

# Contents

1. Combinatorial methods .....	2
2. Fourier-analytic techniques .....	5
3. Probabilistic tools .....	5
4. Further topics .....	5

# 1. Combinatorial methods

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $A, B \subseteq G$ . The **sumset** of  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

The **difference set** of  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$A - B := \{a - b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

**Proposition.**  $\max\{|A|, |B|\} \leq |A + B| \leq |A| \cdot |B|$ .

*Proof.* Trivial. □

**Example.** Let  $A = [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $A + A = \{2, \dots, 2n\}$  so  $|A + A| = 2|A| - 1$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  be finite. Then  $|A + A| \geq 2|A| - 1$  with equality iff  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.

*Proof (Hints).* Consider two sequences in  $A + A$  which are strictly increasing and of the same length. □

*Proof.*

- Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  with  $a_i < a_{i+1}$ . Then  $a_1 + a_1 < a_1 + a_2 < \dots < a_1 + a_n < a_2 + a_n < \dots < a_n + a_n$ .
- Note this is not the only choice of increasing sequence that works, in particular, so does  $a_1 + a_1 < a_1 + a_2 < a_2 + a_2 < a_2 + a_3 < a_2 + a_4 < \dots < a_2 + a_n < a_3 + a_n < \dots < a_n + a_n$ .
- So when equality holds, all these sequences must be the same. In particular,  $a_2 + a_i = a_1 + a_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

□

**Lemma.** If  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $|A + B| \geq |A| + |B| - 1$  with equality iff  $A$  and  $B$  are arithmetic progressions with the same common difference.

*Proof (Hints).* Similar to above, consider 4 sequences in  $A + B$  which are strictly increasing and of the same length. □

**Example.** Let  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p$  for  $p$  prime. If  $|A| + |B| \geq p + 1$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

*Proof (Hints).* Consider  $A \cap (g - B)$  for  $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ . □

*Proof.*

- $g \in A + B$  iff  $A \cap (g - B) \neq \emptyset$  where  $(g - B = \{g\} - B)$ .
- Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ , then use inclusion-exclusion on  $|A \cap (g - B)|$  to conclude result.

□

**Theorem** (Cauchy-Davenport). Let  $p$  be prime,  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p$  be non-empty. Then

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\}.$$

*Proof (Hints).*

- Assume  $|A| + |B| < p + 1$ , and WLOG that  $1 \leq |A| \leq |B|$  and  $0 \in A$  (by translation).
- Induct on  $|A|$ .
- Let  $a \in A$ , find  $B'$  such that  $0 \in B'$ ,  $a \notin B'$  and  $|B'| = |B|$  (use fact that  $p$  is prime).
- Apply induction with  $A \cap B'$  and  $A \cup B'$ , while reasoning that  $(A \cap B') + (A \cup B') \subseteq A + B'$ .

□

*Proof.*

- Assume  $|A| + |B| < p + 1$ , and WLOG that  $1 \leq |A| \leq |B|$  and  $0 \in A$  (by translation).
- Use induction on  $|A|$ .  $|A| = 1$  is trivial.
- Let  $|A| \geq 2$  and let  $0 \neq a \in A$ . Then since  $p$  is prime,  $\{a, 2a, \dots, pa\} = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .
- There exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $ma \in B$  but  $(m+1)a \notin B$  (why?). Let  $B' = B - ma$ , so  $0 \in B'$ ,  $a \notin B'$  and  $|B'| = |B|$ .
- $1 \leq |A \cap B'| < |A|$  (why?) so the inductive hypothesis applies to  $A \cap B'$  and  $A \cup B'$ .
- Since  $(A \cap B') + (A \cup B') \subseteq A + B'$  (why?), we have  $|A + B| = |A + B'| \geq |(A \cap B') + (A \cup B')| \geq |A \cap B'| + |A \cup B'| - 1 = |A| + |B| - 1$ .

□

**Example.** Cauchy-Davenport does not hold general abelian groups (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  for  $n$  composite): for example, let  $A = B = \{0, 2, 4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/6$ , then  $A + B = \{0, 2, 4\}$  so  $|A + B| = 3 < \min\{6, |A| + |B| - 1\}$ .

**Example.** Fix a small prime  $p$  and let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a subspace. Then  $V + V = V$ , so  $|V + V| = |V|$ . In fact, if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  satisfies  $|A + A| = |A|$ , then  $A$  is an affine subspace (a coset of a subspace).

*Proof.* If  $0 \in A$ , then  $A \subseteq A + A$ , so  $A = A + A$ . General result follows by considering translation of  $A$ .

