

# Complex Analysis II Course Notes

Isaac Holt

December 6, 2022

# 1 Mobius Transformations

**Corollary 1.0.1.** Any Mobius transformation is a bijection from  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  to  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Let  $T \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_T$  be a Mobius transformation, then a point  $z$  is a fixed point of  $M_T$  if  $M_T(z) = z$ .

**Lemma 1.0.2.** Let  $T \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $M_T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is not the identity map, then  $M_T$  has at most two fixed points in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If a Mobius transformation has three fixed points then it is the identity map.

*Proof.* Case 1: Suppose  $M_T(\infty) = \infty$ . From the definition,  $M_T(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ , therefore  $c = 0$ . So  $M_T(z) = \frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d}$ , with  $a \neq 0, d \neq 0$  (since  $\det T \neq 0$ ).

Such an affine linear map has at most one fixed point because:

- If  $a \neq d$  then  $\frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d} = z \iff z = \frac{b}{d-a}$  so  $M_T$  has a unique fixed point.
- If  $a = d$  then  $b \neq 0$  (since we assume  $M_T$  is not the identity). So  $M_T(z) = z + \frac{b}{a}$  is a translation which has no fixed points.

Case 2: Suppose  $M_T(\infty) \neq \infty$ . Suppose  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $M_T(z_0) = z_0$ . We have  $M_T(z_0) = z_0 \iff \frac{az_0+b}{cz_0+d} = z_0 \iff cz_0^2 + (d-a)z_0 - b = 0$ . This quadratic equation has at most two roots so there are at most two fixed points of  $M_T$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.0.3.** Given four distinct points  $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ , the cross-ratio of these points denoted  $(z_0, z_1; z_2, z_3)$  is defined by

$$\frac{(z_0 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)}{(z_0 - z_3)(z_1 - z_2)}$$

We extend the definition to the case where one of the points is  $\infty$  by removing all differences involving that point e.g.  $(\infty, z_0; z_2, z_3) = \frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_1 - z_2}$ .

**Theorem 1.0.4.** (Three points theorem) Let  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  and  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  be two sets of three ordered points in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then there exists a unique Mobius transformation  $f$  such that  $f(z_i) = w_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* Existence:

We consider the functions  $F(z) = (z, w_1; w_2, w_3) = \frac{(z-w_2)(w_1-w_3)}{(z-w_3)(w_1-w_2)}$  and  $G(z) = \frac{(z-z_2)(z_1-z_3)}{(z-z_3)(z_1-z_2)}$ . These are Mobius transformations with the properties that  $F(w_1) = 1$ ,  $F(w_2) = 0$ ,  $F(w_3) = \infty$  and similarly,  $G(z_1) = 1$ ,  $G(z_2) = 0$ ,  $G(z_3) = \infty$ . Therefore  $F^{-1} \circ G$  maps each  $z_i$  to  $w_i$ .

Uniqueness:

Assume that there are two such maps, say  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then the Mobius transformation  $H = f_1^{-1} \circ f_2$  satisfies  $H(z_i) = z_i$ .

This shows that  $H$  has three fixed points so, by Three Point Theorem, it must be the identity. Thus  $f_1 = f_2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.0.5.** Mobius transformations preserve the cross ratio. That is, if  $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3$  are four distinct points in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $f$  is a Mobius transformation, then  $(f(z_0), f(z_1); f(z_2), f(z_3)) = (z_0, z_1; z_2, z_3)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w_i = f(z_i)$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Let  $F(z) = (z, w_1; w_2, w_3)$  and  $G(z) = (z, z_1; z_2, z_3)$ . Recall  $F^{-1} \circ G$  maps  $z_i$  to  $w_i$  like  $f$  does. Since there is a unique Möbius transformation with this property, we have

$$f = F^{-1} \circ G$$

and

$$F \circ f = G$$

That is,  $(f(z_0), w_1; w_2, w_3) = F \circ f(z_0) = G(z_0) = (z_0, z_1; z_2, z_3)$ . □

**Remark.** General strategy: to find Möbius transformation, find image of 3 points and use the fact that cross ratio is preserved. Plug known points into (\*) and rearrange for  $f(z_0)$ .

