Reactive Designs in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock Samuel Canham

October 21, 2021

Abstract

Reactive designs combine the UTP theories of reactive processes and designs to characterise reactive programs. Whereas sequential imperative programs are expected to run until termination, reactive programs pause at instances to allow interaction with the environment using abstract events, and often do not terminate at all. Thus, whereas a design describes the precondition and postcondition for a program, to characterise initial and final states, a reactive design also has a "pericondition", which characterises intermediate quiescent observations. This gives rise to a notion of "reactive contract", which specifies the assumptions a program makes of its environment, and the guarantees it will make of its own behaviour in both intermediate and final observations. This Isabelle/UTP document mechanises the UTP theory of reactive designs, including its healthiness conditions, signature, and a large library of algebraic laws of reactive programming.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions	3
	2.1 Preliminaries	 3
	2.2 Identities	 4
	2.3 RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces	 4
	2.4 R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3	
	2.5 RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination	 9
	2.6 Major healthiness conditions	
	2.7 UTP theories	
3	Reactive Design Specifications	15
	3.1 Reactive design forms	 15
	3.2 Auxiliary healthiness conditions	 17
	3.3 Composition laws	 18
	3.4 Refinement introduction laws	 25
	3.5 Distribution laws	 26
4	Reactive Design Triples	27
	4.1 Diamond notation	 27
	4.2 Export laws	
	4.3 Pre-, peri-, and postconditions	
	4.3.1 Definitions	
	4.3.2 Unrestriction laws	

		4.3.3	Substitution	laws				 •		 			•						30
		4.3.4	Healthiness 1	laws						 									32
		4.3.5	Calculation	laws						 									34
	4.4	Format	ion laws							 									36
		4.4.1	Regular							 									36
		4.4.2	Stateful							 									38
		4.4.3	Order laws							 									39
	4.5		sition laws.																40
			Regular																40
			Stateful																42
	4.6		nent introduc																46
	2.0		Regular																46
			Stateful																46
	4.7		e laws																49
	1.1		Regular																49
			Stateful																49
	4.8																		
			ution laws .																50
	4.9		aic laws																
	4.10	Recursi	on laws					 •		 	 ٠		•	•			 •	٠	53
5	Nor	mal Ro	active Desi	ione															5 4
J	5.1		neory	0															70
	0.1	011 01	leory		. 			 •	• •	 	 •	• •	•	•	• •		 •	•	70
6	Syn	tax for	reactive de	esign co	ntrac	cts													71
	· ·																		
7	Rea	ctive d	esign tactio	:s															7 3
8	Rea	ctive d	esign paral	lel-by-n	nerge	.													74
0	8.1		le basic merg	•	_														90
	8.2	_	_	_															90
	0.2	DILLIDIC	narallel com	position			• •	 •	• •	 	 •		•	•			 •	•	50
		•	parallel com																
9	Pro	-	parallel com Reactive 1	Designs															91
9	Pro 9.1	$\frac{1}{2}$	-	_				 		 									
9		ductive Healthi	Reactive I	on															91
9	9.1 9.2	ductive Healthi Reactiv	Reactive 1	on culations						 						• •			91 93
9	9.1 9.2	ductive Healthi Reactiv	Reactive I	on culations						 						• •			91 93
	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure	Reactive I ness condition to design calculate laws	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 		 						• •			91 93 94 96
	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure	Reactive I ness condition design calculation e laws	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 		 						• •			91 93 94 96
	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure	Reactive I ness condition to design calculate laws	on				 		 						• •			91 93 94 96 96
	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2	ductive Healthi Reactiv Closure arded R Traces Guarde	Reactive I ness condition to design calculate laws	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 		 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure urded R Traces Guarde Tail rec	Reactive I ness condition to design calculate laws	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 		 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure rded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D	Reactive I ness condition to design calculation to the condition of the co	on		ions .		 		 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97 101
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure rded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D	Reactive I ness condition to design calculate laws	on		ions .		 		 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97 101
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure arded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State s	Reactive I ness condition to design calculation to the condition of the co	on				 		 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97 101 103
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure urded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State so Assignm	Reactive I ness condition de design calce e laws	on		ions .				 	 						 		91 93 94 96 97 101 103
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1 11.2 11.3	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State st Assignt Conditi	Reactive I ness condition to design calculation with a size number of the design Programment	on		ions .				 	 					• • •	 		91 93 94 96 96 97 101 103 103 105
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure rded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State st Assignr Conditi Assump	Reactive I ness condition to design calculation with a size number of the design Programment	on		ions .				 	 						 		91 93 94 96 96 97 101 103 103 105
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure urded R Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State so Assignm Conditi Assump	Reactive I ness conditions conditions to design calculations with a size medians	neasure		ions .													91 93 94 96 97 101 103 103 105 105
10	9.1 9.2 9.3 Gua 10.1 10.2 10.3 Rea 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4 11.5	ductive Healthi Reactive Closure Traces Guarde Tail rec ctive D State s Assign Conditi Assump Guarde Genera	Reactive I ness condition to design calculation with a size number of the design Programment constitution and the design Programment constitutions and commands and command	neasure		ions .													91 93 94 96 96 97 101 103 103 105 107

13 M	ta-theory for Reactive Designs 128
12 In	cantaneous Reactive Designs 124
11.	6State Invariants
	5Lifting designs to reactive designs
11.	4Algebraic Laws
11.	3Substitution Laws
11.	2Iteration Construction
11.	1While Loop
11.	0Reactive Frames
11.	State Abstraction

1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [2] of our theory of reactive designs. Reactive designs form an important semantic foundation for reactive modelling languages such as Circus [3]. For more details of this work, please see our recent paper [1].

2 Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}rdes\text{-}healths \\ \textbf{imports} \ UTP-Reactive.utp\text{-}reactive \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

2.1 Preliminaries

```
{f named-theorems}\ rdes\ {f and}\ rdes{-}def\ {f and}\ RD{-}elim
```

```
type-synonym ('s,'t) rdes = ('s,'t,unit) hrel-rsp
```

translations

```
(\mathit{type})~('s,'t)~\mathit{rdes} <= (\mathit{type})~('s,~'t,~\mathit{unit})~\mathit{hrel-rsp}
```

```
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
lemma R2-st-ex: R2 (\exists $st \cdot P) = (\exists $st \cdot R2(P))
by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-st'-eq-st:
R2s($st =_u $st) = ($st =_u $st)
by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-st'-eq-st:
R2c($st =_u $st) = ($st =_u $st)
by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R1-des-lift-skip: R1(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
by (rel-auto)
lemma R2-des-lift-skip: R2(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma R1-R2c-ex-st: R1 (R2c (\exists \$st \cdot Q_1)) = (\exists \$st \cdot R1 (R2c Q_1))
by (rel-auto)
```

2.2 Identities

We define two identities fro reactive designs, which correspond to the regular and state-sensitive versions of reactive designs, respectively. The former is the one used in the UTP book and related publications for CSP.

```
definition skip\text{-rea} :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \text{ hrel-rp } (II_C) \text{ where}
skip\text{-}rea\text{-}def [urel\text{-}defs]: II_C = (II \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$t\acute{r}))
definition skip\text{-}srea :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rsp \ (II_R) where
skip\text{-}srea\text{-}def [urel\text{-}defs]: II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II_C)
lemma skip-rea-R1-lemma: II_C = R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-rea-form: II_C = (II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-form: II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-skip-rea: R1(II_C) = II_C
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-skip-rea: R2c II_C = II_C
  by (simp add: skip-rea-def R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-skip-r R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-tr-le-tr')
lemma R2-skip-rea: R2(II_C) = II_C
 by (metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-skip-rea R2c-skip-rea)
lemma R2c-skip-srea: R2c(II_R) = II_R
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma skip-srea-R1 [closure]: II_R is R1
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-R2c [closure]: II_R is R2c
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-skip-srea)
lemma skip-srea-R2 [closure]: II_R is R2
  by (metis Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2c-skip-srea skip-srea-R1)
```

2.3 RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces

```
definition RD1 :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp where [upred-defs]: RD1(P) = (P \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$t\acute{r}))
```

```
RD1 is essentially H1 from the theory of designs, but viewed through the prism of reactive
processes.
lemma RD1-idem: RD1(RD1(P)) = RD1(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-Idempotent: Idempotent RD1
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD1-idem)
lemma RD1-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD1(P) \sqsubseteq RD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-Monotonic: Monotonic RD1
 using mono-def RD1-mono by blast
lemma RD1-Continuous: Continuous RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-true-RD1-closed [closure]: R1(true) is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P[false/\$wait] is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait'-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P[[false/$wait]] is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-seq: RD1(RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)) = RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-seq-closure [closure]: [P \text{ is RD1}; Q \text{ is RD1}] \implies P ;; Q \text{ is RD1}
 by (metis Healthy-def' RD1-seq)
lemma RD1-R1-commute: RD1(R1(P)) = R1(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R2c-commute: RD1(R2c(P)) = R2c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-via-R1: R1(H1(P)) = RD1(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R1-cases: RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-rea-RD1-skip: II_C = RD1(II)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-RD1 [closure]: II_R is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ RD1-algebraic-intro:
 assumes
   P \text{ is } R1 (R1(true_h);; P) = R1(true_h) (II_C;; P) = P
```

shows P is RD1

proof -

```
have P = (II_C ;; P)
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(3))
 also have ... = (R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; P)
   by (simp add: skip-rea-R1-lemma)
 also have ... = (((\neg \$ok \land R1(true)) ;; P) \lor P)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl skip-rea-R1-lemma skip-rea-def utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left
utp-pred-laws.sup-commute)
 also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; (R1(true_h) ;; P)) \lor P)
   using dual-order.trans by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; R1(true_h)) \lor P)
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
 also have ... = (R1(\neg \$ok) \lor P)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have \dots = RD1(P)
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
theorem RD1-left-zero:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (R1(true) ;; P) = R1(true)
proof -
 have (R1(true) ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(true)
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
theorem RD1-left-unit:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (II_C ;; P) = P
proof -
 have (II_C ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(RD1(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
lemma RD1-alt-def:
 assumes P is R1
 shows RD1(P) = (P \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
proof -
 have RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
theorem RD1-algebraic:
 assumes P is R1
 shows P is RD1 \longleftrightarrow (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) \land (II_C ;; P) = P
 using RD1-algebraic-intro RD1-left-unit RD1-left-zero assms by blast
```

2.4 R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3

```
definition R3c :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ where
[upred-defs]: R3c(P) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition R3h :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
R3h\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs]: R3h(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c-idem: R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-Idempotent: Idempotent R3c
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3c-idem)
lemma R3c-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3c(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-Monotonic: Monotonic R3c
 by (simp add: mono-def R3c-mono)
lemma R3c-Continuous: Continuous R3c
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-idem: R3h(R3h(P)) = R3h(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Idempotent: Idempotent R3h
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3h-idem)
lemma R3h-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Monotonic: Monotonic R3h
 by (simp add: mono-def R3h-mono)
lemma R3h-Continuous: Continuous R3h
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-inf: R3h(P \sqcap Q) = R3h(P) \sqcap R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-UINF:
  A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow R3h(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \in A \cdot R3h(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cond: R3h(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3h(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3h(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-via-RD1-R3: RD1(R3(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-RD1-def: P is <math>RD1 \Longrightarrow R3c(P) = RD1(R3(P))
 by (simp add: Healthy-if R3c-via-RD1-R3)
lemma RD1-R3c-commute: RD1(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R1-R3c-commute: R1(R3c(P)) = R3c(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-R3c-commute: R2c(R3c(P)) = R3c(R2c(P))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma R1-R3h-commute: R1(R3h(P)) = R3h(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-R3h-commute: R2c(R3h(P)) = R3h(R2c(P))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma RD1-R3h-commute: RD1(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-cancels-R3: R3c(R3(P)) = R3c(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3-cancels-R3c: R3(R3c(P)) = R3(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cancels-R3c: R3h(R3c(P)) = R3h(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-semir-form:
 (R3c(P) ;; R3c(R1(Q))) = R3c(P ;; R3c(R1(Q)))
 by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans)
lemma R3h-semir-form:
 (R3h(P) ;; R3h(R1(Q))) = R3h(P ;; R3h(R1(Q)))
 by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans, blast+)
lemma R3c-seq-closure:
 assumes P is R3c Q is R3c Q is R1
 shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
 by (metis Healthy-def' R3c-semir-form assms)
lemma R3h-seq-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is R3h Q is R3h Q is R1
 shows (P :; Q) is R3h
 by (metis Healthy-def' R3h-semir-form assms)
lemma R3c-R3-left-seq-closure:
 assumes P is R3 Q is R3c
 shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
proof -
 have (P :; Q) = ((P :; Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P :; Q))
   by (metis cond-var-split cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens)
 also have ... = (((II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) ;; Q)[true/\$wait] \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
   by (metis Healthy-def' R3-def assms(1))
 also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait]]; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P; Q))
   by (subst-tac)
 also have ... = (((II \land \$wait) ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-pos-var-subst seqr-pre-var-out skip-var utp-pred-laws.inf-left-idem
```

```
wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait]]; Q[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
       \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unrest-ouvar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unre
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((II \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket ;; (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
            by (metis\ Healthy-def'\ R3c-def\ assms(2))
      also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait]]; II_C[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
            \mathbf{by} \ (subst-tac)
     also have ... = (((II \land \$wait) ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
       \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unrest-ouvar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unre
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((II ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
            by (simp add: cond-def seqr-pre-transfer utp-rel.unrest-ouvar)
      also have ... = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
            by simp
      also have ... = R3c(P;;Q)
            by (simp \ add: R3c\text{-}def)
     finally show ?thesis
            by (simp add: Healthy-def')
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{R3c\text{-}cases} \colon \mathit{R3c}(P) = ((\mathit{II} \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$\mathit{ok} \mathrel{\vartriangleright} \mathit{R1}(\mathit{true})) \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$\mathit{wait} \mathrel{\vartriangleright} P)
     by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cases: R3h(P) = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
      by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-form: R3h(P) = II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
      by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-subst-wait: R3c(P) = R3c(P_f)
      by (simp add: R3c-def cond-var-subst-right)
lemma R3h-subst-wait: R3h(P) = R3h(P_f)
      \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R3h\text{-}cases\ cond\text{-}var\text{-}subst\text{-}right)
lemma skip-srea-R3h [closure]: II_R is R3h
     by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-wait-true:
      assumes P is R3h
     shows P_t = II_{R_t}
proof -
      have P_t = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)_t
           by (metis Healthy-if R3h-form assms)
      also have ... = II_{R\ t}
            by (simp add: usubst)
     finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R3c-refines-R3h: R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(P)
      by (rel-auto)
```

