b] except on a set of measure zero.

Lemma. Let (φ_n) , (ψ_n) be sequences of functions, all integrable over E, (φ_n) increasing on E, (ψ_n) decreasing on E. Let $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_n \leq f \leq \psi_n \text{ on } E, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E (\psi_n - \varphi_n) = 0$$

Then $\varphi_n, \psi_n \to f$ pointwise almost everywhere on E, f is integrable over E and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_E \varphi_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_E \psi_n = \int_E f$$

Definition. For partition $P=\{x_0,...,x_n\},$ **gap** of P is $\operatorname{gap}(P)\coloneqq \max\{|x_i-x_{i-1}|:i\in\{1,...,n\}\}$

Lemma. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $E \subseteq [a,b]$ be set where f is continuous. Let (P_n) be sequence of partitions of [a,b] with $P_{n+1} \subseteq P_n$ and $gap(P_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $\varphi_n, \psi_n : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ step functions with

$$\varphi_n(x) \coloneqq \inf\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}, \quad \psi_n(x) \coloneqq \sup\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$$

for $P_n = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$. Then $\forall x \in E - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$,

$$\varphi_n(x), \psi_n(x) \to f(x) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Definition. Let $f:(a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $-\infty \le a < b < \infty$, f bounded and Riemann integrable on all closed bounded sub-intervals of (a,b]. If

$$\lim_{t \to a, t > a} \mathcal{I}_t^b(f)$$

exists then this is defined as the **improper Riemann integral** $\mathcal{I}_a^b(f)$. Similar definitions exist for $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ and $f:[a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$.

Note. Improper Riemann integral may exist without function being Lebesgue integral.

Proposition. If f is integrable, the improper Riemann integral is equal to the Lebesgue integral whenever the former exists.

Definition. Let $\alpha:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ monotonically increasing (and so bounded). For partition $P=\{x_0,...,x_n\}$ of [a,b] and bounded $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$, define

$$L(f,P,\alpha) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})), \quad U(f,P,\alpha) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1}))$$

where $m_i := \inf\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$, $M_i := \sup\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$. Then f is **integrable with respect to** α , $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, if

 $\inf\{U(f,P,\alpha): P \text{ partition of } [a,b]\} = \sup\{L(f,P,\alpha): P \text{ partition of } [a,b]\}$

and the common value $\int_a^b f d\alpha$ is the **Riemann-Stieltjes integral** of f with respect to α .

Proposition. Let $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$, then set of points where f is differentiable is measurable.

Remark. If $\alpha:[0,1]\to[a,b]$ bijection, then

$$\int_0^1 f \circ \alpha \, \mathrm{d}\alpha = \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Proposition. Let α be monotonically increasing and differentiable with $\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ iff $g\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}$, and in that case,

$$\int_{a}^{b} g \, d\alpha = \int_{a}^{b} g(x)\alpha'(x) \, dx$$

Remark. When g = 1, this says $\int_a^b 1 d\alpha = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) = \int \alpha'(x) dx$, similar to the fundamental theorem of calculus.

6. Lebesgue spaces

6.1. Normed linear spaces

Definition. Let X be **complex linear space** (vector space over \mathbb{C}). $\|\cdot\|: X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is **norm on** X if

- $\forall x \in X, ||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0.$
- $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|.$
- $\forall x, y \in X, ||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$.

X equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, is called **complex normed** linear space.

Example.

- $||x|| = \sqrt{x\overline{x}}$ is norm on \mathbb{C} .
- Let C[a, b] denote linear space of continuous real-valued functions on [a, b]. Then

$$||f||_{\max} := \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$$

is norm on C[a, b].

Proposition. Norm induces metric on X: d(x,y) = ||x - y||.

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space.

• Sequence (f_n) in X is Cauchy sequence in X if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n, m \ge N, \quad \|f_n - f_m\| < \varepsilon$$

• Sequence (f_n) in X converges in X, $||f_n - f|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, if

$$\exists f \in X : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, \quad \|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$$

- $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence converges in X.
- Banach space is complete normed linear space.

Proposition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space.

- If (x_n) converges in X, (x_n) is Cauchy sequence in X.
- Let (x_n) be Cauchy sequence in X. If (x_n) has convergent subsequence in X then (x_n) converges in X.

6.2. Lebesgue spaces L^p , $p \in [1, \infty)$

Definition. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

• Linear space $L^p(E)$ is defined as

$$L^p(E) \coloneqq \left\{ f: E \to \mathbb{C}: f \text{ is measurable and } \int_E |f|^p < \infty \right\} / \cong$$

where $f \cong g$ iff f = g almost everywhere:

$$f\cong g\Longleftrightarrow \exists F\subseteq E: \mu(F)=0 \land \forall x\in E-F, f(x)=g(x)$$

• Define $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}: L^p(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \coloneqq \left(\int_E |f|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

Remark.

