Modeling the Graphotactics of Low-Resource Languages Using Sequential GANs

Isaac Wasserman

Department of Computer Science Haverford College Haverford, PA 19041 iwasserman@haverford.edu

Abstract

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have been shown to aid in the creation of artificial data in situations where large amounts of real data are difficult to come by. This issue is especially salient in the computational linguistics space, where researchers are often tasked with modeling the complex morphologic and grammatical processes of low-resource languages. This paper will discuss the implementation and testing of a GAN that attempts to model and reproduce the graphotactics of a language using only 100 example strings. These artificial, yet graphotactically compliant, strings are meant to aid in modeling the morphological inflection of low-resource languages.

1 Introduction

1.1 Task

In 2019, Anastasopoulos and Neubig made waves with their multilingual morphological inflection model for low resource languages [1] that they submitted to the SIGMORPHON 2019 shared task [8]. All models submitted were pretrained on high resource languages of similar ancestry to the target language, allowing many models to greatly exceed the performance of previous attempts at low-resource morphological inflection. However, what allowed Anastasopoulos and Neubig's model to outperform other submissions was its use of data "hallucination".

To perform this hallucination, they aligned the lemma with its inflected form, extracted the stem, and generated new artificial examples by replacing this stem with randomly generated strings (in the language's alphabet) of equal length. Though a seemingly haphazard method of data augmentation, this approach allowed for an additional 10% accuracy, on average, when tested against versions of the model that only used cross-lingual transfer.

Surely, a more well informed approach to stem generation would further improve the accuracy of the inflectional model. Given the demonstrated ability of GANs to produce photorealistic, yet completely contrived images, they are potentially ideal for such a task.

1.2 Generative Adversarial Networks

Generative adversarial networks are a class of unsupervised machine learning architectures, most commonly used for image generation. These networks consist of a generator and a discriminator that are trained simultaneously on a set of data representing a class or domain; this domain could be

¹The alignment process assumes that the lemma and inflected form share a common substring.

anything from photos of human faces to time series of hourly temperatures.² The generator is tasked with producing "fake" examples that are within this domain without ever seeing any real examples from the training set. Meanwhile, the discriminator is fed a combination of fake examples (from the generator) and real examples and is tasked with classifying them as real or fake. The respective goals of the generator and discriminator constitute a zero-sum game, in which the generator is constantly trying to outsmart the discriminator, while the discriminator hones its ability to distinguish between in-domain and out-of-domain examples.

Though GANs are most often applied to image data (as in the popular CycleGAN [13], StyleGAN [6], and DiscoGAN [7]), the same logic is also applicable to other types of data. For example, in 2017, Esteban et al. developed a pair of recurrent GANs which they applied to medical time series data [3], and in 2016, Yu et al. developed a GAN architecture made specifically for sequences and language generation, utilizing techniques from reinforcement learning [12].

1.3 GANs for Data Augmentation

Although GANs are most popularly used for domain transfer, the same basic architecture is also a good candidate for a data augmentation strategy called hallucination in which new (fake) training examples based on a small number of existing (real) examples.

Though theoretically, the addition of these fake examples should not improve the performance of a discriminative model (since they can be no more representative of the true distribution than the examples they are based on), an empirical study by Antoniou et al. observed accuracy improvements on multi-class classification of up to 13% on benchmark low-resource datasets such as Omniglot [2]. These performance gains are supported by a fairly extensive body of similar evidence-based studies on GAN-based data augmentation in low-resource settings. These studies are, more often than not, concerned with medical imaging, in which segmentation is a more salient issue than classification.

Shin et al., 2018 [10] leveraged the popular Pix2Pix [5] architecture to augment a brain-tumor segmentation dataset. This task was considerably more complex as it involved the hallucination of image pairs. However, training their segmentation model on a combination of real and fake data, they observed performance improvements of up to 16% over unaugmented data (minimum improvement of 1%). They also tested the effects of an entirely synthetic dataset; however, this resulted in a significant loss of performance compared to the baseline. Despite the improvements realized when compared to their baseline segmentor, even their best model was unable to outperform the best-in-class reference model [11].