## 1.1 The Riemann Sphere Revisited

Circles in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  correspond to circles in  $S^2$  that don't pass through  $N$  (the North pole). Lines in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  correspond to circle in  $S^2$  that pass through  $N$ .

**Remark.** Möbius transformations give all biholomorphic maps from  $S^2$  to  $S^2$ .

**Remark.** Stereographic projections are conformal.

## 1.2 Möbius transformations preserving the upper half plane and the unit disc

Notation: for a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , let  $Mob(D)$  be the set of Möbius transformations  $f$  such that  $f(D) = D$ .

**Proposition 1.2.1.** (H2H) Every Möbius transformation mapping  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$  ( $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ ) is of the form  $M_T$  with  $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}) := \{T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \det T = 1\}$

Conversely, every such Möbius transformation maps  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence a biholomorphism from  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

i.e. H2H:  $f \in Mob(\mathbb{H}) \Leftrightarrow f = M_T$  with  $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark.**  $T \rightarrow M_T$  gives a group homomorphism  $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{H})$

*Proof.* Any Möbius transformation  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  must map  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  to  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ . As  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  is the real line,  $f : \mathbb{R} \cup \infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$ . So  $f$  must map the ordered set  $\{1, 0, \infty\}$  to  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  for some  $x_i \in \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$ .

We know that the cross ratio is preserved under a Möbius transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} (f(z), x_1; x_2, x_3) &= \frac{(f(z) - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)}{(f(z) - x_3)(x_1 - x_2)} = \frac{z - 0}{1 - 0} = (z, 1; 0, \infty) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (f(z) - x_2)(x_1 - x_3) = z(f(z) - x_3)(x_1 - x_2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) = \frac{x_3(x_1 - x_2)z + x_2(x_3 - x_1)}{(x_1 - x_2)z + x_3 - x_1} \end{aligned}$$

We see that the coefficients of  $T$  are real.

If  $T \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z = x + iy$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(M_T(z)) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{(az + b)(c\bar{z} + d)}{|cz + d|^2}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{bc\bar{z} + adz}{(cz + d)}\right) = \frac{y \det T}{|cz + d|} \end{aligned}$$

We have  $z \in \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow y > 0$  so  $M_T(z) \in H \Leftrightarrow T \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\det T > 0$ . We can therefore replace  $T$  by a real matrix of determinant 1 by scaling  $T$  by a real number.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.2.** (D2D): Every Mobius transformation from the unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  is of the form  $T \in SU(1, 1)$

Conversely, every such Mobius transformation maps  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  and hence gives a biholomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

i.e.  $f \in \operatorname{Mob}(\mathbb{D}) \Leftrightarrow f = M_T$ ,  $T \in SU(1, 1)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $M_T : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a Mobius transformation. The Cayley map  $H_C$  maps  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ . We have that  $f = M_C^{-1} \circ M_T \circ M_C$  is a Mobius transformation from  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ . By proposition 4.20, we have  $f = M_S$  where  $S \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Hence  $C^{-1}TC = S \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  by Lemma 4.4.

Let  $S \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\det S = 1$ . Then  $T = CSC^{-1}$ . Evaluating this shows  $T \in SU(1, 1)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): If  $T \in SU(1, 1)$ , then the same calculation in reverse shows that the matrix  $S = C^{-1}TC \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $M_S : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a Mobius transformation by proposition 4.20 (H2H), and the map  $M_T := M_C \circ M_S \circ M_C^{-1}$  is a Mobius transformation from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$   $\square$

**Remark.**  $T \rightarrow M_T$  gives a group homomorphism from  $SU(1, 1)$  to  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Corollary 1.2.3.** (D2D\*):

1. Every Mobius transformation  $f$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  can be written as

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z - z_0}{\bar{z}_0 z - 1}$$

for some angle  $\theta$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  where  $z_0$  is the unique point in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $f(z_0) = 0$ .

2. Every Mobius transformation of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  for which  $f(0) = 0$  are rotations about 0.

*Proof.* 1. By proposition D2D, we have

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{\bar{b}z + \bar{a}} = \frac{a(z + b/a)}{-\bar{a}((-\bar{b}/\bar{a})z - 1)} = -\frac{a}{\bar{a}} \frac{z - (-b/a)}{(-\bar{b}/\bar{a})z - 1}$$

So  $z_0 = -\frac{b}{a}$ . Since  $|\frac{a}{\bar{a}}| = 1$ ,  $-\frac{a}{\bar{a}} = e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ .

$|z_0|^2 - 1 = |-\frac{b}{a}|^2 - 1 = \frac{|b|^2}{|a|^2} - 1$ . Now  $1 = |a|^2 - |b|^2$  so  $|z_0|^2 - 1 = \frac{-1}{|a|^2} < 0$  so  $|z_0|^2 < 1$  and so  $|z_0| < 1$ .

$$2. f(0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow e^{i\theta} \frac{0-z_0}{z_0 \cdot 0-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow z_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z-0}{0-1} = e^{-i\theta} z.$$

So  $f$  is a rotation.

□

**Remark.** The map  $g(z) = \frac{z-z_0}{z_0 z-1}$  swaps  $z_0$  and 0 and is an involution ( $g \circ g = Id$ ). Also,  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta} z$  is a rotation.

So every Mobius transformation from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  is given by an involution followed by a rotation.

### 1.3 Finding biholomorphic maps between domains

To find a biholomorphism  $f$  between domains, we build  $f$  in various stages using simpler known maps.

**Example 1.3.1.** Find biholomorphism from  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

The Cayley Map  $M_C$  is a map from  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ , so  $M_C^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ ,  $M_C^{-1}(z) = \frac{iz+i}{-z+1}$ .

To find the image of  $D$  under  $M_C^{-1}$ , consider how it acts on two segments of  $\delta D$ :

- Under  $M_C^{-1}$ ,  $-1 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $0 \rightarrow i$  and  $1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore the line segment from  $-1$  to  $1$  through  $0$  is mapped to the positive imaginary axis.
- Under  $M_C^{-1}$ ,  $-i \rightarrow 1$ , so the circular arc from  $-1$  to  $1$  through  $-i$  is mapped to the positive real axis.

Now  $-\frac{i}{2} \in D$  and  $M_C^{-1}(-\frac{i}{2}) = \frac{4+3i}{5}$ . The image of  $D$  under  $M_C^{-1}$  is  $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Arg}(w) < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ .

Now we find a biholomorphic map from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $g(z) = z^2$  satisfies this, as it doubles the argument of  $z$ .

So the map is  $f = g \circ M_C^{-1}$ ,  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

## 2 Notions of convergence in complex analysis and power series

### 2.1 Pointwise and uniform convergence

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be two metric spaces. A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : X \rightarrow Y$  converges pointwise (on  $X$ ) to  $f$  if for every  $x \in X$ , the limit function  $f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists in  $Y$ .

In other words, we have for every  $x \in X$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , for every  $n > N$ ,  $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$ . (Not that  $N$  depends on  $x$ ).

**Remark.** For every  $x \in X$ ,  $f_n(x)$  is just a sequence of points in  $Y$ . The above definition is what we get by applying definition 2.11 (in notes) to the sequence  $f_n(z)$ .

**Example 2.1.2.** Let  $f_n(z) = z^n$ ,  $f_n : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . There are the following cases:

1.  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $|z|^N < \epsilon$  for every  $N > \frac{\log \epsilon}{\log |z|}$ . So for every  $n > N$  we have  $f_n(z) - 0 = |z|^n < |z|^N \epsilon$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = 0 \in \mathbb{D}$ .
2.  $|z| = 1$ . The point  $z$  rotates around the unit circle  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  by  $\text{Arg}(z)$  anticlockwise every iteration. For  $z \neq 1$ , this sequence doesn't converge. But for  $z = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$ .
3.  $|z| > 1$ . The value of  $|z|^n$  is unbounded so doesn't converge.

The sequence  $f_n$  doesn't converge pointwise on  $\mathbb{C}$ . But it is pointwise convergent on  $\mathbb{D} \cup 1$  with limit function:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D} \\ 1 & \text{if } z = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

**Definition 2.1.3.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be two metric spaces. A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : X \rightarrow Y$  converges uniformly (on  $X$ ) to the limit function  $f$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , for every  $n > N$ ,  $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.1.4.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be two metric spaces and let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : X \rightarrow Y$  be a sequence of functions that converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $X$ .

