

Contents

1. Background	2
1.1. Notes on second quantisation	2
2. The transverse-field Ising model	3
3. Quantum Ising model	4
3.1. Brillouin-Wigner perturbation theory	4

Themes:

- quantum matter
 - topological order (TO)
- quantum computing
 - quantum error correction (QEC)
 - topological quantum computing

Methods:

- mostly operator algebra (Pauli operators, Fermion operators)
- **some** field theory (second quantisation, path integrals)
- just a **little** band theory

1. Background

1.1. Notes on second quantisation

We can define an action of S_n on an n qudit state (a representation of the n -qudit Hilbert space by S_n) linearly by

$$\sigma|i_1 \dots i_n\rangle = |i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(n)}\rangle.$$

Definition 1.1 A **boson** is a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ that is invariant under the action of S_n (symmetric under permutations), i.e.

$$\forall \sigma \in S_n, \quad \sigma|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle.$$

Definition 1.2 A **fermion** is a quantum state $|\varphi\rangle$ that is anti-symmetric under permutations, i.e. invariant under even permutations and is negated under odd permutations:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \sigma \in A_n, \quad \sigma|\varphi\rangle &= |\varphi\rangle \\ \forall \tau \in S_n \setminus A_n, \quad \tau|\varphi\rangle &= -|\varphi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3 The symmetrisation of a state $|\chi\rangle$ is

$$S_{\pm}|\chi\rangle = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\pm 1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \sigma|\chi\rangle$$

where $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ denotes the sign of the permutation σ . S_+ results in a boson, S_- results in a fermion.

Notation 1.4 Second quantisation is a compact way of expressing bosons and fermions:

$$|n_1, \dots, n_d\rangle_{\pm} = S_{\pm}|i_1 \dots i_n\rangle$$

where n_j denotes the number of single qudit states that are in state $|j\rangle$, in any basis state of $|n_1, \dots, n_d\rangle_{\pm}$. The number of qudits is $n = \sum_{j=1}^d n_j$.

The states $|n_1, \dots, n_d\rangle_{\pm}$ are called **occupation (number) states**.

Proposition 1.5 Occupation states satisfy:

1. $\langle n_1, \dots, n_d | m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle = \delta_{n_1 m_1} \cdots \delta_{n_d m_d}$.
2. $\sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_d = n} |n_1, \dots, n_d\rangle \langle n_1, \dots, n_d| = I$.

Definition 1.6 For a fixed number of qudits n , the space of all occupied number states is called **Fock space**.

Define the creation and annihilation operators

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}_j^\dagger | \dots, n_j, \dots \rangle_\pm &= \sqrt{n_j + 1} | \dots, n_j + 1, \dots \rangle_\pm \\ \hat{a}_j | \dots, n_j + 1, \dots \rangle_\pm &= \sqrt{n_j + 1} | \dots, n_j, \dots \rangle_\pm\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j] &= [\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for bosons} \\ \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j\} &= \{\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{a}_j^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for fermions}\end{aligned}$$

A corollary of $\{\hat{a}_j^\dagger, \hat{a}_j^\dagger\} = 2\hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger = 0$ is the Pauli principle that no single particle state can be occupied by more than one fermion.

Definition 1.7 The **occupation number operator** is $\hat{n}_j = \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j$. Note that $\hat{n}_j | \dots, n_j, \dots \rangle = n_j | \dots, n_j, \dots \rangle$.

Example 1.8 The total particle number operator is

$$\hat{n} = \sum_j \hat{n}_j$$

For a single-qudit operator $\hat{T} = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} |i\rangle \langle j|$, we have

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j$$

(since $|i\rangle \langle j| |k\rangle = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j |k\rangle$)

Noting that $|\varphi\rangle = \sum_i \langle i | \varphi \rangle |i\rangle$, we define

$$\hat{a}_\varphi^\dagger = \sum_i \langle i | \varphi \rangle \hat{a}_i^\dagger$$

(note this is analogous to a basis transformation)

2. The transverse-field Ising model

Notation 2.1 When working with N qubits (an N -site system), write X_j, Y_j, Z_j for the Pauli X, Y, Z on site j , e.g.

$$X_j = \mathbb{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes X \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{I},$$

where X is in the j -th position.

3. Quantum Ising model

Definition 3.1 The **classical Ising model** describes the energy of a system $\{z_j : j \in [N]\}$ as

$$E(\{z_j : j \in [N]\}) = -J \sum$$

TODO: familiarise with classical Ising model

Quantum ising model: $H = -J \sum_{i,j:nn} Z_i Z_j - h \sum_j Z_j$, $J > 0$. nn denotes nearest neighbours. We have $H|\{z_j\}\rangle = E(\{z_j\})|\{z_j\}\rangle$, $Z_i|\{z_j\}\rangle = z_i|\{z_j\}\rangle$ where $z_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Transverse field Ising model: $H = -J \sum_{i,j:nn} Z_i Z_j - h \sum_j X_j$, $J > 0$ (feromagn), $h > 0$. It has a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry: $P = \prod_j X_j$, $HP = PH$, $P^2 = I$.

