

# The Ecological Effects of Trait Variation in a $u$ -Predator, $v$ -Prey System (draft)

---

Sam Fleischer, Pablo Chavarria, Casey terHorst, Jing Li  
Start Date: March 2014 - - Today's Date: March 26, 2015

## The Model

### Attack Rate as a Function of Predator and Prey Trait Values

Let  $M_i(t)$  be the density of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  predator species, and let  $N_j(t)$  be the density of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  prey species. Let  $\overline{m}_i(t)$  be the mean of a single quantitative trait in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  predator species, and let  $\overline{n}_j(t)$  be the mean of a single quantitative trait in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  prey species. Suppose the traits are normally distributed, and stay normally distributed, with  $\sigma_i^2$  as the constant variance of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  predator species, and with  $\beta_j^2$  as the constant variance of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  prey species. Their distributions are given by:

$$p(m_i, \overline{m}_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(m_i - \overline{m}_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right]$$
$$p(n_j, \overline{n}_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta_j^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(n_j - \overline{n}_j)^2}{2\beta_j^2} \right]$$

Assume all of the species' phenotypic variances have genetic and environment components:

$$\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_{Gi}^2 + \sigma_{Ei}^2$$
$$\beta_j^2 = \beta_{Gj}^2 + \beta_{Ej}^2$$

Let  $a_{ij}(m_i, n_j)$  be the attack rate of an individual predator from species  $i$  on an individual prey from species  $j$ . Supposing the attack rate is optimal at  $\alpha_{ij}$  when the predator's trait and prey's trait are at an optimal difference  $\theta_{ij}$ , and decreases in a Gaussian manner as the trait's deviate from that difference, then

$$a_{ij}(m_i, n_j) = \alpha_{ij} \exp \left[ -\frac{((m_i - n_j) - \theta_{ij})^2}{2\tau_{ij}^2} \right]$$

where  $\tau_{ij}$  determines how phenotypically specialized a predator individual of species  $i$  must be to use a prey individual of species  $j$ . Let  $\overline{a}_{ij}(\overline{m}_i, \overline{n}_j)$  be the mean attack rate of predator species  $i$  on prey species  $j$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{a}_{ij}(\overline{m}_i, \overline{n}_j) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij}(m_i, n_j) \cdot p(m_i, \overline{m}_i) \cdot p(n_j, \overline{n}_j) dm_i dn_j \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{ij}\tau_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + \beta_j^2 + \tau_{ij}^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{((\overline{m}_i - \overline{n}_j) - \theta_{ij})^2}{2(\sigma_i^2 + \beta_j^2 + \tau_{ij}^2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

## Fitness Assumptions

Let  $u$  be the number of predator species, and let  $v$  be the number of prey species. Assuming predators have a linear functional response, convert the consumed prey into offspring with efficiencies  $e_{ij}$ , and experience a per-capita mortality rate  $d_i$ , then the fitness of a predator with phenotype  $m_i$  is

$$W_i(m_i, [N]_1^v, [n]_1^v) = \sum_{j=1}^v (e_{ij} a_{ij}(m_i, n_j) N_j) - d_i$$

and thus the mean fitness of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  predator population is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{W}_i(\overline{m}_i, [N]_1^v, [\overline{n}]_1^v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{v+1}} W_i(m_i, [N]_1^v, [n]_1^v) p(m_i, \overline{m}_i) \prod_{j=1}^v [p(n_j, \overline{n}_j)] dm_i \prod_{j=1}^v [dn_j] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^v (e_{ij} \overline{a}_{ij}(\overline{m}_i, \overline{n}_j) N_j) - d_i \end{aligned}$$

Suppose prey species  $j$  experiences logistic-type growth in the absence of predators with carrying capacity  $K_j$  and intrinsic growth rate  $r_j$ . However, assume the intrinsic growth rate varies as a function of the prey individual's trait value. Assume the contribution of a prey individual to its population decreases in a Gaussian manner as the trait value deviates away from an optimal trait value for that species,  $\phi_j$ . Let  $\rho_j$  be the maximal contribution rate and  $\gamma_j$  be the “cost variance”. In other words,

$$r_j(n_j) = \rho_j \exp \left[ -\frac{(n_j - \phi_j)^2}{2\gamma_j^2} \right]$$

Thus, the average intrinsic growth rate for the prey population is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_j(\overline{n}_j) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r_j(n_j) p(n_j, \overline{n}_j) dn_j \\ &= \frac{\rho_j \gamma_j}{\sqrt{\beta_j^2 + \gamma_j^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(n_j - \phi_j)^2}{2(\beta_j^2 + \gamma_j^2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Define the fitness of prey individuals with phenotype  $n_j$  as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j(N_j, n_j, [M]_1^u, [m]_1^u) &= r_j(n_j) \left( 1 - \frac{N_j}{K_j} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^u (a_{ij}(m_i, n_j) M_i) \\ &= \rho_j \exp \left[ -\frac{(n_j - \phi_j)^2}{2\gamma_j^2} \right] \left( 1 - \frac{N_j}{K_j} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^u (a_{ij}(m_i, n_j) M_i) \end{aligned}$$

