# NUMCALC A NUMERICAL CALCULATOR APP

## Students:

Felipe Henao Gómez Isabella Echeverri Villa Juan Andrés Montoya Galeano Thomas Martinod Saldarriaga

Professor: Edwar Samir Posada Murillo

# **EAFIT** University

Informatics and Systems Department

Numerical Analysis

2022



# FINAL PROJECT Second report

Objective: To specify the methods used for solving roots of polynomials and linear system of equations through their pseudocodes, and to show the preliminary results for the test functions.

#### **Numerical Methods**

#### 1. Incremental search

```
read function, x0, dx, n
xprev=x0
xact=x0+dx
rootCount=0
for i=1 to n do
  if f(xact)*f(xprev)<0
    There is a root for the function in xprev, xact
  end
end
if rootcount==0
  No roots were found for the given number of n iterations and step size
end
end</pre>
```

```
There's a root for the function in [-2.5, -2] There's a root for the function in [0.5, 1] There's a root for the function in [0.5, 1] There's a root for the function in [2, 2.5] There's a root for the function in [4, 4.5] There's a root for the function in [5, 5.5] There's a root for the function in [7, 7.5] There's a root for the function in [8, 8.5] There's a root for the function in [10, 10.5] There's a root for the function in [11.5, 12] There's a root for the function in [13.5, 14] There's a root for the function in [14.5, 15] There's a root for the function in [16.5, 17] There's a root for the function in [17.5, 18] There's a root for the function in [19.5, 20]
```



```
There's a root for the function in [21, 21.5]
There's a root for the function in [22.5, 23]
There's a root for the function in [24, 24.5]
There's a root for the function in [26, 26.5]
There's a root for the function in [27, 27.5]
There's a root for the function in [29, 29.5]
There's a root for the function in [30, 30.5]
There's a root for the function in [32, 32.5]
There's a root for the function in [33.5, 34]
There's a root for the function in [35, 35.5]
There's a root for the function in [36.5, 37]
There's a root for the function in [38.5, 39]
There's a root for the function in [39.5, 40]
There's a root for the function in [41.5, 42]
There's a root for the function in [43, 43.5]
There's a root for the function in [44.5, 45]
There's a root for the function in [46, 46.5]
```

#### 2. Bisection Method

```
read function, xi, xs, tolerance, niter
i <- 1
xm < -(xi + xs)/2
fxm \leftarrow f(xm)
error = absolute value of xm
while error > tolerance and i<niter and fxm different to 0
   if f(a)*fxm<0
      b=xm
      xm=(a+b)/2
      error=absolute value of xm-a
    else if f(b)*fxm<0
      a=xm
      xm=(a+b)/2
      error=absolute value of xm-a
    end
    fxm=f(xm)
    i=i+1
end
if fxm=0 then
    the root was found with a value of xm
end
else if error<=tolerance then
    an approximation of the root was found
    with a value of xm
```



```
end
if i=n
   The root was not found in the number
   of iterations given
end
```

Iteration	a	xn	b	f(xn)	Е
22	0.936404	0.936404466	0.9364047	-6.616005e-08	2.3841857e-07
23	0.9364044	0.936404585	0.9364047	2.8715108e-09	1.192092e-07
24	0.9364044	0.93640452	0.93640458	-3.1644283e-08	5.9604644e-08

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 5.9605e-08

## 3. False position

```
read function, a, b, tolerance, n
i = 1
E = inifnite
fxn = 1
while E>tolerance and i<n and fxn different from 0
   xn=b-f(b)*((b-a)/(f(b)-f(a)))
   fxn=f(xn)
   if f(a)*fxn>0
     E=absolute value of xn-a
     a=xn
   else if f(b)*fxn>0
     E=absolute value of xn-b
     b=xn
   end
   i=i+1
end
if fxn==0
  The root was found with a value of xn
if E<= tolerance
  An aproximation of the root was found with a value of xn
end
if i==n
  The root was not found in the number of iterations given
```



end end

#### Results

Iteration	a	xn	b	f(xn)	E
3	0.933940380	0.9364047	0.9365060	8.6782541e-08	0.000101320922984094
4	0.933940	0.9364045	0.936404	1.2815393e-10	1.49641e-07
5	0.933940	0.936404	0.9364045	1.8918200e-13	2.209796e-10