2.5 RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination

definition RD2 where

```
[upred-defs]: RD2(P) = H2(P)
RD2 is just H2 since the type system will automatically have J identifying the reactive variables
as required.
lemma RD2-idem: RD2(RD2(P)) = RD2(P)
 by (simp add: H2-idem RD2-def)
lemma RD2-Idempotent: Idempotent RD2
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD2-idem)
lemma RD2-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD2(P) \sqsubseteq RD2(Q)
 by (simp add: H2-def RD2-def seqr-mono)
lemma RD2-Monotonic: Monotonic RD2
 using mono-def RD2-mono by blast
lemma RD2-Continuous: Continuous RD2
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-RD2-commute: RD1(RD2(P)) = RD2(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3c-commute: RD2(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3h-commute: RD2(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
     Major healthiness conditions
definition RH :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ (\mathbf{R})
where [upred-defs]: RH(P) = R1(R2c(R3c(P)))
definition RHS :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (\mathbf{R}_s)
where [upred-defs]: RHS(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(P)))
definition RD :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp
where [upred-defs]: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(RP(P)))
definition SRD :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: SRD(P) = RD1(RD2(RHS(P)))
lemma RH-comp: RH = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3c
 by (auto simp add: RH-def)
lemma RHS-comp: RHS = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3h
 by (auto simp add: RHS-def)
lemma RD-comp: RD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RP
 by (auto simp add: RD-def)
lemma SRD\text{-}comp: SRD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RHS
 by (auto simp add: SRD-def)
lemma RH-idem: \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(P)
```

```
RH-def)
lemma RH-Idempotent: Idempotent \mathbf R
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RH-idem)
lemma RH-Monotonic: Monotonic R
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-Monotonic R2c-Monotonic R3c-mono RH-def mono-def)
lemma RH-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q)
 using mono-def RH-Monotonic by blast
lemma RH-Continuous: Continuous \mathbf R
  by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3c-Continuous RH-comp)
lemma RHS-idem: \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P)
 by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-idem R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RHS-def)
lemma RHS-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RHS-idem)
lemma RHS-Monotonic: Monotonic \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RHS-def)
lemma RHS-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
  using mono-def RHS-Monotonic by blast
lemma RHS-Continuous [closure]: Continuous \mathbf{R}_s
  by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3h-Continuous RHS-comp)
lemma RHS-inf: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 using Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def RHS-Continuous by auto
lemma RHS-INF:
  A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-UINF R2c-USUP R1-USUP)
lemma RHS-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcup Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-SUP:
  A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-cond: \mathbf{R}_s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(P) \triangleleft R2c \ b \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(Q))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-cond R2c-condr R1-cond)
lemma RD-alt-def: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)))
by (simp add: R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-RD2-commute
RH-def RD-def RP-def)
lemma RD1-RH-commute: RD1(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RH-def)
```

by (simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem R2c-R3c-commute R2c-idem R3c-idem

lemma RD2-RH-commute: $RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD2(P))$

```
by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def RH-def)
lemma RD-idem: RD(RD(P)) = RD(P)
by (simp add: RD-alt-def RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem RD1-idem
RH-idem)
lemma RD-Monotonic: Monotonic RD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RD-comp RP-Monotonic)
lemma RD-Continuous: Continuous RD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RD-comp RP-Continuous)
lemma R3-RD-RP: R3(RD(P)) = RP(RD1(RD2(P)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-cancels-R3c RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute
RD-alt-def RH-def RP-def)
lemma RD-healths [closure]:
 assumes P is RD
 shows P is R1 P is R2 P is R3c P is RD1 P is RD2
 apply (metis Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RH-def RD-alt-def assms)
apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RH-def RD-alt-def
apply (metis Healthy-def R3-RD-RP R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RH-commute RD2-R3c-commute
RD2-RH-commute RD-alt-def RD-def assms)
 apply (metis Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def RD1-Idempotent RD-alt-def assms)
 apply (metis H2-idem Healthy-def RD1-RD2-commute RD2-def RD-def assms)
 done
lemma RD1-RHS-commute: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RHS-def)
lemma RD2-RHS-commute: RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD2(P))
 by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def)
lemma SRD-idem: SRD(SRD(P)) = SRD(P)
  by (simp add: RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem
RHS-idem SRD-def)
lemma SRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent SRD
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def SRD-idem)
lemma SRD-Monotonic: Monotonic SRD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RHS-Monotonic SRD-comp)
lemma SRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous SRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RHS-Continuous SRD-comp)
lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma SRD-healths [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
 apply (metis Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms)
```

apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def

```
SRD-def assms)
  \mathbf{apply} \ (metis\ Healthy-def\ R1-R3h-commute\ R2c-R3h-commute\ R3h-idem\ RD1-R3h-commute\ RD2-R3h-commute\ RD2-R3h-commut
RHS-def SRD-def assms)
   apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-idem SRD-def assms)
   apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem SRD-def assms)
done
lemma SRD-intro:
   assumes P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
   shows P is SRD
   by (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def SRD-def assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5))
lemma SRD-ok-false [usubst]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P[false/\$ok] = R1(true)
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H1-H2-eq-design Healthy-def R1-ok-false RD1-R1-commute RD1-via-R1
RD2-def SRD-def SRD-healths(1) design-ok-false)
lemma SRD-ok-true-wait-true [usubst]:
   assumes P is SRD
   shows P[true, true/\$ok, \$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
proof -
    have P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \ true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
       by (metis Healthy-def R3h-cases SRD-healths(3) assms)
     moreover have ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \ true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot II)
II)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
       by (simp add: usubst)
   ultimately show ?thesis
       by (simp)
qed
lemma SRD-left-zero-1: P is SRD \Longrightarrow R1(true) ;; P = R1(true)
   by (simp add: RD1-left-zero SRD-healths(1) SRD-healths(4))
lemma SRD-left-zero-2:
   assumes P is SRD
   shows (\exists \$st \cdot II)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]];; P = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
proof -
   have (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] :: <math>R3h(P) = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
       by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths(3) assms)
qed
2.7
              UTP theories
```

We create two theory objects: one for reactive designs and one for stateful reactive designs.

```
interpretation rdes-theory: utp-theory-continuous RD
 rewrites P \in carrier\ rdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P\ is\ RD
 and carrier rdes-theory.thy-order \rightarrow carrier rdes-theory.thy-order \equiv [\![RD]\!]_H \rightarrow [\![RD]\!]_H
 and le rdes-theory.thy-order = (\sqsubseteq)
 and eq rdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
proof -
 show utp-theory-continuous RD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: RD-idem RD-Continuous)
qed (simp-all)
```

```
interpretation srdes-theory: utp-theory-continuous SRD
 rewrites P \in carrier\ srdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P\ is\ SRD
 and carrier srdes-theory.thy-order \rightarrow carrier srdes-theory.thy-order \equiv [SRD]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
 and le srdes-theory.thy-order = (\sqsubseteq)
 and eq \ srdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
proof -
 show utp-theory-continuous SRD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: SRD-idem SRD-Continuous)
qed (simp-all)
interpretation \ rdes-rea-galois:
  galois-connection (RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP)
proof (simp add: mk-conn-def, rule galois-connectionI', simp-all add: utp-partial-order)
 show R3 \in [\![RD]\!]_H \rightarrow [\![RP]\!]_H
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' Pi-I R3-RD-RP RP-idem mem-Collect-eq)
 show RD1 \circ RD2 \in [\![RP]\!]_H \to [\![RD]\!]_H
   by (simp add: Pi-iff Healthy-def, metis RD-def RD-idem)
  show isotone (utp-order RD) (utp-order RP) R3
   by (simp add: R3-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
  show isotone (utp-order RP) (utp-order RD) (RD1 \circ RD2)
   by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ P :: ('a, 'b) \ hrel-rp
 assume P is RD
 thus P \sqsubseteq RD1 (RD2 (R3 P))
   by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-iff)
next
 fix P :: ('a, 'b) hrel-rp
 \mathbf{assume}\ a{:}\ P\ is\ RP
 thus R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) <math>\sqsubseteq P
 proof -
   have R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) = RP (RD1 (RD2(P)))
     by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def a)
   moreover have RD1(RD2(P)) \sqsubseteq P
     by (rel-auto)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-if RP-mono a)
 qed
qed
interpretation rdes-rea-retract:
 retract (RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP)
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: mk-conn-def utp-partial-order)
    (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-refl)
abbreviation Chaos :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
Chaos \equiv srdes\text{-}theory.utp\text{-}bottom
abbreviation Miracle :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
Miracle \equiv srdes-theory.utp-top
{f thm}\ srdes	ext{-}theory.weak.bottom	ext{-}lower
thm srdes-theory.weak.top-higher
thm srdes-theory.meet-bottom
thm srdes-theory.meet-top
```

```
abbreviation srd-lfp\ (\mu_R) where \mu_R\ F \equiv srdes-theory.utp-lfp\ F

syntax

-srd-mu::pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\mu_R - \cdot - [0,\ 10]\ 10)
-srd-nu::pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\nu_R - \cdot - [0,\ 10]\ 10)

translations

\mu_R\ X \cdot P == \mu_R\ (\lambda\ X.\ P)
\nu_R\ X \cdot P == \mu_R\ (\lambda\ X.\ P)

The reactive design weakest fixed-point can be defined in terms of relational calculus one. lemma srd-mu-equiv:
assumes Monotonic\ F\ F \in [\![SRD]\!]_H \to [\![SRD]\!]_H
shows (\mu_R\ X \cdot F(X)) = (\mu\ X \cdot F(SRD(X)))
by (metis\ assms\ srdes-theory.utp-lfp-def)
```

end

3 Reactive Design Specifications

```
theory utp-rdes-designs
imports utp-rdes-healths
begin
```

3.1 Reactive design forms

```
lemma rdes-skip-def: II_C = \mathbf{R}(true \vdash (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
  apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma srdes-skip-def: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
  apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma Chaos-def: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
proof -
  have Chaos = SRD(true)
   by (metis srdes-theory.healthy-bottom)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(true))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (metis H1-design H2-true design-false-pre)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Miracle-def: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
  have Miracle = SRD(false)
   by (metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(false))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design p-imp-p subst-impl subst-not utp-pred-laws.compl-bot-eq
utp-pred-laws.compl-top-eq)
 finally show ?thesis.
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma RD1-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RH-commute RD2-def)
lemma RD1-st-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-st-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RHS-commute RD2-def)
lemma wait-false-design:
 (P \vdash Q)_f = ((P_f) \vdash (Q_f))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD-RH-design-form:
 RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have RD(P) = RD1(RD2(R1(R2c(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: RD-alt-def RH-def)
 also have ... = RD1(H2(R1(R2s(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RD2-def)
 also have ... = RD1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute)
 also have ... = R1(H1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-idem RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(H1(H2(R2s(R3c(R1(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(H2(R3c(R1(P))))))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R2s\text{-}H1\text{-}commute\ R2s\text{-}H2\text{-}commute)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R1(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis R1-R2-commute R1-idem R2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R3c(R1(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-R3c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have \dots = RH(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))
   by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2-R1-form RH-def)
 also have ... = RH(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem RD1-via-R1 RH-def)
 also have ... = RH((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3c-subst-wait RH-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
{f lemma} RD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is RD
 \mathbf{shows}\ \mathbf{R}((\lnot\ P^f{}_f) \vdash P^t{}_f) = P
 by (metis RD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma RD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q
 shows RD(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-reactive-design RD2-reactive-design RD-alt-def RH-idem assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RH-design-is-RD:
 assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) is RD
 by (simp add: RD-RH-design Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RH-design-form:
  SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have SRD(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(RD1(RD2(R1(P))))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3h-commute R1-idem R2c-H2-commute
RD1-R1-commute\ RD1-R2c-commute\ RD1-R3h-commute\ RD2-R3h-commute\ RD2-def\ RHS-def\ SRD-def)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(R3h(\mathbf{H}(P))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-R1-form RD1-via-R1
RD2-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_{s}(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3h-subst-wait RHS-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
{f lemma} SRD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P
 by (metis SRD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma SRD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q
 shows SRD(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-st-reactive-design RD2-st-reactive-design RHS-idem SRD-def assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RHS-design-is-SRD:
 assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' SRD-RH-design assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design R3h-subst-wait RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form subst-not
wait-false-design)
```

3.2 Auxiliary healthiness conditions

definition [upred-defs]: $R3c\text{-}pre(P) = (true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

```
definition [upred-defs]: R3c\text{-post}(P) = (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition [upred-defs]: R3h-post(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c-pre-conj: R3c-pre(P \land Q) = (R3c-pre(P) \land R3c-pre(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-pre-seq:
  (true :; Q) = true \Longrightarrow R3c\text{-}pre(P :; Q) = (R3c\text{-}pre(P) :; Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-pre [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3c-pre(P)
  by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok'-R3c-pre [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3c-pre(P)
  by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3c\text{-post}(P)
  by (simp\ add:\ R3c\text{-}post\text{-}def\ cond\text{-}def\ unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post' [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3c-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3h-post(P)
  by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post' [unrest]: \$ok \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok \sharp R3h-post(P)
  by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
3.3
        Composition laws
theorem R1-design-composition:
 fixes P Q :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp
 and R S :: ('t, '\beta, '\gamma) \text{ rel-rp}
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) =
   R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
proof -
  have (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = ((R1 (P \vdash Q))^t ;; R1 (R \vdash S)[true/\$ok]] \lor (R1 (P \vdash Q))^f ;; R1
(R \vdash S)[false/\$ok])
    by (rule segr-bool-split[of ok], simp)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (true \land Q)) ;; R1((true \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok \land S)))
                             \vee (R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (false \land Q)) ;; R1((false \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok \land S))))
    by (simp add: design-def usubst R1-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow Q) ;; R1(R \Rightarrow (\$ok \land S)))
                             \vee (R1(\neg (\$ok \land P)) ;; R1(true)))
    by simp
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P \lor Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                             \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) :: R1(true)))
    by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = (((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) \lor R1(Q)) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                               \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
    by (simp add: R1-disj utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S))))
```

```
\vee (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok \wedge S)))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: seqr-or-distl utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S))))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-extend-conj utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok))
                 \vee ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \ rel-rp) ;; R1(true))
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R1\text{-}disj\ seqr\text{-}or\text{-}distl)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok))
                 \vee (R1(\neg \$ok))
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
  proof -
   have ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \text{ rel-rp}) :: R1(true)) =
          (R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\gamma) \ rel-rp)
     by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
     by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor (R1(S \land \$ok))))
                 \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-extend-conj)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok)))
                  \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: seqr-or-distr utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also have ... = R1((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                    \vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok)))
                    \vee (\neg \$ok)
                    \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-seqr)
  also have ... = R1((R1(Q);;(R1(\neg R)))
                    \vee ((R1(Q); R1(S)) \wedge \$ok)
                    \vee (\neg \$ok)
                    \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
  also have ... = R1(\neg(\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                    \vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok))
   by (rel-blast)
  also have ... = R1((\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                     \Rightarrow (\$ok \land (R1(Q); R1(S))))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
 also have ... = R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
   by (simp add: design-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
```

assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR

```
shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 apply (subst R1-design-composition)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
 shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
lemma R2s-design: R2s(P \vdash Q) = (R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q))
  by (simp add: R2s-def design-def usubst)
lemma R2c-design: R2c(P \vdash Q) = (R2c(P) \vdash R2c(Q))
 by (simp add: design-def impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-and R2c-ok')
lemma R1-R3c-design:
  R1(R3c(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3c\text{-}post(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-R3h-design:
  R1(R3h(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3h\text{-}post(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-R1-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q \ \$ok \ \sharp \ R \ \$ok \ \sharp \ S
  shows (R3c(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3c(R1(R \vdash S))) =
       R3c(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
   by (rel-auto)
  have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ Q) \ ;;\ R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-pre}\ R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait) \ ;;\ R1 \ (\neg R)))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
  have 3:(R1\ (R3c\text{-}post\ Q)\ ;;\ R1\ (R3c\text{-}post\ S)) = R3c\text{-}post\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ (\lceil H\rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto)
  show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3c-semir-form R1-R3c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3c-design unrest)
   apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
       apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
   done
qed
lemma R3h-R1-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R3h(R1(P \vdash Q)) :: R3h(R1(R \vdash S))) =
       R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S)))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
  by (rel-auto)
 have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3h\text{-post}\ Q) \ ;;\ R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-pre}\ R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait) \ ;;\ R1 \ (\neg R)))
```

```
by (rel-auto, blast+)
 have 3:(R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ Q)\ ;;\ R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ S)) = R3h\text{-post}\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3h-semir-form R1-R3h-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3h-design unrest)
   apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
   apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
 done
qed
lemma R2-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R2(P \vdash Q) ;; R2(R \vdash S)) =
      R2((\neg (R1 (\neg R2c P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1 (\neg R2c R)))) \vdash (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1 (R2c R))))
S)))
  apply (simp add: R2-R2c-def R2c-design R1-design-composition assms unrest R2c-not R2c-and
R2c-disj R1-R2c-commute [THEN sym] R2c-idem R2c-R1-seq)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) R2c-R1-seq R2c-not R2c-true)
done
lemma RH-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (RH(P \vdash Q) ;; RH(R \vdash S)) =
      RH((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land (\neg \$wait)) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                     (R1 (R2s Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))))
proof -
 have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
 proof -
   have 1:(R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
     by (rel-auto)
   have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
     using R2c-not by blast
   also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
     by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
   also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
     by (simp add: R2-segr-distribute)
   also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
     by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp \ add: 1)
 qed
 have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))
 proof -
   have ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) :: R1 (\neg R2s R)) = R1 (R2 (Q \land \neg \$wait) :: R2 (\neg R))
     by (rel-auto)
   hence R2c\ ((R1\ (R2s\ Q) \land \neg\ \$wait)\ ;;\ R1\ (\neg\ R2s\ R)) = (R2\ (Q \land \neg\ \$wait)\ ;;\ R2\ (\neg\ R))
     by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-segr-distribute)
   also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))
     by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
  have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ ([II]_D \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$wait \mathrel{\triangleright} R1\ (R2s\ S)))) = (R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ ([II]_D \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$wait \mathrel{\triangleright} R1
(R2s\ S)))
```

```
proof -
    have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait]];;([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait]))
          = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket)
    proof -
      have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))[true/\$wait] ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))[true/\$wait])) =
            R2c(R1 (R2s (Q[true/\$wait])) ;; [II]_D[true/\$wait])
        by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
      also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait]) ;; R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
        by (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true)
      also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait]) ;; R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
       using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
      also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q))[true/\$wait]];; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))[true/\$wait])
        apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
       apply (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
        done
     finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    moreover have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q)) | false/\$wait]) :: ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S)) | false/\$wait]))
          = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)
      by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-F)
      (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-def R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false
R2c\text{-}seq)
    ultimately show ?thesis
    proof -
      have [II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S) = R2 ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright S)
       by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2s-wait)
      then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2c-seq)
   qed
  qed
 have (R1(R2s(R3c(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3c(R \vdash S)))) =
        ((R3c(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3c(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute R2s-design)
  also have ... = R3c (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s
R))) \vdash
                       (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) :: (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
    by (simp add: R3c-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
  also have ... = R3c(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R1 ;; R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true))))
R2s R))) \vdash
                              (R1 (R2s Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))))))
    by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
qed
lemma RHS-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) :: \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
       \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land (\neg \$wait)) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                       (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))
proof -
  have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
 proof -
    have 1:(R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
```

```
by (rel-auto, blast)
      have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
          using R2c-not by blast
      also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
          by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
      also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
          by (simp add: R2-segr-distribute)
      also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
          by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
      finally show ?thesis
          by (simp \ add: 1)
   qed
  have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))
   proof -
      have ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = R1 (R2 (Q \land \neg \$wait) ;; R2 (\neg R))
          by (rel-auto, blast+)
      hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait) :; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = (R2 (Q \land \neg $wait) :; R2 (\neg R))
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)
      also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))
          by (rel-auto, blast+)
      finally show ?thesis.
   qed
  have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S)))) =
                 (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))
   proof -
      have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait]); ((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait]))
                 = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket) ; ; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket)
      proof -
          have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait];;((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait])) =
                     R2c(R1 \ (R2s \ (Q[true/\$wait])) \ ;; \ (\exists \ \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait])
             by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
          also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait]));; R2((\exists \$st \cdot [H]_D)[true/\$wait]))
             by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true R2-st-ex)
          also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait]) ;; R2((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait]))
             using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
         also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q))[true/\$wait]]; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))[true/\$wait])
             apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
             apply (metis (no-types) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
          done
          finally show ?thesis.
      qed
    moreover have R2c(((R1 (R2s Q))[false/\$wait]]; ((\exists \$st \cdot [H]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S))[false/\$wait]))
                 = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \ ;; \ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
          by (simp add: usubst)
          (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{lifting}) \; \textit{R1-wait'-false} \; \textit{R1-wait-false} \; \textit{R2-R1-form} \; \textit{R2-subst-wait'-false} \; \textit{R3-subst-wait'-false} \; \textit{R3-subst-wait'-false}
R2c\text{-}seq
      ultimately show ?thesis
          by (smt R2-R1-form R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2c-seq R2s-wait)
   qed
  have (R1(R2s(R3h(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3h(R \vdash S)))) =
              ((R3h(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3h(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
      by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R2s-design)
```

```
also have ... = R3h (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s
R))) \vdash
                        (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
    by (simp add: R3h-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
  also have ... = R3h(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg R1 (R2s Q))))))
R2s R))) \vdash
                                (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))))
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R2c\text{-}design\ R2c\text{-}and\ R2c\text{-}not\ 1\ 2\ 3)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
qed
lemma RHS-R2s-design-composition:
  assumes
    \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q \ \$ok \ \sharp \ R \ \$ok \ \sharp \ S
    P is R2s Q is R2s R is R2s S is R2s
  shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
       \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \land \neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R))) \vdash
                        (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S)))
proof -
  have f1: R2s P = P
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(5))
  have f2: R2s Q = Q
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(6))
  have f3: R2s R = R
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(7))
  have R2s S = S
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(8))
  then show ?thesis
    using f3 f2 f1 by (simp add: RHS-design-composition assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4))
lemma RH-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-design-R1-pre:
  \mathbf{R}_s(R1(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
```

```
by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ RHS\text{-}design\text{-}ok\text{-}wait: } \mathbf{R}_s(P \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \vdash Q \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
          by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-neg-R1-pre:
          \mathbf{R} ((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R} ((\neg P) \vdash R)
         by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-neg-R1-pre:
          \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg P) \vdash R)
          by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre:
         \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg R1 \ P) \land Q) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg P) \land Q) \vdash R)
         by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-pre-lemma: (\mathbf{R}_s \ P)^f_f = R1(R2c(P^f_f))
          by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-R2c-pre:
          \mathbf{R}(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
         by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-R2c-pre:
         \mathbf{R}_s(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
         by (rel-auto)
                                    Refinement introduction laws
lemma R1-design-refine:
         assumes
                     P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
                    \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2 \$ok \sharp P_2
                    \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp Q_2
         shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_
proof -
          have R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot P_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot Q_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot Q_2))
                                     \longleftrightarrow `R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot P_1) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot Q_1)` \land `R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot P_1) \land R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot Q_2)
\Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok \cdot P_2)
                    by (rel-auto, meson+)
          thus ?thesis
                    by (simp-all add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma R1-design-refine-RR:
         assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
         shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_
         by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms unrest closure)
lemma RH-design-refine:
           assumes
                     P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
                    P_1 is R2c P_2 is R2c Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R2c
                    \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2 \$ok \sharp P_2
```

 $\$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp Q_2$

```
\$wait \sharp P_1 \$wait \sharp P_2 \$wait \sharp Q_1 \$wait \sharp Q_2
               shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 
proof -
                \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))
                            by (simp add: R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
                also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))
                            by (simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms)
                also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(P_1 \vdash P_2))[false/\$wait] \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))[false/\$wait]
                            by (rel-auto, metis+)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)[[false/\$wait]] \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)[[false/\$wait]]
                           by (rel-auto)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)
                           by (simp add: usubst assms closure unrest)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land 'P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'
                            by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms)
             finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-design-refine:
                assumes
                              P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
                            P_1 is R2c P_2 is R2c Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R2c
                            \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2 \$ok \sharp P_2
                            \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp Q_2
                            \$wait \sharp P_1 \$wait \sharp P_2 \$wait \sharp Q_1 \$wait \sharp Q_2
             shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land 
proof -
               have \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))
                            by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))
                            by (simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2))[false/\$wait] \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))[false/\$wait]
                            by (rel-auto, metis+)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)[[false/\$wait]] \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)[[false/\$wait]]
                            by (rel-auto)
                also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)
                            by (simp add: usubst assms closure unrest)
               also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land 'P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'
                            by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms)
               finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma rdes-refine-intro:
               assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_
               shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2)
               by (simp add: RH-mono assms design-refine-intro)
lemma srdes-refine-intro:
             assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_
             shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2)
             by (simp add: RHS-mono assms design-refine-intro)
3.5
                                                      Distribution laws
```

```
lemma RHS-design-choice: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \lor Q_2))
by (metis RHS-inf design-choice)
```

```
lemma RHS-design-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \land (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
by (metis\ RHS-sup design-inf)
lemma RHS-design-USUP:
assumes A \neq \{\}
shows (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)))
by (subst\ RHS-INF[OF\ assms,\ THEN\ sym],\ simp\ add:\ design-UINF-mem assms)
```

4 Reactive Design Triples

theory utp-rdes-triples imports utp-rdes-designs begin

4.1 Diamond notation

```
definition wait'-cond ::
  ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp \ (infixr \diamond 60) \ where
[upred-defs]: P \diamond Q = (P \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q)
utp-const wait'-cond
lemma wait'-cond-unrest [unrest]:
  \llbracket out\text{-}var \ wait \bowtie x; \ x \ \sharp \ P; \ x \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (P \diamond Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-subst [usubst]:
  \$wait \sharp_s \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P \diamond Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \diamond (\sigma \dagger Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest usubst-apply-unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-left-false: false \diamond P = (\neg \$wait \land P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-seq: ((P \diamond Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; (\$wait \land R)) \lor (Q ;; (\neg \$wait \land R)))
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-def seqr-or-distl, rel-blast)
lemma wait'-cond-true: (P \diamond Q \land \$wait) = (P \land \$wait)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-false: (P \diamond Q \land (\neg \$wait)) = (Q \land (\neg \$wait))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-idem: P \diamond P = P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-conj-exchange:
  ((P \diamond Q) \land (R \diamond S)) = (P \land R) \diamond (Q \land S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-cond-true [usubst]: (P \diamond Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket = P \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma subst-wait'-cond-false\ [usubst]:\ (P \diamond Q) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket = Q \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-left-subst: (P[true/\$wait]] \diamond Q) = (P \diamond Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-right-subst: (P \diamond Q[false/\$wait]) = (P \diamond Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-split: P[[true/\$wait]] \diamond P[[false/\$wait]] = P
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-var-split)
lemma wait-cond'-assoc [simp]: P \diamond Q \diamond R = P \diamond R
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait-cond'-shadow: (P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond Q \diamond R
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wait-cond'-conj [simp]: P \diamond (Q \wedge (R \diamond S)) = P \diamond (Q \wedge S)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-wait'-cond: R1(P \diamond Q) = R1(P) \diamond R1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-wait'-cond: R2s(P \diamond Q) = R2s(P) \diamond R2s(Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def R2s-def R2s-def usubst)
lemma R2-wait'-cond: R2(P \diamond Q) = R2(P) \diamond R2(Q)
  by (simp add: R2-def R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R1-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \diamond Q \text{ is } R1
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R2c-closed [closure]: [P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c] \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R2c
  by (simp add: R2c-condr wait'-cond-def Healthy-def, rel-auto)
4.2
       Export laws
lemma RH-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1)
lemma RH-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1 RH-design-peri-R1)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-post-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1)
```

```
lemma RHS-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-peri-R1)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-post-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s RHS-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RH-design-lemma1:
  RH(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RH(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RH-design-peri-R1
RH-design-peri-R2s)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{RHS-design-lemma1}:
  RHS(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RHS(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RHS-design-peri-R1
RHS-design-peri-R2s)
      Pre-, peri-, and postconditions
4.3
4.3.1 Definitions
abbreviation pre_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation cmt_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation peri_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s true]
abbreviation post_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation npre_R(P) \equiv pre_s \dagger P
definition [upred-defs]: pre_R(P) = (\neg_r \ npre_R(P))
definition [upred-defs]: cmt_R(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)
definition [upred-defs]: peri_R(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)
definition [upred-defs]: post_R(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)
no-utp-lift pre_R cmt_R peri_R post_R npre_R
4.3.2 Unrestriction laws
lemma ok-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp pre<sub>R</sub> P
 by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)
lemma ok-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp peri<sub>R</sub> P
 by (simp\ add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
```

lemma ok-post-unrest [unrest]: $\$ok \sharp post_R P$ **by** ($simp \ add: post_R$ -def unrest usubst)

lemma ok-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp cmt_R P **by** (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)

- by (simp add: pre_R -def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** ok'-peri-unrest [unrest]: $\$ok \sharp peri_R P$ **by** (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** ok'-post-unrest [unrest]: $\$ok \sharp post_R P$ **by** (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** ok'-cmt-unrest [unrest]: $\$ok \sharp cmt_R P$ **by** (simp add: cmt_R -def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait-pre-unrest [unrest]: $wait \sharp pre_R P$ **by** (simp add: pre_R -def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait-peri-unrest [unrest]: $wait \sharp peri_R P$ **by** (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait-post-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \sharp post_R P by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait-cmt-unrest [unrest]: $wait \sharp cmt_R P$ **by** (simp add: cmt_R -def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait'-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \mathref{peri}_R P **by** (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
- **lemma** wait'-post-unrest [unrest]: $$wait $$ μ <math>post_R P$$ **by** ($simp\ add$: $post_R$ - $def\ unrest\ usubst$)

