Natural Language Processing: Assignment 4

Isaac Jefferson Lee

Email: isalee@student.ethz.ch

Question 1

Question 1 :: Part a)

First we prove that the sum of probabilities for strings of any fixed length is 1.

Clearly length 1 strings are just Σ and their probabilities sum to 1.

Now for the inductive step, assume:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\Sigma^*:|\boldsymbol{w}|=k}\tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{w})=1.$$

Then it follows that:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Sigma^*: |\boldsymbol{w}| = k+1} \tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{w \in \Sigma} p(w) \left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Sigma^*: |\boldsymbol{w}| = k} \tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) \right] = \sum_{w \in \Sigma} p(w)[1] = 1.$$

And so we have proven that:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\Sigma^*:|\boldsymbol{w}|=k=n}\tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{w})=1\quad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Since we have countably infinite number of strings in Σ^* , then it follows that:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\Sigma^*} \tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\Sigma^*: |\boldsymbol{w}|=n} \tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1 \to \infty.$$

QED.

Question 1 :: Part b)

Consider the strings of length n. By induction we can show that:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Sigma^*: |\boldsymbol{w}| = n} p(\boldsymbol{w}) = p(\mathsf{EOS})(1 - p(\mathsf{EOS}))^n.$$

$$\implies \sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Sigma^*} p(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Sigma^* : |\boldsymbol{w}| = n} p(\boldsymbol{w})$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(\mathsf{EOS}) (1 - p(\mathsf{EOS}))^n$$

$$= p(\mathsf{EOS}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - p(\mathsf{EOS}))^n$$

$$= p(\mathsf{EOS}) \frac{1}{1 - (1 - p(\mathsf{EOS}))} = \frac{p(\mathsf{EOS})}{p(\mathsf{EOS})} = 1$$

QED.

Question 1 :: Part c)

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \Sigma^*} p(\boldsymbol{w}\boldsymbol{u}) = p_{\text{pre}}(\boldsymbol{w}) \underbrace{\sum_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \Sigma^*} p(\text{EOS}|\boldsymbol{w}\boldsymbol{u}) p(u_1|\boldsymbol{w}) \prod_{n=2}^{M} p(u_n|\boldsymbol{w}, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})}_{(\dagger)}.$$

(†) is the pathsum of the parse-sub-tree that has root at $w_N|w_0,...,w_{N-1}$ and clearly by local normalization property with the argument in b) extended to the n- gram case we see that (†) must sum to 1.

$$\implies \sum_{u \in \Sigma^*} \rho(wu) = p_{\mathsf{pre}}(w).$$

QED.

Question 1 :: Part d)

In order to calculate the probability of a sentence, we need the inside probability given by:

$$p_{\mathsf{inside}}(\boldsymbol{w}|S) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathcal{T}_S(\boldsymbol{w})} p(\boldsymbol{t}).$$

This quantity looks very similar to the normalizer which is calculated with normal CKY. So we can compute this using CKY where we replace $\exp(\operatorname{score}(\bullet))$ with $p(\bullet)$, where p is specified by the PCFG.

The pseudocode presented below is adapted from the course notes and should calculate the correct probability according to the above logic.

Algorithm 1 CKY for Probability

```
def prob \text{cky}(\boldsymbol{w}, \langle \mathcal{N}, \Sigma, S, \mathcal{R}, p \rangle):
N \leftarrow |\boldsymbol{w}|
\mathsf{chart} \leftarrow \mathbf{0}
for n = 1, ..., N do
                                                                            ▶ Fill the diagonal with leaf probabilities
     for X \to w_n \in \mathcal{R} do
          chart[n, n+1, X] += p(X \rightarrow w_n)
     end for
end for
                                                                                                ▷ Fill the rest of the chart
for span = 2, ..., N do
     for i = 1, ..., N - \text{span} + 1 do
                                                                                          \triangleright i is the start idx of the span
          k \leftarrow i + \text{span}
                                                                                           \triangleright k is the end idx of the span
          for j = i + 1, ..., k - 1 do
                                                                                 \triangleright j is the breaking point of the span
               for X \to Y Z \in \mathcal{R} do
                     chart[i, k, X] += p(X \rightarrow Y Z) * chart[i, j, Y] * chart[j, k, Z]
                end for
          end for
     end for
end for
return chart [1, N + 1, S]
```

Note: In the pseudocode the variables given by $\operatorname{chart}[i, j, Y]$ represent the inside probabilities $p_{\operatorname{inside}}(w_i, w_{i+1}, ..., w_j | Y)$, so effectively we are working bottom up and calculating the inside probabilities for each fixed span length and then increasing the span length and repeating until we span the entire sentence.

