### 1. Introduction

- Encryption process:
  - Alice has a message (**plaintext**) which is **encrypted** using an **encryption key** to produce the **ciphertext**, which is sent to Bob.
  - Bob uses a **decryption key** (which depends on the encryption key) to **decrypt** the ciphertext and recover the original plaintext.
  - It should be computationally infeasible to determine the plaintext without knowing the decryption key.
- Caesar cipher:
  - Add constant k to each letter in plaintext to produce ciphertext:

 $ciphertext letter = plaintext letter + k \mod 26$ 

• To decrypt,

plaintext letter = ciphertext letter  $-k \mod 26$ 

- The key is  $k \mod 26$ .
- Cryptosystem objectives:
  - Secrecy: an intercepted message is not able to be decrypted
  - Integrity: it is impossible to alter a message without the receiver knowing
  - Authenticity: receiver is certain of identity of sender
  - Non-repudiation: sender cannot claim they sent a message; the receiver can prove they did.
- **Kerckhoff's principle**: a cryptographic system should be secure even if the details of the system are known to an attacker.
- Types of attack:
  - **Ciphertext-only**: the plaintext is deduced from the ciphertext.
  - **Known-plaintext**: intercepted ciphertext and associated stolen plaintext are used to determine the key.
  - Chosen-plaintext: an attacker tricks a sender into encrypting various chosen plaintexts and observes the ciphertext, then uses this information to determine the key.
  - Chosen-ciphertext: an attacker tricks the receiver into decrypting various chosen ciphertexts and observes the resulting plaintext, then uses this information to determine the key.

# 2. Symmetric key ciphers

- Converting letters to numbers: treat letters as integers modulo 26, with  $A=1, Z=0\equiv 26 \pmod{26}$ . Treat string of text as vector of integers modulo 26.
- **Symmetric key cipher**: one in which encryption and decryption keys are equal.
- **Key size**:  $\log_2(\text{number of possible keys})$ .
- Caesar cipher is a **substitution cipher**. A stronger substitution cipher is this: key is permutation of  $\{a, ..., z\}$ . But vulnerable to plaintext attacks and ciphertext-only attacks, since different letters (and letter pairs) occur with different frequencies in English.

- One-time pad: key is uniformly, independently random sequence of integers mod 26,  $(k_1, k_2, ...)$ , known to sender and receiver. If message is  $(m_1, m_2, ..., m_r)$  then ciphertext is  $(c_1, c_2, ..., c_r) = (k_1 + m_1, k_2 + m_2, ..., k_r + m_r)$ . To decrypt the ciphertext,  $m_i = c_i k_i$ . Once  $(k_1, ..., k_r)$  have been used, they must never be used again.
  - One-time pad is information-theoretically secure against ciphertext-only attack:  $\mathbb{P}(M=m\mid C=c)=\mathbb{P}(M=m).$
  - Disadvantage is keys must never be reused, so must be as long as message.
  - Keys must be truly random.
- Chinese remainder theorem: let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  coprime,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then exists unique solution  $x \mod mn$  to the congruences

$$x \equiv a \mod m$$
$$x \equiv b \mod n$$

- Block cipher: group characters in plaintext into blocks of n (the block length) and encrypt each block with a key. So plaintext  $p = (p_1, p_2, ...)$  is divided into blocks  $P_1, P_2, ...$  where  $P_1 = (p_1, ..., p_n), P_2 = (p_{n+1}, ..., p_{2n}), ...$  Then ciphertext blocks are given by  $C_i = f(\text{key}, P_i)$  for some encryption function f.
- Hill cipher:
  - Plaintext divided into blocks  $P_1, ..., P_r$  of length n.
  - Each block represented as vector  $P_i \in \left(\mathbb{Z}/26\mathbb{Z}\right)^n$
  - Key is invertible  $n \times n$  matrix M with elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/26\mathbb{Z}$ .
  - Ciphertext for block  $P_i$  is

$$C_i = MP_i$$

It can be decrypted with  $P_i = M^{-1}C$ .

- Let  $P = (P_1, ..., P_r), C = (C_1, ..., C_r),$  then C = MP.
- Confusion: each character of ciphertext depends on many characters of key.
- **Diffusion**: each character of ciphertext depends on many characters of plaintext. Ideal diffusion is when changing single character of plaintext changes a proportion of (S-1)/S of the characters of the ciphertext, where S is the number of possible symbols.
- For Hill cipher, ith character of ciphertext depends on ith row of key this is medium confusion. If jth character of plaintext changes and  $M_{ij} \neq 0$  then ith character of ciphertext changes.  $M_{ij}$  is non-zero with probability roughly 25/26 so good diffusion.
- Hill cipher is susceptible to known plaintext attack:
  - If  $P = (P_1, ..., P_n)$  are n blocks of plaintext with length n such that P is invertible and we know P and the corresponding C, then we can recover M, since  $C = MP \Longrightarrow M = CP^{-1}$ .
  - If enough blocks of ciphertext are intercepted, it is very likely that n of them will produce an invertible matrix P.

