

Contents

1. The real numbers	2
1.1. Conventions on sets and functions	2
1.2. The real numbers	2
1.3. Sequences, limits and series	2
1.4. Open and closed sets	3
1.5. Continuity, pointwise and uniform convergence of functions	4
1.6. The extended real numbers	5
2. Further analysis of subsets of \mathbb{R}	5
2.1. Countability and uncountability	5
2.2. The structure theorem for open sets	6
2.3. Accumulation points and perfect sets	6
2.4. The middle-third Cantor set	7
2.5. G_δ, F_σ	7
3. Construction of Lebesgue measure	8
3.1. Lebesgue outer measure	8
3.2. Measurable sets	8
3.3. Abstract definition of a measure	9
3.4. Lebesgue measure	10
3.5. Sets of measure 0	10
3.6. Continuity of measure	10
3.7. An approximation result for Lebesgue measure	10
4. Measurable functions	11
4.1. Definition of a measurable function	11
4.2. Fundamental aspects of measurable functions	11
5. The Lebesgue integral	13
5.1. The integral of a simple measurable function	13
5.2. The integral of a nonnegative function	14
5.3. Integration of measurable functions	15
5.4. Integrability: Riemann vs Lebesgue	16
6. Lebesgue spaces	19
6.1. Normed linear spaces	19
6.2. Lebesgue spaces L^p , $p \in [1, \infty)$	19
6.3. Lebesgue space L^∞	21
6.4. Approximation and separability	21
6.5. Riesz representation theorem for $L^p(E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$	22
7. Hilbert spaces	24
7.1. Inner product spaces	24
7.2. Hilbert spaces	25
8. Convergence of Fourier series	29
8.1. Pointwise convergence of Fourier series via Dirichlet kernel	30
8.2. Uniform convergence of Cesàro mean Fourier series via Fejér kernel	32
8.3. Mean convergence of Fourier series in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$	34

1. The real numbers

1.1. Conventions on sets and functions

Definition. For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, **preimage** of $Z \subseteq Y$ is

$$f^{-1}(Z) := \{x \in X : f(x) \in Z\}$$

Definition. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ **injective** if

$$\forall y \in f(X), \exists! x \in X : y = f(x)$$

Definition. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ **surjective** if $Y = f(X)$.

Proposition. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $A, B \subseteq X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A \cap B) &\subseteq f(A) \cap f(B), \\ f(A \cup B) &= f(A) \cup f(B), \\ f(X) - f(A) &\subseteq f(X - A) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $C, D \subseteq Y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(C \cap D) &= f^{-1}(C) \cap f^{-1}(D), \\ f^{-1}(C \cup D) &= f^{-1}(C) \cup f^{-1}(D), \\ f^{-1}(Y - C) &= X - f^{-1}(C) \end{aligned}$$

1.2. The real numbers

Definition. $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is an **upper bound** of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall x \in E, x \leq a$.

Definition. $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **least upper bound (supremum)** of E , $c = \sup(E)$, if $c \leq a$ for every upper bound a .

Definition. $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is an **lower bound** of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall x \in E, x \geq a$.

Definition. $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **greatest lower bound (infimum)**, $c = \inf(E)$, if $c \geq a$ for every lower bound a .

Theorem (Completeness axiom of the real numbers). Every $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with an upper bound has a least upper bound. Every $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with a lower bound has a greatest lower bound.

Proposition (Archimedes' principle).

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : n > x$$

Remark. Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} has a minimum.

Proposition. \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} :

$$\forall x < y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q} : r \in (x, y)$$

1.3. Sequences, limits and series

Definition. $l \in \mathbb{R}$ is **limit** of (x_n) ((x_n) converges to l) if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, |x_n - l| < \varepsilon$$

A sequence **converges in \mathbb{R} (is convergent)** if it has a limit $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Limit $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ is unique.

Definition. (x_n) **tends to infinity** if

$$\forall K > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, \quad x_n > K$$

Definition. **Subsequence** of (x_n) is sequence (x_{n_j}) , $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$.

Definition. **Limit inferior** of sequence x_n is

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \inf_{m \geq n} x_m \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_{m \geq n} x_m \right)$$

Definition. **Limit superior** of sequence x_n is

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sup_{m \geq n} x_m \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{m \geq n} x_m \right)$$

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l = \limsup x_n$ iff both of the following hold:

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n < l + \varepsilon$.
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : \exists n \geq N : x_n > l - \varepsilon$.

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l = \liminf x_n$ iff both of the following hold:

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n > l - \varepsilon$.
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : \exists n \geq N : x_n < l + \varepsilon$.

Theorem (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded. There exists convergent subsequence with limit $\limsup x_n$ and convergent subsequence with limit $\liminf x_n$.

Proposition. Let (x_n) bounded, then (x_n) is convergent iff $\limsup x_n = \liminf x_n$.

Theorem (Monotone convergence theorem for sequences). Monotone sequence converges in \mathbb{R} or tends to either ∞ or $-\infty$.

Definition. (x_n) is **Cauchy sequence** if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n, m \geq N, \quad |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem. Every Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} is convergent.

1.4. Open and closed sets

Definition. $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **open** if

$$\forall x \in U, \exists \varepsilon > 0 : (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq U$$

Proposition. Arbitrary unions of open sets are open. Finite intersections of open sets are open.

Definition. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is **point of closure (limit point)** for $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in E : |x - y| < \varepsilon$$

Equivalently, x is point of closure of E if every open interval containing x contains a point of E .

Definition. **Closure** of E , \overline{E} , is set of points of closure. Note $E \subseteq \overline{E}$.

Definition. F is **closed** if $F = \overline{F}$.

Proposition. $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$. If $A \subset B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$.

Proposition. For any set E , \overline{E} is closed, i.e. $\overline{E} = \overline{\overline{E}}$.

Proposition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed iff $\mathbb{R} - E$ is open.

Proposition. Arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed. Finite unions of closed sets are closed.

Definition. Collection C of subsets of \mathbb{R} **covers** (is a **covering** of) $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $F \subseteq \bigcup_{S \in C} S$. If each S in C open, C is **open covering**. If C is finite, C is **finite covering**.

Definition. Covering C of F **contains a finite subcover** if exists $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \subseteq C$ with $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ (i.e. a finite subset of C covers F).

Definition. F is **compact** if any open covering of F contains a finite subcover.

Example. \mathbb{R} is not compact, $[a, b]$ is compact.

Theorem (Heine Borel). F compact iff F closed and bounded.

1.5. Continuity, pointwise and uniform convergence of functions

Definition. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous at** $a \in E$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall x \in E, |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$$

f is **continuous** if continuous at all $y \in E$.

Definition. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = l$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall x \in E, |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - l| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = l$ iff for every sequence (a_n) with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = l$.

Proposition. f is continuous at $a \in E$ iff $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$ (and this limit exists).

Definition. $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **uniformly continuous** if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 : \forall x, y \in E, |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition. Let F closed and bounded, $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous.

Definition. Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sequence of functions, $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (f_n) **converges pointwise** to f if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall x \in E, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

(f_n) **converges uniformly** to f is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, \forall x \in E, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem. Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sequence of continuous functions converging uniformly to $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f is continuous.

Definition. $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is **partition** of $[a, b]$ if $a = x_0 < \dots < x_n = b$.

Definition. $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **piecewise linear** if there exists partition $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ and $m_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall i \in [n], \forall x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad f(x) = m_i x + c_i$$

f is continuous on $[a, b] - P$.

Definition. $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **step function** if there exists partition $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall i \in [n], \forall x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad g(x) = m_i$$

g is continuous on $[a, b] - P$.

Theorem. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, E closed and bounded. Then there exist continuous piecewise linear f_n with $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, and step functions g_n with $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Definition. $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Lipschitz** if

$$\exists C > 0 : \forall x, y \in E, \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

Definition. $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **bi-Lipschitz** if

$$\exists C > 0 : \forall x, y \in E, \quad C^{-1}|x - y| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

1.6. The extended real numbers

Definition. **Extended reals** are $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ with the order relation $-\infty < \infty$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < \infty$. ∞ is an upper bound and $-\infty$ is a lower bound for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\sup(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$, $\inf(\mathbb{R}) = -\infty$, $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$, $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$.