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 2.2098e-10

#### 4. Newton method

```
read function, df, x0, tolerance, n
if f(x0)=0
  The inital point given is root
end
xn=x0
fxn=f(xn)
i=1
E=infinite
while E>tolerance and i<n and fxn different from 0
  xprev = xn
  xn=xprev-(f(xprev))/df(xprev))
 E=absolute value of xn-xprev
  fxn=f(xn)
  i++
end
if fxn=0
  The root was found with a value of xn
end
if E<= tolerance
  An aproximation of the root was found with a value of xn
end
if i=n
  The root was not found in the number of iterations given
end
end
```



Iteration	xn	f(xn)	Е
2	0.936366741267331	-2.19126198827135e-05	0.00797475135475945
3	0.93640458001899	-4.98339092214195e-10	3.78387516588585e-05
4	0.936404580879562	-1.11022302462516e-16	8.60571947036703e-10

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 8.6057e-10

# 5. Fixed point

```
read function, g, x0, tolerance, n
if f(x0)=0
 The initial point given is the root
end
xn=x0
fxn=f(xn)
gxn=g(xn)
i=1
E=infinite
while E>tolerance and i<n and fxn different from 0
  xprev=xn
  xn=gxn
  E=absolute value of xn-xprev
  fxn=f(xn)
  gxn=g(xn)
  i++
end
if fxn=0
  The root was found with a value of xn
end
if E<= tolerance
 An aproximation of the root was found with a value of xn
end
if i=n
  The root was not found in the number of iterations given
end
end
```



Iteration	xn	g(xn)	f(xn)	E
28	-0.3744451043623	-0.3744449757003	1.286620382457e-07	2.142604523803e-07
29	-0.3744449757003	-0.3744450529611	-7.726074024994e-08	1.286620382456e-07
30	-0.3744450529611	-0.3744450065665	4.639458395239e-08	7.726074024994e-08

An approximation of the root was found with a value of -0.37445 and an error of 7.7261e-08

#### 6. Secant method

```
read function, x0, x1, tolerance,
if f(x0)=0
The initial point x0 given is the root
end
if f(x1)=0
The initial point x1 given is the root
end
xn=x0
xnext=x1
fxn=f(xnext)
i=2
e=infinite
while e>tolerance and i<n and fxn different from 0
  xprev=xn
  xn=xnext
  xnext=xn-(f(xn)/((f(xn)-f(xprev))/(xn-xprev)))
  e=absolute value of xnext-xn
  fxn=f(xnext)
  i++
end
if fxn=0
 The root was found with a value of xn
end
if E<= tolerance
  An aproximation of the root was found with a value of xn
end
if i=n
  The root was not found in the number of iterations given
end
end
```



Iteration	xn	f(xn)	E
4	0.936407002376704	1.40223589106814e-06	0.000410421585531284
5	0.93640458147312	3.43716499706659e-10	2.42090358437697e-06
6	0.936404580879561	-4.9960036108132e-16	5.93558091566138e-10

An aproximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 5.9356e-10

## 7. Simple Gauss Elimination

```
read A,b Ab=[A\ b] [f,c]=size of Ab for j=1 to c-2 for i=j to f-1 Ab(i+1,j) to c)=Ab(i+1,j) to c)=Ab(i+1,j) to c)=Ab(i+1,j) to c) end end end
```

## Regresive Sustitution function

```
read A,b
[f,c]<-size of A
x(f)<-b(f)/A(f,f)
for i=f-1 reducing 1 each step to 1
    sum=0
    for j=i+1 to f
        sum=sum+A(i,j)*x(j)
    end
    x(i)=(b(i)-sum)/A(i,i)
end
end
\\\
\\\</pre>
```