Question 1 :: Part e)

Using our language model probability definition, if $s \in \Sigma^*$, then:

$$p(s) := p(EOS|s_0, ..., s_N) \prod_{n=1}^N p(s_n|s_0, ..., s_{n-1}) = p(s_0, s_1, ..., s_N, EOS).$$

Clearly under our PCFG this is equal to the probability that we yield each s_i :

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{S}(\boldsymbol{s})} \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{X \to YZ \in \mathcal{T}_{S}(\boldsymbol{s}) \\ \text{Non-terminals}}} p(X \to YZ) \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{X \to s_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{S}(\boldsymbol{s}) \\ \text{Terminals}}} p(X \to s_{n}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathcal{T}_{S}(\boldsymbol{s})} p(\boldsymbol{t}) =: p(S \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} \boldsymbol{s}).$$

So now define s := wu and we have:

$$p(wu) = p(S \stackrel{*}{\Longrightarrow} wu).$$

$$\implies \sum_{u \in \Sigma^*} p(wu) = \sum_{u \in \Sigma^*} p(S \stackrel{*}{\Longrightarrow} wu).$$

Question 1 :: Part f)

(If not in CNF, first convert to CNF).

Suppose we have m non-terminals in our PCFG, $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_m\}$, then define the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ by:

$$A_{ij} = p(X_i \to X_j \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^m \underbrace{p(X_i \to X_j X_k)}_{\text{chart[i,i,k]}}.$$

We can think of this matrix as the adjacency matrix for the left corner parse tree with weights given by the PCFG production probabilities. In assignment three we showed that for an adjacency matrix A, $(A^n)_{ij}$ encodes the sum of paths from i to j. In this context this means that $(A^n)_{ij}$ represents the probability of getting from X_i to X_j along the left corner after exactly n derivations. Therefore if we find the Kleene Star then this will encode the probability of getting from X_i to X_j along the left corner for all possible $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall for matrices, $A^* = (I - A)^{-1}$. So therefore we have:

$$p_{Ic}(X_j|X_i) = A_{ij}^* = (I - A)_{ij}^{-1}.$$

Then to calculate $p_{lc}(X_jX_k|X_i)$ we can find the plc of X' s.t $X' \to X_jX_k$ and then we note that:

$$p_{lc}(X_jX_k|X_i) = \sum_{X' \to X_jX_k} p_{lc}(X'|X_i)p(X' \to X_jX_k).$$

So using these steps outlined, our algorithm will look like:

Algorithm 2 Left Corner Probability

```
def prob left corner(\boldsymbol{w}, \langle \mathcal{N}, \Sigma, S, \mathcal{R}, p \rangle):
m \leftarrow |\mathcal{N}|
A \leftarrow \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}
for i = 1, ..., m do
      for j = 1, ..., m do
           for k = 1, ..., m do
                 A[i,j] += p(X_i \to X_i X_k)
           end for
      end for
end for
A^* \leftarrow (I - A)^{-1}
                                                                                                        \triangleright This gives p_{lc}(X_i|X_i) \ \forall i,j
triplets \leftarrow \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m \times m}
                                                                                               \triangleright triplets[i, j, k] := p_{lc}(X_i, X_k | X_i)
for i = 1, ..., m do
      for j = 1, ..., m do
           for k = 1, ..., m do
                 for X_r \to X_i X_k \in \mathcal{R} do
                       triplets[i, j, k] += A^*[i, r] * p(X_r \rightarrow X_i X_k)
                 end for
           end for
      end for
end for
return A^*, triplets
```

Note: The first set of nested for loops clearly has $O(|\mathcal{N}|^3)$ complexity. Then the matrix inversion can also be done in $O(|\mathcal{N}|^3)$ time. Then for the next nested for loop, when we do for $X_r \to X_j X_k \in \mathcal{R}$ for fixed j,k, clearly worst case scenario we could have $|\mathcal{N}|$ production rules of this form. It follows that these nested for loops have runtime $O(|\mathcal{N}|^4)$, and therefore the overall runtime complexity of our algorithm is $O(|\mathcal{N}|^4)$.