# 3. Public key encryption and RSA

- Public key cryptosystem:
  - Bob produces encryption key,  $k_E$ , and decryption key,  $k_D$ . He publishes  $k_E$  and keeps  $k_D$  secret.
  - To encrypt message m, Alice sends ciphertext  $c = f(m, k_E)$  to Bob.
  - To decrypt ciphertext c, Bob computes  $g(c, k_D)$ , where g satisfies

$$g(f(m, k_E), k_D) = m$$

for all messages m and all possible keys.

- Computing m from  $f(m, k_E)$  should be hard without knowing  $k_D$ .
- Converting between messages and numbers:
  - To convert message  $m_1m_2...m_r$ ,  $m_i \in \{0,...,25\}$  to number, compute

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i 26^{i-1}$$

- To convert number m to message, add character  $m \mod 26$  to message. If m < 26, stop. Otherwise, floor divide m by 26 and repeat.
- Fermat's little theorem: let p prime,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime to p, then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .
- Euler  $\varphi$  function:

$$\varphi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \varphi(n) = |\{1 \le a \le n : \gcd(a, n) = 1\}| = |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}|$$

- $\varphi(p^r) = p^r p^{r-1}$ ,  $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$  for  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .
- Euler's theorem: if gcd(a, n) = 1,  $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .
- RSA algorithm:
  - $k_E$  is pair (n, e) where n = pq, the **RSA modulus**, is product of two distinct primes and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the **encryption exponent**, is coprime to  $\varphi(n)$ .
  - $k_D$ , the decryption exponent, is integer d such that  $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ .
  - m is an integer modulo n, m and n are coprime.
  - Encryption:  $c = m^e \pmod{n}$ .
  - Decryption:  $m = c^d \pmod{n}$ .
  - It is recommended that n have at least 2048 bits. A typical choice of e is  $2^{16} + 1$ .
- **RSA problem**: given n = pq a product of two unknown primes, e and  $m^e \pmod{n}$ , recover m. If n can be factored, the RSA is solved.
- Factorisation problem: given n = pq for large distinct primes p and q, find p and q.
- RSA signatures:
  - Public key is (n, e) and private key is d.
  - When sending a message m, message is **signed** by also sending  $s = m^d \mod n$ , the **signature**.
  - (m, s) is received, **verified** by checking if  $m = s^e \mod n$ .
  - Forging a signature on a message m would require finding s with  $m = s^e \mod n$ . This is the RSA problem.

- However, choosing signature s first then taking  $m = s^e \mod n$  produces valid pairs.
- To solve this, (m, s) is sent where  $s = h(m)^d$ , h is **hash function**. Then the message receiver verifies  $h(m) = s^e \mod n$ .
- Now, for a signature to be forged, an attacker would have to find m with  $h(m) = s^e \mod n$ .
- Hash function is function  $h : \{\text{messages}\} \to \mathcal{H}$  that:
  - Can be computed efficiently
  - Is **preimage-resistant**: can't quickly find m given h(m).
  - Is collision-resistant: can't quickly find m, m' such that h(m) = h(m').

#### • Attacks on RSA:

- If you can factor n, you can compute d, so can break RSA (as then you know  $\varphi(n)$  so can compute  $e^{-1} \mod \varphi(n)$ ).
- If  $\varphi(n)$  is known, then we have pq = n and  $(p-1)(q-1) = \varphi(n)$  so  $p+q = n \varphi(n) + 1$ . Hence p and q are roots of  $x^2 (n \varphi(n) + 1)x + n$ .

#### • Known d attack:

- de-1 is multiple of  $\varphi(n)$  so  $p,q \mid x^{de-1}-1$ .
- Look for factor K of de-1 with  $x^K-1$  divisible by p but not q (or vice versa) (equivalently,  $(p-1) \mid K$  but  $(q-1) \nmid K$ ).
- Let  $de-1=2^rs$ ,  $\gcd(2,s)=1$ , choose random  $x \bmod n$ . Let  $y=x^s$ , then  $y^{2^r}=x^{2^rs}=x^{de-1}\equiv 1 \bmod n$ .
- If  $y \equiv 1 \mod n$ , restart with new random x. Find first occurrence of 1 in  $y, y^2, ..., y^{2^r} : y^{2^j} \not\equiv 1 \mod n, \ y^{2^{j+1}} \equiv 1 \mod n$  for some  $j \geq 0$ .
- Let  $a := y^{2^j}$ , then  $a^2 \equiv 1 \mod n$ ,  $a \not\equiv 1 \mod n$ . If  $a \equiv -1 \mod n$ , restart with new random x.
- Now  $n = pq \mid a^2 1 = (a+1)(a-1)$  but  $n \nmid (a+1), (a-1)$ . So p divides one of a+1, a-1 and q divides the other. So  $\gcd(a-1,n), \gcd(a+1,n)$  are prime factors of n.
- **Theorem**: it is no easier to find  $\varphi(n)$  than to factorise n.
- **Theorem**: it is no easier to find d than to factor n.
- Miller-Rabin algorithm for probabilistic primality testing of *n*:
  - 1. Let  $n-1=2^r s$ , gcd(2,s)=1.
  - 2. Choose random  $x \mod n$ , compute  $y = x^s \mod n$ .
  - 3. Compute  $y, y^2, ..., y^{2^r} \mod n$ .
  - 4. If 1 isn't in this list, n is **composite** (with witness x).
  - 5. If 1 is in list preceded by number other than  $\pm 1$ , n is **composite** (with witness x).
  - 6. Other, n is **possible prime** (to base x).