## Main function

```
read A,b
[U,B]<-Elimination with parameters A,b
x<-Regresive sustitution function with parameters U,B
The solution of the equation is x</pre>
```



```
Stage 2:
2.0000 -1.0000
                      0
                              3.0000
                                         1.0000
   0
         1.0000
                    3.0000
                              6.5000
                                         0.5000
   0
            0
                  -41.0000 -73.5000 -5.5000
   0
            0
                   -38.000
                             -96.0000 -12.0000
Stage 3:
2.0000 -1.0000
                      0
                                    3.0000
                                                           1.0000
   0
         1.0000
                    3.0000
                                    6.5000
                                                           0.5000
   0
            0
                  -41.0000
                                   -73.5000
                                                          -5.5000
   0
            0
                      0
                             -27.878048780487802 -6.902439024390244
Solution:
0.038495188101487 \quad -0.180227471566054 \quad -0.309711286089239 \quad 0.247594050743657
```

## 8. Gauss elimination with partial pivot

Function of Gaussian elimination with partial pivot (ElimPivPar)

```
read A,b
[f,c]<-size of Ab
for j=1 to c-2
  col<-absolute value of j to f, j
  m<- find maximum in col
  temp<- Ab in row j
  Ab in row j <- Ab in row m+j-1
  Ab in rom m+j-1<- temp
  for i=j to f-1
     Ab in row i+1 and column j to c<-Ab(i+1,j:c)-(Ab(i+1,j)/Ab(j,j))*Ab(j,j:c)
  end
end
end</pre>
```

#### Regresive Sustitution function

```
read A,b
[f,c]<-size of A
x(f)<-b(f)/A(f,f)
for i=f-1 reducing 1 each step to 1
    sum=0
    for j=i+1 to f
        sum=sum+A(i,j)*x(j)
    end</pre>
```



```
x(i)=(b(i)-sum)/A(i,i) end end
```

#### Main function

read A,b  $[\text{U,B}] < -\text{function ElimPivPar with parameters A,b} \\ \text{x} < -\text{Regresive sustitution function with parameters U,B} \\ \text{The solution of the equation is x}$ 

#### Results

Stage 2:				
14.000	5.000	-2.000	3.000	1.000
0	13.000	-2.000	11.000	1.000
0	0	3.164835164835165	7.664835164835164	0.917582417582418
0	0	0.021978021978022	4.021978021978022	0.989010989010989
Stage 3:				
14.000	5.000	-2.000	3.000	1.000
0	13.000	-2.000	11.000	1.000
0	0	3.164835164835165	7.664835164835164	0.917582417582418
0	0	0	3.96875000	0.982638888888889

Solution:

 $0.038495188101487 \quad -0.180227471566054 \quad -0.309711286089239 \quad 0.247594050743657$ 

# 9. Gauss method with total pivot

## Elimination Gauss method with total pivot (ElimPivTot)

```
read A,b
A,b=[A b]
[f c]= size of Ab
tags<-1 to c-1
for j=1 to c-2
    subm <- submatrix of Ab(j to f,j to c-1)
    [mi,mj]<-find maximum between subm,[]
    temp<-Ab(j,j to end)
    Ab(j, j to end)<-Ab(mi+j,j to end)
    Ab(mi+j-1,j to end)<-temp
    temp<-Ab in column j
    Ab in column mj+j-1<-temp
    temp<-tags(j)
    tags(j)<-tags(mj+j-1)</pre>
```



```
tags(mj+j-1)=temp
        for i=j to f-1
             Ab(i+1,j \text{ to } c)=Ab(i+!,j \text{ to } c)-(Ab(i+1,j)/Ab(j,j))*Ab(j,j \text{ to } c)
        \quad \text{end} \quad
     end
Regresive Sustitution function (solve)
    read A,b
     [f,c]<-size of A
    x(f) < -b(f)/A(f,f)
    for i=f-1 reducing 1 each step to 1
        sum=0
        for j=i+1 to f
            sum=sum+A(i,j)*x(j)
        end
        x(i)=(b(i)-sum)/A(i,i)
     end
     end
     //
     //
Main function
 read A,b
     [U,B,tags]<-ElimPivTot(A,b)</pre>
    x<-solve(U,B)</pre>
    f < -size of x, 2
    xtemp<-[]</pre>
    for i=1 to f
       ind<-tags in position i
       xtemp(ind)<-x(i)</pre>
     end
     x=xtemp
end
Results
Stage 2:
 14.0000
          5.0000 \quad -2.0000 \quad 3.0000 \quad 1.0000
    0
          13.0000 -2.0000 11.0000 1.0000
    0
                     3.1648
                              7.6648 0.9176
             0
    0
          0.0000
                    0.0220
                              4.0220 \quad 0.9890
Stage 3:
```



```
14.0000 5.0000
                      3.0000 -2.0000 1.0000
             13.0000 \quad 11.0000 \quad -2.0000 \quad 1.0000
       0
       0
                      7.6648
                              3.1648
                0
                                       0.9176
       0
              0.0000
                        0
                              -1.6387 \quad 0.5075
   Solution:
    0.0385 \quad -0.1802 \quad -0.3097 \quad 0.2476
10. Multiple roots
        read f, df, d2f, x0, tolerance, n
        if f(x0)=0
        The initial point given is the root
        xn=x0
        Fxn=f(xn)
        i=1;
        E=infinite
        while E>tolerance and i<N and Fxn different from O
            xprev<-xn
            F<-f(xprev);
            dF<-df(xprev)
            d2F<-d2f(xprev)
            xn<-xprev-(F*dF)/((dF^2)-F*d2F)
            Fxn < -f(xn)
            E=absolute value of xn-xant
            i=i++;
        end
        if Fxn=0
            The root was found with a value of xn
            return
        end
        if E<=Tol
            An approximation of the root was found with a value of xn and an error of E
            return
        end
        if i=N
            The root was not found in the number of iterations given
            return
        end
    end
```