□

**Example.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  satisfy  $|A + A| \leq \frac{3}{2} |A|$ . Then there exists a subspace  $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $|V| \leq \frac{3}{2} |A|$  and  $A$  is contained in a coset of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Exercise (sheet 1).

□

**Definition.** Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  be finite subsets of an abelian group  $G$ . The **Ruzsa distance** between  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$d(A, B) := \log \frac{|A - B|}{\sqrt{|A| \cdot |B|}}.$$

**Lemma** (Ruzsa Triangle Inequality). Let  $A, B, C \subseteq G$  be finite. Then

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C).$$

*Proof.*

- Note that  $|B| |A - C| \leq |A - B| |B - C|$ . Indeed, writing each  $d \in A - C$  as  $d = a_d - c_d$  with  $a_d \in A$ ,  $c_d \in C$ , the map  $\varphi : B \times (A - C) \rightarrow (A - B) \times (B - C)$ ,  $\varphi(b, d) = (a_d - b, b - c_d)$  is injective (why?).
- Triangle inequality now follows from definition of Ruzsa distance.

□

**Definition.** The **doubling constant** of finite  $A \subseteq G$  is  $\sigma(A) := |A + A|/|A|$ .

**Definition.** The **difference constant** of finite  $A \subseteq G$  is  $\delta(A) := |A - A|/|A|$ .

**Remark.** The Ruzsa triangle inequality shows that

$$\log \delta(A) = d(A, A) \leq d(A, -A) + d(-A, A) = 2 \log \sigma(A).$$

So  $\delta(A) \leq \sigma(A)^2$ , i.e.  $|A - A| \leq |A + A|^2/|A|$ .

**Notation.** Let  $A \subseteq G$ ,  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\ell A + mA := \underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{\ell \text{ times}} - \underbrace{A - \dots - A}_{m \text{ times}}$$

This is referred to as the **iterated sum and difference set**.

**Theorem** (Plunnecke's Inequality). Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  be finite and  $|A + B| \leq K|A|$  for some  $K \geq 1$ . Then  $\forall \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$|\ell B - mB| \leq K^{\ell+m}|A|.$$

*Proof.*

- Choose  $\emptyset \neq A' \subseteq A$  which minimises  $|A' + B|/|A'|$ . Let the minimum value be  $K'$ .
- Then  $|A' + B| = K'|A'|$ ,  $K' \leq K$  and  $\forall A'' \subseteq A$ ,  $|A'' + B| \geq K'|A''|$ .
- Claim: for every finite  $C \subseteq G$ ,  $|A' + B + C| \leq K'|A' + C|$ :
  - Use induction on  $|C|$ .  $|C| = 1$  is true by definition of  $K'$ .
  - Let claim be true for  $C$ , consider  $C' = C \cup \{x\}$  for  $x \notin C$ .
  - $A' + B + C' = (A' + B + C) \cup ((A' + B + x) - (D + B + x))$ , where  $D = \{a \in A' : a + B + x \subseteq A' + B + C\}$ .
  - By definition of  $K'$ ,  $|D + B| \geq K'|D|$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |A' + B + C| &\leq |A' + B + C| + |A' + B + x| - |D + B + x| \\ &\leq K'|A' + C| + K'|A'| - K'|D| \\ &= K'(|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|). \end{aligned}$$

- Applying this argument a second time, write  $A' + C' = (A' + C) \cup ((A' + x) - (E + x))$ , where  $E = \{a \in A' : a + x \in A' + C\} \subseteq D$ .
- Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |A' + C'| &= |A' + C| + |A' + x| - |E + x| \\ &\geq |A' + C| + |A'| - |D|. \end{aligned}$$

- We first show that  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $|A' + mB| \leq (K')^m |A'|$  by induction:
  - $m = 0$  is trivial,  $m = 1$  is true by assumption.

- Suppose  $m - 1 \geq 1$  is true. By the claim with  $C = (m - 1)B$ , we have

$$|A' + mB| = |A' + B + (m - 1)B| \leq K'|A' + (m - 1)B| \leq (K')^m |A'|.$$

- As in the proof of Ruzsa's triangle inequality,  $\forall \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$|A'| |\ell B - mB| \leq |A' + \ell B| |A' + mB| \leq (K')^\ell |A'| (K')^m |A'| = (K')^{\ell+m} |A'|^2.$$

□

**Theorem** (Freiman-Ruzsa). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  and  $|A + A| \leq K|A|$ . Then  $A$  is contained in a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  with  $|H| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$ .

## 2. Fourier-analytic techniques

## 3. Probabilistic tools

## 4. Further topics