$P|\{z_j\}\rangle = |\{-z_j\}\rangle$ (spin flip).

If $J = 0$: ground state is $|\text{GS}\rangle = \otimes_{j=1}^N |+\rangle_j =: |\underline{X}\rangle$. Denote $|0\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$, $|1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$.

If $h = 0$: ground states are $|\uparrow\rangle = \otimes_{j=1}^N |0\rangle_j$, $|\downarrow\rangle = \otimes_{j=1}^N |1\rangle_j$, or any linear combination of these.

We have $P|\underline{X}\rangle = |\underline{X}\rangle$, and $\langle \underline{X} | Z_j | \underline{X} \rangle = 0$, since $Z_j |+\rangle_j = |-\rangle_j$. So order param (z_j) is 0, can think of as paramagnet.

Also, $P|\uparrow\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$, and $\langle \uparrow | Z_j | \uparrow \rangle \neq 0$, so order param (z_j) is not 0, so can think of as ferromagnet.

Since $[H, P] = 0$, so there exists a basis $|\psi_{E,P}\rangle$ such that $H|\psi_{E,P}\rangle = E_P|\psi_{E,P}\rangle$, and $P|\psi_{E,P}\rangle = p|\psi_{E,P}\rangle$, where $p \in \{-1, 1\}$.

The ground states are $|\text{GS}_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$. We have $P|\text{GS}_{\pm}\rangle = \pm|\text{GS}_{\pm}\rangle$, and $\langle \text{GS}_{\pm} | Z_j | \text{GS}_{\pm} \rangle = 0$.

Now consider $H = H_0 + \delta H$, where $H_0 = -J \sum_{i,j:nn} Z_i Z_j$, and $\delta H = -h \sum_j X_j$, where $|h| \ll J$. δH is the perturbation, with coupling h .

3.1. Brillouin-Wigner perturbation theory

Write the eigenstates of H_0 as $H_0|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$, and $H|\tilde{n}\rangle = E_{\tilde{n}}|\tilde{n}\rangle$. Write $P = \sum_{n \in S} |n\rangle\langle n|$ and $Q = P^\perp = I - P = \sum_{n \in S^\perp} |n\rangle\langle n|$. Denote perturbed ground state energies by $E_{\tilde{m}}$. Let $|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$ denote unnormalised perturbed ground-space eigenstates, i.e. $H|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = E_{\tilde{m}}|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{\tilde{m}}\rangle := P|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$ is normalised.

We have $(H_0 + \delta H)|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = E_{\tilde{m}}|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$, so $(E_{\tilde{m}} - H_0)|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = \delta H|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$. So

$$(E_{\tilde{m}} - E_n)\langle n | \tilde{m}^{(n)} \rangle = \langle n | \delta H | \tilde{m}^{(n)} \rangle$$

. If $|n\rangle \in S^\perp$, then $|n\rangle\langle n|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = \frac{|n\rangle\langle n|}{E_{\tilde{m}} - E_n} \delta H |\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$ and so $\sum_{|n\rangle \in S^\perp} |n\rangle\langle n|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = \sum_{|n\rangle \in S^\perp} \frac{|n\rangle\langle n|}{E_{\tilde{m}} - E_n} \delta H |\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$. We rewrite this as $Q|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = G\delta H|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$. So $|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = |\psi_{\tilde{m}}\rangle + G\delta H|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle$, and so we have

$$|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = (I - G\delta H)^{-1}|\psi_{\tilde{m}}\rangle$$

Now for $|n\rangle \in S$, we have $(E_{\tilde{m}} - E_0)\langle n|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle = \langle n|\underbrace{\delta H(I - G\delta H)^{-1}}_{=: A^{(\tilde{m})}}|\psi_{\tilde{m}}\rangle = \sum_{n' \in S} \underbrace{\langle n|A^{(\tilde{m})}|n'\rangle}_{H_{nn'}^{\text{eff}}} \underbrace{\langle n'|\tilde{m}^{(n)}\rangle}_{\delta_{n'}}. H_{nn'}^{\text{eff}}$ is a $d_G \times d_G$ “effective” Hamiltonian.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_\pm &= \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H (\mathbb{I} - G\delta H)^{-1} | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle}_{=0} + \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H G \delta H | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle + \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H G \delta G \delta H | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and $G\delta H|\text{GS}_\pm\rangle = -Gh \sum_j X_j |\text{GS}_\pm\rangle \approx \frac{\hbar}{J} \sum_j X_j |\text{GS}_\pm\rangle$. So $\langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H G \delta H | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle \approx -N \frac{\hbar^2}{J} \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle$. Note this is independent of p .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \delta H (G\delta H)^{m-1} | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle &\rightarrow \frac{\delta \varepsilon^{(m)}}{2} \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \prod_{j=1}^N X_j | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle, \quad m \geq N \\ &= \pm \frac{\delta \varepsilon^{(m)}}{2} \langle \text{GS}_\pm | \text{GS}_\pm \rangle \end{aligned}$$