- We often consider space $L^p(E)$ of real-valued measurable functions $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_E |f|^p < \infty$.
- For $f: E \to \mathbb{C}$, $f = f_1 + if_2$, f is measurable iff $f_1: E \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_2: E \to \mathbb{R}$ are measurable. Also,

$$\int_{E} |f|^{p} < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \left(\int_{E} |f_{1}|^{p} < \infty \wedge \int_{E} |f_{2}|^{p} < \infty \right)$$

Example. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}}(x) + i\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ and g(x) = 1. Then $\mu(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ so $f \cong g$.

Proposition. Let $(f_n), (g_n)$ sequences of measurable functions, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \cong g_n, \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = g$. Then $f \cong g$.

Definition. $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ are **conjugate exponents** if p > 1 and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Lemma (Young's inequality). Let p, q conjugate exponents, then

$$\forall A, B \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad AB \leq \frac{A^p}{p} + \frac{B^q}{q}$$

with equality iff $A^p = B^q$.

Lemma (Hölder's inequality). Let p, q conjugate exponents. If $f \in L^p(E), g \in L^q(E)$, then

$$\int_{E} |fg| \le \|f\|_{L^{p}} \|g\|_{L^{q}}$$

Corollary (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $L^2(E)$). If $f, g \in L^2(E)$, then

$$\left| \int_{E} f\overline{g} \right| \leq \int_{E} |fg| \leq \left\| f \right\|_{L^{2}} \left\| g \right\|_{L^{2}}$$

Lemma (Minkowski's inequality). Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $f, g \in L^p(E)$ then $f + g \in L^p(E)$ and

$$\|f + g\|_{L^p} \le \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}$$

Theorem. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is normed linear space.

Proposition. Let $1 \le p < q < \infty$. If $\mu(E) < \infty$ then $L^q(E) \subseteq L^p(E)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q}$$

Remark.

- Convergence in L^p is also called convergence in the mean of order p.
- This notion of convergence is different to pointwise convergence, uniform convergence and convergence in measure.

Theorem (Riesz-Fischer). For $p \in [1, \infty)$, $(L^p(E), ||\cdot||_{L^p})$ is complete.

6.3. Lebesgue space L^{∞}

Definition.

- Let $f: E \to \mathbb{C}$ measurable. f is **essentially bounded** if
 - $\exists M \geq 0 : |f(x)| \leq M$ almost everywhere on E
- $L^{\infty}(E)$ is collection of equivalence classes of essentially bounded functions where $f \cong g$ iff f = g almost everywhere.
- For $f \in L^{\infty}(E)$, define
 - $||f||_{L^{\infty}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}|f| := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x \in E : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0\}$

Proposition.

- $0 \le |f(x)| \le ||f||_{L^{\infty}}$ almost everywhere.
- $||f||_{L^{\infty}}$ is norm on $L^{\infty}(E)$.
- If $\tilde{f} \in L^1(E)$, $g \in L^{\infty}(E)$, then

$$\int_{E} |fg| \le \|f\|_{L^{1}} \|g\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

Proposition. Let (f_n) sequence of functions in $L^{\infty}(E)$. Then (f_n) converges to $f \in L^{\infty}(E)$ iff there exists $G \subseteq E$ with $\mu(G) = 0$ and (f_n) converges to f uniformly on E - G.

Theorem. $(L^{\infty}(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}})$ is complete.

Remark. If $\mu(E) < \infty$, then $L^{\infty}(E) \subset L^{p}(E)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \le \mu(E)^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

since

$$\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \int_E |f|^p \le \int_E \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p \cdot \mathbb{1}_E = \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p \mu(E)$$

6.4. Approximation and separability

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space. Let $F \subseteq G \subseteq X$. F is **dense in** G if

$$\forall g \in G, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists f \in F: \|f - g\| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition.

- F is dense in G iff for every $g \in G$, there exists sequence (f_n) in F such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = g$ in X.
- For $F \subseteq G \subseteq H \subseteq X$, if F dense in G and G dense in H, then F dense in H.

Proposition. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then subspace of simple functions in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$.

Definition. $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is **step function** if it can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{a}_k \mathbb{1}_{(a_k,b_k)}$$

where the intervals (a_k, b_k) are disjoint.