Sandfort et al., 2019 [9] carried out a similar study using the also popular CycleGAN architecture [13] to segment anomolous CT scans of kidneys, livers, and spleens. On average, this study showed no appreciable difference between the performance gains afforded by traditional augmentation and GAN-based augmentation. However, when the resulting models were tested on images that were out-of-distribution, they found that while the baseline model scored 0.101, the traditionally augmented and GAN augmented models received scores of 0.535 and 0.747 respectively.³ This increased flexibility is interesting as it suggests that the augmented examples constitute a wider domain than the examples they are based on. From a theoretical standpoint, this would have to be possible if GAN augmented datasets were to outperform others.

While all of the other related works cited have used GANs to create synthetic image data, Gupta, 2019 [4] applies GAN-based augmentation to language data for the purpose of sentiment analysis. Unlike the other applications, labeled language data for sentiment analysis is not scarce. The datasets used each contain between 2000 and 4000 real examples, and the classifier was pre-trained on a dataset of over 1.6 million examples. Real and fake examples were combined in a somewhat nontraditional way; instead of concatenating the real and synthetic datasets, separate classifiers were trained for each and their outputs were combined via bagging. In these experiments, accuracy was only improved by up to 1.2% when fake examples were added. Though these gains are less impressive than others cited above, these results support the idea that GAN-based augmentation can be applied to domains outside of image data.

²Technically speaking, the generator and discriminator are most often trained one after another on a repeated basis.

³While the training set was based on CTs with contrast, these out-of-distribution images came from scans performed without contrast.

2 Method

References

- [1] Antonios Anastasopoulos and Graham Neubig. Pushing the limits of low-resource morphological inflection. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 984–996, Hong Kong, China, November 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [2] Antreas Antoniou, Amos Storkey, and Harrison Edwards. Data augmentation generative adversarial networks, 2017.
- [3] Cristóbal Esteban, Stephanie L. Hyland, and Gunnar Rätsch. Real-valued (medical) time series generation with recurrent conditional gans, 2017.
- [4] Rahul Gupta. Data augmentation for low resource sentiment analysis using generative adversarial networks. *CoRR*, abs/1902.06818, 2019.
- [5] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A. Efros. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. *CoRR*, abs/1611.07004, 2016.
- [6] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. CoRR, abs/1812.04948, 2018.
- [7] Taeksoo Kim, Moonsu Cha, Hyunsoo Kim, Jung Kwon Lee, and Jiwon Kim. Learning to discover cross-domain relations with generative adversarial networks. CoRR, abs/1703.05192, 2017.
- [8] Arya D. McCarthy, Ekaterina Vylomova, Shijie Wu, Chaitanya Malaviya, Lawrence Wolf-Sonkin, Garrett Nicolai, Christo Kirov, Miikka Silfverberg, Sabrina J. Mielke, Jeffrey Heinz, Ryan Cotterell, and Mans Hulden. The SIGMORPHON 2019 shared task: Morphological analysis in context and cross-lingual transfer for inflection. In *Proceedings of the 16th Workshop on Computational Research in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology*, pages 229–244, Florence, Italy, August 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [9] Veit Sandfort, Ke Yan, Perry J. Pickhardt, and Ronald M. Summers. Data augmentation using generative adversarial networks (cyclegan) to improve generalizability in ct segmentation tasks. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):16884, Nov 2019.
- [10] Hoo-Chang Shin, Neil A Tenenholtz, Jameson K Rogers, Christopher G Schwarz, Matthew L Senjem, Jeffrey L Gunter, Katherine P Andriole, and Mark Michalski. Medical image synthesis for data augmentation and anonymization using generative adversarial networks. In *Simulation and Synthesis in Medical Imaging*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 1–11. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018.
- [11] Guotai Wang, Wenqi Li, Sébastien Ourselin, and Tom Vercauteren. Automatic brain tumor segmentation using cascaded anisotropic convolutional neural networks. *CoRR*, abs/1709.00382, 2017.
- [12] Lantao Yu, Weinan Zhang, Jun Wang, and Yong Yu. Sequence generative adversarial nets with policy gradient. *CoRR*, abs/1609.05473, 2016.
- [13] Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A. Efros. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. *CoRR*, abs/1703.10593, 2017.