- Addition: $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, a + \infty = \infty \wedge a + (-\infty) = -\infty$. $\infty + \infty = \infty - (-\infty) = \infty$.
 $\infty - \infty$ is undefined.
- Multiplication: $\forall a > 0, a \cdot \infty = \infty, \forall a < 0, a \cdot \infty = -\infty$. Also $\infty \cdot \infty = \infty$.
- \limsup and \liminf are defined as

$$\limsup x_n := \inf\{\sup\{x_k : k \geq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \liminf x_n := \sup\{\inf\{x_k : k \geq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Definition. Extended real number l is **limit** of (x_n) if either

- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, |x_n - l| < \varepsilon$. Then (x_n) **converges to l** . or
- $\forall \Delta > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n > \Delta$ (limit is ∞) or
- $\forall \Delta > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, x_n < -\Delta$ (limit is $-\infty$).

(x_n) **converges in the extended reals** if it has a limit in the extended reals.

2. Further analysis of subsets of \mathbb{R}

2.1. Countability and uncountability

Definition. A is **countable** if $A = \emptyset$, A is finite or there is a bijection $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ (in which case A is **countably infinite**). Otherwise A is **uncountable**. **Enumeration** is bijection to A from $[n]$ or \mathbb{N} .

Proposition. If there is surjection from countable set to A , or injection from A to countable set, then A is countable.

Proposition. Any subset of \mathbb{N} is countable.

Proposition. \mathbb{Q} is countable.

Proposition. If (a_n) is a nonnegative sequence and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\varphi(n)}$$

Proposition. If $(a_{n,k})$ is a nonnegative sequence and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\varphi(n)}$$

Definition. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is **monotone** if $x \geq y \Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(y)$ or $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$.

Proposition. Let f be monotone on (a, b) . Then it is discontinuous on a countable set.

Lemma. Set of sequences in $\{0, 1\}$, $\{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in \{0, 1\}\}$ is uncountable.

Theorem. \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

2.2. The structure theorem for open sets

Definition. Collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of sets is **(pairwise) disjoint** if $n \neq m \Rightarrow A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$.

Theorem (Structure theorem for open sets). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open. Then exists countable collection of disjoint open intervals $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$.

2.3. Accumulation points and perfect sets

Definition. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is **accumulation point** of $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if x is point of closure of $E - \{x\}$. Equivalently, x is a point of closure if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists y \in E : y \neq x \wedge |x - y| < \varepsilon$$

Equivalently, there exists a sequence of distinct $y_n \in E$ with $y_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition. Set of accumulation points of \mathbb{Q} is \mathbb{R} .

Proposition. Set of accumulation points E' of E is closed.

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **isolated** if

$$\forall x \in E, \exists \varepsilon > 0 : (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \cap E = \{x\}$$

Proposition. E is isolated iff it has no accumulation points.

Definition. Bounded set E is **perfect** if it equals its set of accumulation points.

Theorem. Every non-empty perfect set is uncountable.

2.4. The middle-third Cantor set

Proposition. Let $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be collection of non-empty nested closed sets (so $F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n$), one of which is bounded. Then

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \neq \emptyset$$

Definition. The **middle third Cantor set** is defined by:

- Define $C_0 := [0, 1]$
- Given $C_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n} [a_i, b_i]$, $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2^n} < b_{2^n}$, with $|b_i - a_i| = 3^{-n}$, define

$$C_{n+1} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n} [a_i, a_i + 3^{-(n+1)}] \cup [b_i - 3^{-(n+1)}, b_i]$$

which is a union of 2^{n+1} disjoint intervals, with all differences in endpoints equalling $3^{-(n+1)}$.

- The **middle third Cantor set** is

$$C := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} C_n$$

Observe that if a is an endpoint of an interval in C_n , it is contained in C .

Proposition. The middle third Cantor set is closed, non-empty and equal to its set of accumulation points. Hence it is perfect and so uncountable.

Definition. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, $x \in [0, 1)$. $0.a_1a_2\dots$, $a_i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, is a **k -ary expansion** of x if

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{k^i}$$

Remark. The k -ary expansion may not be unique, but there is a countable set $E \subseteq [0, 1)$ such that every $x \in [0, 1) - E$ has a unique k -ary expansion.

Remark. For every $x \in C$, the ternary ($k = 3$) expansion of x is unique and

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i}, \quad a_i \in \{0, 2\}$$

Moreover, every choice of sequence (a_i) , $a_i \in \{0, 2\}$, gives $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i} \in C$.

Definition. **Cantor-Lebesgue function**, $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, is defined by

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i/2}{2^i} & \text{if } x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_i}{3^i}, a_i \in \{0, 2\} \\ \sup\{g(y) : y \in C, y \leq x\} & \text{if } x \notin C \end{cases}$$

g is a surjection, monotone and continuous.

2.5. G_δ, F_σ

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is G_δ if $E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ with U_n open.

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is F_σ if $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ with F_n closed.

Lemma. Set of points where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous is G_δ .

3. Construction of Lebesgue measure

3.1. Lebesgue outer measure

Definition. Let I non-empty interval with endpoints $a = \inf(I) \in \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R}$ and $b = \sup(I) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The **length** of I is

$$\ell(I) := b - a$$

and set $\ell(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. **Lebesgue outer measure** of A is infimum of all sums of lengths of intervals covering A :

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(I_k) : A \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k, I_k \text{ intervals} \right\}$$

It satisfies **monotonicity**: $A \subseteq B \implies \mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$.

Proposition. Outer measure is **countably subadditive**:

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k \right) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu^*(E_k)$$

This implies **finite subadditivity**:

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(E_k)$$

Lemma. We have

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(I_k) : A \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_k, I_k \neq \emptyset \text{ open intervals} \right\}$$

Proposition. Outer measure of interval is its length: $\mu^*(I) = \ell(I)$.

3.2. Measurable sets

Notation. $E^c = \mathbb{R} - E$.

Proposition. Let $E = (a, \infty)$. Then

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Definition. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **Lebesgue measurable** if

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Collection of such sets is \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} .

Lemma (Excision Property). Let E Lebesgue measurable set with finite measure and $E \subseteq B$, then

$$\mu^*(B - E) = \mu^*(B) - \mu^*(E)$$

Proposition. If E_1, \dots, E_n Lebesgue measurable then $\cup_{k=1}^n E_k$ is Lebesgue measurable. If E_1, \dots, E_n disjoint then

$$\mu^*\left(A \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_k)$$

for any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $A = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(E_k)$$

Remark. Not every set is Lebesgue measurable.

Definition. Collection of subsets of \mathbb{R} is an **algebra** if contains \emptyset and closed under taking complements and finite unions: if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathbb{R} - A, A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark. A union of a countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets is also the union of a countable disjoint collection of Lebesgue measurable sets: if $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets, then let $A_1' := A_1$ and for $k > 1$, define

$$A_k' := A_k - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$$

then $\{A_k'\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is disjoint union of Lebesgue measurable sets and $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k' = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$.

Proposition. If E is countable union of Lebesgue measurable sets, then E is Lebesgue measurable. Also, if $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is countable disjoint collection of Lebesgue measurable sets then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(E_k)$$

3.3. Abstract definition of a measure

Definition. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Collection of subsets of \mathcal{F} of X is **σ -algebra** if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- $E \in \mathcal{F} \implies E^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- If $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_k \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example.

- Trivial examples are $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Countable intersections of σ -algebras are σ -algebras.

Definition. Let \mathcal{F} σ -algebra of X . $\nu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is **measure** satisfying

- $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$

- $\forall E \in \mathcal{F}, \nu(E) \geq 0$
- **Countable additivity:** if $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ are disjoint then

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(E_k)$$

Elements of \mathcal{F} are **measurable** (as they are the only sets on which the measure ν is defined).

