

# Contents

1. The Chernoff-Cramer method .....	2
1.1. The Chernoff bound and Cramer transform .....	2
1.2. Hoeffding's and related inequalities .....	7
2. The variance method .....	9
2.1. The Efron-Stein inequality .....	9

Question: toss a fair coin  $n = 10000$  times. How many heads?

$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$ .  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 5000$ . But  $\mathbb{P}(X = 5000) = \binom{10^4}{5000} \cdot 2^{-10^4} \approx 0.008$ .

By WLLN,  $\mathbb{P}(X \in [5000 - n\varepsilon, 5000 + n\varepsilon]) \approx 1$ .

**Theorem 0.1** (Central Limit Theorem) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be IID RVs with mean  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu$ . Let  $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then  $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \in A\right) \rightarrow \int_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

for all  $A$ .

So  $\mathbb{P}\left(X \in \left[\frac{n}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} Q^{-1}(\delta), \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} Q^{-1}(\delta)\right]\right) \geq 1 - \delta$ , for  $n$  large enough, where  $Q(\delta) = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$ . We have  $Q^{-1}(x) \propto \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{x}}$ . So interval has length  $\propto \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$ .

**Theorem 0.2** (Chebyshev's Inequality)  $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\varepsilon^2}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Corollary 0.3**  $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i) - \frac{n}{2}\right| \geq t\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)}{t^2} = n \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2} \leq \delta$  where  $t = \sqrt{n}\sigma/\sqrt{\delta}$ .

So  $\mathbb{P}(X \in [\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2}\sqrt{\delta}, \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\sqrt{\delta}]) \geq 1 - \delta$ .

Question 2: we have  $N$  coupons. Each day receive one uniformly at random independent of the past. How many days until all coupons received?

We have  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $X_i \sim \text{Geom}(\frac{1}{n})$ .  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i] \approx n \log n$  (verify this).

Question 3: Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  be IID. What is the longest common subsequence, i.e.  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \max\{k : \exists i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_k \text{ s.t. } X_{i_j} = Y_{j_j} \forall j \in [k]\}$ . Computing  $f$  is NP-hard.  $f$  is smooth.

Principle: a smooth function of many independent random variables concentrates around its mean.

**Theorem 0.4** (Law of Total Expectation) We have  $\mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_X[X | Y]] = \mathbb{E}_X[X]$ .

**Theorem 0.5** (Tower Property of Conditional Expectation) We have  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z | X, Y] | Y] = \mathbb{E}[Z | Y]$ .

**Theorem 0.6** We have  $\mathbb{E}[f(Y)X | Y] = f(Y)\mathbb{E}[X | Y]$ .

**Theorem 0.7** (Holder's Inequality) Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|^p]^{1/p} \cdot \mathbb{E}[|Y|^q]^{1/q}.$$

**Definition 0.8** The **conditional variance** of  $Y$  given  $X$  is the random variable

$$\text{Var}(Y | X) := \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y | X])^2 | X].$$

## 1. The Chernoff-Cramer method

### 1.1. The Chernoff bound and Cramer transform

**Theorem 1.1** (Weak Law of Large Numbers) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be IID RVs with mean  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Markov's Inequality) Let  $Y$  be a non-negative RV. For any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y]}{t}.$$

*Proof (Hints).* Split  $Y$  using indicator variables. □

*Proof.* We have  $Y = Y \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{Y \geq t\}} + Y \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{Y < t\}} \geq t \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{Y \geq t\}}$ . Taking expectations gives the result. □

**Corollary 1.3** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be non-decreasing, then

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(\varphi(Y) \geq \varphi(t)) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y)]}{\varphi(t)}.$$

For  $\varphi(t) = t^2$ , we can use  $\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i)$ .

**Corollary 1.4** (Chebyshev's Inequality) For any RV  $Y$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{t^2}.$$

*Proof (Hints).* Straightforward. □

*Proof.* Take  $Z = |Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]|$  and use Corollary 1.3 with  $\varphi(t) = t^2$ . □

**Exercise 1.5** Prove WLLN, assuming that  $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$ , using Chebyshev's inequality.