Iteration	xn	f(xn)	E
2	-0.0084583	3.5671e-05	0.22575
3	-1.189e-05	7.0688e-11	0.0084464
4	-4.2186e-11	0	1.189e-05

The root was found with a value of -4.2186e-11

## 11. Müller's algorithm

```
read f, x0, x1, x2, tolerance, N
h1 = x1 - x0
h2 = x2 - x1
d1 = (f(x1) - f(x0))/h1
d2 = (f(x2) - f(x1))/h2
d = (d2 - d1)/(h2 + h1)
i = 2
while i < N:
    b = d2 + h2*d
    D = (b^2 - 4*f(x^2)*d)^1/2 ----- from the cuadratic formula
    if |b-D| < |b+D|:
       E = b + d
    else:
       E = b - d
    h = -2*f(x2)/E
    if |h| < tolerance:
        return p, E, i -----p is the x coordinate for the root and E the
                               i-th iteration error
        break
    else:
        x0 = x1
       x1 = x2
        x2 = p
       h1 = x1 - x0
        h2 = x2 - x1
        d1 = ((f(x1) - f(x2))/h1
        d2 = ((f(x2) - f(x1))/h2
        d = (d2 - d1)/(h2 + h1)
```



$$i = i + 1$$

end

print: "The method failed after " + N + " iterations"

#### Results

Iteration	xn	f(xn)	E
6	1.8393	-1.3324e-05	0.001417
7	1.8393	2.0229e-10	2.4357e-06
8	1.8393	2.2204e-16	3.6978e-11

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 1.8393 and an error of 3.6978e-

## 12. Steffensen's algorithm

The method failed after  ${\tt N}$  iterations

#### Results

Iteration	xn	f(xn)	Е
3	0.93634	-3.6044e-05	0.0081341
4	0.9364	-2.1289e-09	6.2238e-05
5	0.9364	-2.2204e-16	3.6764e-09

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 3.6764e-09



## 13. Aitken's process for accelerating convergence

```
read f, g, x0, tolerance, N
% Initial assignments
xn=x0
Fxn=f(xn)
Gxn=g(xn)
i=1;
E=inf;
while E > tolerance and i < N and Fxn different to O
    AitkenMod = false
    xant = xn
    xn = Gxn
    \% Check mod3 families until we obtain a multiple of 3
    if mod(i,3) == 1
        x1 = xn;
    else if mod(i,3) = 2
        x2 = xn;
    else if mod(i,3) = 0
        xn = xo - ((x1-xo)^2/(x2-2*x1+xo))
        xo = xn;
        AitkenMod = true
    E = abs(xn-xant)
    Fxn = f(xn)
    Gxn = g(xn)
    i=i+1
end
if Fxn == 0
    print: "The root was found with a value of " + xn
    return
if E <= tolerance</pre>
    print: "An aproximation of the root was found with a value of " + xn + " and
            an error of " + E
    return
if i == N
    print: "The root was not found in the number of iterations given"
```



return

#### Results

Iteration	xn	Aitken	g(xn)	f(xn)	E
8	-0.37444	0	-0.37445	-7.641e-07	1.2724 e-06
9	-0.37445	1	-0.37445	-4.9033e-13	4.7741e-07
10	-0.37445	0	-0.37445	2.9454e-13	4.9033e-13