Proposition. If ν is measure then it satisfies:

- **Monotonicity:** $A \subseteq B \implies \nu(A) \leq \nu(B)$.
- **Countable subadditivity:** $\nu(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(E_k)$.
- **Excision:** if B has finite measure, then $A \subseteq B \implies \nu(B - A) = \nu(B) - \nu(A)$.

3.4. Lebesgue measure

Lemma. \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} is σ -algebra and contains every interval.

Theorem (Carathéodory Extension). Restriction of the μ^* to \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} is a measure.

Theorem (Hahn extension theorem). There exists unique measure μ defined on \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} for which $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for any interval I .

Definition. The measure μ of μ^* restricted to \mathcal{F}_{μ^*} is the **Lebesgue measure**. It satisfies $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for any interval I and is translation invariant.

3.5. Sets of measure 0

Proposition. Middle-third Cantor set is Lebesgue measurable and has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proposition. Any countable set is Lebesgue measurable and has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proposition. Any E with $\mu^*(E) = 0$ is Lebesgue measurable and has $\mu(E) = 0$.

Lemma. Let E Lebesgue measurable set with $\mu(E) = 0$, then $\forall E' \subseteq E$, E' is Lebesgue measurable.

3.6. Continuity of measure

Definition. Countable collection $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **ascending** if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_k \subseteq E_{k+1}$ and **descending** if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, E_{k+1} \subseteq E_k$.

Theorem. Every measure m satisfies:

- If $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ascending collection of measurable sets, then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(A_k)$$

- If $\{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is descending collection of measurable sets and $m(B_1) < \infty$, then

$$m\left(\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(B_k)$$

3.7. An approximation result for Lebesgue measure

Definition. Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is smallest σ -algebra containing all intervals: for any other σ -algebra \mathcal{F} containing all intervals, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \text{ } \sigma\text{-algebra containing all intervals} \}$$

$E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is **Borel** or **Borel measurable**.

Lemma. All open subsets of \mathbb{R} , closed subsets of \mathbb{R} , G_δ sets and F_σ sets are Borel.

Proposition. The following are equivalent:

- E is Lebesgue measurable
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ open } G : E \subseteq G \wedge \mu^*(G - E) < \varepsilon$
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ closed } F : F \subseteq E \wedge \mu^*(E - F) < \varepsilon$
- $\exists G \in G_\delta : E \subseteq G \wedge \mu^*(G - E) = 0$
- $\exists F \in F_\sigma : F \subseteq E \wedge \mu^*(E - F) = 0$

4. Measurable functions

4.1. Definition of a measurable function

Proposition. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. f continuous iff $\forall \text{ open } U \subseteq \mathbb{R}, f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ is open}$.

Lemma. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ with E Lebesgue measurable. The following are equivalent:

- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) > c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) \geq c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) < c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in E : f(x) \leq c\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.

The same statement holds for Borel measurable sets.

Definition. $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is **(Lebesgue) measurable** if it satisfies any of the above properties and if E is Lebesgue measurable. f being **Borel measurable** is defined similarly.

Corollary. If f is Lebesgue measurable then for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $f^{-1}(B)$ is measurable. In particular, if f is Lebesgue measurable, preimage of any interval is measurable.

Definition. **Indicator function** on set A , $\mathbb{1}_A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, is

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Definition. $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **simple (measurable) function** if φ is measurable function that has finite codomain.

4.2. Fundamental aspects of measurable functions

Definition. Let $E \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. **Restriction** f_E is function with domain E and for which $\forall x \in E, f_E(x) = f(x)$.

Definition. Real-valued function which is increasing or decreasing is **monotone**.

Definition. Sequence (f_n) on domain E is increasing if $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ on E for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example. Continuous functions are measurable.

Definition. For $f_1 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \dots, f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\max\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}(x) := \max\{f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$$

$\min\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is defined similarly.

Proposition. For finite family $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of measurable functions with common domain E , $\max\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ and $\min\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ are measurable.

Definition. For $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, functions $|f|, f^+, f^-$ defined on E are

$$|f|(x) := \max\{f(x), -f(x)\}, \quad f^+(x) := \max\{f(x), 0\}, \quad f^-(x) := \max\{-f(x), 0\}$$

Corollary. If f measurable on E , so are $|f|, f^+$ and f^- .

Proposition. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. For measurable $D \subseteq E$, f measurable on E iff restrictions of f to D and $E - D$ are measurable.

Theorem. Let $f, g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable.

- **Linearity:** $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha f + \beta g$ is measurable.
- **Products:** fg is measurable.

Proposition. Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be sequence of measurable functions that converges pointwise to $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. Then f is measurable.

Lemma (Simple approximation lemma). Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable and bounded, so $\exists M \geq 0 : \forall x \in E, |f|(x) < M$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exist simple measurable functions $\varphi_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \in E, \quad \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \leq f(x) \leq \psi_\varepsilon(x) \wedge 0 \leq \psi_\varepsilon(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x) < \varepsilon$$

Theorem (Simple approximation theorem). Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, E measurable. Then f is measurable iff there exists sequence (φ_n) of simple functions on E which converge pointwise on E to f and satisfy

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in E, |\varphi_n|(x) \leq |f|(x)$$

If f is nonnegative, (φ_n) can be chosen to be increasing.

Definition. Let $f, g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. Then $f = g$ **almost everywhere** if $\{x \in E : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ has measure 0.

Proposition. Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ measurable. If $f_1 = f_2$ almost everywhere and $f_2 = f_3$ almost everywhere then $f_1 = f_3$ almost everywhere.

Remark. Lebesgue measurable functions can be modified arbitrarily on a set of measure 0 without affecting measurability.

Proposition. Let $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ sequence of measurable functions, $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ measurable. Set of points where (f_n) converges pointwise to f is measurable.

Proposition. Let $f, g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ measurable and finite almost everywhere on E .

- **Linearity:** $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists function equal to $\alpha f + \beta g$ almost everywhere on E (any such function is measurable).
- **Products:** there exists function equal to fg almost everywhere on E (any such function is measurable).

Definition. Sequence of functions (f_n) with domain E **converge in measure** to f if (f_n) and f are finite almost everywhere and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \mu(\{x \in E : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

5. The Lebesgue integral

5.1. The integral of a simple measurable function

Definition. Let φ be real-valued function taking finitely many values $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$, then **standard representation** of φ is

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}, \quad A_i = \varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha_i\})$$

Lemma. Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i}$, B_i disjoint measurable collection, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then φ is simple measurable. If φ takes value 0 outside a set of finite measure then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mu(B_i)$$

where A_i in standard representation.

Definition. Let φ be simple nonnegative measurable function or simple measurable function taking value 0 outside set of finite measure. **Integral** of φ with respect to μ is

$$\int \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i)$$

where $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ is standard representation. Here, use convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. For measurable $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\int_E \varphi = \int \mathbb{1}_E \varphi$$

Example.

- Let $\varphi_2 = \mathbb{1}_{[0,2]} + \mathbb{1}_{[1,3]} = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1) \cup (2,3]} + 2\mathbb{1}_{[1,2]}$ so $\int \varphi_2 = 4$.
- Let $\varphi_3 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\int \varphi_3 = 1 \cdot \infty = \infty$.
- Let $\varphi_4 = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)} + (-1)\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$. This can't be integrated.

- Let $\varphi_5 = \mathbb{1}_{(-1,0)} + (-1)\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}$, then $\int \varphi_5 = 0$.

Lemma. Let B_1, \dots, B_m be measurable sets, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Then $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i}$ is simple measurable function. Also,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i\right) < \infty \implies \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mu(B_i)$$

where A_i in standard representation.