and thus the mean fitness of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  prey population is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Y}_j(N_j, \overline{n}_j, [M]_1^u, [\overline{m}]_1^u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{u+1}} Y_j(N_j, n_j, [M]_1^u, [m]_1^u) \prod_{i=1}^u [p(m_i, \overline{m}_i)] p(n_j, \overline{n}_j) \prod_{i=1}^u [dm_i] dn_j \\ &= \bar{r}_j(\overline{n}_j) \left( 1 - \frac{N_j}{K_j} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^u \overline{a}_{ij}(\overline{m}_i, \overline{n}_j) M_i \end{aligned}$$

## Ecological Dynamics

The ecological dynamics of the model (population densities) are given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dM_i}{dt} &= M_i \bar{W}_i(\bar{m}_i, [N]_1^v, [\bar{n}]_1^v) \\ \frac{dN_j}{dt} &= N_j \bar{Y}_j(N_j, \bar{n}_j, [M]_1^u, [\bar{m}]_1^u) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

## Evolutionary Dynamics

Assume the evolution of the mean trait value is always in the direction which increases the mean fitness in the population. Thus the evolutionary dynamics are given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\bar{m}_i}{dt} &= \sigma_{G_i}^2 \frac{\partial \bar{W}_i}{\partial \bar{m}_i} \\ \frac{d\bar{n}_j}{dt} &= \beta_{G_j}^2 \frac{\partial \bar{Y}_j}{\partial \bar{n}_j} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_i}{\partial \bar{m}_i} &= \sum_{j=1}^v \left[ \frac{e_{ij} N_j (\theta_{ij} - (\bar{m}_i - \bar{n}_j))}{\sigma_i^2 + \beta_j^2 + \tau_{ij}^2} \cdot \bar{a}_{ij}(\bar{m}_i, \bar{n}_j) \right] \\ \frac{\partial \bar{Y}_j}{\partial \bar{n}_j} &= \bar{r}_j(\bar{n}_j) \left( 1 - \frac{N_j}{K_j} \right) \frac{(\phi_j - \bar{n}_j)}{\beta_j^2 + \gamma_j^2} + \sum_{i=1}^u \left[ \frac{M_i (\theta_{ij} - (\bar{m}_i - \bar{n}_j))}{\sigma_i^2 + \beta_j^2 + \tau_{ij}^2} \cdot \bar{a}_{ij}(\bar{m}_i, \bar{n}_j) \right] \end{aligned}$$

## The $1 \times 1$ Model

For example, the  $1 \times 1$  model is a four-dimensional system given by

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = \frac{dM}{dt} &= M \bar{W}(\bar{m}, N, \bar{n}) &= M [e \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) N - d] \\ f_2 = \frac{dN}{dt} &= N \bar{Y}(N, \bar{n}, M, \bar{m}) &= N \left[ \bar{r}(\bar{n}) \left( 1 - \frac{N}{K} \right) - \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) M \right] \\ f_3 = \frac{d\bar{m}}{dt} &= \sigma_G^2 \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{m}} &= \sigma_G^2 \left[ \frac{e N (\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{\sigma^2 + \beta^2 + \tau^2} \cdot \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) \right] \\ f_4 = \frac{d\bar{n}}{dt} &= \beta_G^2 \frac{\partial \bar{Y}}{\partial \bar{n}} &= \beta_G^2 \left[ \bar{r}(\bar{n}) \left( 1 - \frac{N}{K} \right) \frac{(\phi - \bar{n})}{\beta^2 + \gamma^2} + \frac{M (\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{\sigma^2 + \beta^2 + \tau^2} \cdot \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) \right] \end{cases}$$

## Equilibrium Analysis

To find the equilibria of this system, we set each equation to zero, i.e.  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = 0$ .

$$f_3 = 0 \implies N = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{m} - \bar{n} = \theta$$

Let's consider the non-trivial solution, and thus  $\bar{m} - \bar{n} = \theta$ . Then  $\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) = \frac{\alpha\tau}{\sqrt{A}}$  where  $A = \sigma^2 + \beta^2 + \tau^2$ .

$$f_1 = 0 \implies M = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad N = \frac{d}{e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})} = \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau}$$

Again, let's consider the non-trivial solution, and thus  $\frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau}$ .

$$f_4 = 0 \implies N = K \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{n} = \phi$$

Since  $M \neq 0$ , then  $f_2 = 0 \implies N \neq K$ . Thus  $\bar{n} = \phi$ , and thus  $\bar{m} = \theta + \phi$  and  $\bar{r}(\bar{n}) = \frac{\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}}$  where  $B = \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ .

$$f_2 = 0 \implies M = \frac{\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau K} \right)$$

So the coexistence equilibrium solution is

$$C^* = (M^*, N^*, \bar{m}^*, \bar{n}^*) = \left( \frac{\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau K} \right), \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau}, \theta + \phi, \phi \right)$$

To check for local stability, we find eigenvalues of the Jacobian of the system evaluated at  $C^*$ .