Question 1 :: Part g)

In order to compute the prefix probability of a string, we need the probability of producing the string with an arbitrary suffix. We therefore need to sum over all ways we can do this, considering all possible parent non-terminals. This means we must sum over all non-terminal sibling pair left corner probabilities. Then for each Y, Z sibling pair, we consider the event that the subtree rooted at Y yields the first part of the string and then the subtree rooted at Z yields the remainder of the string, along with the arb. suffix. We can do this for all possible ways we can split the string into two parts. Mathematically this looks like:

$$p_{\text{pre}}(w_{i}...w_{k}|X) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{u}\in\Sigma^{*}} \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \sum_{Y,Z\in\mathcal{N}} p(X \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} YZ\boldsymbol{\alpha}) p(Y \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} w_{i}...w_{j}) p(Z \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} w_{j+1}...w_{k}\boldsymbol{u})$$

$$= \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \sum_{Y,Z\in\mathcal{N}} p(X \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} YZ\boldsymbol{\alpha}) p(Y \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} w_{i}...w_{j}) \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{u}\in\Sigma^{*}} p(Z \overset{*}{\Longrightarrow} w_{j+1}...w_{k}\boldsymbol{u}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \sum_{Y,Z\in\mathcal{N}} p_{lc}(Y Z|X) p_{\text{inside}}(w_{i}...w_{j}) p_{\text{pre}}(w_{j+1}...w_{k}|Z)$$

QED.

Question 1 :: Part h)

Using our algorithm developed in part f) we can compute $p_{lc}(Y|Z|X)$ and $p_{lc}(Y|X) \ \forall Y, Z, X \in \mathcal{N}$ in $O(|\mathcal{N}|^4)$ time. Then to get $p_{pre}(w_k|X)$ we note that:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{N} p_{\text{pre}}(w_k | X) = p(X \to w_k) + \sum_{Y \to w_k \in \mathcal{R}} p_{lc}(Y | X) p(Y \to w_k).$$

So clearly pre-computing $p_{lc}(Y|Z|X)$ and $p_{pre}(w_k|X) \ \forall Y, Z, X \in \mathcal{N}, \ \forall k \in \{1, ..., N\}$ will have a worst case runtime complexity $O(|\mathcal{N}|^4 + N|\mathcal{N}|^2)$.

So we have $\forall X \in \mathcal{N}$, $p_{\text{pre}}(w|X) \forall w : |w| = 1$. Then we note that:

$$\forall k \in \{1,...,N\} \ \forall X \in \mathcal{N}, \quad p_{\text{pre}}(w_{k-1}w_k|X) = \sum_{Y,Z \in \mathcal{N}} p_{Ic}(Y \ Z|X) p_{\text{inside}}(w_{k-1}|Y) p_{\text{pre}}(w_k|Z).$$

Then applying the identity again, we get $\forall k \in \{1, ..., N\} \ \forall X \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$p_{\text{pre}}(w_{k-2}w_{k-1}w_k|X) = \sum_{j=k-2}^{k-1} \sum_{Y,Z \in \mathcal{N}} p_{lc}(Y|Z|X) p_{\text{inside}}(w_{k-2}...w_j) p_{\text{pre}}(w_{j+1}...w_k).$$

We can repeat this recursive procedure until |w| = N, to get $p_{pre}(w_1, ..., w_N | S)$ where the inside probabilities are calculated using CKY for probability as defined above.