4.3.3 Substitution laws

- **lemma** pre_s -design: $pre_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = (\neg pre_s \dagger P)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ pre_R$ - $def\ usubst)$
- **lemma** $peri_s$ -design: $peri_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ usubst\ wait'$ -cond-def)
- **lemma** $post_s$ -design: $post_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow R)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ usubst\ wait'$ -cond-def)
- **lemma** cmt_s -design: $cmt_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ design-def\ usubst\ wait'-cond-def)$
- **lemma** pre_s -R1 [usubst]: $pre_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(pre_s \dagger P)$ **by** ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)
- lemma pre_s -R2c [usubst]: $pre_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(pre_s \dagger P)$ by ($simp\ add$: R2c- $def\ R2s$ - $def\ usubst$)
- **lemma** $peri_s$ -R1 [usubst]: $peri_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)$ by ($simp\ add$: R1- $def\ usubst$)
- **lemma** $peri_s$ -R2c [usubst]: $peri_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(peri_s \dagger P)$ **by** (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)
- **lemma** $post_s$ -R1 [usubst]: $post_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$

```
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)
lemma post_s-R2c [usubst]: post_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(post_s \dagger P)
  by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)
lemma cmt_s-R1 [usubst]: cmt_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)
 by (simp add: R1-def usubst)
lemma cmt_s-R2c [usubst]: cmt_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(cmt_s \dagger P)
  by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)
lemma pre-wait-false:
  pre_R(P[false/\$wait]) = pre_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma cmt-wait-false:
  cmt_R(P[false/\$wait]) = cmt_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-pre-RH-design: pre_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))
  by (simp add: RH-def usubst R3c-def pre<sub>R</sub>-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def)
lemma rea-pre-RHS-design: pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))
  \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ RHS-def \ usubst \ R3h-def \ pre_R-def \ pre_s-design \ R1-negate-R1 \ R2c-not \ rea-not-def) 
lemma rea-cmt-RH-design: cmt_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))
  by (simp add: RH-def usubst R3c-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem)
lemma rea-cmt-RHS-design: cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))
  by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem)
lemma rea-peri-RH-design: peri_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))
 by rel-auto
lemma rea-peri-RHS-design: peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))
  by (simp\ add:RHS-def\ usubst\ peri_R-def\ R3h-def\ peri_s-design,\ rel-auto)
lemma rea-post-RH-design: post_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))
 by rel-auto
lemma rea-post-RHS-design: post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))
  by (simp\ add:RHS-def\ usubst\ post_R-def\ R3h-def\ post_s-design,\ rel-auto)
lemma peri-cmt-def: peri_R(P) = (cmt_R(P))[true/\$wait]
  by (rel-auto)
lemma post-cmt-def: post_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-cmt: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash cmt_s \dagger Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-pre: \mathbf{R}_s((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
```

4.3.4 Healthiness laws

```
lemma wait'-unrest-pre-SRD [unrest]:
 \$wait \sharp pre_R(P) \Longrightarrow \$wait \sharp pre_R (SRD P)
 apply (rel-auto)
 using least-zero apply blast+
done
lemma R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(cmt_R(P))) = cmt_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design
assms\ rea-cmt-RHS-design)
lemma R1-R2s-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form
assms R1-idem peri<sub>R</sub>-def peri<sub>s</sub>-R1 peri<sub>s</sub>-R2c)
lemma R1-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(peri_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(peri_R(P))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 also have ... = peri_R(P)
   by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R1-R2c-peri-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-R2s-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 \mathbf{by}\;(\textit{metis}\;(\textit{no-types},\textit{hide-lams})\;\textit{Healthy-def}\;R\textit{1-R2s-R2c}\;R\textit{1-idem}\;R\textit{2-def}\;R\textit{2-idem}\;R\textit{HS-def}\;SRD-R\textit{H-design-form}\;\\
assms\ post_R-def post_s-R1 post_s-R2c)
lemma R2c-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-peri-RHS R1-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(post_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 also have \dots = post_R(P)
   by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma R2c-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
lemma R1-R2c-post-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
lemma R2-cmt-conj-wait':
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2(cmt_R P \land \neg \$wait) = (cmt_R P \land \neg \$wait)
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' R1-extend-conj R1-R2s-cmt-SRD)
lemma R2c-preR:
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(pre_R(P)) = pre_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design rea-pre-RHS-design)
lemma preR-R2-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is R2
 shows pre_R P is R2
proof -
 have R2(pre_R(R2(P))) = pre_R(R2(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms)
lemma periR-R2-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is R2
 shows peri_R P is R2
proof -
 have R2(peri_R(R2(P))) = peri_R(R2(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma postR-R2-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is R2
 shows post_R P is R2
proof -
 have R2(post_R(R2(P))) = post_R(R2(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma postR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-post-SRD)
lemma R2c-periR:
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD R2c-idem)
lemma R2c-postR:
  P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-post-SRD R2-def R2s-idem)
lemma periR-RR [closure]: P is R2 \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma postR-RR [closure]: P is R2 \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma wpR-trace-ident-pre [wp]:
  (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land [II]_R) wp_r pre_R P = pre_R P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-preR [closure]:
 pre_{R}(P) is R1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-periR:
  (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; peri_R(P) = peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-postR:
 (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; post_R(P) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-right-postR:
 post_R(P);; (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
4.3.5 Calculation laws
\mathbf{lemma}\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}peri\text{-}post\text{-}cmt\ [rdes]:
  cmt_R P = peri_R P \diamond post_R P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR
 shows pre_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P
 by (simp add: rea-pre-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1)
lemma preR-srdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR
 shows pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P
 by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1)
lemma periR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR
 shows peri_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)
 by (simp add: rea-peri-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma periR-srdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR
 shows peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)
```

```
lemma postR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows post_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)
 by (simp add: rea-post-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma postR-srdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)
 by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma preR-Chaos [rdes]: pre_R(Chaos) = false
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma periR-Chaos [rdes]: peri_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma postR-Chaos [rdes]: post_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma preR-Miracle [rdes]: pre_R(Miracle) = true_r
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-Miracle [rdes]: peri_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma postR-Miracle [rdes]: post_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma preR-srdes-skip [rdes]: pre_R(II_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-srdes-skip [rdes]: peri_R(II_R) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srdes-skip [rdes]: post_R(II_R) = (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-INF [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-INF [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-INF [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF [rdes]: pre_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcup i \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot post_R(P(i)))
```

by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcup i \in A \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: <math>A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R( (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = ( (i,j) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \ i \ j))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcup (i,j,k) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \cup i,j,k))
i j k)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot post_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-inf [rdes]: pre_R(P \cap Q) = (pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-inf [rdes]: peri_R(P \cap Q) = (peri_R(P) \vee peri_R(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-inf [rdes]: post_R(P \sqcap Q) = (post_R(P) \lor post_R(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-SUP [rdes]: pre_R(   A) = (  P \in A \cdot pre_R(P) )
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-SUP [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow peri_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR\text{-}SUP \ [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow post_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot post_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
      Formation laws
4.4
4.4.1 Regular
lemma rdes-skip-tri-design [rdes-def]: II_C = \mathbf{R}(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r)
```

```
lemma rdes-skip-tri-design [rdes-def]: II_C = \mathbf{R}(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r) apply (simp add: skip-rea-def, rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq apply blast+ done
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} RH-tri-design-form:
     assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
     shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (P_2 \diamond P_3))))
      have \mathbf{R}(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (H_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3))) = (H_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1))) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_2)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_3)) = (H_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1))) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land RR(P_2)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok 
RR(P_3)))))
          apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
     thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma RH-design-pre-post-form:
     \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
proof -
     \mathbf{have}\ \mathbf{R}((\neg\ P^f{}_f) \vdash P^t{}_f) = \mathbf{R}((\neg\ P^f{}_f)[\![\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok}]\!] \vdash P^t{}_f[\![\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok}]\!])
          by (simp add: design-subst-ok)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
          by (simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto)
     finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD-as-reactive-design:
      RD(P) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
     by (simp add: RH-design-pre-post-form RD-RH-design-form)
{f lemma} RD-reactive-design-alt:
     assumes P is RD
     shows \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P
     have \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f)
          by (simp add: RH-design-pre-post-form)
     thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: RD-reactive-design assms)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ RD-reactive-tri-design-lemma:
      RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f[[true/\$wait]] \diamond P_f[[false/\$wait]])
     by (simp add: RD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
lemma RD-as-reactive-tri-design:
      RD(P) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
proof -
     have RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f[true/\$wait] \diamond P_f[false/\$wait])
          by (simp add: RD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
          by (rel-auto)
     finally show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ RD-reactive-tri-design:
     assumes P is RD
     shows \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P
     by (metis Healthy-if RD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)
```

```
lemma RD-elimination [RD-elim]: [P \text{ is } RD; Q(\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))] \implies Q(P)
  by (simp add: RD-reactive-tri-design)
lemma RH-tri-design-is-RD [closure]:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD
 by (rule RH-design-is-RD, simp-all add: unrest assms)
lemma RD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD
 by (rule RH-tri-design-is-RD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)
4.4.2 Stateful
lemma srdes-skip-tri-design [rdes-def]: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r)
  by (simp add: srdes-skip-def, rel-auto)
lemma Chaos-tri-def [rdes-def]: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash false \diamond false)
  by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
lemma Miracle-tri-def [rdes-def]: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond false)
  by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre wait'-cond-idem)
lemma RHS-tri-design-form:
  assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (P_2 \diamond P_3))))
  have \mathbf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (RR(P_2)) \diamond RR(P_3))
RR(P_3)))))
   apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma RHS-design-pre-post-form:
 \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f)[true/\$ok] \vdash P^t_f[true/\$ok])
   by (simp add: design-subst-ok)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-as-reactive-design:
  SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
  by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form SRD-RH-design-form)
lemma SRD-reactive-design-alt:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f)
   by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form)
  thus ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design assms)
qed
{f lemma} SRD-reactive-tri-design-lemma:
  SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
 by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
{\bf lemma}~\textit{SRD-as-reactive-tri-design}:
  SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
proof -
 have SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f[[true/\$wait]] \diamond P_f[[false/\$wait]])
   by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
   apply (simp add: usubst)
   apply (subst design-subst-ok-ok'[THEN sym])
   apply (simp add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst unrest)
   apply (rel-auto)
 done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-reactive-tri-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P
 by (metis Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma SRD-elim [RD-elim]: \[P is SRD; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))\] \implies Q(P)
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design)
lemma RHS-tri-design-is-SRD [closure]:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest assms)
lemma SRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)
lemma USUP-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 shows (   P \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P)) ) = (  P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)
 by (rule USUP-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
lemma UINF-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 shows ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))) = ( \bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)
 by (rule UINF-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
4.4.3 Order laws
lemma preR-antitone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow pre_R(Q) \sqsubseteq pre_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq peri_R(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma postR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow post_R(P) \sqsubseteq post_R(Q)
  by (rel-auto)
        Composition laws
4.5
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
  assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
 shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
4.5.1 Regular
theorem RH-tri-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
          \$wait \sharp R \$wait \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
  shows (\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
       \mathbf{R}((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1(R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                       ((Q_1 \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =
        (\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
 have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \mathrel{\triangleleft} \$wait \mathrel{\triangleright} R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                 (((R1\ (R2s\ Q_1)) \lor (R1\ (R2s\ Q_2)\ ;;\ R1\ (R2s\ S_1))) \diamond (R1\ (R2s\ Q_2)\ ;;\ R1\ (R2s\ S_2)))
  proof -
    have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                       = (((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait))
    proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
           = (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D)))
        by (rel-auto)
      also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; [II]_D) \land \$wait)
        by (rel-auto)
      also from assms(2) have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \land \$wait)
        by (rel-auto, blast)
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
```

= $(R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ (\neg \$wait \land (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))$ **by** $(metis \ (no-types, lifting) \ cond-def \ conj-disj-not-abs \ utp-pred-laws.double-complutp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem \ utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc \ utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)$

moreover have $(R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land ((\lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \lozenge R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))$

have $(R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (\lceil H \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))$

 $= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof -

```
also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) [false/\$wait]]; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) [false/\$wait]])
       by (metis false-alt-def segr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))
       by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
      finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   moreover
   have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait) \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
          = (R1 (R2s Q_1) \lor (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
   ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest)
 from assms(7,8) have 3: (R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait);; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);; R1 (\neg R2s R)
   by (rel-auto, meson)
  show ?thesis
   by (simp add: RH-design-composition unrest assms 1 2 3, simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-lemma1)
theorem RH-tri-design-composition-wp:
  assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ R \ \$ok \ \sharp \ S_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ S_2
          \$wait \sharp R \$wait \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
          P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
          R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
  shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
          \mathbf{R}(((\neg_r \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land \ Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash ((Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = \mathbf{R} ((\neg R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true \land \neg Q_2 ;; R1 (\neg R)) \vdash (Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
   by (simp add: RH-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def)
       (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16))
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem RH-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:
  assumes P is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
          R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
          \mathbf{R}(((\neg_r \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land \ Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash ((Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)
  by (simp add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c)
lemma RH-tri-normal-design-composition:
  assumes
   \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
   \$wait \sharp R \$wait \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
    P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
    R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
```

```
R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P)
  shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
         = \mathbf{R}((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
        \mathbf{R}((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
    by (simp-all add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
    by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RH-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
         = \mathbf{R}((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
  have R1 (\neg P);; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
    using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
       (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
    by (simp add: RH-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
qed
lemma RH-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$wait \sharp R
  shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_C = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r P) :; true_r) \vdash (Q \diamond R))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R);; H_C = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R);; \mathbf{R}(true \vdash false \diamond (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil H \rceil_R))
    by (simp add: rdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R} ((\neg R1 (\neg R2sP) ;; R1 true) \vdash Q \diamond (R1 (R2sR) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R)))
    by (simp-all add: RH-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R} ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \vdash Q \diamond R1 (R2s R))
  proof -
    from assms(3,4) have (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (<math>tr = u tr \land [I]_R))) = R1 (R2s R)
      by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (Q \diamond R))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RH-design-R2c-pre RH-design-neg-R1-pre
RH\text{-}design\text{-}post\text{-}R1\ RH\text{-}design\text{-}post\text{-}R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash Q \diamond R)
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
         Stateful
4.5.2
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
          $wait \sharp R $wait \sharp Q2 $wait \sharp S1 $wait \sharp S2
  shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
       \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1(R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                        (((\exists \$st \cdot Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))))
```

```
proof -
     have 1:(\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =
                    (\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))
         by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
     have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                                      (((\exists \$st \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \Leftrightarrow (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))
     proof -
         have (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))
                                                         = (\exists \$st \cdot ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \land \$wait))
         proof -
               have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \triangleleft R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                           = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)))
                   by (rel-auto, blast+)
               also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \land \$wait)
                   by (rel-auto)
               also from assms(2) have ... = (\exists \$st \cdot ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait))
                   by (rel-auto, blast)
               finally show ?thesis.
         qed
           moreover have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \land R1 \ (R2s \ S_2) \land R2 \ (R2s \ S_2) \land R3 \ (
S_2)))))
                                            = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))
         proof -
               have (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))
                              = (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \land (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))
             by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
               also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) [false/\$wait]];; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) [false/\$wait]]
                   by (metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
               also have ... = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))
                   by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
               finally show ?thesis.
         qed
         moreover
         have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait) \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                         = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
               by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
         ultimately show ?thesis
               by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest)
                      (simp add: cond-and-T-integrate cond-seq-right-distr unrest-var wait'-cond-def)
     qed
    from assms(7,8) have 3: (R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait);; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);; R1 (\neg R2s R)
         by (rel-auto, blast, meson)
     show ?thesis
         apply (subst RHS-design-composition)
         apply (simp-all add: assms)
         apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
```