Proposition. Let φ, ψ be simple measurable functions:

- If φ, ψ take value 0 outside a set of finite measure, then $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int (\alpha\varphi + \beta\psi) = \alpha \int \varphi + \beta \int \psi$$

- If φ, ψ nonnegative, then $\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0$,

$$\int (\alpha\varphi + \beta\psi) = \alpha \int \varphi + \beta \int \psi$$

- **Monotonicity:**

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq \psi \implies 0 \leq \int \varphi \leq \int \psi$$

Corollary. Let φ nonnegative simple function, then

$$\int \varphi = \sup \left\{ \int \psi : 0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi, \psi \text{ simple measurable} \right\}$$

Lemma. Let φ simple measurable nonnegative function. φ takes value 0 outside a set of finite measure iff $\int \varphi < \infty$. Also, $\int \varphi = \infty$ iff there exist $\alpha > 0$, measurable A with $\mu(A) = \infty$ and $\forall x \in A, \varphi(x) \geq \alpha$.

Lemma. Let $\{E_n\}$ be ascending collection of measurable sets, $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \mathbb{R}$. Let φ be simple nonnegative measurable function. Then

$$\int_{E_n} \varphi \rightarrow \int \varphi \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

5.2. The integral of a nonnegative function

Notation. Let \mathcal{M}^+ denote collection of nonnegative measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition. **Support** of measurable function f with domain E is $\text{supp}(f) := \{x \in E : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

Definition. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}^+$. **Integral of f with respect to μ** is

$$\int f := \sup \left\{ \int \varphi : 0 \leq \varphi \leq f, \varphi \text{ simple measurable} \right\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

For measurable set E , define

$$\int_E f := \int \mathbb{1}_E f$$

Proposition (Monotonicity). Let f, g measurable, nonnegative. If $g \leq f$ then $\int g \leq \int f$. Let E, F measurable. If $E \subseteq F$ then $\int_E f \leq \int_F f$.

Theorem (Monotone convergence theorem). Let (f_n) be sequence in \mathcal{M}^+ . If (f_n) is increasing on measurable set E and converges pointwise to f on E then

$$\int_E f_n \rightarrow \int_E f \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Corollary. Restriction of integral to nonnegative functions is linear: $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{M}^+, \forall \alpha \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f + g) &= \int f + \int g \\ \int \alpha f &= \alpha \int f \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Fatou's Lemma). Let (f_n) be sequence in \mathcal{M}^+ , then

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$$

Lemma. Let $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{M}^+$, then

$$\int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n$$

Proposition (Chebyshev's inequality). Let f be nonnegative measurable function on E . Then

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mu(\{x \in E : f(x) \geq \lambda\}) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_E f$$

Proposition. Let f be nonnegative measurable function on E . Then

$$\int_E f = 0 \iff f = 0 \text{ almost everywhere on } E$$

5.3. Integration of measurable functions

Notation. Let \mathcal{M} denote set of measurable functions.

Definition. $f \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is **integrable** if $\int f < \infty$. By Chebyshev's inequality, if f is integrable, then f is finite almost everywhere.

Definition. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ measurable function. f is **integrable** if $\int f^+$ and $\int f^-$ are finite. In this case, for any measurable set E , define

$$\int_E f := \int_E f^+ - \int_E f^-$$

Note that if f integrable then $f^+ - f^-$ is well-defined.

Proposition. If $f = f_1 - f_2$, $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}^+$, f_1, f_2 integrable, then

$$\int f^+ - \int f^- = \int f_1 - \int f_2$$

Definition. $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is **integrable over E** (E is measurable) if $\int_E f^+$ and $\int_E f^-$ are finite (i.e. $f \cdot \mathbb{1}_E$ is integrable).

Theorem. $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is integrable iff $|f|$ is integrable. If f integrable, then

$$\left| \int f \right| \leq \int |f|$$

Corollary. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$, $|f| \leq |g|$. If g integrable then $|f|$ is integrable, and $\int |f| \leq \int |g|$.

Example. \sin is not integrable over \mathbb{R} , but is integrable over $[0, 2\pi]$, since $|f_{[0, 2\pi]}| \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0, 2\pi]}$.

Theorem (Linearity of Integration). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ integrable. Then $f + g$ is integrable and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, αf is integrable. The integral is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f + g) &= \int f + \int g \\ \int \alpha f &= \alpha \int f \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Dominated Convergence Theorem). Let (f_n) be sequence of integrable functions. If there exists an integrable g with $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f_n| \leq g$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise almost everywhere then f is integrable and

$$\int f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$$

5.4. Integrability: Riemann vs Lebesgue

Proposition. Let f bounded function on bounded measurable domain E . Then f is measurable and $\int_E |f| < \infty$ iff

$$\sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi : \varphi \leq f, \varphi \text{ simple measurable} \right\} = \inf \left\{ \int_E \psi : f \leq \psi : \psi \text{ simple measurable} \right\}$$

(If f satisfies either condition then $\int_E f$ is equal to the two above expressions).

Definition. Bounded function f is **Lebesgue integrable** if it satisfies either of the equivalences in the above proposition.

Definition. Let $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ partition of $[a, b]$, $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. **Lower and upper Darboux sums** for f with respect to P are

$$L(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}), \quad U(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

where

$$m_i := \inf\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}, \quad M_i := \sup\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$$

If $P \subseteq Q$ (Q is a **refinement of** P), then

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q) \leq U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$$

Definition. Lower and upper Riemann integrals of f over $[a, b]$ are

$$\underline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f) := \sup\{L(f, P) : P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f) := \inf\{U(f, P) : P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\}$$

Definition. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, then f is **Riemann integrable** ($f \in \mathcal{R}$), if

$$\underline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f) = \overline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f)$$

and common value $\mathcal{J}_a^b(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ is **Riemann integral** of f .

Remark. Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ step function with discontinuities at $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, so $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1}_{(x_{i-1}, x_i)}$ almost everywhere. So g is simple measurable and

$$L(g, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) = U(g, P) = \int g = \mathcal{J}_a^b(g)$$

Hence for any bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f) &= \sup\left\{\int \varphi : \varphi \leq f, \varphi \text{ step function}\right\}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{J}}_a^b(f) &= \inf\left\{\int \psi : f \leq \psi, \psi \text{ step function}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, $a, b \neq \pm\infty$. If f Riemann integrable over $[a, b]$ then f Lebesgue integrable over $[a, b]$ and the two integrals are equal.

Theorem. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, $a, b \neq \pm\infty$. Then f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ iff f is continuous on $[a, b]$ except on a set of measure zero.

Lemma. Let $(\varphi_n), (\psi_n)$ be sequences of functions, all integrable over E , (φ_n) increasing on E , (ψ_n) decreasing on E . Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_n \leq f \leq \psi_n \text{ on } E, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (\psi_n - \varphi_n) = 0$$

Then $\varphi_n, \psi_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise almost everywhere on E , f is integrable over E and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \varphi_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \psi_n = \int_E f$$

Definition. For partition $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, **gap** of P is

$$\text{gap}(P) := \max\{|x_i - x_{i-1}| : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

Lemma. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $E \subseteq [a, b]$ be set where f is continuous. Let (P_n) be sequence of partitions of $[a, b]$ with $P_{n+1} \subseteq P_n$ and $\text{gap}(P_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varphi_n, \psi_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ step functions with

$$\varphi_n(x) := \inf\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}, \quad \psi_n(x) := \sup\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$$

for $P_n = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$. Then $\forall x \in E - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$,

$$\varphi_n(x), \psi_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Definition. Let $f : (a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $-\infty \leq a < b < \infty$, f bounded and Riemann integrable on all closed bounded sub-intervals of $(a, b]$. If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow a, t > a} \mathcal{J}_t^b(f)$$

exists then this is defined as the **improper Riemann integral** $\mathcal{J}_a^b(f)$. Similar definitions exist for $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : [a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Note. Improper Riemann integral may exist without function being Lebesgue integral.

Proposition. If f is integrable, the improper Riemann integral is equal to the Lebesgue integral whenever the former exists.