**Remark 1.6** If higher moments exist, we can use them in a similar way: let  $\varphi(t) = t^q$  for  $q > 0$ , then for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]|^q]}{t^q}.$$

We can then optimise over  $q$  to pick the lowest bound on  $\mathbb{P}(|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq t)$ . Note that **Chebyshev's Inequality** is the most popular form of this bound due to the additivity of variance.

**Definition 1.7** The **moment generating function (MGF)** of  $F$  is

$$F(\lambda) := \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \mathbb{E}[Z^k]}{k!}.$$

**Definition 1.8** The **log-MGF** of  $Z$  is  $\psi_Z(\lambda) = \log F(\lambda)$ .

Note that  $\psi_Z(\lambda)$  is additive: if  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ , with  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  independent, then

$$\psi_Z(\lambda) = \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z_i}] = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{Z_i}(\lambda).$$

**Definition 1.9** The **Cramer transform** of  $Z$  is

$$\psi_Z^*(t) = \sup\{\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$$

**Proposition 1.10** (Chernoff Bound) Let  $Z$  be an RV. For all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) \leq e^{-\psi_Z^*(t)}.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary [1.3](#), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]}{e^{\lambda t}} = e^{-(\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda))}.$$

Taking the infimum over all  $\lambda > 0$  gives  $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) \leq \inf\{e^{-(\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda))} : \lambda > 0\}$ , which gives the result.  $\square$

**Remark 1.11** Our goal is to obtain an upper bound on  $\psi_Z(\lambda)$ , as this will give exponential concentration. The function  $\psi_{Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]}(\lambda)$  gives upper bounds on  $\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}[Z] \geq t)$ , the function  $\psi_{-Z + \mathbb{E}[Z]}(\lambda)$  gives upper bounds on  $\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}[Z] \leq -t)$ .

**Proposition 1.12**

1.  $\psi_Z(\lambda)$  is convex and infinitely differentiable on  $(0, b)$ , where  $b = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] < \infty\}$ .
2.  $\psi_Z^*(t)$  is non-negative and convex.
3. If  $t > \mathbb{E}[Z]$ , then  $\psi_Z^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda)\}$ , the **Fenchel-Legendre** dual.

*Proof (Hints).*

1. Differentiability proof omitted. For convexity, use [Holder's Inequality](#).
2. Straightforward (note that each  $t \mapsto \lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda)$  is linear).
3. Straightforward.

$\square$

*Proof.*

1.  $\psi_Z(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\alpha\lambda_1 Z} \cdot e^{(1-\alpha)\lambda_2 Z}] \leq \alpha \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda_1 Z}] + (1 - \alpha) \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda_2 Z}]$  by Holder's inequality. The differentiability proof is omitted.
2.  $\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = 0$ , so  $\psi_Z^*(t) \geq 0$  by definition. Convexity follows since it is a supremum of linear functions.
3. By convexity and Jensen's inequality,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \geq e^{\lambda \mathbb{E}[Z]}$ . So for  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda) \leq \lambda(t - \mathbb{E}[Z]) < 0 = \lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda)|_{\lambda=0}$ .

$\square$

**Example 1.13** Let  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Then the MGF of  $Z$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} e^{\lambda x} dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x^2 - 2\lambda\sigma^2 x + \lambda^2\sigma^4)/2\sigma^2} e^{\lambda^2\sigma^2/2} dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(x - \lambda\sigma^2)^2/2\sigma^2} e^{\lambda^2\sigma^2/2} dx \\
&= e^{\lambda^2\sigma^2/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.12, for  $t > 0 = \mathbb{E}[Z]$ , the Cramer transform is

$$\psi_Z^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{\lambda t - \lambda^2\sigma^2/2\} =: \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} g(\lambda).$$

We have  $g'(\lambda) = t - \lambda\sigma^2 = 0$  iff  $\lambda = t/\sigma^2$ . So  $\psi_Z^*(t) = t^2/\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 t^2/2\sigma^4 = t^2/2\sigma^2$ . So Chernoff Bound gives

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}.$$

**Definition 1.14** Let  $X$  be an RV with  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ .  $X$  is **sub-Gaussian** with variance parameter  $\nu$  if

$$\psi_X(\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda^2\nu}{2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The set of all such variables is denoted  $\mathcal{G}(\nu)$ .

