

# Contents

1. Hidden subgroup problem .....	2
1.1. Review of Shor's algorithm .....	2

# 1. Hidden subgroup problem

## 1.1. Review of Shor's algorithm

**Definition.** The **factoring problem** is: given a positive integer  $N$ , find a non-trivial factor ( $\neq 1, N$ ) in time polynomial in  $n$  (i.e.  $O(\text{poly}(n))$ ), where  $n = O(\log N)$  is the length of the description of the problem input (memory/space used to store it).

**Definition.** An **efficient problem** is one that can be solved in polynomial time.

**Remark.** Classically, the best known factoring algorithm runs in  $e^{O(n^{1/3}(\log n)^{2/3})}$ . Shor's algorithm (quantum) runs in  $O(n^3)$  by converting factoring into period finding:

- Given input  $N$ , choose  $a < N$  which is coprime to  $N$ .
- Define  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N$ ,  $f(x) = a^x \bmod N$ .  $f$  is periodic with period  $r$  (the order of  $a \bmod N$ ), i.e.  $f(x + r) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Finding  $r$  allows us to factor  $N$ .

**Problem** (Periodicity Determination). Given an oracle for  $f : \mathbb{Z}/M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N$  with promises:

- $f$  is periodic with period  $r < M$  (i.e.  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}/M$ ,  $f(x + r) = f(x)$ ),
- $f$  is one-to-one in each period (i.e.  $\forall 0 \leq x < y < r$ ,  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ ),

find  $r$  in time  $O(\text{poly}(m))$ , where  $m = O(\log M)$ .

Classically, this requires takes time  $O(\sqrt{M})$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}/M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N$ . Let  $H_M$  and  $H_N$  be quantum state spaces with orthonormal state bases  $\{|i\rangle : i \in \mathbb{Z}/N\}$  and  $\{|j\rangle : j \in \mathbb{Z}/M\}$ . Define the unitary **quantum oracle** for  $f$  by  $U_f$  by

$$U_f |x\rangle |z\rangle = |x\rangle |z + f(x)\rangle.$$

The first register  $|x\rangle$  is the **input register**, the last register  $|z\rangle$  is the **output register**.

**Definition.** The **quantum query complexity** of an algorithm is the number of times it queries  $f$  (i.e. uses  $U_f$ ).

**Definition.** The **quantum Fourier transform** over  $\mathbb{Z}/M$  is the unitary defined by its action on the computational basis:

$$U_{\text{QFT}} |x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{y=0}^{M-1} \omega^{xy} |y\rangle,$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/M}$ . Note that  $U_{\text{QFT}}$  requires only  $O((\log M)^2)$  gates to implement, whereas a general unitary requires  $O(4^n/n)$  elementary gates.

**Lemma.** Let  $\alpha = e^{2\pi i y/M}$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha^j = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^k}{1-\alpha} = 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1 \text{ i.e. } M \nmid y \\ k & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ i.e. } M \mid y \end{cases}.$$

**Lemma** (Boosting success probability). If a process succeeds with probability  $p$  on one trial, then

$$\Pr(\text{at least one success in } t \text{ trials}) = 1 - (1 - p)^t > 1 - \delta$$

for  $t = \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{p}$ .

**Theorem** (Co-primality Theorem). The number of integers less than  $r$  that are coprime to  $r$  is  $O(r/\log \log r)$  for large  $r$ .

**Algorithm** (Quantum Period Finding). Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}/M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N$  be periodic with period  $r < M$  and one-to-one in each period. Let  $A = \frac{M}{r}$  be the number of periods.

We work over the state space  $H_M \otimes H_N$ .

1. Construct the state  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} |i\rangle |0\rangle$ .
2. Query  $U_f$  on the state, giving  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} |i\rangle |f(i)\rangle$ .
3. Measure second register in computational basis, giving outcome  $y \in \mathbb{Z}/N$ , and input state collapses to  $|\text{per}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} |x_0 + jr\rangle$ , where  $f(x_0) = y$  and  $0 \leq x_0 < r$ . TODO: add diagram showing amplitudes for this state.
4. Apply the Quantum Fourier Transform to  $|\text{per}\rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{QFT}|\text{per}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{y=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \omega^{(x_0+jr)y} |y\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{MA}} \sum_{y=0}^{M-1} \omega^{x_0 y} \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \omega^{jry} |y\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{A}{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \omega^{x_0 kM/r} |kM/r\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Note now the outcomes and probabilities are independent of  $x_0$ , so carry useful information about  $r$ . TODO add diagram showing amplitudes for this state.

5. Measure  $\text{QFT}|\text{per}\rangle$ , yielding outcome  $c = k_0 M/r$  for some  $0 \leq k_0 < r$ . So  $\frac{c}{M} = \frac{k_0}{r}$ . If  $k_0$  is coprime to  $r$ , then the denominator of the simplified fraction  $\frac{c}{M}$  is equal to  $r$ .
6. By the coprimality theorem, the probability that  $k_0$  is coprime to  $r$  is  $O(1/\log \log r)$ .
7. To check if the computed value  $r_0$  of  $r$  is correct, compute/query  $U_f$  to check if  $f(0) = f(r_0)$  (this works since  $f$  is periodic and one-to-one in each period).
8. Repeat the previous steps  $O(\log \log r) = O(\log \log M) = O(\log m)$  times. This obtains the correct value of  $r$  with high probability.

**Remark.** Why is QFT helpful for period finding?

Let  $R = \{0, r, \dots, (A-1)r\} \in \mathbb{Z}/M$ , so

$$|R\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} |kr\rangle$$

$$|\text{per}\rangle = |x_0 + R\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{A-1} |x_0 + kr\rangle.$$

For each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/M$ , define the shift operator  $k \rightarrow x_0 + k$  and the associated linear map  $U(x_0) : H_M \rightarrow H_M$ ,  $|k\rangle \mapsto |x_0 + k\rangle$ . Since  $(\mathbb{Z}/M, +)$  is abelian, all  $U(x_i)$  commute:  $U(x_1)U(x_2) = U(x_1 + x_2) = U(x_2)U(x_1)$ . Hence, they have a simultaneous basis of eigenvectors  $\{|\chi_k\rangle : k \in \mathbb{Z}/M\}$ , i.e. for all  $k, x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}/M$ ,  $U(x_0)|\chi_k\rangle = w(x_0, k)|\chi_k\rangle$ , where  $|w(x_0, k)| = 1$ . The  $\{\chi_k\}$  are called **shift-invariant states** and form an orthonormal basis for  $H_M$ .

Now

$$|R\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} a_k |\chi_k\rangle, \quad a_k \text{ depend only on } r$$

$$|\text{per}\rangle = U(x_0)|R\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} a_k w(x_0, k) |\chi_k\rangle$$

So measurement in the  $|\chi_k\rangle$  basis gives outcome  $k$  with  $\Pr(k) = |a_k w(x_0, k)|^2 = |a_k|^2$ . Suppose the unitary  $U$  maps from the shift-invariant basis to the computational basis:  $U : |\chi_k\rangle \mapsto |k\rangle$ .