Definition. Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ monotonically increasing (and so bounded). For partition $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ of $[a, b]$ and bounded $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$L(f, P, \alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})), \quad U(f, P, \alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1}))$$

where $m_i := \inf\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$, $M_i := \sup\{f(x) : x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\}$. Then f is **integrable with respect to α** , $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, if

$$\inf\{U(f, P, \alpha) : P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\} = \sup\{L(f, P, \alpha) : P \text{ partition of } [a, b]\}$$

and the common value $\int_a^b f d\alpha$ is the **Riemann-Stieltjes integral** of f with respect to α .

Proposition. Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then set of points where f is differentiable is measurable.

Remark. If $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [a, b]$ bijection, then

$$\int_0^1 f \circ \alpha d\alpha = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Proposition. Let α be monotonically increasing and differentiable with $\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ iff $g\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}$, and in that case,

$$\int_a^b g \, d\alpha = \int_a^b g(x) \alpha'(x) \, dx$$

Remark. When $g = 1$, this says $\int_a^b 1 \, d\alpha = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) = \int \alpha'(x) \, dx$, similar to the fundamental theorem of calculus.

6. Lebesgue spaces

6.1. Normed linear spaces

Definition. Let X be **complex linear space** (vector space over \mathbb{C}). $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is **norm on X** if

- $\forall x \in X, \|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$.
- $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- $\forall x, y \in X, \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$.

X equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, is called **complex normed linear space**.

Example.

- $\|x\| = \sqrt{x\bar{x}}$ is norm on \mathbb{C} .
- Let $C[a, b]$ denote linear space of continuous real-valued functions on $[a, b]$. Then

$$\|f\|_{\max} := \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$$

is norm on $C[a, b]$.

Proposition. Norm induces metric on X : $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space.

- Sequence (f_n) in X is **Cauchy sequence** in X if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n, m \geq N, \|f_n - f_m\| < \varepsilon$$

- Sequence (f_n) in X **converges in X** , $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\exists f \in X : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N, \|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$$

- $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence converges in X .
- **Banach space** is complete normed linear space.

Proposition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space.

- If (x_n) converges in X , (x_n) is Cauchy sequence in X .
- Let (x_n) be Cauchy sequence in X . If (x_n) has convergent subsequence in X then (x_n) converges in X .

6.2. Lebesgue spaces L^p , $p \in [1, \infty)$

Definition. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

- Linear space $L^p(E)$ is defined as

$$L^p(E) := \left\{ f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable and } \int_E |f|^p < \infty \right\} / \cong$$

where $f \cong g$ iff $f = g$ almost everywhere:

$$f \cong g \iff \exists F \subseteq E : \mu(F) = 0 \wedge \forall x \in E - F, f(x) = g(x)$$

- Define $\|\cdot\|_{L^p} : L^p(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\|f\|_{L^p} := \left(\int_E |f|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

Remark.

- We often consider space $L^p(E)$ of real-valued measurable functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_E |f|^p < \infty$.
- For $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f = f_1 + if_2$, f is measurable iff $f_1 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_2 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable. Also,

$$\int_E |f|^p < \infty \iff \left(\int_E |f_1|^p < \infty \wedge \int_E |f_2|^p < \infty \right)$$

Example. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}}(x) + i\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ and $g(x) = 1$. Then $\mu(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ so $f \cong g$.

Proposition. Let $(f_n), (g_n)$ sequences of measurable functions, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n \cong g_n$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$. Then $f \cong g$.

Definition. $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ are **conjugate exponents** if $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Lemma (Young's inequality). Let p, q conjugate exponents, then

$$\forall A, B \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad AB \leq \frac{A^p}{p} + \frac{B^q}{q}$$

with equality iff $A^p = B^q$.

Lemma (Hölder's inequality). Let p, q conjugate exponents. If $f \in L^p(E)$, $g \in L^q(E)$, then

$$\int_E |fg| \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}$$

Corollary (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $L^2(E)$). If $f, g \in L^2(E)$, then

$$\left| \int_E f \bar{g} \right| \leq \int_E |fg| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2}$$

Lemma (Minkowski's inequality). Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $f, g \in L^p(E)$ then $f + g \in L^p(E)$ and

$$\|f + g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}$$

Theorem. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is normed linear space.

Proposition. Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. If $\mu(E) < \infty$ then $L^q(E) \subseteq L^p(E)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(E)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q}$$

Remark.

- Convergence in L^p is also called convergence in the mean of order p .
- This notion of convergence is different to pointwise convergence, uniform convergence and convergence in measure.

Theorem (Riesz-Fischer). For $p \in [1, \infty)$, $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is complete.

6.3. Lebesgue space L^∞

Definition.

- Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable. f is **essentially bounded** if

$$\exists M \geq 0 : |f(x)| \leq M \quad \text{almost everywhere on } E$$

- $L^\infty(E)$ is collection of equivalence classes of essentially bounded functions where $f \cong g$ iff $f = g$ almost everywhere.
- For $f \in L^\infty(E)$, define

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} := \text{ess sup} |f| := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x \in E : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0\}$$

Proposition.

- $0 \leq |f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ almost everywhere.
- $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$ is norm on $L^\infty(E)$.
- If $f \in L^1(E)$, $g \in L^\infty(E)$, then

$$\int_E |fg| \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

Proposition. Let (f_n) sequence of functions in $L^\infty(E)$. Then (f_n) converges to $f \in L^\infty(E)$ iff there exists $G \subseteq E$ with $\mu(G) = 0$ and (f_n) converges to f uniformly on $E - G$.

Theorem. $(L^\infty(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty})$ is complete.

Remark. If $\mu(E) < \infty$, then $L^\infty(E) \subset L^p(E)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(E)^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^\infty}$$

since

$$\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \int_E |f|^p \leq \int_E \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p \cdot \mathbb{1}_E = \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p \mu(E)$$

6.4. Approximation and separability

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space. Let $F \subseteq G \subseteq X$. F is **dense in G** if

$$\forall g \in G, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists f \in F : \|f - g\| < \varepsilon$$

Proposition.

- F is dense in G iff for every $g \in G$, there exists sequence (f_n) in F such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = g$ in X .
- For $F \subseteq G \subseteq H \subseteq X$, if F dense in G and G dense in H , then F dense in H .

Proposition. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then subspace of simple functions in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$.

Definition. $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **step function** if it can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{a}_k \mathbb{1}_{(a_k, b_k)}$$

where the intervals (a_k, b_k) are disjoint.

Proposition. Let $[a, b]$ be bounded, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then subspace of step functions on $[a, b]$ is dense in $(L^p([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$.

Definition. Normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is **separable** if there exists countable, dense subset $X' \subseteq X$.

Example. \mathbb{R} is separable, since \mathbb{Q} is countable and dense in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ is separable. In particular, step functions are dense in $L^p(E)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proposition. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in L^p(E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. There exists continuous $g \in L^p(E)$ such that $\|f - g\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon$.

Remark. Linear space of continuous functions that vanish outside bounded set is dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Remark. Differentiable functions are also dense in $(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Remark. Step functions and continuous functions are not dense in $(L^\infty(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty})$.

Example. In general, $(L^\infty(E), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty})$ is not separable. Let $[a, b]$ be bounded, $a \neq b$. Assume there is countable $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is dense in $(L^\infty([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty})$. Then for every $x \in [a, b]$, can choose $g(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[a, x]} - f_{g(x)}\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Also, for $x_1 \leq x_2$,

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[a, x_1]} - \mathbb{1}_{[a, x_2]}\|_{L^\infty} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1}_{[a, x_1]} - \mathbb{1}_{[a, x_2]}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\mathbb{1}_{[a, x_1]} - f_{g(x_1)}\|_{L^\infty} + \|f_{g(x_1)} - f_{g(x_2)}\|_{L^\infty} + \|f_{g(x_2)} - \mathbb{1}_{[a, x_2]}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &< 1 + \|f_{g(x_1)} - f_{g(x_2)}\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

If $g(x_1) = g(x_2)$ then $\|\mathbb{1}_{[a, x_1]} - \mathbb{1}_{[a, x_2]}\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ so $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective. But \mathbb{N} is countable and $[a, b]$ is not countable: contradiction.