### • Theorem:

- If n prime then it is possible prime to every base.
- If n composite then it is possible prime to  $\leq 1/4$  of possible bases.

In particular, if k random bases are chosen, probability of composite n being possible prime for all k bases is  $\leq 4^{-k}$ .

#### 3.1. Factorisation

- Trial division algorithm: for p = 2, 3, 5, ... test whether  $p \mid n$ .
- If  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \mod n$  but  $x \not\equiv \pm y \mod n$ , then x y is divisible by factor of n but not by n itself, so  $\gcd(x y, n)$  gives proper factor of n (or 1).
- Fermat's method:
  - Let  $a = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Compute  $a^2 \mod n$ ,  $(a+1)^2 \mod n$  until a square  $x^2 \equiv (a+i)^2 \mod n$  appears. Then compute  $\gcd(a+i-x,n)$ .
  - Works well under special conditions on the factors: if  $|p-q| \le 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{n}$  then Fermat's method takes one step:  $x = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$  works.
- **Definition**: an integer is **B-smooth** if all its prime factors are  $\leq B$ .
- Quadratic sieve:
  - Choose B and let m be number of primes  $\leq B$ .
  - Look at integers  $x = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + k$ , k = 1, 2, ... and check whether  $y = x^2 n$  is Bsmooth.
  - Once  $y_1 = x_1^2 n, ..., y_t = x_t^2 n$  are all B-smooth with t > m, find some product of them that is a square.
  - Deduce a congruence between the squares.
  - Time complexity is  $\exp(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})$ .

## 4. Diffie-Hellman key exchange

- **Primitive root theorem**: let p prime, then there exists  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  such that  $1, g, ..., g^{p-2}$  is complete set of residues mod p.
- Let p prime,  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ . Order of g is smallest  $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $g^a = 1$ . g is **primitive root** if its order is p-1 (equivalently,  $1, g, ..., g^{p-2}$  is complete set of residues mod p).
- Let p prime,  $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  primitive root. If  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  then  $x = g^L$  for some  $0 \le L .$ Then <math>L is **discrete logarithm** of x to base g. Write  $L = L_g(x)$ .

#### • Proposition:

- $\bullet \ \ g^{L_g(x)} \equiv x \pmod{p} \text{ and } g^a \equiv x \pmod{p} \Longleftrightarrow a \equiv L_g(x) \pmod{p-1}.$
- $\bullet \ \ L_g(1)=0,\, L_g(g)=1.$
- $\bullet \ \ L_g(xy) \equiv L_g(x) + L_g(y) \pmod{p-1}.$
- $\bullet \ \ L_g(x^{-1}) = -L_g(x) \ (\mathrm{mod} \ p-1).$
- $L_g(g^a \mod p) \equiv a \pmod{p-1}$ .
- h is primitive root mod p iff  $L_g(h)$  coprime to p-1. So number of primitive roots mod p is  $\varphi(p-1)$ .
- Discrete logarithm problem: given p, g, x, compute  $L_g(x)$ .
- Diffie-Hellman key exchange:
  - Alice and Bob publicly choose prime p and primitive root  $g \mod p$ .
  - Alice chooses secret  $\alpha \mod(p-1)$  and sends  $g^{\alpha} \mod p$  to Bob publicly.
  - Bob chooses secret  $\beta \mod(p-1)$  and sends  $g^{\beta} \mod p$  to Alice publicly.
  - Alice and Bob both compute shared secret  $\kappa = g^{\alpha\beta} = (g^{\alpha})^{\beta} = (g^{\beta})^{\alpha} \mod p$ .
- Diffie-Hellman problem: given  $p, g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\beta}$ , compute  $g^{\alpha\beta}$ .