An approximation of the root was found with a value of -0.37445 and an error of 4.9033e-13

## 14. Tridiagonal Gaussian Elimination

```
read A,b ----- % Ax = b system
n = length(b)
% Check if matrix is tridiagonal
for i=1:n
   for j=1:n
       aij = A(i,j);
       if i = j or i-1 = j or i+1 = j
           if aij = 0
               "The given matrix is not tridiagonal"
               return
       else
           if aij != 0
               "The given matrix is not tridiagonal"
               return
    end
end
Ab = [A b] ----- % Augmented A|b matrix
diagp = zeros(n,1)
diagu = zeros(n-1,1)
diagl = zeros(n-1,1)
diagp(1) = A(1,1)
for i=2:n
   % Extract the elmenents from each diagonal
   diagl(i-1) = Ab(i,i-1)
   diagp(i) = Ab(i,i)
    diagu(i-1) = Ab(i-1,i)
```



```
% Making the diagonal below zeros
    M = diagl(i-1)/diagp(i-1) ----- % Multiplier
    diagp(i) = diagp(i)-M*diagu(i-1)
    diagl(i-1) = diagl(i-1)-M*diagp(i-1)
    b(i) = b(i) - M*b(i-1)
    Ab(i,i-1:i+1) = [diagl(i-1) diagp(i) diagu(i-1)]
    Ab(i,end) = b(i)
end
% Substitution
x(n) = b(n)/diagp(n)
for i=n-1 : -1 : 1
    x(i) = (b(i)-diagu(i)*x(i+1))/diagp(i);
end
print: x
Results
Stage 0:
 2.0400
         -1.0000
                            48.8000
                      0
 -1.0000
          2.0400
                   -1.0000
                            0.8000
    0
          -1.0000
                   2.0400
                            0.8000
Stage 2:
2.0400 -1.0000
                    0
                          48.8000
   0
         1.5498
                 -1.0000 24.7216
   0
        -1.0000
                  2.0400
                          0.8000
Stage 3:
2.0400 -1.0000
                    0
                          48.8000
   0
         1.5498
                 -1.0000 24.7216
   0
           0
                  1.3948
                          16.7514
Solution:
35.5397 \quad 23.7010 \quad 12.0103
```

The matrix given in Microsoft Teams to test Elimination Methods came out as not Tridiagonal.

## 15. Trisection

```
read f,a,b,Tol,N
```



```
if a \ge b
    The given interval is not valid, a is greater or equal to b
    return
end
if f(a) is 0
    The root is the given value for a
    return
end
if f(b) is 0
    The root is the given value for b
    return
end
if f(a)*f(b)>0
    There is no root in the given interval
    return
end
i=1;
xm1=(2*a+b)/3; %mid point 1
xm2=(2*b+a)/3; %mid point 2
Fxm1=f(xm1);
Fxm2=f(xm2);
if absolute value of Fxm1<= absolute value of Fxm2
    xm=xm1;
    Fxm=Fxm1;
else
    xm=xm2;
    Fxm=Fxm2;
end
E=absolute value of xm;
while E>Tol and i<N and Fxm1 different to 0
    if f(a)*Fxm1<0
        b=xm1;
    elseif Fxm1*Fxm2<0
        a=xm1;
        b=xm2;
    else
        a=xm2;
    end
    xm1=(2*a+b)/3
    xm2=(2*b+a)/3
    Fxm1=f(xm1)
    Fxm2=f(xm2)
    xant=xm
```



```
if absolute value of Fxm1<= absolute value of Fxm2
            xm=xm1
            Fxm=Fxm1
        else
            xm=xm2
            Fxm=Fxm2
        end
        E=absolute value of xm-xant
        i=i+1
    end
    if Fxm==0
        The root was found with a value of xm
        return
    end
    if E<=Tol
        An approximation of the root was found with a value of xm and an error of E
        return
    end
    if i==N
        The root was not found in the number of iterations given
        return
    end
end
```

ricsuris	i Cours									
Iteration	a	xn	b	f(xn)	E					
13	0.93640310025	0.9364043547	0.9364049819	-1.3098e-07	6.2723e-07					
14	0.93640435470	0.9364045637	0.9364049819	-9.9042e-09	2.0908e-07					
15	0.93640456377	0.93640463346	0.9364047728	3.0453e-08	6.9692e-08					