Then  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Same as in Analysis I. □

**Lemma 2.1.5.** let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence of functions converging pointwise to a limit function  $f$ .

1. If  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq s_n$  for every  $x \in X$  where  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is some sequence in  $\mathbb{R} > 0$  (independent of  $x$ ) with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$  then  $f_n$  converge uniformly to  $f$  on  $X$ .
2. If for some sequence  $x_n \in X$ ,  $|f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| \geq c$  for some positive constant  $c$  then  $f_n$  does not converge uniformly to  $f$  on  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.1.6.** (Weierstrass M-test): Let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence of functions such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$ .

Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges uniformly on  $X$  to some limit function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Similar to Analysis I. □

**Theorem 2.1.7.** Let a sequence of functions  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  converge uniformly on an interval  $[a, b]$  to some function  $f$ , such that  $\{f_n\}$  are all continuous. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^c f_n(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx \text{ for every } c \in [a, b]$$

**Definition 2.1.8.** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions in a metric space  $X$ .  $f_n$  converges locally uniformly (on  $X$ ) to the limit function  $f$  if for every  $x \in X$ , for some open set  $U \subset X$  containing  $x$ ,  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $U$ .

**Theorem 2.1.9.** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of continuous functions which converges locally uniformly on  $X$  to a limit function  $f$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

*Proof.* For every  $x \in X$ ,  $f_n$  converges uniformly on some open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous on  $U$  by theorem 5.5 (in notes). So  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  for every  $x \in X$ . □

**Remark.** The limit of a locally uniform convergent sequence of holomorphic functions is again holomorphic.

**Example 2.1.10.** For every  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ , for some  $r < 1$ ,  $w \in B_r(0)$  and  $B_r(0)$  is open. Then for every  $z \in B_r(0)$ ,  $|z|^n < r^n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n = 0$ . So by lemma 5.6 (in notes), with  $s_n = r^n$ ,  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  in  $B_r(0)$ .

**Remark.** To prove that the limit function is continuous on all of  $\mathbb{D}$ , it is enough to prove locally uniform convergence on every ball  $B_r(0)$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.11.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence of continuous functions such that for any  $y \in X$ , there is an open  $U \subset X$  containing  $y$  and constants  $M_n > 0$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$  and  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for every  $x \in U$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges locally uniformly to a continuous function on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Given  $y \in X$ , the hypotheses of the theorem imply that for some constants  $M_n > 0$ ,  $|f_n(y)| \leq M_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$ .

$$|F_k(y)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^k f_n(y) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^k M_n$$

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the RHS  $\sum_{n=1}^k M_n$  converges so it must be bounded, and let the upper bound by  $L$ . Thus for every  $k$ ,  $|F_k(y)| \leq L$ . So the sequence  $(F_k(y))_k$  is bounded, hence it lies in some bounded, closed ball in  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is compact by Heine-Borel.

Therefore there is a subsequence  $(F_{k_j}(y))_{k_j}$  that converges to  $F(y)$ .

Now, for  $k_j > k$ ,

$$|F_{k_j}(y) - F_k(y)| = \left| \sum_{n=k+1}^{k_j} f_n(y) \right| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{k_j} |f_n(y)| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{k_j} M_n$$

Taking the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , both the LHS and RHS converge, and we get

$$|F(y) - F_k(y)| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} M_n$$

Now taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |F(y) - F_k(y)| = 0$$

since the RHS tends to zero.

Repeating this for every  $y$ ,  $F_k \rightarrow F$  pointwise on  $X$ .

From the hypotheses of the theorem, we have that for every  $y \in X$ , for some open  $U \subset X$  containing  $y$  and constants  $M_n > 0$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$  and  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for every  $x \in U$ .

Then, for every  $x \in U$  and for every  $L > k$ ,

$$|F_L(x) - F_k(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=k+1}^L f_n(x) \right| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^L |f_n(x)| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^L M_n$$

Taking the limit as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$|F(x) - F_k(x)| \leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} M_n$$

for every  $x \in U$ .