- If discrete logarithm problem can be solved, so can Diffie-Hellman problem (since could compute  $\alpha = L_q(g^a)$  or  $\beta = L_q(g^\beta)$ ).
- Elgamal public key encryption:
  - Alice chooses prime p, primitive root g, private key  $\alpha \mod (p-1)$ .
  - Her public key is  $y = g^{\alpha}$ .
  - Bob chooses random  $k \mod (p-1)$
  - To send message m (integer mod p), he sends the pair  $(r, m') = (g^k, my^k)$ .
  - To decrypt message, Alice computes  $r^{\alpha} = g^{\alpha k} = y^k$  and then  $m'r^{-\alpha} = m'y^{-k} = mg^{\alpha k}g^{-\alpha k}m$ .
  - If Diffie-Hellman problem is hard, then Elgamal encryption is secure against known plaintext attack.
  - Key k must be random and different each time.
- Decision Diffie-Hellman problem: given  $g^a, g^b, c$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , decide whether  $c = g^{ab}$ .
  - This problem is not always hard, as can tell if  $g^{ab}$  is square or not. Can fix this by taking g to have large prime order  $q \mid (p-1)$ . p = 2q + 1 is a good choice.
- Elgamal signatures:
  - Public key is (p, g),  $y = g^{\alpha}$  for private key  $\alpha$ .
  - Valid Elgamal signature on  $m \in \{0,...,p-2\}$  is pair  $(r,s), \ 0 \le r,s \le p-1$  such that

$$y^r r^s = g^m \pmod{p}$$

- Alice computes  $r = g^k$ ,  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1))^{\times}$  random. k should be different each time
- Then  $g^{\alpha r}g^{ks}\equiv g^m\mod p$  so  $\alpha r+ks\equiv m\pmod {p-1}$  so  $s=k^{-1}(m-\alpha r)\mod p-1.$
- Elgamal signature problem: given p, g, y, m, find r, s such that  $y^r r^s = m$ .
- Discrete logarithm problem: given prime p, primitive root  $g \mod p$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , calculate  $L_q(x)$ .
- Baby-step giant-step algorithm for solving DLP:
  - Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{p-1} \rceil$ .
  - Baby-steps: compute  $g^j \mod p$  for  $0 \le j < N$ .
  - Giant-steps: compute  $xg^{-Nk} \mod p$  for  $0 \le k < N$ .
  - Look for a match between baby-steps and giant-steps lists:  $q^j = xq^{-Nk} \Longrightarrow x = q^{j+Nk}$ .
  - Always works since if  $x = g^L$  for  $0 \le L < p-1 \le N^2$ , L can be written as j + Nk with  $j, k \in \{0, ..., N-1\}$ .
- Index calculus method for solving DLP  $x = g^L$ :
  - Fix smoothness bound *B*.
  - Find many multiplicative relations between B-smooth numbers and powers of  $g \mod p$ .
  - Solve these relations to find discrete logarithms of primes  $\leq B$ .
  - For i = 1, 2, ... compute  $xg^i \mod p$  until one is B-smooth, then use result from previous step.

• Pohlig-Hellman algorithm computes discrete logarithms mod p with approximate complexity  $\log(p)\sqrt{\ell}$  where  $\ell$  is largest prime factor of p-1, so is fast if p-1 is B-smooth. Therefore p is chosen so that p-1 has large prime factor, e.g. choose Germain prime p=2q+1, with q prime.

## 5. Elliptic curves

- Definition: abelian group  $(G, \circ)$  satisfies:
  - Associativity:  $\forall a, b, c \in G, a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ .
  - Identity:  $\exists e \in G : \forall g \in G, e \times g = g$ .
  - Inverses:  $\forall g \in G, \exists h \in G : g \circ h = h \circ g = e$
  - Commutativity:  $\forall a, b \in G, a \circ b = b \circ a$ .
- **Definition**:  $H \subseteq G$  is **subgroup** of G if  $(H, \circ)$  is group.
- To show H is subgroup, sufficient to show  $g, h \in H \Rightarrow g \circ h \in H$  and  $h^{-1} \in H$ .
- Notation: for  $g \in G$ , write [n]g for  $g \circ \cdots \circ g$  n times if n > 0, e if n = 0,  $[-n]g^{-1}$  if n < 0.
- Definition: subgroup generated by g is

$$\langle g \rangle = \{ [n]g : n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

If  $\langle g \rangle$  finite, it has **order** n, and g has **order** n. If  $G = \langle g \rangle$  for some  $g \in G$ , G is **cyclic** and g is **generator**.

- Lagrange's theorem: let G finite group, H subgroup of G, then  $|H| \mid |G|$ .
- Corollary: if G finite,  $g \in G$  has order n, then  $n \mid |G|$ .
- **DLP for abelian groups**: given G a cyclic abelian group,  $g \in G$  a generator of  $G, x \in G$ , find L such that [L]g = x. L is well-defined modulo |G|.
- **Definition**: let  $(G, \circ)$ ,  $(H, \bullet)$  abelian groups, **homomorphism** between G and H is  $f: G \to H$  with

$$\forall g,g' \in G, \quad f(g \circ g') = f(g) \bullet f(g')$$

**Isomorphism** is bijective homomorphism. G and H are **isomorphic**,  $G \cong H$ , if there is isomorphism between them.

• Fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups: let G finite abelian group, then there exist unique integers  $2 \le n_1, ..., n_r$  with  $n_i \mid n_{i+1}$  for all i, such that

$$G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n_1) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/n_r)$$

In particular, G is isomorphic to product of cyclic groups.