**Proposition 1.15** For any sub-Gaussian RV  $X$ ,

1. If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(\nu)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(X \geq t), \mathbb{P}(X \leq -t) \leq e^{-t^2/2\nu}$  for all  $t > 0$ .
2. If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent with each  $X_i \in \mathcal{G}(\nu_i)$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \in \mathcal{G}(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i)$ .
3. If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(\nu)$ , then  $\text{Var}(X) \leq \nu$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Definition 1.16** The **Gamma function** is defined as

$$\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

**Theorem 1.17** Let  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . TFAE for suitable choices of  $\nu, b, c, d$ :

1.  $X \in \mathcal{G}(\nu)$ .
2.  $\mathbb{P}(X \geq t), \mathbb{P}(X \leq -t) \leq e^{-t^2/2b}$  for all  $t > 0$ .
3.  $\mathbb{E}[X^{2q}] \leq q!c^q$  for all  $q \geq \mathbb{N}$ .
4.  $\mathbb{E}[e^{dX^2}] \leq 2$ .

*Proof (Hints).*

- $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ : straightforward.
- $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ : Explain why we can assume  $b = 1$ . Use that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y > t) dt$  for  $Y \geq 0$ , and the  $\Gamma$  function.

- (3  $\Rightarrow$  1): show that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-X')}]$  where  $X'$  is an IID copy of  $X$ . Show that  $\mathbb{E}[(X - X')^{2q}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X^{2q}]$ . Expand  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-X')}]$  as a series. Conclude that  $X \in \mathcal{G}(4c)$ .
- (3  $\Leftrightarrow$  4): exercise.

□

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) instantly follows (with  $b = \nu$ ) by Proposition [1.15](#).

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3): WLOG,  $b = 1$ . Otherwise consider  $\tilde{X} = X/\sqrt{b}$ . Recall that for  $Y \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y > t) dt$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^{2q}] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X^{2q} > t) dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(|X| > t^{1/2q}) dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-t^{1/q}/2} dt \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^q \cdot q \int_0^\infty u^{q-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^q \cdot q \cdot \Gamma(q) \\ &= 2^{q+1} \cdot q! \leq c^q q! \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $c$ , where we use the substitution  $t^{1/q}/2 = u$ , so  $t = (2u)^q$ , so  $dt = 2^q q u^{q-1} du$ .

(3  $\Rightarrow$  1):  $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda X}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-X')}]$ , where  $X'$  is an IID copy of  $X$ . By Jensen's inequality,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda X}] \geq e^{-\lambda \mathbb{E}[X]} = 1$ . So

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-X')}] = \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2q} \mathbb{E}[(X - X')^{2q}]}{(2q)!}$$

(we can ignore odd powers since  $X - X'$  is a symmetric RV:  $X - X'$  has the same distribution as  $X' - X$ ). Now

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - X')^{2q}] = \sum_{k=0}^{2q} \binom{2q}{k} \mathbb{E}[X^k] \mathbb{E}[(X')^{2q-k}] \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2q} \binom{2q}{k} \mathbb{E}[X^{2q}] = 2^{2q} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X^{2q}],$$

by Holder's inequality with  $p = 2q/k$  and  $q = 2q/(2q - k)$  for each  $k$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] &\leq \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2q} \mathbb{E}[X^{2q}] \cdot 2^{2q}}{(2q)!} \\ &\leq \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2q} c^q q! 2^{2q}}{(2q)!} \\ &\leq \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{\lambda^{2q} \cdot c^q 2^q}{q!} = \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{(\lambda^2 \cdot 2c)^q}{q!} = e^{2\lambda^2 c}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $(2q)!/q! = \prod_{j=1}^q (q+1)! \geq 2^q \cdot q!$ . Hence  $\psi_X(\lambda) = 2\lambda^2 c = \frac{\lambda^2 \cdot 4c}{2}$ , hence  $X \in \mathcal{G}(4c)$ .