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial M} &= e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})N - d \\
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial N} &= e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})M \\
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{m}} &= \frac{MNe(\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{A}\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) \\
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{n}} &= \frac{MNe((\bar{m} - \bar{n}) - \theta)}{A}\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial M} &= -\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})N \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial N} &= \bar{r}(\bar{n})\left(1 - \frac{2N}{K}\right) - \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})M \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \bar{m}} &= -\frac{MN(\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{A}\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \bar{n}} &= N\left[\frac{\phi - \bar{n}}{B}\bar{r}(\bar{n})\left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right) - \frac{M((\bar{m} - \bar{n}) - \theta)}{A}\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})\right] \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial M} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial N} &= \frac{\sigma_G^2 e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})(\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{A} \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \bar{m}} &= -\frac{\sigma_G^2 e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})N}{A}\left[1 - \frac{(\bar{m} - \bar{n} - \theta)^2}{A}\right] \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \bar{n}} &= \frac{\sigma_G^2 e\bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})N}{A}\left[1 - \frac{(\bar{m} - \bar{n} - \theta)^2}{A}\right] \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial M} &= \frac{\beta_G^2 \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})(\theta - (\bar{m} - \bar{n}))}{A} \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial N} &= -\frac{\beta_G^2 \bar{r}(\bar{n})(\phi - \bar{n})}{kB} \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \bar{m}} &= -\frac{\beta_G^2 \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})M}{A}\left[1 - \frac{(\bar{m} - \bar{n} - \theta)^2}{A}\right] \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \bar{n}} &= \frac{\beta_G^2 \bar{r}(\bar{n})\left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right)}{B}\left[\frac{(\phi - \bar{n})^2}{B} - 1\right] + \frac{\beta_G^2 \bar{a}(\bar{m}, \bar{n})M}{A}\left[1 - \frac{(\bar{m} - \bar{n} - \theta)^2}{A}\right]
\end{cases}$$

**Special Case:**  $M^* = \frac{\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right), N = \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}$

$E^* = \left(\frac{\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right), \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}, \theta + \phi, \phi\right)$ . Then  $J^* = J|_{E^*} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{d}{e} & -\frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} & \frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{A\sqrt{B}} & \frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{B}} \left[\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{B}\right] \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial is

$$P(\lambda) = |\lambda I - J^*| = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & \frac{e\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{d}{e} & \lambda + \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda + \frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} & \frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{A\sqrt{B}} & \lambda - \frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{B}} \left[\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{B}\right] \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & \frac{e\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right) \\ -\frac{d}{e} & \lambda + \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \lambda + \frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} & \frac{\sigma_G^2 d}{A} \\ -\frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{A\sqrt{B}} & \lambda - \frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{B}} \left[\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{B}\right] \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= P_1(\lambda) \cdot P_2(\lambda)$$

Thus the zeros of  $P(\lambda)$  are the zeros of both  $P_1(\lambda)$  and  $P_2(\lambda)$ .

$$P_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \lambda \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} + \frac{d\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left(1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \pm \sqrt{\Delta} \right]$$

Where  $\Delta = \left( \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \right)^2 - \frac{4d\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau} \right)$ . Since  $N^* = \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau} < K$ ,  $\sqrt{\Delta} < \left| \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \right|$ . Thus  $\text{Re}(\lambda_{1,2}) < 0 \iff \left( \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \right)^2 > \frac{4d\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau} \right)$ . So the co-existence equilibrium is stable if

$$\left( \frac{d\rho\gamma\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau\sqrt{B}} \right)^2 > \frac{4d\rho\gamma}{\sqrt{B}} \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau} \right)$$

For simplicity, let  $C = \frac{d\sigma_G^2}{A}$ ,  $D = \frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau} \right)}{A\sqrt{B}}$ ,  $E = \frac{\beta_G^2 \rho\gamma \left( 1 - \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{Ke\alpha\tau} \right)}{B^{3/2}}$

$$P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + (C + E - D)\lambda + CE = 0$$

$$\implies \lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -(C + E - D) \pm \sqrt{\Delta} \right]$$

Where

$$\Delta = (C + E - D)^2 - 4CE$$

Again, since  $N^* = \frac{d\sqrt{A}}{e\alpha\tau} < K$  and  $C, D, E > 0$ ,  $\sqrt{\Delta} < |C + E - D|$ . Thus  $\text{Re}(\lambda_{3,4}) < 0 \iff C + E > D$  and  $C^2 + D^2 + E^2 > 2(CD + DE + CE)$ . So the coexistence equilibrium is stable if

$$C + E > D$$

$$C^2 + D^2 + E^2 > 2(CD + DE + CE)$$