• **Definition**: let K field, char(K) > 3. An **elliptic curve** over K is defined by the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

where  $a, b \in K$ ,  $\Delta_E := 4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ .

• Remark:  $\Delta_E \neq 0$  is equivalent to  $x^3 + ax + b$  having no repeated roots (i.e. E is smooth).

- **Definition**: for elliptic curve E defined over K, a K-point (point) on E is either:
  - A normal point:  $(x,y) \in K^2$  satisfying the equation defining E.
  - The **point at infinity**  $\overline{O}$  which can be thought of as infinitely far along the yaxis (in either direction).

Denote set of all K-points on E as E(K).

- Any elliptic curve E(K) is an abelian group, with group operation  $\oplus$  is defined as:
  - We should have  $P \oplus Q \oplus R = \overline{O}$  iff P, Q, R lie on straight line.
  - In this case,  $P \oplus Q = -R$ .
  - To find line  $\ell$  passing through  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  and  $Q = (x_1, y_1)$ :
    - If  $x_0 \neq x_1$ , then equation of  $\ell$  is  $y = \lambda x + \mu$ , where

$$\lambda = \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0}, \quad \mu = y_0 - \lambda x_0$$

Now

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + ax + b = (\lambda x + \mu)^{2}$$
  

$$\implies 0 = x^{3} - \lambda^{2} x^{2} + (a - 2\lambda \mu)x + (b - \mu^{2})$$

Since sum of roots of monic polynomial is equal to minus the coefficient of the second highest power, and two roots are  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , the third root is  $x_2 = \lambda^2 - x_0 - x_1$ . Then  $y_2 = \lambda x_2 + \mu$  and  $R = (x_2, y_2)$ .

• If  $x_0 = x_1$ , then using implicit differentiation:

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + ax + b$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{3x^{2} + a}{2y}$$

- and the rest is as above, but instead with  $\lambda = \frac{3x_0^2 + a}{2y_0}$ .

   **Definition**: **group law** of elliptic curves: let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . For all normal points  $P = (x_0, y_0), Q = (x_1, y_1) \in E(K)$ , define
  - $\overline{O}$  is group identity:  $P \oplus \overline{O} = \overline{O} \oplus P = P$ .
  - If  $P = -Q =: (x_0, -y_0), P \oplus Q = \overline{O}.$
  - Otherwise,  $P \oplus Q = (x_2, -y_2)$ , where

$$\begin{split} x_2 &= \lambda^2 - (x_0 + x_1), \\ y_2 &= \lambda x_2 + \mu, \\ \lambda &= \begin{cases} \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0} \text{ if } x_0 \neq x_1 \\ \frac{3x_0^2 + a}{2y_0} \text{ if } x_0 = x_1, \end{cases} \\ \mu &= y_0 - \lambda x_0 \end{split}$$

- Example:
  - Let E be given by  $y^2 = x^3 + 17$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $P = (-1, 4) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $Q = (2, 5) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . To find  $P \oplus Q$ ,

$$\lambda = \frac{5-4}{2-(-1)} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \mu = 4-\lambda(-1) = \frac{13}{3}$$

So 
$$x_2=\lambda^2-(-1)-2=-\frac{8}{9}$$
 and  $y_2=-(\lambda x_2+\mu)=-\frac{109}{27}$  hence

$$P \oplus Q = \left(-\frac{8}{9}, -\frac{109}{27}\right)$$

To find [2]P,

$$\lambda = \frac{3(-1)^2 + 0}{2 \cdot 4} = \frac{3}{8}, \quad \mu = 4 - \frac{3}{8} \cdot (-1) = \frac{35}{8}$$

so 
$$x_3 = \lambda^2 - 2 \cdot (-1) \frac{137}{64}$$
,  $y_3 = -(\lambda x_3 + \mu) = -\frac{2651}{512}$  hence

$$[2]P=(x_3,y_3)=\left(\frac{137}{64},-\frac{2651}{512}\right)$$

• Hasse's theorem: let  $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = N$ , then