```
apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
       apply (simp add: 1 2 3)
       apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-lemma1)
       apply (metis R1-R2c-ex-st RHS-design-lemma1)
    done
qed
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-wp:
   assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
                   \$wait \sharp R \$wait \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
                   P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
                   R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
                \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r\ P)\ wp_r\ false \land\ Q_2\ wp_r\ R) \vdash (((\exists\ \$st\cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2\ ;;\ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2\ ;;\ S_2)))\ (\mathbf{is}\ ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
   have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg R1 \ (\neg P) \ ;; R1 \ true \land \neg Q_2 \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)) \vdash ((\exists \$st \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2)) \land (Q_2 \ ;; S_2) ) \land (Q_2 \ ;; S_2
       by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def)
             (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16))
   also have \dots = ?rhs
       by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:
   assumes P is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
                   R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
                \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c)
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:
    assumes
       \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
       $wait \sharp R $wait \sharp Q2 $wait \sharp S1 $wait \sharp S2
       P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
       R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
        R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st \sharp Q_1
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
                 = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
   have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
               \mathbf{R}_s \ ((R1 \ (\neg P) \ wp_r \ false \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash ((\exists \$st \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
       by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
       by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
   assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
                 = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
   have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
```

```
using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
       (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
qed
{f lemma} RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$wait \sharp R
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) :; true_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st \cdot Q) \diamond R))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; H_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
    by (simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \vdash (\exists \$st \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr =_u \$tr)))
\wedge [II]_R))))
    by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P);; R1 true) \vdash (\exists \$st \cdot Q) \diamond R1 (R2s R))
    from assms(3,4) have (R1 (R2s R) :: R1 (R2s (\$tr =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))) = R1 (R2s R)
      by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st \cdot Q) \diamond R))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre
RHS-design-neg-R1-pre RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot Q) \diamond R))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD-composition-wp:
 assumes P is RD Q is RD
 shows (P :; Q) = \mathbf{R} (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                       (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have (P ;; Q) = (\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; \mathbf{R}(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
    by (simp\ add: RD-reactive-tri-design assms(1)\ assms(2))
  also from assms
 have \dots = ?rhs
    by (simp add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp unrest closure disj-upred-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-composition-wp:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows (P :: Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                       ((\exists \$st \cdot peri_R P) \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have (P :; Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) :; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
    by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
  also from assms
 have \dots = ?rhs
```

```
by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp disj-upred-def unrest assms closure) finally show ?thesis . qed
```

4.6 Refinement introduction laws

4.6.1 Regular

```
lemma RH-tri-design-refine:
             assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
           shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_3 \Rightarrow P_3 \Leftrightarrow P_3 \Leftrightarrow P_1 \land P_2 \land P_3 \Leftrightarrow P_3 
              (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
                have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow 'P<sub>1</sub> \Rightarrow Q<sub>1</sub>' \land 'P<sub>1</sub> \land Q<sub>2</sub> \diamond Q<sub>3</sub> \Rightarrow P<sub>2</sub> \diamond P<sub>3</sub>'
                            \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ RH\text{-}design\text{-}refine\ assms\ closure\ RR\text{-}implies\text{-}R2c\ unrest\ ex-unrest)}
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '(P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'
                            by (rel-auto)
             also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) [true/$wait] '\land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond
 (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
                          by (rel-auto, metis)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
                            by (simp add: usubst unrest assms)
             finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RH-tri-design-refine':
              assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
              shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1) \land (P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2)) \land (P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_3) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3
  Q_3))
             by (simp add: RH-tri-design-refine assms, rel-auto)
lemma rdes-tri-refine-intro:
              assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2, P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1, P_1 \land R_2 \Rightarrow R_1,
              shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
              using assms
              by (rule-tac rdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto)
lemma rdes-tri-refine-intro':
             assumes P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \ R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land R_2)
shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
              by (rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
4.6.2 Stateful
lemma RHS-tri-design-refine:
              assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
              shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \land P_3 \land Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_3 \land P_3 \land Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_3 \land P_3 \land P_3 \land P_4 \land P_4 \land P_4 \land P_5 \land P_5 \land P_6 \land 
P_3
              (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
              have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow 'P<sub>1</sub> \Rightarrow Q<sub>1</sub>' \land 'P<sub>1</sub> \land Q<sub>2</sub> \diamond Q<sub>3</sub> \Rightarrow P<sub>2</sub> \diamond P<sub>3</sub>'
                          by (simp add: RHS-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '(P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'
                          by (rel-auto)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) [true/$wait] '\land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond
```

```
(P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) \llbracket \mathit{false} / \$\mathit{wait} \rrbracket'
          by (rel-auto, metis)
     also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
          by (simp add: usubst unrest assms)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-refine':
     assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1) \land (P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2)) \land (P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2) \land (P_
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms, rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} srdes-tri-refine-intro:
     assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 P_1 \land R_2 \Rightarrow R_1
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
     using assms
     by (rule-tac srdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto)
lemma srdes-tri-refine-intro':
     assumes P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \ R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land R_2)
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
     using assms
     by (rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-peri-under-pre:
     assumes P is SRD \$wait $\sharp pre_R(P)$
    shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
     have peri_R(P) =
                     peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
          by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
     also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
          \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{rea-pre-RHS-design}\ \mathit{rea-peri-RHS-design}\ \mathit{assms}
                     unrest usubst R1-peri-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-periR)
     finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma SRD-post-under-pre:
     assumes P is SRD \$wait $\sharp pre_R(P)$
     shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
     have post_R(P) =
                     post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
          by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
      also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P)
          \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon rea\text{-}pre\text{-}RHS\text{-}design\ rea\text{-}post\text{-}RHS\text{-}design\ assms}
                     unrest usubst R1-post-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-postR)
    finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma SRD-refine-intro:
    assumes
           P is SRD Q is SRD
           pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q), pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P), pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)
```

```
shows P \sqsubseteq Q
       by (metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) srdes-tri-refine-intro)
lemma SRD-refine-intro':
           assumes
                       P is SRD Q is SRD
                         pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q) \cdot peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q)) \ post_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q))
           shows P \sqsubseteq Q
           using assms by (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-eq-intro:
           assumes
                       P \text{ is } SRD \text{ } Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ } pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q) \text{ } peri_R(P) = peri_R(Q) \text{ } post_R(P) = post_R(Q)
           shows P = Q
          by (metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma srdes-tri-eq-iff:
          assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (P_1 = Q_1 \land (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) \land (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_2 \land Q_2) \land (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land (Q_2 \land Q_2) \land
  Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3)
proof -
           \begin{aligned} \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) &= \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow \\ & (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \wedge \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3)) \end{aligned} 
                      by fastforce
           also have ... = (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1 \land P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \land P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_3) \land P_1 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land P_2) \land Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \sqsubseteq
  Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_3))
                      by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine' assms)
           also have ... = (P_1 = Q_1 \land P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \land P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_3) \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land P_2) \land Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land Q_3) \land Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land Q_
                      by fastforce
           also have ... = (P_1 = Q_1 \land (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) \land (P_1 \land Q_3) = (Q_1 \land P_3))
                      apply (safe, simp-all)
                      apply (meson eq-iff utp-pred-laws.inf-greatest utp-pred-laws.inf-le1)+
                          apply (metis utp-pred-laws.inf-le2)+
                      done
          finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma rdes-tri-eq-intro:
           assumes P_1 = Q_1 \ (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) \ (P_1 \land Q_3) = (Q_1 \land P_3)
          shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
        \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \textit{hide-lams}) \ \textit{assms} (\textit{1}) \ \textit{assms} (\textit{2}) \ \textit{assms} (\textit{3}) \ \textit{design-export-pre} \ \textit{wait'-cond-conj-exchange}
 wait'-cond-idem)
lemma srdes-tri-eq-intro:
           assumes P_1 = Q_1 (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) (P_1 \land Q_3) = (Q_1 \land P_3)
          shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
        by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide\text{-}lams)\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ design\text{-}export\text{-}pre\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}conj\text{-}exchange}
 wait'-cond-idem)
lemma rdes-tri-eq-intro':
           assumes P_1 = Q_1 P_2 = Q_2 P_3 = Q_3
           shows \mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
           using assms by (simp)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ srdes	ext{-}tri	ext{-}eq	ext{-}intro':
 assumes P_1 = Q_1 P_2 = Q_2 P_3 = Q_3
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
 using assms by (simp)
       Closure laws
4.7
4.7.1 Regular
lemma RD-srdes-skip [closure]: II_C is RD
 by (simp add: rdes-skip-def RH-design-is-RD unrest)
lemma RD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is RD Q is RD
 shows (P ;; Q) is RD
proof -
 have (P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R} (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                     (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q))
   by (simp \ add: RD\text{-}composition\text{-}wp \ assms(1) \ assms(2))
 also have ... is RD
   by (rule RH-design-is-RD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD-power-Suc [closure]: P is RD \Longrightarrow P^{\hat{}}(Suc \ n) is RD
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp)
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
   using RD-seqr-closure by (simp add: RD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed
lemma RD-power-comp [closure]: P is RD \Longrightarrow P;; P \hat{\ } n is RD
 by (metis RD-power-Suc upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma uplus-RD-closed [closure]: P is RD \Longrightarrow P^+ is RD
 by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)
4.7.2 Stateful
lemma SRD-srdes-skip [closure]: II_R is SRD
 by (simp add: srdes-skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma SRD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is SRD
proof -
 have (P :: Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                     ((\exists \$st \cdot peri_R P) \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}composition\text{-}wp\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
```

by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest)

also have ... is SRD

finally show ?thesis.

```
qed
```

```
lemma SRD-power-Suc [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P(Suc n) is SRD
proof(induct n)
         case \theta
         then show ?case
                  by (simp)
next
         case (Suc \ n)
        then show ?case
                  using SRD-segr-closure by (simp add: SRD-segr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed
lemma SRD-power-comp [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P;; P \hat{\ } n is SRD
        by (metis SRD-power-Suc upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma uplus-SRD-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P^+ is SRD
        by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)
lemma SRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
         assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H A \neq \{\}
        shows (   A) is SRD
proof -
        have SRD (   A) = (   (SRD 'A))
                  by (simp add: Continuous D SRD-Continuous assms(2))
        also have ... = (   A )
                  by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
        finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
4.8
                                   Distribution laws
lemma RHS-tri-design-choice [rdes-def]:
        \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \lor Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3))
        apply (simp add: RHS-design-choice)
        apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s])
            apply (simp)
         apply (rel-auto)
        done
lemma RHS-tri-design-disj [rdes-def]:
          (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \vee \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \lor Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3))
         by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice disj-upred-def)
lemma RHS-tri-design-sup [rdes-def]:
       \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
P_3) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
        by (simp add: RHS-design-sup, rel-auto)
lemma RHS-tri-design-conj [rdes-def]:
         (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \land \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
\Rightarrow_r P_3) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
        by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-sup conj-upred-def)
lemma SRD-UINF [rdes-def]:
         assumes A \neq \{\} A \subseteq [SRD]_H
```

```
shows \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
proof -
  have \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(\bigcap A) \vdash peri_R(\bigcap A) \diamond post_R(\bigcap A))
     by (metis SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms srdes-theory.healthy-inf srdes-theory.healthy-inf-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
     by (simp add: preR-INF periR-INF postR-INF assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-USUP [rdes-def]:
  assumes A \neq \{\}
  shows ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i) \diamond R(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s(( \bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s(( \bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i))) \vdash ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = \mathbf{R}_s(( \bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i))) \vdash ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) 
  by (subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms, rel-auto)
lemma SRD-UINF-mem:
  assumes A \neq \{\} \land i. P i is SRD
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = ( (P `A))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup Pa \in P \land A \cdot pre_R Pa) \vdash (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa) \diamond (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa)
post_R Pa))
     by (subst rdes-def, simp-all add: assms image-subsetI)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
     by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
lemma RHS-tri-design-UINF-ind [rdes-def]:
   ( \bigcap i \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge i \cdot P_1 i) \vdash (\bigvee i \cdot P_2(i)) \diamond (\bigvee i \cdot P_3(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma cond-srea-form [rdes-def]:
  \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
   \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond Q_2)
S_2
     by (pred-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
    by (simp add: RHS-cond lift-cond-srea-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1 \diamond S_2))
    by (simp add: design-condr lift-cond-srea-def)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
     by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
  \mathbf{have}\ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
```

```
by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R peri_R \ Q) \diamond (post_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R post_R \ Q))
   by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
  also have ... is SRD
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD lift-cond-srea-def unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
4.9
        Algebraic laws
lemma RD-left-unit:
 assumes P is RD
 shows II_C;; P = P
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ RD1\text{-}left\text{-}unit\ RD\text{-}healths(1)\ RD\text{-}healths(4)\ assms)
lemma skip-rdes-self-unit [simp]:
  II_C :: II_C = II_C
  by (simp add: RD-left-unit closure)
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD\text{-}left\text{-}unit:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows II_R;; P = P
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp C1 R1-negate-R1 R1-false
     rpred trace-ident-left-periR trace-ident-left-postR SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma skip-srea-self-unit [simp]:
  II_R :: II_R = II_R
  by (simp add: SRD-left-unit closure)
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD	ext{-}right	ext{-}unit	ext{-}tri	ext{-}lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows P :: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp rpred trace-ident-right-postR assms)
lemma Miracle-left-zero:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows Miracle;; P = Miracle
proof -
  have Miracle ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Miracle-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R1-false R2s-false R2s-true)
 also have \dots = Miracle
   by (simp add: Miracle-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Chaos-left-zero:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows (Chaos :: P) = Chaos
proof -
  have Chaos ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ true \land \neg (R1 \ true \land \neg \$wait) ;; R1 (<math>\neg R2s \ (pre_R \ P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true :: ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R2s-false R2s-true R1-false)
```

```
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((false \land \neg (R1 true \land \neg $wait) ;; R1 (\neg R2s (pre<sub>R</sub> P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true)
   by (simp add: design-false-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (simp add: design-def)
  also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD	ext{-}right	ext{-}Chaos	ext{-}tri	ext{-}lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows P;; Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ false) \vdash (\exists \$st \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
lemma SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows P ;; Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
Reactive designs are left unital
interpretation rdes-left-unital: utp-theory-left-unital RD II<sub>C</sub>
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure RD-left-unit)
Stateful reactive designs are left unital
interpretation srdes-left-unital: utp-theory-left-unital SRD\ II_R
 by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure SRD-left-unit)
4.10
         Recursion laws
lemma mono-srd-iter:
  assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows mono (\lambda X. \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F|X) \vdash peri_R(F|X) \diamond post_R(F|X)))
 apply (rule monoI)
 apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
 {\bf apply}\ (\textit{meson assms}(\textit{1})\ \textit{monoE}\ \textit{preR-antitone}\ \textit{utp-pred-laws.le-infI2})
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE periR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infl2)
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE postR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
done
lemma mu-srd-SRD:
  assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) is SRD
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
 apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest)
done
lemma mu-srd-iter:
  assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
   shows (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X)))) = F(\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)))
peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X)))
```