(3  $\Leftrightarrow$  4): exercise. □

## 1.2. Hoeffding's and related inequalities

**Lemma 1.18** (Hoeffding's Lemma) Let  $Y$  be a RV with  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$  and  $Y \in [a, b]$  almost surely. Then  $\psi_Y'(\lambda) \leq (b - a)^2/4$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{G}((b - a)^2/4)$ .

*Proof (Hints).*

- Define a new distribution based on  $\lambda$ , which should be obvious after expanding  $\psi_Y'(\lambda)$ .
- To conclude the result, use a Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\psi_Y(\lambda)$ .

□

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  have distribution  $P$ . We have

$$\psi_Y'(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[Y e^{\lambda Y}]}{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[e^{\lambda Y}]} = \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P} \left[ Y \cdot \frac{e^{\lambda Y}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}[Y],$$

where if  $P$  is discrete, then  $P_\lambda$  is the discrete distribution with PMF

$$P_\lambda(y) = \frac{e^{\lambda y} P(y)}{\sum_z P(z) e^{\lambda z}},$$

and if  $P$  is continuous with PDF  $f$ , then  $P_\lambda$  is the continuous distribution with PDF

$$f_\lambda(y) = \frac{e^{\lambda y} f(y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) e^{\lambda z} dz}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_Y''(\lambda) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[Y^2 e^{\lambda Y}] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[e^{\lambda Y}] - \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[Y e^{\lambda Y}]^2}{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[e^{\lambda Y}]^2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P} \left[ Y^2 \frac{e^{\lambda Y}}{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[e^{\lambda Y}]} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ Y \frac{e^{\lambda Y}}{\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[e^{\lambda Y}]} \right]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}[Y]^2 = \text{Var}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $Y \in [a, b]$ , then  $|Y - \frac{b-a}{2}|^2 \leq (b - a)^2/4$ . So we have

$$\text{Var}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}(Y) = \text{Var}_{Y \sim P_\lambda}(Y - (b - a)/2) \leq \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P_\lambda} \left[ \left( Y - \frac{b - a}{2} \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{4}.$$

Finally, using a Taylor expansion at 0, we obtain

$$\psi_Y(\lambda) = \psi_Y(0) + \lambda_Y'(0)\lambda + \psi_Y''(\xi)\frac{\lambda^2}{2} = \psi_Y''(\xi)\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \leq \lambda^2 \frac{(b - a)^2}{8},$$

for some  $\xi \in [0, \lambda]$ , since  $\mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P}[Y] = \mathbb{E}_{Y \sim P_0}[Y] = 0$ . □

**Remark 1.19** The distribution  $P_\lambda$  in the above proof is called the **exponentially tilted** distribution.

**Theorem 1.20** (Hoeffding's Inequality) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent RVs where each  $X_i$  takes values in  $[a_i, b_i]$ . Then for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right).$$

*Proof (Hints).* Straightforward. □

*Proof.* By Hoeffding's Lemma,  $X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \in \mathcal{G}((b_i - a_i)^2/4)$  for all  $i$ . By Proposition 1.15 (part 2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \in \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2\right).$$

Hence, by Proposition 1.15 (part 1), we are done. □

**Remark 1.21** A drawback of Hoeffding's Inequality is that the bound does not involve  $\text{Var}(X_i)$  the variance could be much smaller than the upper bound of  $(b_i - a_i)^2/4$ . This is addressed by Bennett's inequality:

**Theorem 1.22** (Bennett's Inequality) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent RVs with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $|X_i| \leq c$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\nu = \text{Var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{Var}(X_n)$ . Then for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{c^2} \cdot h_1\left(\frac{ct}{\nu}\right)\right),$$

where  $h_1(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$  for  $x > 0$ .

*Proof (Hints).*

- Show that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] = 1 + \frac{\text{Var}(X_i)}{c^2}(e^{\lambda c} - \lambda c - 1)$ .
- Deduce that  $\psi_{\sum_i X_i} \leq \nu^2(e^{\lambda c} - \lambda c - 1)$ .
- Find an upper lower for  $\psi_{\sum_i X_i}^*(t)$ .