$$|N - (p+1)| \le 2\sqrt{p}$$

- **Theorem**:  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Z}/k$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n$  with  $m \mid n$ .
- Elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman:
  - Alice and Bob publicly choose elliptic curve  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $P \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with order a large prime n.
  - Alice chooses random  $\alpha \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  and publishes  $Q_A = [\alpha]P$ .
  - Bob chooses random  $\beta \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$  and publishes  $Q_B = [\beta]P$ .
  - Alice computes  $[\alpha]Q_B = [\alpha\beta]P$ , Bob computes  $[\beta]Q_A = [\beta\alpha]P$ .
  - Shared key is  $K = [\alpha \beta] P$ .
- Elliptic curve Elgamal signatures:
  - Use agreed elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , point  $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of prime order n.
  - Alice wants to sign message m, encoded as integer mod n.
  - Alice generates private key  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n$  and public key  $Q = [\alpha]P$ .
  - Valid signature is (R,s) where  $R=(x_R,y_R)\in E\big(\mathbb{F}_p\big),\ s\in\mathbb{Z}/n,$   $[\widetilde{x_R}]Q\oplus[s]R=[m]P.$
  - To generate a valid signature, Alice chooses random  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}/n$  and sets R = [k]P,  $s = k^{-1}(m \widetilde{x_R}\alpha)$ .
  - k must be randomly generated for each message.
- Baby-step giant-step algorithm for elliptic curve DLP: given P and  $Q = [\alpha]P$ , find  $\alpha$ :
  - Let  $N = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ , n is order of P.
  - Compute P, [2]P, ..., [N-1]P.
  - Compute  $Q \oplus [-N]P$ ,  $Q \oplus [-2N]P$ , ...,  $Q \oplus [-(N-1)N]P$  and find a match between these two lists:  $[i]P = Q \oplus [-jN]P$ , then [i+jN]P = Q so  $\alpha = i+jN$ .
- For well-chosen elliptic curves, the best algorithm for solving DLP is the baby-step giant-step algorithm, with run time  $O(\sqrt{n}) \approx O(\sqrt{p})$ . This is much slower than the index-calculus method for the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ .

- Pollard's p-1 algorithm to factorise n=pq:
  - Choose smoothness bound B.
  - Choose random  $2 \le a \le n-2$ . Set  $a_1 = a$ , i = 1.
  - Compute  $a_i = a_{i-1}^i \mod n$ . Find  $d = \gcd(a_i 1, n)$ . If 1 < d < n, we have found a nontrivial factor of n. If d = n, pick new a and retry. If d = 1, increment i by 1 and repeat this step.
  - A variant is instead of computing  $a_i = a_{i-1}^i$ , compute  $a_i = a_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}$  where  $m_1, ..., m_r$  are the prime powers  $\leq B$  (each prime power is the maximal prime power  $\leq B$  for that prime).
  - The algorithm works if p-1 is B-powersmooth (all prime power factors are  $\leq B$ ), since if b is order of  $a \mod p$ , then  $b \mid (p-1)$  so  $b \mid B!$  (also  $b \mid m_1 \cdots m_r$ ). If the first i for which i! (or  $m_1 \cdots m_i$ ) is divisible by d and order of  $a \mod q$ , then  $a_i 1 = a^{i!} 1 \mod n$  is divisible by both p and q, so must retry with different a.
- Let n = pq, p, q prime,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(4a^3 + 27b^2, n) = 1$ . Then  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  defines elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}/n$  is solution to  $E \mod n$  then can reduce coordinates  $\mod p$  to obtain non-infinite point of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\mod q$  to obtain non-infinite point of  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .
- **Proposition**: let  $P_1, P_2 \in E \mod n$ , with

$$(P_1 \bmod p) \oplus (P_2 \bmod p) = \overline{O}$$
  
 $(P_1 \bmod q) \oplus (P_2 \bmod q) \neq \overline{O}$ 

Then  $gcd(x_1 - x_2, n)$  (or  $gcd(2x_1, n)$  if  $P_1 = P_2$ ) is factor of n.

- Lenstra's algorithm to factorise n:
  - Choose smoothness bound B.
  - Choose random elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  with  $\gcd(\Delta_E, n) = 1$  and P = (x, y) a point on E.
  - Set  $P_1 = P$ , attempt to compute  $P_i$ ,  $2 \le i \le B$  by  $P_i = [i]P_{i-1}$ . If one of these fails, a divisor of n has been found (by failing to compute an inverse mod n). If this divisor is trivial, restart with new curve and point.
  - If i = B is reached, restart with new curve and point.
  - Again, a variant is calculating  $P_i=[m_i]P_{i-1}$  instead of  $[i]P_{i-1}$  where  $m_1,...,m_r$  are the prime powers  $\leq B$
- Lenstra's algorithm works if  $|E(\mathbb{Z}/p)|$  is B-powersmooth but  $|E(\mathbb{Z}/q)|$  isn't. Since we can vary E, it is very likely to work eventually.
- Running time depends on p (the smaller prime factor):

$$O\!\left(\exp\!\left(\sqrt{2\log(p)\log\log(p)}\right)\right)$$

Compare this to the general number field sieve running time:

$$O\left(\exp\left(C(\log n)^{1/3}(\log\log n)^{2/3}\right)\right)$$

## 5.1. Torsion points

- **Definition**: let G abelian group.  $g \in G$  is a **torsion** if it has finite order. If order divides n, then [n]g = e and g is n-torsion.
- Definition: *n*-torsion subgroup is

$$G[n] \coloneqq \{g \in G : [n]g = e\}$$

• **Definition**: **torsion subgroup** of G is

$$G_{\mathrm{tors}} = \{g \in G : g \text{ is torsion}\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G[n]$$

- Example:
  - In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , only 0 is torsion.
  - In  $(\mathbb{Z}/10)^{\times}$ , by Lagrange's theorem, every point is 4-torsion.
  - For finite groups G,  $G_{tors} = G = G[|G|]$  by Lagrange's theorem.