```
apply (subst gfp-unfold)
  apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (subst SRD-as-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym])
 apply (simp \ add: Healthy-apply-closed \ SRD-as-reactive-design \ SRD-reactive-design-alt \ assms(1) \ assms(2)
mu-srd-SRD)
 done
lemma mu-srd-form:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows \mu_R F = (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X))))
 have 1: F(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(FX) \vdash peri_R(FX) \diamond post_R(FX))) is SRD
   by (simp add: Healthy-apply-closed assms(1) assms(2) mu-srd-SRD)
 have 2:Mono_{utp-order\ SRD}\ F
   by (simp add: assms(1) mono-Monotone-utp-order)
 hence \beta:\mu_R F=F (\mu_R F)
   by (simp add: srdes-theory.LFP-unfold[THEN sym] assms)
 hence \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \diamond post_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F)))) = \mu_R \ F
   using SRD-reactive-tri-design by force
 hence (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R(F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) \sqsubseteq F \ (\mu_R \ F)
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ 2\ srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lemma3\ gfp-upperbound\ assms)
 thus ?thesis
   using assms 1 3 srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lowerbound eq-iff mu-srd-iter
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
lemma Monotonic-SRD-comp [closure]: Monotonic ((;;) P \circ SRD)
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RD1-mono RD2-mono RHS-def SRD-def
segr-mono)
end
     Normal Reactive Designs
theory utp-rdes-normal
 imports
   utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}triples
   UTP-KAT.utp-kleene
begin
These additional healthiness conditions are analogous to H3
definition RD3 where
[upred-defs]: RD3(P) = P;; II_R
definition RD3c where
[upred-defs]: RD3c(P) = P;; II_C
lemma RD3-idem: RD3(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a: II_R ;; II_R = II_R
   by (simp add: SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip)
   by (simp add: RD3-def segr-assoc a)
qed
```

```
lemma RD3-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent RD3
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD3-idem)
lemma RD3-continuous: RD3(\bigcap A) = (\bigcap P \in A. RD3(P))
 by (simp add: RD3-def seq-Sup-distr)
lemma RD3-Continuous [closure]: Continuous RD3
 by (simp add: Continuous-def RD3-continuous)
lemma RD3-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a:II_R;; J = II_R
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a segr-assoc)
qed
lemma RD3c-idem: RD3c(RD3c(P)) = RD3c(P)
proof -
 have a: II_C ;; II_C = II_C
   by simp
 show ?thesis
   by (simp add: RD3c-def seqr-assoc a)
qed
lemma RD3c-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent RD3c
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD3c-idem)
lemma RD3c\text{-}continuous: RD3c(\bigcap A) = (\bigcap P \in A. RD3c(P))
 by (simp add: RD3c-def seq-Sup-distr)
lemma RD3c	ext{-}Continuous [closure]: Continuous RD3c
 by (simp add: Continuous-def RD3c-continuous)
lemma RD3c-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2 (RD3c P) = RD3c P
proof -
 have a:II_C;; J=II_C
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: a H2-def RD2-def RD3c-def segr-assoc)
lemma RD3-left-subsumes-RD2: RD3(RD2(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a:J;; II_R = II_R
   by (rel\text{-}simp, safe, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a segr-assoc)
qed
lemma RD3c-left-subsumes-RD2: RD3c(RD2(P)) = RD3c(P)
proof -
 have a:J;; II_C = II_C
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: H2-def RD2-def RD3c-def a seqr-assoc)
qed
lemma RD3-implies-RD2: P is RD3 \Longrightarrow P is RD2
 by (metis Healthy-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2)
lemma RD3-intro-pre:
  assumes P is SRD (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \$st \ \sharp \ peri_R(P)
 shows P is RD3
proof -
  have RD3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: RD3-def SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ ex-unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash cmt_R \ P)
   by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> P \vdash cmt_R P)
   by (simp add: assms(2) rpred wp-rea-def R1-preR)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (metis Healthy-def SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1))
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro:
  assumes
   \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$st \sharp Q \$wait \sharp R
    P \text{ is } R1 (\neg_r P) ;; true_r = (\neg_r P)
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
 apply (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def)
 apply (subst RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma)
 apply (simp-all add:assms ex-unrest rpred)
done
RD3 reactive designs are those whose assumption can be written as a conjunction of a precon-
dition on (undashed) program variables, and a negated statement about the trace. The latter
allows us to state that certain events must not occur in the trace – which are effectively safety
properties.
lemma R1-right-unit-lemma:
  \llbracket out\alpha \ \sharp \ b; \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ e \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\lnot_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \lnot_u \ e \le_u \$t\acute{r}) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lnot_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \lnot_u \ e \le_u \$t\acute{r})
 by (rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans)
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro-form:
  assumes
    out\alpha \ \sharp \ b \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ e \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q \ \$st \ \sharp \ Q \ \$ok \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait \ \sharp \ R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((b \land \neg_r \$tr \ \widehat{\ }_u \ e \leq_u \$t\acute{r}) \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
 apply (rule RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro)
       apply (simp-all add: assms unrest closure rpred)
 apply (subst R1-right-unit-lemma)
   apply (simp-all add: assms unrest)
lemma RD1-RD3-commute: RD1(RD3(P)) = RD3(RD1(P))
  by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma RD1-RD3c-commute: RD1(RD3c(P)) = RD3c(RD1(P))
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
definition NSRD :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: NSRD = RD1 \circ RD3 \circ RHS
definition NRD :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) hrel-rp
where [upred-defs]: NRD = RD1 \circ RD3c \circ RH
lemma NRD-is-RD [closure]: P is NRD \Longrightarrow P is RD
    by (simp add: Healthy-def NRD-def RD-def)
                  (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R3c-commute R1-seqr R1-skip-rea R2c-R1-seq R2c-R3c-commute
R2c-skip-rea R3-skipr R3c-semir-form R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute
RD1-RD2-commute RD1-idem RD3c-def RD3c-right-subsumes-RD2 RH-def RP-def RP-idem skip-rea-RD1-skip)
lemma NRD-elim [RD-elim]:
     \llbracket P \text{ is } NRD; \ Q(\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
    by (simp add: RD-elim closure)
lemma NRD-idem: NRD(NRD(P)) = NRD(P)
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def Idempotent-def NRD-def R1-R3c-commute
R1-skip-rea\ R2-R2c-def\ R2-idem\ R2-seqr-closure\ R2c-R3c-commute\ R2c-skip-rea\ R3c-Idempotent\ R3c-def\ R3
R3c\text{-}seq\text{-}closure\ R3c\text{-}via\text{-}RD1\text{-}R3\ RD1\text{-}RD3c\text{-}commute\ RD1\text{-}RH\text{-}commute\ RD1\text{-}idem\ RD3c\text{-}def\ RD3c\text{-}idem\ RD3c\text{-}idem\ RD3c\text{-}idem\ RD3c\text{-}def\ RD3c\text{-}idem\ RD3c\text{-
RD-healths(3) RH-def comp-assoc comp-eq-dest-lhs rdes-left-unital. Healthy-Unit rea-lift-R1 rea-lift-def)
lemma NRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent NRD
    by (simp add: Idempotent-def NRD-idem)
lemma NRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous NRD
    by (simp add: Continuous-comp NRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3c-Continuous RH-Continuous)
lemma NRD-form:
     NRD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
proof -
    have NRD(P) = RD3c(RD(P))
         by (metis NRD-def RD1-RD3c-commute RD3c-left-subsumes-RD2 RD-alt-def comp-eq-dest-lhs)
    also have ... = RD3c(\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
         by (simp add: RD-as-reactive-tri-design)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; II_C
         by (simp \ add: RD3c\text{-}def)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
         by (simp add: RH-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NRD-healthy-form:
    assumes P is NRD
    shows \mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) = P
    by (metis Healthy-def NRD-form assms)
lemma NRD-neg-pre-unit:
    assumes P is NRD
    shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
    \mathbf{have} \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(\mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))))
         by (simp add: NRD-healthy-form assms)
    also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
```

```
by (simp add: rea-pre-RH-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest
closure)
 also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) ;; R1 true
   by (simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-not-def)
qed
lemma NRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ is\ NRD
 shows \$wait \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = (R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true)))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RH-design usubst unrest)
 also have $wait \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-NRD-RR [closure]: P is NRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma NRD-neg-pre-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is NRD
 shows pre_R(P) is RC
 by (rule RC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms NRD-neg-pre-unit rpred)
lemma NRD-intro:
 assumes P is RD (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 shows P is NRD
proof -
 have NRD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: NRD-form)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}(pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: assms rpred closure)
 also have \dots = P
   by (simp add: RD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
 finally show ?thesis
   using Healthy-def by blast
qed
lemma NRD-intro':
 assumes P is R2 P is R3c P is RD1 P is RD3c
 shows P is NRD
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RH-def assms comp-apply)
lemma NRD-RC-intro:
 assumes P is RD pre_R(P) is RC
 shows P is NRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NRD-form RD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2)
     rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
lemma NRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
```

```
assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is NRD
 by (rule NRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
lemma NRD-composition-wp:
 assumes P is NRD Q is RD
 shows P :: Q =
       \mathbf{R} \ ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q))
  by (simp add: RD-composition-wp assms NRD-is-RD wp-rea-def NRD-neg-pre-unit R1-negate-R1
R1-preR ex-unrest rpred)
lemma NSRD-is-SRD [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P is SRD
by (simp add: Healthy-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD2-RHS-commute
RD3-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip)
lemma NSRD-elim [RD-elim]:
 \llbracket P \text{ is NSRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: RD-elim closure)
lemma NSRD-idem: NSRD(NSRD(P)) = NSRD(P)
by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RD3-commute RD1-RHS-commute
RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RD3-def RD3-idem RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 RHS-idem SRD-def
comp-apply fun.map-comp srdes-left-unital. Healthy-Sequence srdes-left-unital. Healthy-Unit)
lemma NSRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent NSRD
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def NSRD-idem)
lemma NSRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous NSRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp NSRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3-Continuous RHS-Continuous)
lemma NSRD-form:
 NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
proof -
 have NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-def)
 also have ... = RD3(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; II_R
   by (simp \ add: RD3-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-healthy-form:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))) = P
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form assms)
lemma NSRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
 assumes A \subseteq [NSRD]_H A \neq \{\}
 shows \prod A is NSRD
proof -
 by (simp add: Continuous D NSRD-Continuous assms(2))
```

```
also have ... = (   A )
   by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma intChoice-NSRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows P \sqcap Q is NSRD
 using NSRD-Sup-closure[of \{P, Q\}] by (simp add: assms)
lemma NRSD-SUP-closure [closure]:
  \llbracket \bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) \ is \ NSRD; \ A \neq \{\} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\bigcap i \in A. \ P(i)) \ is \ NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-Sup-closure, auto)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-unit:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 \mathbf{have} \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ NSRD\text{-}healthy\text{-}form\ assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
  by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest
closure)
 also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) ;; R1 true
   by (simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-not-def)
qed
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is R1 Q is RD1
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; Q = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 by (metis\ (no-types, hide-lams)\ NSRD-neg-pre-unit\ RD1-left-zero\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ seqr-assoc)
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-peri [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st \sharp peri_R(P)
proof -
 have peri_R(P) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre<sub>R</sub> P) ;; R1 true \Rightarrow_r (\exists \$st \cdot peri_R P)))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have \$st \sharp ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$wait \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = (R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true)))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
```

```
also have $wait \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have \$st \sharp ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-peri-under-pre [rpred]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) = peri_R P
 by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre unrest closure)
lemma NSRD-post-under-pre [rpred]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P) = post_R P
 by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre unrest closure)
lemma NSRD-peri-seg-under-pre:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q) = (peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q)
 by (metis NSRD-peri-under-pre assms(1) rea-impl-def utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)
lemma NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) = (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)
 by (metis NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-peri-under-pre SRD-healths(2) assms postR-RR wpR-impl-post-spec)
lemma NSRD-postR-seg-postR-impl:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q)) = (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{NSRD-is-SRD}\ \mathit{NSRD-post-under-pre}\ \mathit{SRD-healths}(2)\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{postR-RR}\ \mathit{wpR-impl-post-spec})
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD-peri-under-assms}:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q) = (peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;;
peri_R Q
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-peri-seq-under-pre assms NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl rea-impl-conj
rea-impl-disj)
lemma NSRD-peri-under-assms':
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P ;; peri_R \ Q) = (peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P ;; peri_R \ Q)
 by (simp add: NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl assms rea-impl-disj)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD-post-under-assms}:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
```

```
\mathbf{shows}\;(pre_R\;P\;\wedge\;post_R\;P\;wp_r\;pre_R\;Q\Rightarrow_r\;post_R\;P\;;;\;post_R\;Q)=(pre_R\;P\Rightarrow_r\;(post_R\;P\;;;\;post_R\;Q))
 by (metis\ NSRD-postR-seq-postR-impl\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ rea-impl-conj)
lemma NSRD-alt-def: NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))
 by (metis NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-eq-dest-lhs)
lemma preR-RR [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows pre_R(P) is RC
 by (rule RC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms NSRD-neg-pre-unit rpred)
lemma NSRD-intro:
 assumes P is SRD (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P)) $st \(\pm pri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
 have NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-form)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: assms ex-unrest rpred closure)
 also have \dots = P
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
 finally show ?thesis
   using Healthy-def by blast
qed
lemma NSRD-intro':
 assumes P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD3
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def assms comp-apply)
lemma NSRD-RC-intro:
 assumes P is SRD pre_R(P) is RC \$st \sharp peri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
     ex-unrest rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
lemma NSRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st \ \ \ Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
lemma SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; P \text{ is } RD3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } NSRD \rrbracket
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def NSRD-def RHS-idem SRD-healths(4) SRD-reactive-design
comp-apply)
lemma NSRD-iff:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \longleftrightarrow ((P \text{ is } SRD) \land (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \text{ } ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \land (\$st \sharp peri_R(P)))
 by (meson NSRD-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri)
lemma NSRD-is-RD3 [closure]:
```

```
assumes P is NSRD
 shows P is RD3
 by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri RD3-intro-pre assms)
lemma NSRD-refine-elim:
  assumes
   P \sqsubseteq Q P \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD}
   \llbracket \text{`$pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)$'; `$pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P)$'; `$pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)$'$ } \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
R
 shows R
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
   by (simp\ add:\ NSRD\ -is\ -SRD\ SRD\ -reactive\ -tri\ -design\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3))
  hence 1: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow pre_R Q' and 2: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land peri_R Q \Rightarrow peri_R P' and 3: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R Q \Rightarrow
post_{R} P'
   by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms closure)
  with assms(4) show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma NSRD-right-unit: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P ;; II_R = P
 by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-is-RD3 RD3-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD-composition-wp} :
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
 shows P :: Q =
       \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q))
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms NSRD-is-SRD wp-rea-def NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri
R1-negate-R1 R1-preR ex-unrest rpred)
\mathbf{lemma} preR-NSRD-seq-lemma:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
 shows R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))) = post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))
proof -
 have post_R P :: (\neg_r pre_R Q) = R1(R2c(post_R P)) :: R1(R2c(\neg_r pre_R Q))
  by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-post-RHS R1-rea-not R2c-preR R2c-rea-not assms(1) assms(2))
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q)))
   by (simp add: R1-seqr R2c-R1-seq calculation)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma preR-NRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NRD Q is RD
 shows pre_R(P ;; Q) = (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
 by (simp add: NRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RH-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj
     R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure
     R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not preR-NSRD-seg-lemma Healthy-if)
lemma preR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
 shows pre_R(P :; Q) = (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj
     R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure
```