An approximation of the root was found with a value of 0.9364 and an error of 6.9692e-08

#### 16. Jacobi

```
function read A,b,x0,p,Tol,N
if det(A)==0
    error
D<- diagonal of A
L<- -lower triangular part of A +D
U<- -upper triangular part of A +D
T<- inverse matrix of D *(L+U)</pre>
```



Iteration	Error	x1	x2	x3	x4
50	1.5846e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
51	1.1941e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
52	8.9974e-08	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166

Answer 0.5251 0.2555

-0.4105

-0.2817

#### 17. Crout Factorization



end end

end

#### Results

```
Lower Triangular Matrix L
 4.0000
           0.0000
                       0.0000
                                  0.0000
 1.0000 \quad 15.7500 \quad 0.0000
                                  0.0000
 0.0000 \quad -1.3000 \quad -3.7524 \quad 0.0000
 14.0000 8.5000 -3.6190 13.9492
Upper Triangular Matrix U
 1.0000 -0.2500 \ 0.0000 \ 0.7500
 0.0000 \quad 1.0000 \quad 0.1905 \quad 0.4603
 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 1.0000 \quad -0.4526
 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 1.0000
Progressive substitution Lz=b
0.2500\ 0.0476\ -0.2830\ -0.2817
```

Regresive substitution Ux=z, solution

0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

## 18. Gauss Seidel Method

```
function read A,b,x0,p,Tol,N
if det(A) == 0
    error
D<- diagonal of A
L<- -lower triangular part of A +D
U<- -upper triangular part of A +D
T<- inverse matrix of D *(L+U)
C<-inverse matrix of D *b
ro<- maximum of |eigenvalues of T| %spectral radius of iteration matrix
0x->x
i<-0
E<-infinite
while E>Tol and i<N
    xprev<-x
    x<-T*xprev+C
    E<-p_norm of x-xprev
    i<-i+1
end
```



end

## Results

Iteration	Error	x1	x2	x3	x4
28	2.7736e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
29	1.6628e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
30	9.968e-08	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166

Answer 0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

#### 19. Doolittle Factorization

```
function read A
    [f,c]=size of A
    L= identity matrix of dimension f
    U=zero matrix of dimensions f,c
    for j=1 to c
        for i=1 to f
            if i<=j
                U(i,j)=A(i,j)
                U(i,j)=U(i,j)-[(L(i,1:i-1) \times Transpose matrix of U(1:i-1,j))]
            else
                L(i,j)=A(i,j)
                L(i,j)=L(i,j)-[(L(i,1:j-1) \times Transpose matrix of U(1:j-1,j)]
                 L(i,j)=L(i,j)/U(j,j)
            end
        end
    end
end
```

#### Results

Lower Triangular Matrix L



```
\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.2500 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & -0.0825 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 3.5000 & 0.5397 & 0.9645 & 1.0000 \end{array}
```

## Upper Triangular Matrix U

Progressive substitution Lz=b 1.0000 0.7500 1.0619 -3.9289

Regresive substitution Ux=z, solution 0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

## 20. Cholesky Factorization

end

```
function read A
[f,c]<-size of A
L<-zero matrix of dimenssions f,c
U<-zero matrix of dimenssions f,c
L(1,1)<-square root of A(1,1)
U(1,1) < -L(1,1);
L(2 \text{ to end}, 1) < -A(2 \text{ to end}, 1)/L(1, 1)
U(1,2:end) < -A(1,2:end)/L(1,1)
for j=2 to c
 for i=2 to f
  if i>j
   L(i,j) \leftarrow (A(i,j)-[(L(i,1:j-1) \times transpose matrix of U(1:j-1,j)]/L(j,j)
  else if i=j
   L(i,i) \leftarrow \text{square root of } (A(i,i)-[(L(i,1:j-1) \times \text{transpose matrix of } U(1:j-1,i)
   U(i,i)<-L(i,i)
  else
   U(i,j) \leftarrow (A(i,j)-[(L(i,1:j-1) \times transpose matrix of U(1:j-1,j)]/L(i,i)
  end
  end
end
```