□

*Proof.* Denote  $\sigma_i^2 = \text{Var}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_i]^2 = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2]$ . The MGF of  $X_i$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X_i^k] = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X_i^{k-2} X_i^2] \\ &\leq 1 + c^{k-2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1 + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{c^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k c^k}{k!} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{c^2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k c^k}{k!} - \lambda c - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{c^2} (e^{\lambda c} - \lambda c - 1). \end{aligned}$$



So  $\psi_{X_i}(\lambda) = \log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{c^2}(e^{\lambda c} - \lambda c - 1)\right) \leq \frac{\sigma_i^2}{c^2}(e^{\lambda c} - \lambda c - 1)$ . So by additivity of  $\psi$ , we have

$$\psi_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\nu}{c^2}e^{\lambda c} - \frac{\nu}{c^2}\lambda c - \frac{\nu}{c^2}.$$

So for  $t \geq 0 = \mathbb{E}[\sum_i X_i]$ , by Proposition 1.12,

$$\psi_{\sum_i X_i}^*(t) \geq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \lambda t - \frac{\nu}{c^2}e^{\lambda c} + \frac{\nu}{c}\lambda + \frac{\nu}{c^2} \right\} =: \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \{g(\lambda)\}$$

We have  $g'(\lambda) = t - \frac{\nu}{c}e^{\lambda c} + \frac{\nu}{c}$  which is 0 iff  $t + \frac{\nu}{c} = \frac{\nu}{c}e^{\lambda c}$ , i.e. iff  $\lambda = \frac{1}{c} \log(1 + t\frac{c}{\nu}) =: \lambda^*$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\sum X_i}^*(t) &\geq \frac{1}{c}t \log\left(1 + \frac{tc}{\nu}\right) - \frac{\nu}{c^2}\left(1 + \frac{tc}{\nu}\right) + \frac{\nu}{c^2} \log\left(1 + \frac{tc}{\nu}\right) + \frac{\nu}{c^2} \\ &= \frac{\nu}{c^2} \left( \left(1 + \frac{tc}{\nu}\right) \log\left(1 + \frac{tc}{\nu}\right) - \frac{tc}{\nu} \right) \\ &= \frac{\nu}{c^2} h_1\left(\frac{tc}{\nu}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So we are done by the Chernoff Bound. □

**Remark 1.23** We can show that  $h_1(x) \geq \frac{x^2}{2(x/3+1)}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . So by Bennett's Inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2(ct/3 + \nu)}\right),$$

which is **Bernstein's inequality**. If  $\nu \gg ct$ , then this yields a sub-Gaussian tail bound, and if  $\nu \ll ct$ , then this yields an exponential bound. So Bernstein misses a log factor.

**Remark 1.24** If  $Z \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ , then  $\psi_{Z-\nu}(\lambda) = \nu(e^\lambda - \lambda - 1)$ .

## 2. The variance method

### 2.1. The Efron-Stein inequality

**Notation 2.1** Denote  $X^{(i)} = (X_{1:(i-1)}, X_{(i+1):n})$  and for  $i < j$ , denote  $X_{i:j} = (X_i, \dots, X_j)$ .

**Notation 2.2** Denote  $E_i Z = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:i}]$ ,  $E_0 Z = \mathbb{E}[Z]$ ,  $E^{(i)} = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X^{(i)}]$ , and  $\text{Var}^{(i)}(Z) = \text{Var}(Z \mid X^{(i)})$ .

We want to study the concentration of  $Z = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for independent  $X_i$ . If  $Z = \sum_i X_i$ , then  $\text{Var}(\sum_i X_i) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i)$  if  $\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ , which holds if the  $X_i$  are independent.

**Theorem 2.3** (Efron-Stein Inequality) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and let  $Z = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Then

$$\text{Var}(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}^{(i)}(Z)\right].$$

*Proof (Hints).*

- The [Law of Total Expectation](#) and [Tower Property of Conditional Expectation](#) will come in handy a lot...
- Let  $\Delta_i = E_i Z - E_{i-1} Z$ . Show that  $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i] = 0$ .
- Show that the  $\Delta_i$  are uncorrelated, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i \Delta_j] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i] \mathbb{E}[\Delta_j]$ .
- Show that  $\Delta_i = E_i(Z - E^{(i)}Z)$ .