6.5. Riesz representation theorem for $L^p(E)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$

Definition. Let X be linear space. $T : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **linear functional** if

$$\forall f, g \in X, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad T(af + bg) = aT(f) + bT(g)$$

Any linear combination of linear functionals is linear, so set of linear functionals on linear space is also linear space.

Definition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space. $T : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **bounded functional** if

$$\exists M \geq 0 : \forall f \in X, \quad |T(f)| \leq M\|f\|$$

Norm of T , $\|T\|_*$, is the smallest such M .

Remark. For bounded linear functional T on normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$,

$$|T(f) - T(g)| \leq \|T\|_* \|f - g\|$$

This gives the following continuity property: if $f_n \rightarrow f \in X$, then $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$.

Example. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p . Let $h \in L^q(E)$. Define $T : L^p(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f) = \int_E h \cdot f$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$|T(f)| = \left| \int_E hf \right| \leq \int_E |hf| \leq \|h\|_{L^q} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

So T is bounded linear functional.

Remark. We can write $\|\cdot\|_*$ as

$$\|T\|_* := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} : \forall f \in X, |T(f)| \leq M\|f\|\} = \sup\{|T(f)| : f \in X, \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

Definition. **Dual space** of X , X^* , is set of bounded linear functionals on X with norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Proposition. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed linear space, then dual space of X is linear space with norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Remark. Bounded linear functional is special case of **bounded linear transformation** between normed spaces. $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded linear transformation if $T(af + bg) = aT(f) + bT(g)$ and $\exists M \geq 0 : \|T(f)\|_Y \leq M\|f\|_X$.

Proposition. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p , $h \in L^q(E)$. Define $T : L^p(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T(f) = \int_E hf$$

Then $\|T\|_* = \|h\|_{L^q}$.

Theorem (Riesz representation theorem for L^p). Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p , $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable. For $h \in L^q(E)$, define bounded linear functional $R_h : L^p(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$R_h(f) = \int_E hf$$

Then for every bounded linear functional $T : L^p(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there is unique $h \in L^q(E)$ such that

$$R_h = T \quad \wedge \quad \|T\|_* = \|h\|_{L^q}$$

Theorem. Let $[a, b]$ be non-degenerate, bounded interval, $p \in [1, \infty)$, q conjugate to p . If T is bounded linear functional on $L^p([a, b])$ then there exists $h \in L^q([a, b])$ such that

$$T(f) = \int_a^b hf$$

7. Hilbert spaces

7.1. Inner product spaces

Definition. Let H be complex linear space. **Inner product** on H is function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \forall x, y, z \in H$,

- **Linear in first variable:** $\langle ax + by, z \rangle = a\langle x, z \rangle + b\langle y, z \rangle$.
- **Conjugate symmetric:** $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$.
- **Positive:** $x \neq 0 \implies \langle x, x \rangle \in (0, \infty)$
- $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0$.

These imply that $\langle 0, x \rangle = 0$ and inner product is conjugate linear in second variable: $\langle z, ax + by \rangle = \bar{a}\langle z, x \rangle + \bar{b}\langle z, y \rangle$.

Example.

- \mathbb{R}^n has inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.
- \mathbb{C}^n has inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i$.
- Inner product induces metric on H :

$$d(x, y) = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle^{1/2}$$

Definition. Complex linear space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called **pre-Hilbert space** or **inner product space**.

Definition. Let H inner product space. For $x \in H$, define the norm

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

Proposition. $\|x \pm y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \pm 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle x, y \rangle) + \|y\|^2$.

Theorem (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be pre-Hilbert space. Then

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

with equality iff x and y linearly dependent.

Theorem (Parallelogram Identity). A normed linear space X is an inner product space with norm derived from the inner product (i.e. $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$) iff

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

Definition. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X)$, $(Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Y)$ be inner product spaces.

- An inner product on $X \times Y$ is

$$\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle_{X \times Y} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_X + \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_Y$$

- The associated norm on $X \times Y$ is

$$\|(x, y)\|_{X \times Y} = \sqrt{\langle (x, y), (x, y) \rangle_{X \times Y}} = \sqrt{\|x\|_X^2 + \|y\|_Y^2}$$

Theorem. Let X inner product space, $x_n \rightarrow x$, $y_n \rightarrow y$ in X . Then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle_X \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle_X$.

Proof. Use $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = |\langle x_n - x, y_n \rangle + \langle x, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle|$ and Cauchy-Schwarz, reverse triangle inequality to show $\|y_n\| \rightarrow \|y\|$. \square

Proposition. The norm and inner product are continuous.

7.2. Hilbert spaces

Definition. Hilbert space is inner product space which is complete with respect to norm induced by inner product.

Example. \mathbb{R}^n with standard inner product is Hilbert space.

Example. Define inner product on $L^2(E)$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} := \int_E f \bar{g}$$

Induced norm is the L^2 norm. So by Riesz-Fischer theorem, $(L^2(E), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2})$ is Hilbert space.

Definition. Let H Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

- $x, y \in H$ are **orthogonal**, $x \perp y$ if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.
- $A, B \subseteq H$ are **orthogonal**, $A \perp B$ if $\forall x \in A, \forall y \in B, \quad x \perp y$.
- **Orthogonal complement** of $A \subseteq H$ is

$$A^\perp := \{x \in H : \forall y \in A, \quad x \perp y\}$$

Theorem (Pythagorean Theorem). If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$, $x_i \perp x_j$ for $i \neq j$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2$$

Proof. Use linearity of inner product and orthogonal condition. \square

Theorem. Let H Hilbert space, $A \subseteq H$, then A^\perp is closed subspace of H .

Proof.

- Subspace:
 - For $y, z \in A^\perp$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, show $\forall x \in A$, $\lambda y + \mu z \in A^\perp$.
- Closed:
 - Show if $(y_n) \subseteq A^\perp$, $y_n \rightarrow y$, then $y \in A^\perp$:
 - Let $x \in A$, then show $|\langle x, y \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ by squeezing, triangle inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz.

□

Theorem (Projection). Let M closed subspace of Hilbert space H .

- For every $x \in H$, there exists unique closest point $y \in M$:

$$\forall x \in H, \exists! y \in M : \|x - y\| = \min\{\|x - z\| : z \in M\}$$

We say y is “the best approximation” to x in M .

- The point $y \in M$ closest to $x \in H$ is unique element of M such that $(x - y) \perp M$.

Proof.

- Let $d = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in M\}$. Show that $\exists y \in M : \|x - y\| = d$:
 - There is sequence $(y_n) \subset M$ with $\|x - y_n\| \rightarrow d$. Show that (y_n) is Cauchy:
 - $\|y_m - y_n\|^2 + \|2x - y_m - y_n\|^2 = 2\|x - y_m\|^2 + 2\|x - y_n\|^2$ by parallelogram identity.
 - $\frac{y_m + y_n}{2} \in M$, so $\|2x - y_m - y_n\| \geq 2d$.
 - Deduce that $y_n \rightarrow y \in M$ and $\|x - y\| \rightarrow d$ by squeezing.
- Uniqueness of y :
 - Let $\|x - y\| = d = \|x - y'\|$.
 - By parallelogram identity, $2\|x - y\|^2 + 2\|x - y'\|^2 = \|2x - y - y'\|^2 + \|y - y'\|^2$.
 - Use that $\frac{y + y'}{2} \in M$ to show $\|y - y'\| = 0$.
- To show $z = x - y \perp M$:
 - For $w \in M$, write $\langle z, w \rangle = |\langle z, w \rangle| \lambda$ where $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$, set $u = \lambda w$.
 - Define $f(t) = \|z + tu\|^2$, show $t = 0$ is minimum of f and so $0 = f'(0)$, hence $z \in M^\perp$.
- To show uniqueness of z :
 - Show for $y, y' \in M$ such that $x - y \perp M$ and $x - y' \perp M$, then $\langle y - y', w \rangle = 0$ for any $w \in M$. Set $w = y - y'$ to give $y = y'$.