Proposition. Let [a, b] be bounded, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then subspace of step functions on [a, b] is dense in $(L^p([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$.

Definition. Normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is **separable** if there exists countable, dense subset $X' \subseteq X$.

Example. \mathbb{R} is separable, since \mathbb{Q} is countable and dense in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is separable. In particular, step functions are dense in $L^p(E)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proposition. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in L^p(E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. There exists continuous $g \in L^p(E)$ such that $||f - g||_{L^p} < \varepsilon$.

Remark. Linear space of continuous functions that vanish outside bounded set is dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Remark. Differentiable functions are also dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Remark. Step functions and continuous functions are not dense in $(L^{\infty}(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}})$.

Example. In general, $(L^{\infty}(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}})$ is not separable. Let [a, b] be bounded, $a \neq b$. Assume there is countable $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is dense in $(L^{\infty}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}})$. Then for every $x \in [a, b]$, can choose $g(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\|\mathbb{1}_{[a,x]}-f_{g(x)}\right\|_{L^\infty}<\frac{1}{2}$$

Also, for $x_1 \leq x_2$,

$$\left\|\mathbb{1}_{[a,x_1]} - \mathbb{1}_{[a,x_2]}\right\|_{L^\infty} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[a,x_1]} - \mathbf{1}_{[a,x_2]} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} & \leq \left\| \mathbf{1}_{[a,x_1]} - f_{g(x_1)} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} + \left\| f_{g(x_1)} - f_{g(x_2)} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} + \left\| f_{g(x_2)} - \mathbf{1}_{[a,x_2]} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ & < 1 + \left\| f_{g(x_1)} - f_{g(x_2)} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \end{split}$$

If $g(x_1) = g(x_2)$ then $\|\mathbb{1}_{[a,x_1]} - \mathbb{1}_{[a,x_2]}\|_{L^{\infty}} = 0$ so $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{N}$ is injective. But \mathbb{N} is countable and [a,b] is not countable: contradiction.

6.5. Riesz representation theorem for $L^p(E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$

Definition. Let X be linear space. $T: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is **linear functional** if

$$\forall f, g \in X, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad T(af + bg) = aT(f) + bT(g)$$

Any linear combination of linear functionals is linear, so set of linear functionals on linear space is also linear space.

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space. $T: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is **bounded functional** if

$$\exists M \ge 0 : \forall f \in X, \quad |T(f)| \le M||f||$$

Norm of T, $||T||_*$, is the smallest such M.

Remark. For bounded linear functional T on normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$,

$$|T(f)-T(g)|\leq \|T\|_{\star}\|f-g\|$$

This gives the following continuity property: if $f_n \to f \in X$, then $T(f_n) \to T(f)$.

Example. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p. Let $h \in L^q(E)$. Define $T: L^p(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f) = \int_E h \cdot f$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$|T(f)| = \left| \int_E hf \right| \le \int_E |hf| \le \left\| h \right\|_{L^q} \left\| f \right\|_{L^p}$$

So T is bounded linear functional.

Remark. We can write $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ as

 $\|T\|_{_{*}} := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} : \forall f \in X, |T(f)| \leq M\|f\|\} = \sup\{|T(f)| : f \in X, \|f\| \leq 1\}$

Definition. **Dual space** of X, X^* , is set of bounded linear functionals on X with norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Proposition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space, then dual space of X is linear space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\star}$.

Remark. Bounded linear functional is special case of **bounded** linear transformation between normed spaces. $T: X \to Y$ is bounded linear transformation if T(af + bg) = aT(f) + bT(g) and $\exists M \geq 0: \|T(f)\|_{Y} \leq M\|f\|_{X}$.

Proposition. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p, $h \in L^q(E)$. Define $T: L^p(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f) = \int_E hf$$

Then $||T||_* = ||h||_{L^q}$.

Theorem (Riesz representation theorem for L^p). Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to $p, E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable. For $h \in L^q(E)$, define bounded linear functional $R_h: L^p(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$R_h(f) = \int_E hf$$

Then for every bounded linear functional $T:L_p(E)\to\mathbb{R}$, there is unique $h\in L^q(E)$ such that

$$R_h = T \wedge \|T\|_* = \|h\|_{L^q}$$

Theorem. Let [a, b] be non-degenerate, bounded interval, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p. If T is bounded linear functional on $L^p([a, b])$ then there exists $h \in L^q([a, b])$ such that

$$T(f) = \int_{a}^{b} hf$$

7. Hilbert spaces

7.1. Inner product spaces

Definition. Let H be complex linear space. **Inner product** on H is function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \forall x, y, z \in H$,

- Linear in first variable: $\langle ax + by, z \rangle = a \langle x, z \rangle + b \langle y, z \rangle$.
- Conjugate symmetric: $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$.
- Positive: $x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \in (0, \infty)$
- $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0.$

These imply that $\langle 0, x \rangle = 0$ and inner product is conjugate linear in second variable: $\langle z, ax + by \rangle = \overline{a} \langle z, x \rangle + \overline{b} \langle z, y \rangle$.