### 5.2. Rational points

- Note: for elliptic curve  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , can assume that  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Nagell-Lutz theorem: let E elliptic curve, let  $P=(x,y)\in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ . Then  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ , and either y=0 (in which case P is 2-torsion) or  $y^2\mid \Delta_E$ .
- Corollary:  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Example: can use Nagell-Lutz to show a point is not torsion.
  - P = (0,1) lies on elliptic curve  $y^2 = x^3 x + 1$ .  $[2]P = (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{7}{8}) \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then [2]P is not torsion, hence P is not torsion. So  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  contains distinct points ...,  $[-2]P, -P, \overline{O}, P, [2]P, ...$ , hence E has infinitely many solutions in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- Mazur's theorem: let E be elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is either:
  - cyclic of order  $1 \le N \le 10$  or order 12, or
  - of the form  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2N$  for  $1 \leq N \leq 4$ .
- **Definition**: let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For odd prime p, taking reductions  $\overline{a}$ ,  $\overline{b}$  mod p gives curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ :

$$\overline{E}: y^2 = x^3 + \overline{a}x + \overline{b}$$

This is elliptic curve if  $\Delta_E \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ , in which case p is **prime of good reduction** for E.

• **Theorem**: let  $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , p be odd prime of good reduction for E. Then  $f: E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \to \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  defined by

$$f(x,y)\coloneqq (\overline{x},\overline{y}),\quad f(\overline{O})\coloneqq \overline{O}$$

is injective (note  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Nagell-Lutz).

- So  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  can be thought of as subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  for any prime p of good reduction, so by Lagrange's theorem,  $|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}|$  divides  $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ .
- Mordell's theorem: if E is elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

for some  $r \geq 0$  the rank of E. So for some  $P_1, ..., P_r \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{n_1P_1 + \dots + n_rP_r + T : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, T \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}\}$$

 $P_1, ..., P_r, T$  are **generators** for  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

# 6. Basic coding theory

### 6.1. First definitions

- Definition:
  - Alphabet A is finite set of symbols.
  - $A^n$  is set of all lists of n symbols from A these are words of length n.
  - Code of block length n on A is subset of  $A^n$ .
  - Codeword is element of a code.
- **Definition**: if |A| = 2, codes on A are **binary** codes. If |A| = 3, codes on A are **ternary codes**. If |A| = q, codes on A are **q-ary** codes. Generally, use  $A = \{0, 1, ..., q 1\}$ .
- **Definition**: let  $x = x_1...x_n, y = y_1...y_n \in A^n$ . **Hamming distance** between x and y is number of indices where x and y differ:

$$d:A^n\times A^n\to \{0,...,n\},\quad d(x,y)\coloneqq |\{i\in [n]: x_i\neq y_i\}|$$

So d(x, y) is minimum number of changes needed to change x to y. If x transmitted and y received, then d(x, y) symbol-errors have occurred.

- **Proposition**: let x, y words of length n.
  - $0 \le d(x,y) \le n$ .
  - $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$ .
  - d(x,y) = d(y,x).
  - $\forall z \in A^n, d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y).$
- **Definition**: **minimum distance** of code C is

$$d(C) := \min\{d(x, y) : x, y \in C, x \neq y\} \in \mathbb{N}$$

- Notation: code of block length n with M codewords and minimum distance d is called (n, M, d) (or (n, M)) code. A q-ary code is called an  $(n, M, d)_q$  code.
- **Definition**: let  $C \subseteq A^n$  code, x word of length n. A **nearest neighbour** of x is codeword  $c \in C$  such that  $d(x,c) = \min\{d(x,y) : y \in C\}$ .

### 6.2. Nearest-neighbour decoding

- Definition: nearest-neighbour decoding (NND) means if word x received, it is decoded to a nearest neighbour of x in a code C.
- **Proposition**: let C be code with minimum distance d, let word x be received with t symbol errors. Then
  - If  $t \leq d-1$ , then we can detect that x has some errors.
  - If  $t \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ , then NND will correct the errors.

### 6.3. Probabilities

- Definition: q-ary symmetric channel with symbol-error probability p is channel for q-ary alphabet A such that:
  - For every  $a \in A$ , probability that a is changed in channel is p.
  - For every  $a \neq b \in A$ , probability that a is changed to b in channel is

$$\mathbb{P}(b \text{ received } \mid a \text{ sent}) = \frac{p}{q-1}$$

i.e. symbol-errors in different positions are independent events.