□

Definition. **Direct sum** of subspaces M and N of linear space is

$$M \oplus N := \{y + z : y \in M, z \in N\}$$

Corollary. If M closed subspace of Hilbert space H , then $H = M \oplus M^\perp$.

For all $x \in H$, x can be written uniquely as $x = y + z$ where y is best approximation to x in M and $z = x - y \perp M$.

Proof. By above theorem.

□

Definition. Let H Hilbert space. $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is **orthonormal** if it is **orthogonal**: $u_\alpha \perp u_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and **normalised**: $\forall \alpha \in I, \|u_\alpha\| = 1$.

Definition. Let X Banach space, $\{x_\alpha \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ be indexed set where I is countable or uncountable.

- For each finite $J \subseteq I$, define **partial sum** as

$$S_J := \sum_{\alpha \in J} x_\alpha$$

- Unordered sum of $\{x_\alpha \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ **converges unconditionally** to $x \in X$, written $x = \sum_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha$, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists finite $J \subseteq I$ such that $\|S_K - x\| < \varepsilon$ for every finite $J \subseteq K \subseteq I$.
- Unordered sum $\sum_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha$ is **Cauchy** if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists finite $J \subseteq I$ such that $\|S_L\| < \varepsilon$ for every finite $L \subseteq I - J$. Note that

$$\|S_L\| = \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in L \cup J} x_\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in J} x_\alpha \right\|$$

- Unordered sum of $\{x_\alpha \in X : \alpha \in I\}$ **converges absolutely** if $\sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha\|$ converges unconditionally in \mathbb{R} .

Proposition. Unordered sum in Banach space converges unconditionally iff it is Cauchy.

Definition. Let $\{c_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq [0, \infty]$. Define

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I} c_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha : J \subseteq I, J \text{ finite} \right\}$$

Proposition. Let $\{c_\alpha : \alpha \in I\} \subseteq [0, \infty]$, $K = \{\alpha \in I : c_\alpha > 0\}$. If $\sum_{\alpha \in I} c_\alpha < \infty$, then K is countable.

Theorem (Bessel's inequality). Let $U = \{u_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ orthonormal in Hilbert space H . Then

$$\forall x \in H, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

In particular, $\forall x \in H$, $\{\alpha \in I : \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$ is countable.

Proof.

- Prove for any finite $J \subseteq I$, then take supremum on LHS.
- Show that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{\alpha \in J} \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle u_\alpha \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha \in J} |\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle|^2$$

using equation 2.2 and Pythagorean theorem.

□

Theorem. If $U = \{u_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is orthonormal subset of Hilbert space H then the following are equivalent:

- If $\forall \alpha \in I, \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$.
- $\forall x \in H, x = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle u_\alpha$ where sum converges unconditionally in H and only has countably many non-zero terms.
- **Parseval's identity:**

$$\forall x \in H, \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle|^2$$

Proof.

- (i) \implies (ii): let $\{\alpha_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be set of indices where $\langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle \neq 0$. Show the partial sums of $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle u_{\alpha_j}$ are Cauchy using Pythagorean theorem and so show converges.
- Set

$$y = x - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_{\alpha_j} \rangle u_{\alpha_j}$$

and show $\langle y, u_\alpha \rangle = 0$.

- (ii) \implies (iii): let $\varepsilon > 0$. Use definition of unconditional convergence of x and Pythagorean theorem to show $\|x\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle|^2 < \varepsilon$.

□

Definition. Orthonormal subset $U = \{u_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of Hilbert space H is **complete** if it satisfies any of the conditions in Theorem 7.2.16. An **orthonormal basis** of H is a complete orthonormal subset of H .

Definition. U is **maximal orthonormal set** if $\forall V \subseteq H$ such that $U \subsetneq V$, V is not orthonormal.

Lemma. U is maximal orthonormal set iff it is an orthonormal basis.

Remark. For orthonormal basis $\{u_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\}$, representation $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} c_\alpha u_\alpha$ is unique (consider $\langle x - x, u_\beta \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (c_\alpha - d_\alpha) u_\alpha, u_\beta \rangle$).

Theorem. Every Hilbert space H has orthonormal basis. If $V \subseteq H$ is orthonormal set, then H has orthonormal basis containing V .

Proof.

- Assume $H \neq \{0\}$. Use partial ordering \subseteq .
- Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be totally ordered collection of orthonormal sets. Find upper bound of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ which is orthonormal.
- Show result using Theorem 7.2.25 and Lemma 7.2.19.
- To show orthonormal sets V can be extended to orthonormal bases, use same argument on family of all orthonormal subsets of H containing V .

□

Definition. A set X is **partially ordered** if it is equipped with relation \leq satisfying:

- **Reflexivity:** $\forall x \in X, x \leq x$.
- **Transitivity:** $(x \leq y \wedge y \leq z) \implies x \leq z$.
- **Anti-symmetry:** $(x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \implies x = y$.

X is **totally ordered** if partially ordered and $\forall x, y \in X$, either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

Definition. Let X totally ordered set with relation \leq . $x \in X$ is **upper bound** for $Y \subseteq X$ if $\forall y \in Y, y \leq x$. $x \in X$ is **maximal** if $\forall y \in X, x \leq y \implies y = x$.

Example. Let X be non-empty collection of sets. Then \subseteq is partial ordering on X . $A \in X$ is upper bound for $X' \subseteq X$ if every set in X' is subset of A . $M \in X$ is maximal if it is not proper subset of any set in X .

Theorem (Zorn's Lemma). A partially ordered set X that has upper bounds for its totally ordered subsets has a maximal element.

Proposition. Hilbert space is separable iff it has countable orthonormal basis.

Proof.

- \implies : let $U = \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ countable, dense in H . Recursively discard any u_n in linear span of u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} to obtain linearly independent set $V = \{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ whose linear span is dense in H . Applying Gram-Schmidt, set

$$w_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}, \dots, w_{n+1} = c_{n+1} \left(v_{n+1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle w_k, v_{n+1} \rangle w_k \right)$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ chosen so that $\|w_n\| = 1$. $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is countable orthonormal basis.

- \Leftarrow : let $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be orthonormal basis, show that

$$S_m = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m c_k w_k : c_k \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

is countable and $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_m$ dense in H .

□

Theorem (Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces). Let H Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $T : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded linear functional. Then

$$\exists! y \in H : \forall x \in H, T(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

Note RHS gives bounded linear functional by Cauchy-Schwarz.

Proof.

- Existence:
 - Show $N = \{x \in H : T(x) = 0\}$ is closed subspace of H , use that $H = N \oplus N^\perp$.
 - Assume N^\perp contains v with $\|v\| = 1$. For $x \in H$, define $u = T(x)v - T(v)x$.
 - Show that $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$, deduce a value for y from this.
- Uniqueness: straightforward.

□

8. Convergence of Fourier series

Note. We can view $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as being 2π -periodic by extending it on the real line.

Definition. m -th **partial Fourier sum** of 2π -periodic integrable function $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(S_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k(f) e^{ikx}$$

where

$$a_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) e^{-iky} dy$$

are **Fourier coefficients** of f .

Definition. Let $f, g : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable functions. **Convolution** $f * g$ is

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) g(x - y) dy$$

Proposition. Let $f, g, h : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable functions, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $*$ satisfies:

- **Commutativity:** $f * g = g * f$.
- **Distributivity:** $f * (g + h) = (f * g) + (f * h)$.
- **Homogeneity:** $(cf) * g = c(f * g) = f * (cg)$.
- **Associativity:** $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$.

8.1. Pointwise convergence of Fourier series via Dirichlet kernel

Definition. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The m -th **Dirichlet kernel** is

$$D_m(x) := \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{ikx}$$

Proposition.

- D_m is trigonometric polynomial of degree m with coefficients equal to 1 for $k \in [-m, m]$ and 0 otherwise.
- D_m is real-valued and 2π -periodic.
-

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_m(x) dx = 1$$

Proposition. Let $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be 2π -periodic integrable function. Then

$$(D_m * f)(x) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k(f) e^{ikx} = (S_m f)(x)$$

where $a_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) e^{-iky} dy$.