Example.

- \mathbb{R}^n has inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.
- \mathbb{C}^n has inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i \overline{y_i}$.
- Inner product induces metric on H:

$$d(x,y) = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle^{1/2}$$

Definition. Complex linear space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called **pre-Hilbert space** or **inner product space**.

Definition. Let H inner product space. For $x \in H$, define the norm

$$||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

Proposition. $||x \pm y||^2 = ||x||^2 \pm 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle) + ||y||^2$.

Theorem (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be pre-Hilbert space. Then

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| ||y||$$

with equality iff x and y linearly dependent.

Theorem (Parallelogram Identity). A normed linear space X is an inner product space with norm derived from the inner product (i.e. $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$) iff

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

Definition. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Y})$, $(Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Y})$ be inner product spaces.

• An inner product on $X \times Y$ is

$$\left\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \right\rangle_{X \times Y} = \left\langle x_1, x_2 \right\rangle_X + \left\langle y_1, y_2 \right\rangle_Y$$

• The associated norm on $X \times Y$ is

$$\left\|(x,y)\right\|_{X\times Y} = \sqrt{\left\langle(x,y),(x,y)\right\rangle_{X\times Y}} = \sqrt{\left\|x\right\|_X^2 + \left\|y\right\|_Y^2}$$

Theorem. Let X inner product space, $x_n \to x, y_n \to y$ in X. Then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle_X \to \langle x, y \rangle_X$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} & \text{Use } |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = |\langle x_n - x, y_n \rangle + \langle x, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y_n \rangle + \\ \langle x, y_n - y \rangle| \text{ and Cauchy-Schwarz, reverse triangle inequality to show} \\ \|y_n\| \to \|y\|. & \square \end{array}$

Proposition. The norm and inner product are continuous.

7.2. Hilbert spaces

Definition. Hilbert space is inner product space which is complete with respect to norm induced by inner product.

Example. \mathbb{R}^n with standard inner product is Hilbert space.

Example. Define inner product on $L^2(E)$

$$\left\langle f,g\right\rangle _{L^{2}}\coloneqq\int_{E}f\overline{g}$$

Induced norm is the L^2 norm. So by Riesz-Fischer theorem, $(L^2(E), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2})$ is Hilbert space.

Definition. Let H Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

- $x, y \in H$ are **orthogonal**, $x \perp y$ if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.
- $A, B \subseteq H$ are **orthogonal**, $A \perp B$ if $\forall x \in A, \forall y \in B, x \perp y$.
- Orthogonal complement of $A \subseteq H$ is

$$A^{\perp} := \{ x \in H : \forall y \in A, \ x \perp y \}$$

Theorem (Pythagorean Theorem). If $x_1, ..., x_n \in H$, $x_i \perp x_j$ for $i \neq j$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i\|^2$$

Proof.	Use linearity of inner product and orthogonal condition.	

Theorem. Let H Hilbert space, $A \subseteq H$, then A^{\perp} is closed subspace of H.

Proof.

- Subspace:
 - For $y, z \in A^{\perp}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, show $\forall x \in A, \lambda y + \mu z \in A^{\perp}$.
- Closed:
 - Show if $(y_n) \subseteq A^{\perp}$, $y_n \to y$, then $y \in A^{\perp}$:
 - Let $x \in A$, then show $|\langle x, y \rangle| \to 0$ by squeezing, triangle inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz.

Theorem (Projection). Let M closed subspace of Hilbert space H.

• For every $x \in H$, there exists unique closest point $y \in M$:

$$\forall x \in H, \exists ! y \in M: \ \|x - y\| = \min\{\|x - z\| : z \in M\}$$

We say y is "the best approximation" to x in M.

• The point $y \in M$ closest to $x \in H$ is unique element of M such that $(x - y) \perp M$.

Proof.