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} M_n = 0$ . So by lemma 5.6 (in notes),  $F_k \rightarrow F$  uniformly on  $U$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Complex power series

**Theorem 2.2.1.** A complex power series is an expression of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$ ,  $a_n, c \in \mathbb{C}$ . There are three cases:

1.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  converges only for  $z = c$  ( $R = 0$ ).
2. There exists  $R > 0$  (radius of convergence) such that
  - $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  converges absolutely for  $|z-c| < R$  (We call  $B_R(c)$  the disc of convergence).
  - $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  diverges for  $|z-c| > R$  (anything can happen on the circle  $|z-c| = R$ ).
3.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  converges absolutely for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $R = \infty$ ).

**Remark.** Radius of convergence is usually determined via ratio test or root test.

**Theorem 2.2.2.** A power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  with radius of convergence  $0 < R < \infty$  converges uniformly on every ball  $B_r(c)$  with  $0 < r < R$ . This implies that the power series is locally uniformly convergent on its disc of convergence.

*Proof.* Follows via the M-test.  $\square$

**Remark.** The power series do not converge uniformly in the entire disc of convergence  $B_R(c)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.3.** Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  be a power series with radius of convergence  $0 < R < \infty$ . Then the formal derivatives and antiderivatives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n (z-c)^{n-1}$$



and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} (z-c)^{n+1}$$

have the same radius of convergence  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.2.4.** Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$  be a power series with radius of convergence  $0 < R < \infty$  and let  $f : B_R(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the resulting limit function. Then  $f$  is holomorphic on  $B_R(c)$  with

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n (z-c)^{n-1}$$

for  $z \in B_R(c)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $c = 0$  (the general case for  $c$  is analogous).

$$f(z) - f(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z^n - w^n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z-w) q_n(z)$$

where  $q_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^k z^{n-1-k}$ .

So for  $z \neq w$ , let  $h(z) := \frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q_n(z)$

Given  $z_0 \in B_R(0)$ , let  $r < R$  such that  $w, z_0 \in B_r(0)$ . To apply the local M-test, we need constants  $M_n$  for this set  $B_r(0)$  that bound the terms  $a_n q_n(z)$  defining  $h$ .

For  $z \in B_r(0)$ ,

$$|a_n q_n(z)| = |a_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^k z^{n-1-k}| \leq |a_n| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |w|^k |z|^{n-1-k} < |a_n| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r^{n-1} = n |a_n| r^{n-1}$$

So let  $M_n = n |a_n| r^{n-1}$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n| r^{n-1}$  which converges by proposition 5.19 (in lecture notes).

The formal derivative  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n r^{n-1}$  has radius of convergence  $R$  so converges absolutely on its disc of convergence  $B_R(0)$ . In particular, it converges at  $z = R$ . By the local M-test, the series defining  $h$  converges locally uniformly to a continuous function on  $B_R(0)$ . Hence

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow w} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} = \lim_{h \rightarrow w} h(z) = h(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q_n(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n w^{n-1}$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.5.** A power series  $f$  as theorem 5.21 (in lecture notes) with positive radius of convergence  $R$  can be differentiated infinitely many times and

$$f^{(k)} := \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} k! \binom{n}{k} a_n (z-c)^{n-k}$$

for  $z \in B_R(c)$

**Corollary 2.2.6.** A power series  $f$  as in theorem 5.21 (in lecture notes) with positive radius of convergence  $R$  has a holomorphic antiderivative  $F : B_R(c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F'(z) = f(z)$ , defined by

$$F(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} (z-c)^{n+1}$$

### 3 Complex integration over contours

#### 3.1 Definition of contour integrals

**Definition 3.1.1.** For a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ ,

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt := \int_a^b u(t)dt + i \int_a^b v(t)dt \in \mathbb{C}$$

**Lemma 3.1.2.**

1. Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be continuous functions from  $[a, b]$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\int_a^b (f_1(t) + f_2(t))dt = \int_a^b f_1(t)dt + \int_a^b f_2(t)dt$ .
2. For any complex number  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\int_a^b cf(t)dt = c \int_a^b f(t)dt$$

**Definition 3.1.3.** A smooth curve in  $\mathbb{C}$  is a continuously differentiable function  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (i.e. differentiable with continuous derivative). More generally we can consider continuously differentiable curves  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We say that such curves are  $C^1$ .