Proposition.

$$D_m(x) = \frac{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})}$$

Remark. RHS in Proposition 8.1.4 has removable singularity at $x = 0$, and $D_m(0) = 2m + 1$. Applying l'Hopital's rule to RHS gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})x)}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})} = 2m + 1$$

Theorem (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $f \in L^1(E)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) \sin(nx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) \cos(nx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) e^{-inx} = 0$$

Proof.

- First consider when $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}(x)$. Define $I_j = (\frac{2\pi j}{n}, \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{n})$, so integral of $\sin(nx)$ over each I_j is 0.
- Write

$$(a, b) = L \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^N I_j \cup R$$

so that $\text{length}(L), \text{length}(R) < \frac{2\pi}{n}$.

- Show that

$$\left| \int_E f(x) \sin(nx) \right| < \frac{4\pi}{n}$$

- Deduce the sin result for step functions.
- Use that step functions are dense in L^1 to show sin result for $f \in L^1(E)$ by writing $f = (f - \psi) + \psi$ and finally take \limsup .
- Same argument works for cos.
- Conclude exp result.

□

Theorem. Let $f \in L^1([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic, assume f differentiable at $b \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Then

$$f(b) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) D_m(b - y) dy = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f * D_m)(b) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_m f(b)$$

Proof.

- First assume $b = 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, show that $f(y)/\sin(y/2)$ is integrable on $[\varepsilon, \pi]$ and show

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \frac{f(y)}{\sin(\frac{y}{2})} \sin\left(\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)y\right) dy = 0$$

Conclude the same for $\int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon}$.

- Write $f(y) = f(0) + s(y)$ and split the integral $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}$ as such.
- Use Proposition 8.1.2 and split integral of $s(y)$ to show

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y) D_m(y) dy = f(0) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} s(y) D_m(y) dy$$

- Use differentiability at 0 to show for ε small and $y \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, $|s(y)| \leq C|y|$.
- Show that $|x|/|\sin(x)| \leq 2$ for x small (for $\cos(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$) by considering $g(x) = 2\sin(x) - x$, and then that

$$0 \leq \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} s(y) D_m(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{4C\varepsilon}{\pi}$$

- Conclude the result for $b = 0$.
- To show for $b \in [-\pi, \pi]$, define $G(y) = f(b - y)$ and use commutativity of convolution.

□

8.2. Uniform convergence of Cesàro mean Fourier series via Fejér kernel

Definition. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. **Fejér kernel** is

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} D_m(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-m}^m e^{ikx}$$

Proposition.

- $$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N(x) dx = 1$$
- $$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\sin(Nx/2)}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^2$$
- Fejér kernel is non-negative, so

$$F_N(x) = |F_N(x)| \implies \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_N(x)| dx = 2\pi$$

- For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon < |x| < \pi$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(\sin(x/2))^{-2} \leq C_\varepsilon$, hence

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} |F_N(x)| dx = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin(Nx/2)}{\sin(x/2)} \right|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{N} \int C_\varepsilon \leq \frac{\pi C_\varepsilon}{N} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

and similarly for $-\pi < x < -\varepsilon$.

Definition. The N -th **Cesàro mean** is the average of the first N partial Fourier sums of f :

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

Proposition. Let $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ integrable, then convolution of f with Fejér kernel is the Cesàro mean:

$$(f * F_N)(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

Theorem. Let $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous and 2π -periodic, then

$$\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (f * F_N)(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (S_m f)(x)$$

and the convergence is uniform.

Proof.

- Reason that f is bounded: $|f| \leq B$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$.
- Let $\rho > 0$. Show that $\forall x, y \in [-\pi, \pi]$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $|y| < \varepsilon \implies |f(x - y) - f(x)| < \rho$.
- Show that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f * F_N)(x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \right) |F_N(y)| |f(x - y) - f(x)| dy + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |F_N(y)| |f(x - y) - f(x)| dy \end{aligned}$$

- Show that first terms of RHS tend to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- Show last term on RHS is $< \rho$.
- Conclude the result.

□

Remark.

- By above theorem, any 2π -periodic continuous function on $[-\pi, \pi]$ can be uniformly approximated by trigonometric polynomials, i.e. if $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists trigonometric polynomial p such that $\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], |f(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$.
- This is analogue of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem for 2π -periodic functions. Weierstrass Approximation Theorem states that for continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists polynomial p such that $\forall x \in [a, b], |f(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$.
- Continuous functions are dense in $L^p([a, b])$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in L^p([a, b])$ and $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous such that $\|f - g\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon$. By Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, there exists polynomial \tilde{p} such that

$$\forall x \in [a, b], \quad |g(x) - \tilde{p}(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(b - a)^{1/p}}$$

Hence

$$\int_a^b |g(x) - \tilde{p}(x)|^p < \varepsilon^p \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \|g - \tilde{p}\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon$$

Hence by Minkowski's inequality, $\|f - \tilde{p}\|_{L^p} < 2\varepsilon$. Hence polynomials are dense in $L^p([a, b])$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

- **Note:** for $p = \infty$, any continuous function in $L^\infty([a, b])$ can be approximated by polynomials, but continuous functions are not dense in $L^\infty([a, b])$.
- Similarly, trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L^p([-\pi, \pi])$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$.

8.3. Mean convergence of Fourier series in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$

Notation. Define an inner product on $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f \bar{g}$$

and denote $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is Hilbert space by Riesz-Fischer.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$, let $\varphi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$, then for 2π -periodic integrable function $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$a_k(f) = \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle, \quad S_N f(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle \varphi_k$$

Lemma. Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic, define

$$\mathcal{P}_N = \left\{ \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k \varphi_k : c_k \in \mathbb{C}, n \leq N \right\}$$

Then:

- $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}_N$, $f - S_N f$ is orthogonal to p .
- $\forall N \geq 0$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}_N$,

$$\|f - S_N f\| \leq \|f - p\|$$

with equality iff $p = S_N f$.

Proof.

- Show $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} \varphi_m \overline{\varphi_n} = 0 = \delta_{mn}$ (justify use of Riemann integral).
- Show that $(f - S_N f) \perp \varphi_m$ for each $|m| \leq N$ to show $(f - S_N f) \perp p$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$.
- Write $f - p = f - S_N f + S_N f - \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k \varphi_k$, use Pythagoras.

□

Remark. Above lemma is projection result, i.e. $S_N f$ is best approximation to f in \mathcal{P}_N .

Theorem. Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ be 2π -periodic function. Then Fourier series for f converges to f in $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]), \|\cdot\|)$, i.e.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|S_N f - f\| = 0$$

Proof.

- First show if $g : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous, then $\|S_N g - G\| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
 - Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then for some M , there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}_M$ such that

$$\forall x \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad |g(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$$
 - Use that $g(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (g * F_N)(x)$ and $g * F_{M+1} \in \mathcal{P}_M$.
 - Deduce that $\|g - p\|^2 < \varepsilon^2$.
 - Show if $M \leq N$ then $\|g - S_N g\| \leq \|g - p\| < \varepsilon$, conclude result for continuous functions.
- Let $f \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Using that continuous functions are dense in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$, there is $g : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$.
- Since g continuous, for large enough M , $\|S_M g - g\| < \varepsilon$ by above.
- Use triangle inequality, the fact that $N \geq M \implies S_M g \in \mathcal{P}_N$ and projection theorem to conclude the result.

□

Lemma. $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal basis of $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]))$ with respect to inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]} f \bar{g}$$

Proof.

- Note that $(L^2([-\pi, \pi]), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is Hilbert space.
- Show Parseval's identity holds.
- Write $f = f - S_N f + S_N f$, use projection theorem, Pythagorean theorem and orthonormality of $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to show

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f - S_N f\|^2 + \sum_{k=-N}^N |\langle f, \varphi_k \rangle|^2$$

- Take limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ to conclude result.

□