- Let $d = \inf\{\|x z\| : z \in M\}$. Show that $\exists y \in M : \|x y\| = d$:
 - ► There is sequence $(y_n) \subset M$ with $||x y_n|| \to d$. Show that (y_n) is Cauchy:
 - $-\|y_m-y_n\|^2+\|2x-y_m-y_n\|^2=2\|x-y_m\|^2+2\|x-y_n\|^2 \text{ by parallelogram identity.}$
 - $-\frac{y_m + y_n}{2} \in M$, so $||2x y_m y_n|| \ge 2d$.
 - ▶ Deduce that $y_n \to y \in M$ and $||x y|| \to d$ by squeezing.
- Uniqueness of y:
 - Let ||x y|| = d = ||x y'||.

- ▶ By parallelogram identity, $2||x y||^2 + 2||x y'||^2 = ||2x y y'||^2 + ||y y'||^2$.
- Use that $\frac{y+y'}{2} \in M$ to show ||y-y'|| = 0.
- To show $z = x y \perp M$:
 - For $w \in M$, write $\langle z, w \rangle = |\langle z, w \rangle| \lambda$ where $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$, set $u = \lambda w$.
 - ▶ Define $f(t) = ||z + tu||^2$, show t = 0 is minimum of f and so 0 = f'(0), hence $z \in M^{\perp}$.
- To show uniqueness of z:
 - Show for $y, y' \in M$ such that $x y \perp M$ and $x y' \perp M$, then $\langle y y', w \rangle = 0$ for any $w \in M$. Set w = y y' to give y = y'.

Definition. **Direct sum** of subspaces M and N of linear space is

$$M \oplus N := \{y + z : y \in M, z \in N\}$$

Corollary. If M closed subspace of Hilbert space H, then $H = M \oplus M^{\perp}$.

For all $x \in H$, x can be written uniquely as x = y + z where y is best approximation to x in M and $z = x - y \perp M$.

Proof. By above theorem. \Box

Definition. Let H Hilbert space. $\{u_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is **orthonormal** if it is **orthogonal**: $u_{\alpha} \perp u_{\beta}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and **normalised**: $\forall \alpha \in I, ||u_{\alpha}|| = 1$.

Definition. Let X Banach space, $\{x_{\alpha} \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ be indexed set where I is countable or uncountable.

• For each finite $J \subseteq I$, define **partial sum** as

$$S_J := \sum_{\alpha \in J} x_\alpha$$

- Unordered sum of $\{x_{\alpha} \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ converges unconditionally to $x \in X$, written $x = \sum_{\alpha \in I} x_{\alpha}$, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists finite $J \subseteq I$ such that $\|S_K x\| < \varepsilon$ for every finite $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$.
- Unordered sum $\sum_{\alpha \in I} x_{\alpha}$ is **Cauchy** if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists finite $J \subseteq I$ such that $||S_L|| < \varepsilon$ for every finite $L \subseteq I J$. Note that

$$\|S_L\| = \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in L \cup J} x_\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in J} x_\alpha \right\|$$

• Unordered sum of $\{x_{\alpha} \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ converges absolutely if $\sum_{\alpha \in I} ||x_{\alpha}||$ converges unconditionally in \mathbb{R} .

Proposition. Unordered sum in Banach space converges unconditionally iff it is Cauchy.

Definition. Let $\{c_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I} c_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha : J \subseteq I, J \text{ finite} \right\}$$

Proposition. Let $\{c_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\} \subseteq [0, \infty], K = \{\alpha \in I: c_{\alpha} > 0\}$. If $\sum_{\alpha \in I} c_{\alpha} < \infty$, then K is countable.

Theorem (Bessel's inequality). Let $U = \{u_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ orthonormal in Hilbert space H. Then

$$\forall x \in H, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_{\alpha} \rangle|^2 \le \|x\|^2$$

In particular, $\forall x \in H$, $\{\alpha \in I : \langle x, u_{\alpha} \rangle \neq 0\}$ is countable.

Proof.

- Prove for any finite $J \subseteq I$, then take supremum on LHS.
- Show that

$$\left\|x-\sum_{\alpha\in J}\langle x,u_{\alpha}\rangle u_{\alpha}\right\|=\|x\|^2-\sum_{\alpha\in J}|\langle x,u_{\alpha}\rangle|^2$$

using equation 2.2 and Pythagorean theorem.

Theorem. If $U = \{u_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ is orthonormal subset of Hilbert space H then the following are equivalent:

- If $\forall \alpha \in I, \langle x, u_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$, then x = 0.
- $\forall x \in H, \ x = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \langle x, u_{\alpha} \rangle u_{\alpha}$ where sum converges unconditionally in H and only has countably many non-zero terms.
- Parseval's identity:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle|^2$$

Proof.

- (i) \Longrightarrow (ii): let $\{\alpha_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be set of indices where $\langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle \neq 0$. Show the partial sums of $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle u_{\alpha_j}$ are Cauchy using Pythagorean theorem and so show converges.
- Set

$$y = x - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle u_{\alpha_j}$$

and show $\langle y, u_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$.