□

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_i = E_i Z - E_{i-1} Z$ . By the [Law of Total Expectation](#), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:i}]] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:(i-1)}]] = \mathbb{E}[Z] - \mathbb{E}[Z] = 0.$$

Also, note that  $Z - \mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:n}] - \mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$ . We claim that the  $\Delta_i$  are uncorrelated, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i \Delta_j] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i] \mathbb{E}[\Delta_j] = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Indeed, for  $i < j$ , by the [Law of Total Expectation](#), we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i \Delta_j] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\Delta_i \Delta_j \mid X_{1:i}]] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i \mathbb{E}[\Delta_j \mid X_{1:i}]],$$

since  $\Delta_i$  is a function of  $X_{1:i}$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Delta_j \mid X_{1:i}] &= \mathbb{E}(E_j Z - E_{j-1} Z \mid X_{1:i}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:j}] \mid X_{1:i}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:(j-1)}] \mid X_{1:i}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:i}] - \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:i}] = E_i Z - E_i Z = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where on the third line we used the [Tower Property of Conditional Expectation](#). Hence, the  $\Delta_i$  are uncorrelated, which implies

$$\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\Delta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i^2] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i^2].$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(E^{(i)}Z) &= \mathbb{E}[E^{(i)}Z \mid X_{1:i}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[E^{(i)}Z \mid X_{1:(i-1)}, X_i] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid X^{(i)}] \mid X_{1:(i-1)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Z \mid X_{1:(i-1)}] \\ &= E_{i-1}Z, \end{aligned}$$

where on the third line we used that  $X_i$  and  $X^{(i)}$  are independent, and on the fourth line we used the [Tower Property of Conditional Expectation](#). So we can rewrite  $\Delta_i = E_i Z - E_{i-1} Z = E_i(Z - E^{(i)}Z)$ , and so by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_i^2 &= (E_i(Z - E^{(i)}Z))^2 = \mathbb{E}[Z - E^{(i)}Z \mid X_{1:i}]^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2 \mid X_{1:i}] = E_i((Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the [Law of Total Expectation](#),

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(Z) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i^2] \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[E_i((Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2 \mid X_{1:i}]] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2].\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have  $\mathbb{E}[E^{(i)}(Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(Z \mid X^{(i)})] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}^{(i)}(Z)]$ , which gives the equality in the theorem statement.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and  $f$  be square integrable. Let  $Z = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Then

$$\text{Var}(Z) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (Z - E^{(i)}Z)^2\right] =: \nu.$$

Moreover, if  $X'_1, \dots, X'_n$  are IID copies of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , and  $Z'_i = f(X_{1:(i-1)}, X'_i, X_{(i+1):n})$ , then

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (Z - Z'_i)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (Z - Z'_i)_+^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (Z - Z'_i)_-^2\right],$$

where  $X_+ = \max\{0, X\}$  and  $X_- = \max\{-X, 0\}$ . Moreover,

$$\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{Z_i} \mathbb{E}[(Z - Z_i)^2],$$

where the infimum is over all  $X^{(i)}$ -measurable and square-integrable RVs  $Z_i$ .

*Proof (Hints).*

- First part is straightforward.
- For second part, show that  $\text{Var}^{(i)}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}^{(i)}(Z - Z'_i)$ .
- For last part, use that  $\text{Var}(X) = \inf_a \mathbb{E}[(X - a)^2]$ .

$\square$

*Proof.* The first part follows instantly from the [Efron-Stein Inequality](#) by linearity of expectation. Now  $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}(X - Y)$ , if  $X$  and  $Y$  are IID. Conditional on  $X^{(i)}$ ,  $Z$  and  $Z'_i$  are independent. Hence, since  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Z'_i]$ ,

$$\text{Var}^{(i)}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}^{(i)}(Z - Z'_i) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(Z - Z'_i)^2].$$

Thus we have

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(Z - Z'_i)^2].$$

Finally, recall that  $\text{Var}(X) = \inf_a \mathbb{E}[(X - a)^2]$ , with equality if  $a = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . So  $\text{Var}^{(i)}(Z) = \inf_{Z_i} E^{(i)}((Z - Z_i)^2)$ , with equality if  $Z_i = E^{(i)}Z$ . Taking expectations and summing completes the proof.  $\square$