• Proposition: let c codeword in q-ary code  $C \subseteq A^n$  sent over q-ary symmetric channel with symbol-error probability p. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(x \text{ received} \mid c \text{ sent}) = \left(\frac{p}{q-1}\right)^t (1-p)^{n-t}, \quad \text{where } t = d(c,x)$$

• Example: let  $C = \{000, 111\} \subset \{0, 1\}^3$ .

| x   | t = d(000, x) | chance 000 received | chance if $p = 0.01$ | NND decodes |
|-----|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
|     |               | as $x$              |                      | correctly?  |
| 000 | 0             | $(1-p)^3$           | 0.970299             | yes         |
| 100 | 1             | $p(1-p)^2$          | 0.009801             | yes         |
| 010 | 1             | $p(1-p)^2$          | 0.009801             | yes         |
| 001 | 1             | $p(1-p)^2$          | 0.009801             | yes         |
| 110 | 2             | $p^2(1-p)$          | 0.000099             | no          |
| 101 | 2             | $p^2(1-p)$          | 0.000099             | no          |
| 011 | 2             | $p^2(1-p)$          | 0.000099             | no          |
| 111 | 3             | $p^3$               | 0.000001             | no          |

- Corollary: if  $p < \frac{q-1}{q}$  then P(x received | c sent) increases as d(x,c) decreases.
- Remark: by Bayes, theorem,

$$\mathbb{P}(c \text{ sent} \mid x \text{ received}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(c \text{ sent and } x \text{ received})}{\mathbb{P}(x \text{ received})} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(c \text{ sent})\mathbb{P}(x \text{ received} \mid c \text{ sent})}{\mathbb{P}(x \text{ received})}$$

• **Proposition**: let C be q-ary (n, M, d) code used over q-ary symmetric channel with symbol-error probability p < (q-1)/q, and each codeword  $c \in C$  is equally likely to be sent. Then for any word x,  $\mathbb{P}(c \text{ sent } | x \text{ received})$  increases as d(x,c)decreases.

#### 6.4. Bounds on codes

- Proposition (singleton bound): for q-ary code (n, M, d) code,  $M \leq q^{n-d+1}$ .
- **Definition**: code which saturates singleton bound is called **maximum distance** separable (MDS).
- Example: let  $C_n$  be binary repetition code of block length n,

$$C_n := \{\underbrace{00...0}_n, \underbrace{11...1}_n\} \subset \{0,1\}^n$$

- $C_n$  is  $(n,2,n)_2$  code, and  $2=2^{n-n+1}$  so  $C_n$  is MDS code. **Definition**: let A be alphabet, |A|=q. Let  $n\in\mathbb{N},\ 0\leq t\leq n,\ t\in\mathbb{N},\ x\in A^n$ .
  - Ball of radius t around x is

$$S(x,t)\coloneqq\{y\in A^n:d(y,x)\leq t\}$$

• Code  $C \subseteq A^n$  is **perfect** if

$$\exists t \in \mathbb{N} : A^n = \coprod_{c \in C} S(c,t)$$

where **II** is disjoint union.

- Example: for  $C = \{000, 111\} \subset \{0, 1\}^3$ ,  $S(000, 1) = \{000, 100, 010, 001\}$  and  $S(111, 1) = \{111, 011, 101, 110\}$ . These are disjoint and  $S(000, 1) \cup S(111, 1) = \{0, 1\}^3$ , so C is perfect.
- Example: let  $C = \{111, 020, 202\} \subset \{0, 1, 2\}^3$ .  $\forall c \in C, d(c, 012) = 2$ . So 012 is not in any S(c, 1) but is in every S(c, 2), so C is not perfect.
- Lemma: let |A| = q,  $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$ , then

$$|S(x,t)| = \sum_{k=0}^{t} {n \choose k} (q-1)^k$$

- Example: let  $C = \{111,020,202\} \subset \{0,1,2\}^3$ , so q = 3, n = 3. So  $|S(x,1)| = \binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{1}(3-1) = 7$ ,  $|S(x,2)| = \binom{3}{0} + \binom{3}{1}(3-1) + \binom{3}{2}(3-1)^2 = 19$ . But  $|\{0,1,2\}|^3 = 27$  and  $7 \nmid 27$ ,  $19 \nmid 27$ , so  $\{0,1,2\}^3$  can't be partioned by balls of either size. So C can't be perfect. |S(x,3)| = 27, but then C must contain only one codeword to be perfect, and |S(x,0)| = 1, but then  $C = A^n$  to be perfect. These are trivial, useless codes.
- Proposition (Hamming/sphere-packing bound): q-ary (n, M, d) code satisfies

$$M\sum_{k=0}^{t} {n \choose k} (q-1)^k \le q^n$$
, where  $t = \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ 

• Corollary: code saturates Hamming bound iff it is perfect.

### 7. Linear codes

## 7.1. Finite vector spaces

- **Definition**: linear code of block length n is subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ .
- Example: let x = (0, 1, 2, 0), y = (1, 1, 1, 1),  $z = (0, 2, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_3^4$ .  $C_1 = \{x, y, 0\}$  is not linear code since e.g.  $x + y = (1, 2, 0, 1) \notin C_1$ .  $C_2 = \{x, z, 0\}$  is linear code.
- Notation: spanning set of S is  $\langle S \rangle$ .
- Proposition: if linear code  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  has  $\dim(C) = k$ , then  $|C| = q^k$ .