```
lemma periR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows peri_R(P;; Q) = ((pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R P \lor (post_R P;; peri_R Q)))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
     R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
     R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not R1-peri-SRD)
lemma postR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows post_R(P ;; Q) = ((pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
     R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
     R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not)
lemma NRD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is NRD Q is NRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is NRD
proof -
  have (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \ ;; \ true_r = (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q)
   by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NRD-neg-pre-unit)
  thus ?thesis
   by (rule-tac NRD-intro, simp-all add: seqr-or-distl NRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest)
lemma NSRD-segr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is NSRD
proof -
 have (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q);; true_r = (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q)
   by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NSRD-neg-pre-unit)
 moreover have \$st \sharp pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor post_R P ;; peri_R Q
   by (simp add: unrest assms wp-rea-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (rule-tac NSRD-intro, simp-all add: segr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest)
qed
{f lemma} RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:
 assumes
   \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
   \$wait \sharp R \$wait \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
   P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
   R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
   R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st \sharp Q_1
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
       \mathbf{R}_s ((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
   by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
   by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
 have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
   using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
      (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
If a normal reactive design has postcondition false, then it is a left zero for sequential composi-
lemma NSRD-seq-post-false:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD post_R(P) = false
 shows P :: Q = P
 apply (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms wp rpred closure)
 using NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1,3) apply fastforce
done
lemma NSRD-srd-skip [closure]: II_R is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
lemma NSRD-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
Post-composing a miracle filters out the non-terminating behaviours
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma}:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P ;; Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond false)
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp closure assms rdes wp rpred)
lemma Miracle-right-anhil-iff:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P ;; Miracle = Miracle \longleftrightarrow pre_R P = true_r \land (\exists \$st \cdot peri_R P) = false
  by (simp add: SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def srdes-tri-eq-iff closure
assms wp rpred, fastforce)
The set of non-terminating behaviours is a subset
lemma NSRD-right-Miracle-refines:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P \sqsubseteq P;; Miracle
 have \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond false)
   by (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: NSRD-elim NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms)
qed
```

Chaos is a right zero of P precisely when the conjunction of the precondition of P with the weakest precondition under which $post_R$ P yields U(false) reduces to U(false). This is effectively a feasibility check for reactive designs.

```
lemma Chaos-right-anhil-iff:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows P;; Chaos = Chaos \longleftrightarrow (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ false) = false
  by (simp add: SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def srdes-tri-eq-iff closure
assms wp)
lemma upower-Suc-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow P \cap Suc \text{ } n \text{ } is \text{ } NSRD
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
  then show ?case
   by (simp)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ n)
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: NSRD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma NSRD-power-Suc [closure]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow P \text{ ;; } P \cap n \text{ is } NSRD
 by (metis upower-Suc-NSRD-closed upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma uplus-NSRD-closed [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P<sup>+</sup> is NSRD
  by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)
lemma preR-power:
  assumes P is NSRD
 proof (induct n)
 case \theta
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: wp closure)
  case (Suc \ n) note hyp = this
  have pre_R (P \cap (Suc \ n+1)) = pre_R (P :; P \cap (n+1))
   by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \cap (Suc \ n)))
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{NSRD-iff}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{preR-NSRD-seq}\ \mathit{upower-Suc-NSRD-closed}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ ( \bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P ) )
   by (simp add: hyp upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
   by (simp \ add: wp)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}, (post_R \ P \smallfrown (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
   have \bigwedge i. R1 (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ ;; (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)) = (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ ;; (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P))
     by (induct-tac i, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
   thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: wp-rea-def upred-semiring.power-Suc seqr-assoc rpred closure assms)
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \cap 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ (post_R \ P \cap (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
   by (simp add: wp assms closure)
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \cap 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \wedge ( \bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (post_R \ P \cap i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
```

```
proof -
       have ([]i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R P \cap (i+1) wp_r pre_R P)) = ([]i \in \{1..Suc n\}. (post_R P \cap i wp_r pre_R P))
          by (rule cong[of Inf], simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
                (metis (no-types, lifting) image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-cong image-image)
       thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
   by (simp add: conj-upred-def)
   also have ... = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ post_R\ P \ \widehat{}\ i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)
       by (simp\ add:\ atLeast0-atMost-Suc-eq-insert-0)
   finally show ?case by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed
lemma preR-power' [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD
   shows pre_R(P :; P \hat{} n) = (|| i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (post_R(P) \hat{} i) wp_r (pre_R(P)))
   by (simp add: preR-power assms USUP-as-Inf[THEN sym], simp add: USUP-as-Inf-image)
lemma preR-power-Suc [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD
   by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc rdes assms)
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]
lemma periR-power:
   assumes P is NSRD
   proof (induct n)
   case \theta
   then show ?case
       by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-peri-under-pre assms)
    case (Suc n) note hyp = this
   have peri_R (P \cap (Suc \ n+1)) = peri_R (P ;; P \cap (n+1))
       by (simp)
   also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \lor post_R\ P :: peri_R\ (P :: P \cap n)))
       by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \cap (Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P)))
       by (simp \ only: hyp)
   also
   have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow
\Rightarrow_r ( \prod i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \hat{i}) ;; peri_R P)))
      by (simp add: rdes closure assms, rel-blast)
  have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (( \mid i \in \{0..n\}. post_R p \mid i)))
P \cap i ;; peri_R P))
   proof -
       have (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. post<sub>R</sub> P \cap i) is R1
          by (simp add: R1-Continuous assms closure)
       by (simp add: closure assms)
       hence (pre_R (P ;; P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \cap i) ;; peri_R P) is R1
          by (simp add: closure)
```

```
hence (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; \ (pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ n) \Rightarrow_r ( \bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ n) )
\hat{i} ;; peri_R P)
          = (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \widehat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1(pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \widehat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R P \hat{i}) ;; peri_R P)
      by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-post-SRD assms closure)
    thus ?thesis
     by (simp only: wp-rea-impl-lemma, simp add: Healthy-if 1, simp add: R1-post-SRD assms closure)
  \mathbf{qed}
 also
 \hat{i} ;; peri_R P)
   by (pred-auto)
  also
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \cap (Suc \ i)))
:: peri_R P)
    by (simp add: seq-Sup-distl seqr-assoc[THEN sym])
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P :: P \cap n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor ((\bigcap i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}, post_R \ P \cap i) :: post_R \ P \cap i)
peri_R P)
  proof -
    have (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \cap Suc i) = (\bigcap i \in \{1..Suc n\}. post_R P \cap i)
      apply (rule cong[of Sup], auto)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (met is\ at Least OAt Most\ at Most-iff\ image-Suc-at Least At Most\ rev-image-eq I\ upred-semiring.power-Suc)
      using Suc-le-D apply fastforce
    done
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
 also
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ n) \Rightarrow_r (( [ i \in \{0...Suc \ n\}. \ post_R \ P \ i)) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P)
    by (simp add: SUP-atLeastAtMost-first uinf-or segr-or-distl segr-or-distr)
 have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ (Suc\ n)))) \Rightarrow_r ((\bigcap i \in \{0...Suc\ n\}, post_R\ P \cap i);; peri_R\ P))
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
 finally show ?case by (simp)
qed
lemma periR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows peri_R(P ;; P \hat{\ } n) = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc \ n)) \Rightarrow_r ( [ i \in \{0..n\} \cdot post_R(P) \hat{\ } i) ;; peri_R(P))
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:}\ \mathit{periR-power}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{UINF-as-Sup[THEN}\ \mathit{sym}],\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:}\ \mathit{UINF-as-Sup-image})
lemma periR-power-Suc [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows peri_R(P \cap (Suc\ n)) = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot post_R(P) \cap i) ;; peri_R(P))
  by (simp add: rdes assms)
lemma postR-power [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
  shows post_B(P :: P^n) = (pre_B(P^(Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r post_B(P) \cap Suc\ n)
proof (induct n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-post-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc n) note hyp = this
```

```
have post_R (P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) = post_R (P :; P \cap (n+1))
        by (simp)
    also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc \ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P :; post_R \ (P :; P \cap n)))
        by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
    also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \cap Suc\ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R\ P \cap Suc\ n)))
        by (simp\ only:\ hyp)
    also
   have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \cap Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P ;; (pre_R \ (P \cap Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P)
  Suc n))
        by (simp add: rdes closure assms, pred-auto)
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \cap Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; post_R \ P \cap Suc \ n))
     \mathbf{by}\;(\textit{metis}\;(\textit{no-types},\,\textit{lifting})\;\textit{Healthy-if}\;\textit{NSRD-is-SRD}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{NSRD-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power}\;\textit{assms}\;\textit{hyp}\;\textit{postR-SRD-R1}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R1-power-Suc}\;\textit{R
upred-semiring.power-Suc wp-rea-impl-lemma)
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \cap Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \cap Suc \ (Suc \ n))
        by (pred-auto)
    also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ (Suc\ n)))) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \hat{\ } Suc\ (Suc\ n))
        by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
    finally show ?case by (simp)
qed
lemma postR-power-Suc [rdes]:
    assumes P is NSRD
    shows post_R(P \cap (Suc\ n)) = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \cap Suc\ n)
    by (simp add: rdes assms)
lemma power-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
    assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st \sharp Q
    shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)
                 = \mathbf{R}_s((    i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \hat{} i) wp_r P) \vdash ((    i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{} i) ;; Q) \diamond (R \hat{} Suc n))
proof(induct n)
    case \theta
    then show ?case
        by (simp add: wp assms closure)
next
    case (Suc\ n)
    have 1: (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \ wp_r \ (R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r \ P))) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc \ n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i \ wp_r \ P)
        (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof -
        have ?lhs = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \cap Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
            by (simp add: wp closure assms)
        also have ... = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}, (R \cap Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
            by (simp only: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
        also have ... = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}. (R \cap i \ wp_r\ P)))
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) INF-cong One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
        also have ... = (| | i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (R \cap i \ wp_r \ P))
            by (simp add: wp assms closure conj-upred-def)
        also have ... = (| | i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}. (R \cap i wp_r\ P))
            by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
        finally show ?thesis
            by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
    qed
```

```
have 2: (Q \vee R ;; (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{i}) ;; Q) = (\prod i \in \{0..Suc\ n\} \cdot R \hat{i}) ;; Q)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 proof -
  by (simp add: seqr-assoc[THEN sym] seq-UINF-distl)
  by (simp only: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}.\ R \widehat{\ }i) ;;\ Q)
    by (metis One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
  also have ... = (( \mid i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ R \hat{i}) ;; \ Q)
    by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
  by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 qed
 proof -
  by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
  also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{1..n\}, R \hat{i}) ;; Q)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-insert disj-upred-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl upred-semiring.power-0)
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis One-nat-def at Least Less Than Suc-at Least At Most image-Suc-at Least Less Than image-image})
  by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
  also have \dots is RR
    by (simp-all add: closure assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
 from 1 2 3 Suc show ?case
  by (simp add: Suc RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms wp)
qed
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]
theorem uplus-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st \sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^+ = \mathbf{R}_s(R^{\star r} w p_r P \vdash (R^{\star r} ;; Q) \diamond R^+)
proof -
 have 1:(\bigcap i \cdot R \hat{\ } i);; Q = R^{\star r};; Q
  by (metis (no-types) RA1 assms(2) rea-skip-unit(2) rrel-theory.Star-def ustar-alt-def)
 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: uplus-power-def seq-UINF-distr wp closure assms rdes-def)
     (metis 1 seq-UINF-distr')
qed
     UTP theory
5.1
lemma NSRD-false: NSRD false = Miracle
 by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-Miracle NSRD-alt-def NSRD-is-RD3 srdes-theory.healthy-top)
lemma NSRD-true: NSRD true = Chaos
```

```
interpretation nsrdes-theory: utp-theory-kleene NSRD II<sub>R</sub>
 rewrites P \in carrier \ nsrdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P \ is \ NSRD
 and carrier nsrdes-theory.thy-order \rightarrow carrier nsrdes-theory.thy-order \equiv [NSRD]_H \rightarrow [NSRD]_H
 and le nsrdes-theory.thy-order = (\sqsubseteq)
 and eq nsrdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
 and nsrdes-top: nsrdes-theory.utp-top = Miracle
 and nsrdes-bottom: nsrdes-theory.utp-bottom = Chaos
proof
 have utp-theory-continuous NSRD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: NSRD-idem NSRD-Continuous)
 then interpret utp-theory-continuous NSRD
   by simp
 show t: utp-top = Miracle and <math>b: utp-bottom = Chaos
   by (simp-all add: healthy-top healthy-bottom NSRD-false NSRD-true)
 show utp-theory-kleene NSRD II<sub>R</sub>
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure srdes-left-unital. Unit-Left NSRD-right-unit Miracle-left-zero
t)
qed (simp-all)
abbreviation TestR (test_R) where
test_R P \equiv nsrdes-theory.utp-test P
definition StarR :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (-\star^R [999] \ 999) \ where
StarR \equiv nsrdes-theory.utp-star
We also show how to calculate the Kleene closure of a reactive design.
thm rdes-def
lemma StarR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st <math>\sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\star R} = \mathbf{R}_s((R^{\star r} wp_r P) \vdash (R^{\star r} ;; Q) \diamond R^{\star r})
 by (simp add: StarR-def rrel-theory.Star-alt-def nsrdes-theory.Star-alt-def closure assms)
    (simp add: rrel-theory.Star-alt-def assms closure rdes-def unrest rpred disj-upred-def)
```

end

6 Syntax for reactive design contracts

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ utp\text{-}rdes\text{-}contracts\\ \textbf{imports} \ utp\text{-}rdes\text{-}normal\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

We give an experimental syntax for reactive design contracts $[P \vdash Q|R]_R$, where P is a precondition on undashed state variables only, Q is a pericondition that can refer to the trace and before state but not the after state, and R is a postcondition. Both Q and R can refer only to the trace contribution through a HOL variable trace which is bound to U(&tt).