Now examining the runtime of this naive application, recall that running CKY returns a chart where chart $[i,j,Y] := p_{\text{inside}}(w_i...w_j|Y)$ so we only need to run CKY once to populate all of the inside probabilities.

Consider each recursive iteration, $\forall k \in \{1, ..., N\}$, we have to sum over all neighbours $Y, Z \in \mathcal{N}$, and we do this $\forall X \in \mathcal{N}$ we also have the outer sum $\sum_{j=i}^{k-1}$ up to k=N, so we see that for

each iteration we have a worst case runtime $O(N^2|\mathcal{N}|^3)$. We then have N iterations giving us $O(N*N^2|\mathcal{N}|^3)$. Then including the pre-computations we have $O(|\mathcal{N}|^4 + N^3|\mathcal{N}|^3)$.

Clearly we can repeat this method for $w := w_1, w := w_1 w_2, ..., w := w_1 w_2 ... w_N$ and we will have computed $p_{\text{pre}}(w_1), p_{\text{pre}}(w_1 w_2), ..., p_{\text{pre}}(w_1 w_2 ... w_N)$ in $O(N * (|\mathcal{N}|^4 + N^3 |\mathcal{N}|^3)) = O(N |\mathcal{N}|^4 + N^4 |\mathcal{N}|^3)$.

Question 1 :: Part i)

The idea is to apply the above method but store the recursively computed prefix probabilities in a chart.

Algorithm 3 CKY for Prefix Probabilities

```
def prefix cky(\boldsymbol{w}, \langle \mathcal{N}, \Sigma, S, \mathcal{R}, p \rangle):
N \leftarrow |\boldsymbol{w}|
chart \leftarrow \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N \times |\mathcal{N}|}
                                                                                                 \triangleright chart[i, k, X] := p_{pre}(w_i...w_k|X)
\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{N} p_{lc}(Y | Z|X), p_{lc}(Y|Z) \leftarrow \text{prob\_left\_corner}(\boldsymbol{w}, \langle \mathcal{N}, \Sigma, S, \mathcal{R}, p \rangle)
inside \leftarrow prob cky(\boldsymbol{w}, \langle \mathcal{N}, \Sigma, S, \mathcal{R}, p \rangle)
for k = 1, ..., N do
      for X \in \mathcal{N} do
            chart[k, k, X] \leftarrow p(X \rightarrow w_k)
            for Y \to w_k \in \mathcal{R} do
                                                                                                                 \triangleright Computing p_{\text{pre}}(w_k|X)
                  \operatorname{chart}[k, k, X] += p_{lc}(Y|X) * p(Y \to w_k)
            end for
      end for
end for
for i = 1, ..., N do
                                                                                                                                   ⊳ span length
      for k = 1, ..., N do
            for X \in \mathcal{N} do
                  for j = i, i + 1, ..., k - 1 do
                        for Y, Z \in \mathcal{N} do
                              chart[i, k, X] += p_{lc}(Y Z|X) * inside[i, j, Y] * chart[j + 1, k, Z]
                        end for
                  end for
            end for
      end for
end for
return chart[1, 1, S], chart[1, 2, S], ..., chart[1, N, S]
```

Clearly this run sin $O(N^3|\mathcal{N}|^3 + |\mathcal{N}|^4)$ time, since we share previously computed values in the chart.

Question 1 :: Part j)

Recall that:

$$p_{\text{pre}}(w_1...w_N) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(w_n|w_0..w_{n-1})$$

$$= p(w_N|w_0...w_{N-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} p(w_n|w_0..w_{n-1})$$

$$= p(w_N|w_0...w_{N-1}) p_{\text{pre}}(w_1...w_{N-1})$$

Re-arranging we get:

$$\implies p(w_N|w_0...w_{N-1}) = \frac{p_{\text{pre}}(w_1...w_{N-1}w_N)}{p_{\text{pre}}(w_1...w_{N-1})}.$$

This is useful for language generation because it tells us the probability of generating a new word given some context. This could be useful for e.g predictive text where $w_0...w_{N-1}$ are known and we would like to generate suggestions for w_N using probabilities.

Question 2

See attached .ipynb file.