• (ii) \Longrightarrow (iii): let $\varepsilon > 0$. Use definition of unconditional convergence of x and Pythagorean theorem to show $||x||^2 - \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_{\alpha} \rangle|^2 < \varepsilon$.

Definition. Orthonormal subset $U = \{u_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ of Hilbert space H is **complete** if it satisfies any of the conditions in Theorem 7.2.16. An **orthonormal basis** of H is a complete orthonormal subset of H.

Definition. U is maximal orthonormal set if $\forall V \subseteq H$ such that $U \subsetneq V$, V is not orthonormal.

Lemma. U is maximal orthonormal set iff it is an orthonormal basis.

Remark. For orthonormal basis $\{u_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\}$, representation $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} c_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$ is unique (consider $\langle x - x, u_{\beta} \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (c_{\alpha} - d_{\alpha}) u_{\alpha}, u_{\beta} \rangle$).

Theorem. Every Hilbert space H has orthonormal basis. If $V \subseteq H$ is orthonormal set, then H has orthonormal basis containing V.

Proof.

- Assume $H \neq \{0\}$. Use partial ordering \subseteq .
- Let $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ be totally ordered collection of orthonormal sets. Find upper bound of $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ which is orthonormal.
- Show result using <u>Theorem 7.2.25</u> and <u>Lemma 7.2.19</u>.
- To show orthonormal sets V can be extended to orthonormal bases, use same argument on family of all orthonormal subsets of H containing V.

Definition. A set X is **partially ordered** if it is equipped with relation \leq satisfying:

- Reflexivity: $\forall x \in X, x \leq x$.
- Transitivity: $(x \le y \land y \le z) \Longrightarrow x \le z$.
- Anti-symmetry: $(x \le y \land y \le x) \Longrightarrow x = y$.

X is **totally ordered** if partially ordered and $\forall x, y \in X$, either $x \le y$ or $y \le x$.

Definition. Let X totally ordered set with relation $\leq x \in X$ is **upper bound** for $Y \subseteq X$ if $\forall y \in Y, y \leq x$. $x \in X$ is **maximal** if $\forall y \in X, x \leq y \Longrightarrow y = x$.

Example. Let X be non-empty collection of sets. Then \subseteq is partial ordering on X. $A \in X$ is upper bound for $X' \subseteq X$ if every set in X' is subset of A. $M \in X$ is maximal if it is not proper subset of any set in X.

Theorem (Zorn's Lemma). A partially ordered set X that has upper bounds for its totally ordered subsets has a maximal element.

Proposition. Hilbert space is separable iff it has countable orthonormal basis.

Proof.

• \Longrightarrow : let $U = \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ countable, dense in H. Recursively discard any u_n in linear span of $u_1, ..., u_{n-1}$ to obtain linearly independent set $V = \{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ whose linear span is dense in H. Applying Gram-Schmidt, set

$$w_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}, ..., w_{n+1} = c_{n+1} \left(v_{n+1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle w_k, v_{n+1} w_k \rangle \right)$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ chosen so that $||w_n|| = 1$. $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is countable orthonormal basis.

• \Leftarrow : let $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be orthonormal basis, show that

$$S_m = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m c_k w_k : c_k \in \mathbb{Q} + i \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

is countable and $\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} S_m$ dense in H.

Theorem (Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces). Let H Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $T: H \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded linear functional. Then

$$\exists ! y \in H : \forall x \in H, \quad T(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Note RHS gives bounded linear functional by Cauchy-Schwarz.

Proof.

- Existence:
 - ▶ Show $N = \{x \in H : T(x) = 0\}$ is closed subspace of H, use that $H = N \oplus N^{\perp}$.
 - Assume N^{\perp} contains v with $\|v\|=1$. For $x\in H$, define u=T(x)v-T(v)x.
 - Show that $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$, deduce a value for y from this.
- Uniqueness: straightforward.

8. Convergence of Fourier series

Note. We can view $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ as being 2π -periodic by extending it on the real line.

Definition. m-th **partial Fourier sum** of 2π -periodic integrable function $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(S_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k(f) e^{ikx}$$

where

$$a_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) e^{-iky} \,\mathrm{d}y$$

are Fourier coefficients of f.

Definition. Let $f, g : [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable functions. Convolution f * g is

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)g(x - y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

Proposition. Let $f, g, h : [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable functions, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then * satisfies:

- Commutativity: f * g = g * f.
- Distributivity: f * (g + h) = (f * g) + (f * h).
- **Homogeneity**: (cf) * g = c(f * g) = f * (cg).
- Associativity: (f * g) * h = f * (g * h).