**Remark.** We write  $\gamma(t) = u(t) + iv(t)$  with  $u, v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the derivative  $\gamma'$  is defined as

$$\gamma'(t) := u'(t) + iv'(t)$$

At the endpoints, we demand that the one-sided derivative exists and is continuous from the one side:

$$\gamma'(b) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{u(b+h) - u(b)}{h} + i \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{v(b+h) - v(b)}{h}$$

exists and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} \gamma'(t) = \gamma'(b)$$

**Definition 3.1.4.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set, and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function. Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$  be a  $C^1$  curve. The integral of  $f$  along the curve  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$$

**Corollary 3.1.5.** Properties of the integral along a curve:

1.  $\int_{\gamma} (f_1(z) + f_2(z))dz = \int_{\gamma} f_1(z)dz + \int_{\gamma} f_2(z)dz$
2. For  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\int_{\gamma} cf(z)dz = c \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$

*Proof.* Easy □

**Definition 3.1.6.** Given  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the curve  $(-\gamma) : [-b, -a] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$(-\gamma)(t) := \gamma(-t)$$

Then we have

$$\int_{-\gamma} f(z)dz = - \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$$

**Lemma 3.1.7.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $C^1$  curve. If  $\phi : [a', b'] \rightarrow [a, b]$  with  $\phi(a') = a$  and  $\phi(b') = b$  is continuously differentiable and we define  $\delta : [a', b'] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta := \gamma \circ \phi$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_{\delta} f(z)dz$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta} f(z)dz &= \int_{a'}^{b'} f(\delta(t))\delta'(t)dt = \int_{a'}^{b'} f(\gamma(\phi(t)))(\gamma(\phi(t)))'dt \\ &= \int_{a'}^{b'} f(\gamma(\phi(t)))\gamma'(\phi(t))\phi'(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

With a change of variables  $s = \phi(t)$ ,  $ds = \phi'(t)dt$ :

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} f(\gamma(\phi(t)))\gamma'(\phi(t))\phi'(t)dt = \int_a^b f(\gamma(s))\gamma'(s)ds = \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$$

□

**Definition 3.1.8.** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a curve and suppose there exist  $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = b$  such that the curves  $\gamma_i : [a_{i-1}, a_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by  $\gamma_i(t) = \gamma(t)$  for  $t \in [a_{i-1}, a_i]$  are  $C^1$  curves. Then  $\gamma$  is a piecewise  $C^1$  curve or contour.

For a contour  $\gamma$  above, a contour integral is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \sum_{n=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} f(z)dz$$

**Definition 3.1.9.** If  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are two contours with  $\gamma(b) = \delta(c)$  the contour  $\gamma \cup \delta : [a, b + d - c] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$(\gamma \cup \delta)(t) := \begin{cases} \gamma(t) & \text{if } a \leq t \leq b \\ \delta(t) & \text{if } c \leq t \leq d \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{\gamma \cup \delta} f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz + \int_{\delta} f(z)dz$$

### 3.2 The fundamental theorem of calculus

**Theorem 3.2.1.** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and let  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic with continuous derivative  $f$ . Then for every contour  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a))$$

In particular, if  $\gamma$  is closed, so  $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

*Proof.* First consider the case where  $\gamma$  is a  $C^1$  curve. Let  $F = u + iv$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz &= \int_{\gamma} F'(z) dz = \int_a^b F'(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_a^b (F(\gamma(t)))' dt \\ &= \int_a^b (u(\gamma(t)))' dt + i \int_a^b (v(\gamma(t)))' dt = [u(\gamma(t))]_a^b + i[v(\gamma(t))]_a^b \\ &= u(\gamma(b)) - u(\gamma(a)) + i(v(\gamma(b)) - v(\gamma(a))) = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Now extend this proof to any contour.

Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a contour, then for some  $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = b$ , the curves  $\gamma_i : [a_{i-1}, a_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , defined by  $\gamma_i(t) = \gamma(t)$  for  $t \in [a_{i-1}, a_i]$  are  $C^1$  curves. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz &= \int_{\gamma} F'(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} F'(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (F(\gamma(a_i)) - F(\gamma(a_{i-1}))) = F(\gamma(a_n)) - F(\gamma(a_0)) = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a)) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark.** Under the hypotheses on  $F$ , the integral only depends on the endpoints of the curve.

