

# Contents

1. Set systems .....	2
2. Isoperimetric inequalities .....	4
3. Intersecting families .....	4

# 1. Set systems

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a set. A **set system** on  $X$  (also called a **family of subsets of  $X$** ) is a collection  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$ .

**Notation.**  $X^{(r)} := \{A \subseteq X : |A| = r\}$  denotes the family of subsets of  $X$  of size  $r$ .

**Remark.** Usually, we take  $X = [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so  $|X^{(r)}| = \binom{n}{r}$ .

**Notation.** For brevity, we write e.g.  $[4]^{(2)} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\}$ .

**Definition.** We can visualise  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  as a graph by joining nodes  $A \in \mathbb{P}(X)$  and  $B \in \mathbb{P}(X)$  if  $|A \Delta B| = 1$ , i.e. if  $A = B \cup \{i\}$  for some  $i \notin B$ , or vice versa.

This graph is the **discrete cube**  $Q_n$ .

Alternatively, we can view  $Q_n$  as an  $n$ -dimensional unit cube  $\{0, 1\}^n$  by identifying e.g.  $\{1, 3\} \subseteq [5]$  with 10100 (i.e. identify  $A$  with  $\mathbb{1}_A$ , the characteristic/indicator function of  $A$ ).

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$  is a **chain** if  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ .

**Example.**

- $\mathcal{A} = \{23, 1235, 123567\}$  is a chain.
- $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, 1, 12, \dots, [n]\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}([n])$  is a chain.

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$  is an **antichain** if  $\forall A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A \not\subseteq B$ .

**Example.**

- $\mathcal{A} = \{23, 137\}$  is an antichain.
- $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}([n])$  is an antichain.
- More generally,  $\mathcal{A} = X^{(r)}$  is an antichain for any  $r$ .

**Proposition.** A chain  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}([n])$  can have at most  $n + 1$  elements.

*Proof.* For each  $0 \leq r \leq n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  can contain at most 1  $r$ -set (set of size  $r$ ). □

**Theorem** (Sperner's Lemma). Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$  be an antichain. Then  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ , i.e. the maximum size of an antichain is achieved by the set of  $X^{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}$ .

*Proof.*

- We use the idea: from “a chain meets each layer in  $\leq 1$  points, because a layer is an antichain”, we try to decompose the cube into chains.
- We decompose  $\mathbb{P}(X)$  into  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  chains, then we are done (since a chain cannot contain a subset of a chain of size  $> 1$ ).
- To achieve this, it is sufficient to find:
  - For each  $r < \frac{n}{2}$ , a matching from  $X^{(r)}$  to  $X^{(r+1)}$  (a matching is a set of disjoint edges, one for each point in  $X^{(r)}$ ).
  - For each  $r > \frac{n}{2}$ , a matching from  $X^{(r)}$  to  $X^{(r-1)}$ .
- Then put these matchings together to form a set of chains, each passing through  $X^{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}$ .
- By taking complements, it is enough to construct the matchings just for  $r < \frac{n}{2}$ .
- Let  $G$  be the (bipartite) subgraph of  $Q_n$  spanned by  $X^{(r)} \cup X^{(r+1)}$ .

- For any  $S \subseteq X^{(r)}$ , the number of  $S$ - $\Gamma(S)$  edges in  $G$  is  $|S|(n-r)$  (counting from below) since there are  $n-r$  ways to add an element.
- This number is  $\leq |\Gamma(S)|(r+1)$  (counting from above), since  $r+1$  ways to remove an element.
- Hence  $|\Gamma(S)| \leq \frac{|S|(n-r)}{r+1} \geq |S|$  as  $r < \frac{n}{2}$ .
- So by Hall's theorem, there is a matching from  $S$  to  $\Gamma(S)$ .

□

**Remark.** The proof above doesn't tell us when we have equality in Sperner's Lemma.

**Definition.** For  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X^{(r)}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ), the **shadow** of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\partial\mathcal{A} = \partial^-\mathcal{A} := \{B \in X^{(r-1)} : B \subseteq A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{123, 124, 134, 137\} \in [7]^{(3)}$ . Then  $\partial\mathcal{A} = \{12, 13, 23, 14, 24, 34, 17, 37\}$ .

**Proposition** (Local LYM). Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X^{(r)}$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . Then

$$\frac{|\partial\mathcal{A}|}{\binom{r}{r-1}} \geq \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{\binom{n}{r}}.$$

i.e. the proportion of the level occupied by  $\partial\mathcal{A}$  is at least the proportion of the level occupied by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.*

- The number of  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\partial\mathcal{A}$  edges in  $Q_n$  is  $|A|r$  (counting from above) and is  $\leq |\partial\mathcal{A}|(n-r+1)$ .
- So  $\frac{|\partial\mathcal{A}|}{|\mathcal{A}|} \geq \frac{r}{n-r+1} = \binom{n}{r-1}/\binom{n}{r}$ .

□

**Remark.** For equality in Local LYM, we must have that  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\forall i \in A$ ,  $\forall j \in A$ , we must have  $A - \{i\} \cup \{j\} \in \mathcal{A}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$  or  $X^{(r)}$ .

**Notation.** Write  $\mathcal{A}_r$  for  $\mathcal{A} \cap X^{(r)}$ .

**Theorem** (LYM Inequality). Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$  be an antichain. Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap X^{(r)}|}{\binom{n}{r}} \leq 1.$$

*Proof.*

- Method 1: “bubble down with local LYM”.
  - We trivially have that  $\mathcal{A}_n/\binom{n}{n} \leq 1$ .
  - $\partial\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$  are disjoint, as  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain.
  - So

$$\frac{|\partial \mathcal{A}_n|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-1}|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} = \frac{|\partial \mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} \leq 1.$$

- So by local LYM,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_n|}{\binom{n}{n}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-1}|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} \leq 1.$$

- Now,  $\partial(\partial \mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{n-2}$  are disjoint, as  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain.
- So

$$\frac{|\partial(\partial \mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1})|}{\binom{n}{n-2}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-2}|}{\binom{n}{n-2}} \leq 1.$$

- So by local LYM,

$$\frac{|\partial \mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-2}|}{\binom{n}{n-2}} \leq 1.$$

- So

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A}_n|}{\binom{n}{n}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-1}|}{\binom{n}{n-1}} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{n-2}|}{\binom{n}{n-2}} \leq 1.$$

- Continuing inductively, we obtain the result.

□

**Remark.** To have equality in LYM, we must have equality in each use of LYM in proof method 1. In this case, the maximum  $r$  with  $\mathcal{A}_r \neq \emptyset$  has  $\mathcal{A}_r = X^{(r)}$ . So equality holds iff  $\mathcal{A} = X^{(r)}$  for some  $r$ . Hence equality in Sperner's Lemma holds iff  $\mathcal{A} = X^{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}$  or  $\mathcal{A} = X^{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$ .

## 2. Isoperimetric inequalities

## 3. Intersecting families