8.1. Pointwise convergence of Fourier series via Dirichlet kernel

Definition. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The m-th Dirichlet kernel is

$$D_m(x) \coloneqq \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{ikx}$$

Proposition.

- D_m is trigonometric polynomial of degree m with coefficients equal to 1 for $k \in [-m, m]$ and 0 otherwise.
- D_m is real-valued and 2π -periodic.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_m(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

Proposition. Let $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable function. Then

$$(D_m * f)(x) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k(f) e^{ikx} = (S_m f)(x)$$

where $a_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) e^{-iky} \, dy$.

Proposition.

$$D_m(x) = \frac{\sin(\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)x)}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})}$$

Remark. RHS in <u>Proposition 8.1.4</u> has removable singularity at x = 0, and $D_m(0) = 2m + 1$. Applying l'Hopital's rule to RHS gives

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin\left(\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)x\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} = 2m + 1$$

Theorem (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $f \in L^1(E)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f(x) \sin(nx) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f(x) \cos(nx) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E f(x) e^{-inx} = 0$$

Proof.

- First consider when $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}(x)$. Define $I_j = \left(\frac{2\pi j}{n}, \frac{2\pi (j+1)}{n}\right)$, so integral of $\sin(nx)$ over each I_j is 0.
- Write

$$(a,b) = L \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^N I_j \cup R$$

so that length(L), length(R) $< \frac{2\pi}{n}$.

• Show that

$$\left| \int_{E} f(x) \sin(nx) \right| < \frac{4\pi}{n}$$

- Deduce the sin result for step functions.
- Use that step functions are dense in L^1 to show sin result for $f \in L^1(E)$ by writing $f = (f \psi) + \psi$ and finally take \limsup .
- Same argument works for cos.
- Conclude exp result.

Theorem. Let $f \in L^1([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic, assume f differentiable at $b \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Then

$$f(b) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) D_m(b-y) \,\mathrm{d}y = \lim_{m \to \infty} (f * D_m)(b) = \lim_{m \to \infty} S_m f(b)$$

Proof.

• First assume b=0. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$, show that $f(y)/\sin(y/2)$ is integrable on $[\varepsilon,\pi]$ and show

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{\xi}^{\pi} \frac{f(y)}{\sin(\frac{y}{2})} \sin\left(\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)y\right) dy = 0$$

Conclude the same for $\int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon}$.

- Write f(y) = f(0) + s(y) and split the integral $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}$ as such.
- Use Proposition 8.1.2 and split integral of s(y) to show

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) D_m(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = f(0) + \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} s(y) D_m(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

- Use differentiability at 0 to show for ε small and $y \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, $|s(y)| \leq C|y|$.
- Show that $|x|/|\sin(x)| \le 2$ for x small (for $\cos(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}$) by considering $g(x) = 2\sin(x) x$, and then that

$$0 \le \left| \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} s(y) D_m(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right| \le \frac{4C\varepsilon}{\pi}$$

• Conclude the result for b = 0.

• To show for $b \in [-\pi, \pi]$, define G(y) = f(b-y) and use commutativity of convolution.

8.2. Uniform convergence of Cesàro mean Fourier series via Fejér kernel

Definition. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Fejér kernel is

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} D_m(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e^{ikx}$$

Proposition.

•

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

•

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\sin(Nx/2)}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^2$$

• Fejér kernel is non-negative, so

$$F_N(x) = |F_N(x)| \Longrightarrow \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_N(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x = 2\pi$$

• For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon < |x| < \pi$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $(\sin(x/2))^{-2} \le C_{\varepsilon}$, hence

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} |F_N(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(Nx/2)}{\sin(x/2)} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{N} \int C_{\varepsilon} \le \frac{\pi C_{\varepsilon}}{N} \to 0 \quad \text{as } N \to \infty$$

and similarly for $-\pi < x < -\varepsilon$.

Definition. The N-th Cesàro mean is the average of the first N partial Fourier sums of f:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

Proposition. Let $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ integrable, then convolution of f with Fejér kernel is the Cesàro mean:

$$(f * F_N)(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

Theorem. Let $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous and 2π -periodic, then

$$\forall x \in [-\pi,\pi], \quad f(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} (f * F_N)(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

and the convergence is uniform.

Proof.