**Theorem 3.2.2.** If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \int_a^b \max_{t \in [a, b]} f(t) dt \leq (b - a) \max_{t \in [a, b]} f(t)$$

*Proof.* From Analysis I. □

**Definition 3.2.3.** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a contour. The **length** of  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$L(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

**Lemma 3.2.4. (The Estimation Lemma)** Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$  be a contour. Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq L(\gamma) \sup_{\gamma} |f|$$

where  $\sup_{\gamma} |f| := \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \gamma\}$ .

*Proof.* First prove that for a continuous function  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\left| \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |g(t)| dt$$

If we write  $\int_a^b g(t) dt = re^{i\theta}$  with  $r \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| &= |re^{i\theta}| = r = \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{-i\theta} \int_a^b g(t) dt \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_a^b g(t) e^{-i\theta} dt \right) = \int_a^b \operatorname{Re}(g(t) e^{-i\theta}) dt \leq \int_a^b |e^{-i\theta} g(t)| dt = \int_a^b |g(t)| dt \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g(t) = f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$ , then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| = \left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)| dt$$

Then

$$\int_a^b |f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)| dt \leq \sup_{\gamma} |f| \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt = L(\gamma) \sup_{\gamma} |f|$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.5. (Converse to FTC)** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous on a domain  $D$ . If  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for every closed contour  $\gamma \in D$ , for some  $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $F'(z) = f(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_0 \in D$ . For every  $a_0 \neq w \in D$ , let  $\gamma(w)$  be a contour connecting  $a_0$  to  $w$  and is contained in  $D$ .

Since  $D$  is a domain, it is path-connected, i.e. there is a smooth path  $\gamma_w$  connecting  $a_0$  to  $w$ , therefore the collection of contours contained in  $D$  and connecting  $a_0$  and  $w$  is non-empty. Let

$$F(w) := \int_{\gamma(w)} f(z) dz$$

Let  $\tilde{\gamma}(w)$  be another contour that connects  $a_0$  to  $w$  and is contained in  $D$ . Then let  $c(w) = \gamma(w) \cup (-\tilde{\gamma}(w))$  that is obtained by moving through  $\gamma$  then through  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in the opposite direction. Since  $c$  is a closed contour in  $D$ ,  $\int_C f(z) dz = 0$ .

Then  $0 = \int_C f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma(w) \cup (-\tilde{\gamma}(w))} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma(w)} f(z) dz + \int_{-\tilde{\gamma}(w)} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma(w)} f(z) dz - \int_{\tilde{\gamma}(w)} f(z) dz$ . Hence

$$\int_{\gamma(w)} f(z) dz = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}(w)} f(z) dz$$

Therefore  $F$  does not depend on the contour chosen to join  $a_0$  to  $w$ .

Now we claim  $F$  is holomorphic and we claim that  $F$  is holomorphic and  $\forall z \in D, F'(z) = f(z) \Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(w+h) - F(w)}{h} = f(w)$ .

To evaluate  $F(w+h)$  we need a contour joining  $a_0$  to  $w+h$  contained in  $D$ . For every  $w \in D$ , let  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(w) \subset D$ . This ball must exist since  $D$  is open. Then for every  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|h| < r$  consider the straight line  $\delta_h$  that connects  $w$  to  $w+h$ .

A parameterisation of this line is given by

$$\delta_h : [0, 1] \rightarrow D, \delta_h(t) = w + th$$

The contour  $\gamma_w \cup \delta_h$  is contained in  $D$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} F(w+h) &= \int_{\gamma_w \cup \delta_h} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_w} f(z) dz + \int_{\delta_h} f(z) dz = F(w) + \int_{\delta_h} f(z) dz \\ \int_{\delta_h} f(w) dz &= f(w) \int_{\delta_h} dz = f(w) \int_0^1 h dt = hf(w) \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the previous equation as

$$F(w+h) = F(w) + hf(w) + \int_{\delta_h} (f(z) - f(w)) dz$$

For  $h \neq 0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{F(w+h) - F(w)}{h} - f(w) \right| = \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{\delta_h} (f(z) - f(w)) dz \right|$$

□