Lower Triangular Matrix L

## Upper Triangular Matrix U

Progressive substitution Lz=b 0.5000 + 0.0000i 0.1890 + 0.0000i 0.0000 - 0.5482i -1.0520 + 0.0000i

Regresive substitution Ux=z, solution 0.4982 0.1477 0.1555 -0.2817

#### 21. SOR

```
function read A,b,x0,p,w,Tol,N
if determinant of A=0
    error
D<-diagonal of A
L<- -lower triangular part of A +D
U<- -upper triangular part of A +D
T<-inverse matrix of (D-w*L) * ((1-w)*D+w*U)
C<-w*inverse matrix of (D-w*L)*b
ro<-spectral radius of t
0x->x
i<-0
E<-inf
while E>Tol and i<N
    xprev<-x
    x<-T*xprev+C;
    E<-p_norm x-xprev
    i<-i+1
end
```



#### end

#### Results

Iteration	Error	x1	x2	x3	x4
33	1.8071e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
34	1.106e-07	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166
35	5.9459e-08	0.52511	0.25546	-0.41048	-0.28166

Answer 0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

# Progressive Substitution

```
function read L,B

f=rows of L

x=zero matrix of dimensions 1,f

x(1)=B(1)/L(1,1)

for i=2 to f

sum=0

for j=1 to i

sum=sum+L(i,j)*x(j)

end

x(i)=(B(i)-sum)/L(i,i)

end

end
```

## 22. LU Factorization Partial Pivot

```
function read A
[f,c]<-size of A
L<-identity matrix of dimension f
P<- identity matrix of dimension f
for j=1 to c-1
    col<-|A(j:f,j)|
    m<- maximum of col
    m<-m(1)
    row change of A and P
    if j>1
        rows and columns change of L
```



# Lower Triangular Matrix L

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.2500 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & -0.0825 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 3.5000 & 0.5397 & 0.9645 & 1.0000 \end{array}
```

# Upper Triangular Matrix U

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} 4.0000 & -1.0000 & 0.0000 & 3.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 15.7500 & 3.0000 & 7.2500 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & -3.7500 & 1.6984 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 13.9492 \end{array}
```

## Vector Pb

1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000

Progressive substitution Lz=Pb 1.0000 0.9286 1.0797 1.1745

Regresive substitution Ux=z, solution 0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

#### 23. LU Factorization



## Lower Triangular Matrix L

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.2500 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & -0.0825 & 1.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 3.5000 & 0.5397 & 0.9645 & 1.0000 \end{array}
```

# Upper Triangular Matrix U

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} 4.0000 & -1.0000 & 0.0000 & 3.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 15.7500 & 3.0000 & 7.2500 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & -3.7500 & 1.6984 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 13.9492 \end{array}
```

Progressive substitution Lz=b 1.0000 0.7500 1.0619 -3.9289

Regresive substitution Ux=z, solution 0.5251 0.2555 -0.4105 -0.2817

## 24. Lagrange Interpolation Method

begin



print yp ------ Lagrange polynomial at xp  $\mbox{\it end}$ 

For the Lagrange polynomial, the output would be the expression,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i(x_i) \tag{1}$$

where, for each iteration,

$$L_i(x) = \prod_{p} \frac{x - x_p}{x_i - x_p} \tag{2}$$

#### Results

Iteration	Li(x)
0	-0.05000*(x) (x - 3.00000) (x - 4.00000)
1	0.08333*(x + 1.00000) (x - 3.00000) (x - 4.00000)
2	-0.08333*(x + 1.00000) (x) (x - 4.00000)
3	0.05000*(x + 1.00000) (x) (x - 3.00000)

Polynomial Coefficients

-0.77500

0.25000

-0.66667

0.05000



```
Polynomial -0.775*(x)*(x - 3.00000)*(x - 4.00000) + 0.25*(x + 1.00000) (x - 3.00000) (x - 4.00000) - 0.66667*(x + 1.00000) (x) (x - 4.00000)
```