- Reason that f is bounded: $|f| \leq B$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$.
- Let $\rho > 0$. Show that $\forall x, y \in [-\pi, \pi]$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $|y| < \varepsilon \Longrightarrow |f(x-y) f(x)| < \rho$.
- Show that

$$f * F_N)(x) - f(x)|$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \right) \, |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

- Show that first terms of RHS tend to zero as $N \to \infty$.
- Show last term on RHS is $< \rho$.

• Conclude the result.

Remark.

- By above theorem, any 2π -periodic continuous function on $[-\pi, \pi]$ can be uniformly approximated by trigonometric polynomials, i.e. if $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists trigonometric polynomial p such that $\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], |f(x) p(x)| < \varepsilon$.
- This is analogue of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem for 2π periodic functions. Weierstrass Approximation Theorem states
 that for continuous function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists
 polynomial p such that $\forall x \in [a,b], |f(x)-p(x)| < \varepsilon$.
- Continuous functions are dense in $L^p([a,b])$ for $p \in [1,\infty)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0, f \in L^p([a,b])$ and $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous such that

 $\|f-g\|_{L^p}<\varepsilon$. By Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, there exists polynomial \tilde{p} such that

$$\forall x \in [a,b], \quad |g(x) - \tilde{p}(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)^{1/p}}$$

Hence

$$\int_{a}^{b} |g(x) - \tilde{p}(x)|^{p} < \varepsilon^{p} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \left\|g - \tilde{p}\right\|_{L^{p}} < \varepsilon$$

Hence by Minkowski's inequality, $||f - \tilde{p}||_{L^p} < 2\varepsilon$. Hence polynomials are dense in $L^p([a,b])$ for $p \in [1,\infty)$.

- Note: for $p = \infty$, any continuous function in $L^{\infty}([a, b])$ can be approximated by polynomials, but continuous functions are not dense in $L^{\infty}([a, b])$.
- Similarly, trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L^p([-\pi, \pi])$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

8.3. Mean convergence of Fourier series in $L^2([-\pi,\pi])$

Notation. Define an inner product on $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f\overline{g}$$

and denote $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is Hilbert space by Riesz-Fischer.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$, let $\varphi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$, then for 2π -periodic integrable function $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$a_k(f) = \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle, \quad S_N f(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle \varphi_k$$

Lemma. Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic, define

$$\mathcal{P}_N = \left\{ \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k \varphi_k : c_k \in \mathbb{C}, n \leq N \right\}$$

Then:

- $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}_N, f S_N f$ is orthogonal to p.
- $\forall N \geq 0, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}_N$

$$\|f-S_Nf\|\leq \|f-p\|$$

with equality iff $p = S_N f$.

Proof.

- Show $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]} \varphi_m \overline{\varphi_n} = 0 = \delta_{mn}$ (justify use of Riemann integral).
- Show that $(f S_N f) \perp \varphi_m$ for each $|m| \leq N$ to show $(f S_N f) \perp p$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$.
- Write $f p = f S_N f + S_N f \sum_{k=-N}^{N} c_k \varphi_k$, use Pythagoras.

Remark. Above lemma is projection result, i.e. $S_N f$ is best approximation to f in \mathcal{P}_N .

Theorem. Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic function. Then Fourier series for f converges to f in $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]), \|\cdot\|)$, i.e.

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \lVert S_N f - f \rVert = 0$$

Proof.

- First show if $g: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous, then $||S_N g G|| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.
 - Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then for some M, there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}_M$ such that

$$\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad |g(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$$

- Use that $g(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} (g * F_N)(x)$ and $g * F_{M+1} \in \mathcal{P}_M$.
- Deduce that $\|g p\|^2 < \varepsilon^2$.
- ▶ Show if $M \le N$ then $\|g S_N g\| \le \|g p\| < \varepsilon$, conclude result for continuous functions.

- Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Using that continuous functions are dense in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$, there is $g : [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $||f g|| < \varepsilon$.
- Since g continuous, for large enough M, $||S_M g g|| < \varepsilon$ by above.
- Use triangle inequality, the fact that $N \geq M \Longrightarrow S_M g \in \mathcal{P}_N$ and projection theorem to conclude the result.

Lemma. $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal basis of $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]))$ with respect to inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f \overline{g}$$

Proof.

- Note that $(L^2([-\pi,\pi]),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is Hilbert space.
- Show Parseval's identity holds.
- Write $f = f S_N f + S_N f$, use projection theorem, Pythagorean theorem and orthonormality of $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to show

$$\left\|f\right\|^2 = \left\|f - S_N f\right\|^2 + \sum_{k=-N}^N |\langle f, \varphi_k \rangle|^2$$

• Take limit as $N \to \infty$ to conclude result.