#### 25. Vandermonde's matrix method

```
begin
    input X, b ----- X = (x1, x2, ..., xn), and Y = (f(x1), f(x2), ..., f(xn))
initialize deg = length(X)
initialize a_i = zeros(deg, 1) ---- The vector of the coefficients

For i = 0 < degree, i++
    For j = 0; j < degree; j++
        A[i][j] = x[i]^-(j+1) ------ Vandermonde's Matrix
    end
end

output A, b

Use this output to solve the system of equaions A*a_1 = b with whatever method you prefer.

sol = GaussianElimination (A, b)
end</pre>
```

#### Results

Polynomial

```
Polynomial Coefficients
-1.14167
5.82500
-5.53333
3.00000
```

 $-1.14167x^3 + 5.82500x^2 - 5.53333x^1 + 3.00000$ 



# Newton's Method Divided differences method

**26**.

begin

end

The output  $F_{0,0},...,F_{i,i},...,F_{n,n}$  can be translated into the expression,

$$P(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} F_{i,i} * \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (x - x_j)$$
(3)

where P(x) is the Newton's polynomial.

#### Results

Xi	f(Xi)	1	2	3
-1	15.5	0	0	0
0	3	-12.5	0	0
3	8	1.6667	3.5417	0
4	1	-7	-2.1667	-1.1417

#### Polynomial Coefficients

15.50000

-12.50000

3.54167

-1.14167

## Polynomial

15.50000 - 12.50000(x+1.00000)+3.54167(x+1.00000)(x) - 1.14167(x+1.00000)(x)(x-3.00000)



#### **Splines**

end

1st, 2nd, and 3rd degree

```
begin
    input (X0, f(X0)), (X1, f(X1)), ..., (Xn, f(Xn))
    input degree
    if degree == 1
        for i = 0, i = n-1
            M_i = (f(X_i+1) - f(X_i))/(X_i+1 - X_i)
            return p(X) = f(X_i+1) - f(X_i) = M_i * (X - X_i)
        end
    end
    if degree == 2
        for i = 1, i = n
            p(X) = A_i*X_i^2 - B_i*X_i + C_i
        end
        for i = 2, i = n
            p(X) = 2*A_i-1*X_i-1 - B_i-1
        To be natural spline both p(X) must be equal to 0 in both cases
        return p(X)
    end
    if degree == 3
        for i = 1, i < n
            p(X) = A_i*X_i^3 + B_i*X_i^2 + C_i*X_i + D_i
        end
        for i = 2, i = n
            p(X) = 3*A_i-1*X_i-1^2 + 2*B_i-1*X_i-1 + C_i-1
        end
        for i = 3, i = n
            p(X) = 6*A_i-1*X_0 + 2*B_i-1 = 0
        To be natural spline, it must follow that
            -6*A_i-1*X_0 + B_i-1 = 0
            -6*A_i*X_n + B_i = 0
        return p(X)
    end
```



# Lineal

Iteration	Coefficient a	Coefficient b
0	-12.5	3
1	1.6667	3
2	-7	29

Iteration	Spline
0	-12.50000x + 3.00000
1	1.66667x + 3.00000
2	-7.00000x + 29.00000

# Cuadratic

Iteration	Coefficient a	Coefficient b	Coefficient c
0	0	-12.5	3
1	4.7222	-12.5	3
2	-22.833	152.83	-245

Iteration	Spline
0	$0.000000x^2 - 12.50000x + 3.00000$
1	$4.72222x^2 - 12.50000x + 3.00000$
2	$-22.83333x^2 + 152.83333x - 245.00000$

# Cubic

Iteration	Coefficient a	Coefficient b	Coefficient c	Coefficient d
0	2.5333	7.6	-7.4333	3
1	-1.5222	7.6	-7.4333	3
2	2.0333	-24.4	88.567	-93

Iteration	Spline
0	$2.53333x^3 + 7.60000x^2 - 7.43333x + 3.00000$
1	$-1.52222x^3 + 7.60000x^2 - 7.43333x + 3.00000$
2	$2.03333x^3 - 24.40000x^2 + 88.56667x - 93.00000$

31