```
definition mk-RD :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 's \ hrel) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \ \mathbf{where} mk-RD P Q R = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil P \rceil_{S <} \vdash \lceil Q(x) \rceil_{S <} \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket \diamond \lceil R(x) \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket)
```

```
definition trace-pred :: ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's upred) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where [upred-defs]: trace-pred P = [(P \ x)]_{S <} \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket
```

```
syntax
  -trace-var :: logic
  -mk-RD :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic ([-/ \vdash -/ \mid -]_R)
  -trace-pred :: logic \Rightarrow logic ([-]_t)
parse-translation (
let
  fun\ trace-var-tr\ [] = Syntax.free\ trace
    | trace-var-tr - = raise Match;
[(@{syntax-const -trace-var}, K trace-var-tr)]
end
translations
  [P \vdash Q \mid R]_R = > CONST \ mk-RD \ P \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ R)
  [P \vdash Q \mid R]_R \le CONST \ mk - RD \ P \ (\lambda \ x. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ y. \ R)
  [P]_t = CONST \ trace-pred \ (\lambda \ -trace-var. \ P)
  [P]_t <= CONST \ trace-pred \ (\lambda \ t. \ P)
lemma SRD-mk-RD [closure]: [P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R is SRD
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-mk-RD [rdes]: pre_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = R1([P]_{S<})
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre)
lemma trace-pred-RR-closed [closure]:
  [P \ trace]_t \ is \ RR
  by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-trace-pred-st' [unrest]:
  \$st \ddagger [P \ trace]_t
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-msubst-tt: R2c (msubst (\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) &tt) = (msubst (\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) &tt)
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ periR-mk-RD \ [rdes]: periR([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(([Q(trace)]_{S<})[trace \rightarrow \&tt]))
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
\mathbf{lemma} \ postR-mk-RD \ [rdes]: post_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = (\lceil P \rceil_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1((\lceil R(trace) \rceil_S)[[trace \rightarrow \&tt]]))
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
Refinement introduction law for contracts
lemma RD-contract-refine:
  assumes
    Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ } \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q
    \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land peri_R \ Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_2 \ x \rceil_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket
    \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land post_R \ Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_3 \ x \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket
  shows [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq Q
proof -
  have [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
```

```
using assms
   by (simp add: mk-RD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all)
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
qed
end
     Reactive design tactics
7
theory utp-rdes-tactics
 imports utp-rdes-triples
begin
Theorems for normalisation
lemmas rdes-rel-norms =
 prod.case-eq-if
 conj-assoc
 disj-assoc
 utp-pred-laws. distrib(3,4)
 conj-UINF-dist
 conj-UINF-ind-dist
 segr-or-distl
 seqr-or-distr
 seq	ext{-}UINF	ext{-}distl
 seq-UINF-distl'
 seg\text{-}UINF\text{-}distr
 seq	ext{-} UINF	ext{-} distr'
The following tactic can be used to simply and evaluate reactive predicates.
\mathbf{method}\ rpred\text{-}simp = (uexpr\text{-}simp\ simps:\ rpred\ usubst\ closure\ unrest)
Tactic to expand out healthy reactive design predicates into the syntactic triple form.
method rdes-expand uses cls = (insert \ cls, (erule \ RD-elim)+)
Tactic to simplify the definition of a reactive design
method rdes-simp uses cls conq simps =
 ((rdes-expand cls: cls)?, (simp add: closure)?, (simp add: rdes-def rdes-rel-norms rdes rpred cls closure
alpha frame usubst unrest wp simps cong: cong))
Tactic to split a refinement conjecture into three POs
method rdes-refine-split uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls conq: conq simps: simps; rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro' srdes-tri-refine-intro')
Tactics to split an equality conjecture into three POs
method rdes-eq-split uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (rule-tac rdes-tri-eq-intro srdes-tri-eq-intro))
method rdes-eq-split' uses cls conq simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (rule-tac rdes-tri-eq-intro' srdes-tri-eq-intro'))
```

Tactic to prove a refinement

method rdes-refine uses cls cong simps =

```
(rdes-refine-split cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (insert cls; rel-auto))
Tactics to prove an equality
{f method}\ rdes{-eq}\ {f uses}\ cls\ cong\ simps =
  (rdes-eq-split cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; rel-auto)
method rdes-eq' uses cls cong simps =
  (rdes-eq-split' cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; rel-auto)
Via antisymmetry
method rdes-eq-anti uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls conq: conq simps: simps; (rule-tac antisym; (rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro srdes-tri-refine-intro;
rel-auto)))
Tactic to calculate pre/peri/postconditions from reactive designs
method rdes-calc = (simp add: rdes rpred closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if)
The following tactic attempts to prove a reactive design refinement by calculation of the pre-,
peri-, and postconditions and then showing three implications between them using rel-blast.
method rdspl-refine =
  (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro; (simp add: closure rdes unrest usubst; rel-blast?))
The following tactic combines antisymmetry with the previous tactic to prove an equality.
method rdspl-eq =
  (rule-tac antisym, rdes-refine, rdes-refine)
end
     Reactive design parallel-by-merge
8
theory utp-rdes-parallel
 imports
   utp-rdes-normal
   utp-rdes-tactics
begin
R3h implicitly depends on RD1, and therefore it requires that both sides be RD1. We also
require that both sides are R3c, and that wait_m is a quasi-unit, and div_m yields divergence.
lemma st-U0-alpha: [\exists \$st \cdot II]_0 = (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_0)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma st-U1-alpha: \lceil\exists \ \$st \cdot II \rceil_1 = (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_1)
 by (rel-auto)
definition skip-rm :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp merge (II_{RM}) where
 [upred-defs]: II_{RM} = (\exists \ \$ <: st \cdot skip_m \lor (\neg \ \$ <: ok \land \ \$ <: tr \le_u \ \$t\acute{r}))
definition [upred-defs]: R3hm(M) = (II_{RM} \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright M)
lemma R3hm-idem: R3hm(R3hm(P)) = R3hm(P)
 by (rel-auto)
abbreviation copytype :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Leftrightarrow 'a (CPTYPE'(-, -')) where CPTYPE(A, B) \equiv B
```

```
lemma R3h-par-by-merge [closure]:
      assumes P is R3h Q is R3h M is R3hm
      shows (P \parallel_M Q) is R3h
 proof -
     \mathbf{have} \ (P \parallel_M Q) = (((P \parallel_M Q)\llbracket true /\$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \rhd (P \parallel_M Q)\llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true /\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \rhd (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true /\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \rhd (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = ((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\Pok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\Pok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\Pok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false /\Pok \rrbracket ] = (P \parallel_M Q) = (P \parallel_M Q)
 Q))
          by (simp add: cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right)
      also have ... = (((P \parallel_M Q)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)[[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]])
 (P \parallel_M Q))
          by (rel-auto)
      \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q)[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait]
\triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
      proof -
       \mathbf{have} \ (P \parallel_{M} Q) [\![true, true/\$ok, \$wait]\!] = ((\lceil P \rceil_{0} \land \lceil Q \rceil_{1} \land \$ < : \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_{u} \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ R3hm(M)) [\![true, true/\$ok, \$wait]\!] 
                by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
       \mathbf{also\ have}\ ... = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \ ;; (\exists \ \$ < : st \cdot CPTYPE(M, \$ \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$ < ))) \llbracket true, true / \$ ok, \$ wait \rrbracket
                also have ... = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ \leqslant =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ; (\exists \$ \leqslant :st \cdot CPTYPE(M, \$ \mathbf{v} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}))
(s<))
                by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
          also have ... = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
                by (rel-auto)
          finally show ?thesis by (simp add: closure assms unrest)
     also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (R1(true))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]) \triangleleft \$wait
\rhd (P \parallel_M Q))
      proof
       \mathbf{have}\;(P\parallel_M Q)\llbracket\mathit{false},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}\rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \; ;; \; R3\mathit{hm}(M))\llbracket\mathit{false},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}\rrbracket
                by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
        also have ... = (([P]_0 \land [Q]_1 \land \$<: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (CPTYPE(M, \$<: tr \leq_u \$t\hat{r})))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
                by (rel-blast)
       \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (CPTYPE(M, \$ < : tr \leq_u \$ t\acute{r}))) \llbracket false, true/\$ ok, \$ wait \rrbracket
                by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
          also have ... = (R1(true)) [false, true/\$ok, \$wait]
                by (rel-blast)
          finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
      also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
          by (rel-auto)
      also have ... = R3h(P \parallel_M Q)
          by (simp add: R3h-cases)
      finally show ?thesis
          by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
definition [upred-defs]: RD1m(M) = (M \lor \neg \$ <: ok \land \$ <: tr \le_u \$t\acute{r})
lemma RD1-par-by-merge [closure]:
      assumes P is R1 Q is R1 M is R1m P is RD1 Q is RD1 M is RD1m
      shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD1
proof -
      have 1: (RD1(R1(P)) \parallel_{RD1m(R1m(M))} RD1(R1(Q))) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket = R1(true)
          by (rel-blast)
      have (P \parallel_M Q) = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket
```

```
by (simp add: cond-var-split)
  also have ... = R1(P \parallel_M Q) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)
   by (metis 1 Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge assms calculation
            cond-idem cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar ok-vwb-lens)
 also have ... = RD1(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge RD1-alt-def assms(3))
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma RD2-par-by-merge [closure]:
 assumes M is RD2
 shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD2
proof -
 have (P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M)
   by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; (M ;; J))
   by (simp add: Healthy-def' RD2-def H2-def)
 also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) ;; J)
   by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
 also from assms have ... = RD2(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: RD2-def H2-def par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
lemma SRD-par-by-merge:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is R1m M is R2m M is R3hm M is RD1m M is RD2
 shows (P \parallel_M Q) is SRD
 by (rule SRD-intro, simp-all add: assms closure SRD-healths)
definition nmerge-rd\theta (N_0) where
[upred-defs]: N_0(M) = (\$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr
                     \land (\exists \$0:ok;\$1:ok;\$<:ok;\$ok;\$0:wait;\$1:wait;\$<:wait;\$wait \cdot M))
definition nmerge-rd1 (N_1) where
[upred-defs]: N_1(M) = (\$ok =_u (\$o:ok \land \$1:ok) \land N_0(M))
definition nmerge-rd (N_R) where
[upred-defs]: N_R(M) = ((\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \ \$<:v) \land \$<:wait \rhd N_1(M)) \land \$<:ok \rhd (\$<:tr \leq_u \ \$t\acute{r})
definition merge-rd1 (M_1) where
[upred-defs]: M_1(M) = (N_1(M) ;; II_R)
definition merge-rd (M_R) where
[upred-defs]: M_R(M) = N_R(M);; II_R
abbreviation rdes-par (- \|_{R}- - [85,0,86] 85) where
P \parallel_{RM} Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q
Healthiness condition for reactive design merge predicates
definition [upred-defs]: RDM(M) = R2m(\exists \$0:ok;\$1:ok;\$<:ok;\$ok;\$0:wait;\$1:wait;\$<:wait;\$wait \cdot M)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R1m [closure]:
  N_R(M) is R1m
```

```
by (rel-blast)
lemma R2m-nmerge-rd: R2m(N_R(R2m(M))) = N_R(R2m(M))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R2m [closure]:
 M \text{ is } R2m \Longrightarrow N_R(M) \text{ is } R2m
 by (metis Healthy-def' R2m-nmerge-rd)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R3hm [closure]: N_R(M) is R3hm
 by (rel-blast)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-RD1m [closure]: N_R(M) is RD1m
 by (rel-blast)
lemma merge-rd-is-RD3: M_R(M) is RD3
 by (metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def merge-rd-def)
lemma merge-rd-is-RD2: M_R(M) is RD2
 by (simp add: RD3-implies-RD2 merge-rd-is-RD3)
lemma par-rdes-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 shows P \parallel_{RM} Q is NSRD
proof -
 have (P \parallel_{N_R M} Q ;; II_R) is NSRD
   by (rule NSRD-intro', simp-all add: SRD-healths closure assms)
      (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R2-par-by-merge R2-seqr-closure R2m-nmerge-rd RDM-def
SRD-healths(2) assms skip-srea-R2
     , metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: merge-rd-def par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
qed
lemma RDM-intro:
 assumes M is R2m \$0:ok \sharp M \$1:ok \sharp M \$<:ok \sharp M \$ok \sharp M
        shows M is RDM
 using assms
 by (simp add: Healthy-def RDM-def ex-unrest unrest)
lemma RDM-unrests [unrest]:
 assumes M is RDM
 shows \$0:ok \sharp M \$1:ok \sharp M \$<:ok \sharp M \$ok \sharp M
      0:wait \ \sharp \ M \ 1:wait \ \sharp \ M \ <:wait \ \sharp \ M \ wait \ \sharp \ M
 by (subst Healthy-if [OF assms, THEN sym], simp-all add: RDM-def unrest, rel-auto)+
lemma RDM-R1m [closure]: M is RDM \Longrightarrow M is R1m
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def)
lemma RDM-R2m [closure]: M is RDM \Longrightarrow M is R2m
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R2m-idem RDM-def)
lemma ex-st'-R2m-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is R2m
```

```
shows (\exists \$st \cdot P) is R2m
proof -
  have R2m(\exists \$st \cdot R2m P) = (\exists \$st \cdot R2m P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def' assms)
qed
lemma parallel-RR-closed:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR M is R2m
           $<:ok \sharp M $<:wait \sharp M $ok \sharp M $wait \sharp M
  shows P \parallel_M Q is RR
  by (rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms RR-implies-R2 closure)
lemma parallel-ok-cases:
((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (
  ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
  ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[false, true/\$0:ok, \$1:ok])) \vee
  (P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[true,false/\$\theta:ok,\$1:ok])) \lor
  ((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[false,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])))
proof -
  have ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot (P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \langle ok_0 \rangle / \$0 : ok \rrbracket ;; M \llbracket \langle ok_0 \rangle / \$0 : ok \rrbracket)
    by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ ok\ ;_L\ mrg-left],\ simp-all)
 \mathbf{also\ have}\ ... = (\exists\ ok_0 \cdot \exists\ ok_1 \cdot ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket (ok_0 ) / \$0:ok \rrbracket \llbracket (ok_1 ) / \$1:ok \rrbracket) \ ;; \ (M \llbracket (ok_0 ) / \$0:ok \rrbracket \llbracket (ok_1 ) / \$1:ok \rrbracket))
    by (subst segr-middle[of ok ; L mrg-right], simp-all)
  also have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot (P \llbracket \langle ok_0 \rangle / \$ok \rrbracket) \parallel_s Q \llbracket \langle ok_1 \rangle / \$ok \rrbracket); (M \llbracket \langle ok_0 \rangle, \langle ok_1 \rangle / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)
    by (rel-auto robust)
  also have \dots = (
       ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
       ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[false, true/\$0:ok, \$1:ok])) \lor
       (P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[true,false/\$\theta:ok,\$1:ok])) \lor
       ((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[false,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])))
    by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym] false-alt-def[THEN sym] disj-assoc
       utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.sup.left\text{-}commute\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.sup\text{-}commute\ usubst)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma skip-srea-ok-f [usubst]:
  II_R{}^f = R1(\neg\$ok)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma nmerge0-rd-unrest [unrest]:
  \$0:ok \sharp N_0 M \$1:ok \sharp N_0 M
  \mathbf{by} \ (pred-auto) +
lemma parallel-assm-lemma:
  assumes P is RD2
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{pre}_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (((\mathit{pre}_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)};; \mathit{R1}(\mathit{true})\ (\mathit{cmt}_s \dagger Q))
                                      \vee ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} (pre_s \dagger Q)))
proof -
  have pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = pre_s \dagger ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M_R(M))
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] :: N_R M :: R1(\neg \$ok))
    by (simp add: merge-rd-def usubst, rel-auto)
  also have ... = ((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; N_1(M);; R_1(\neg \$ok))
```

```
by (rel-auto\ robust,\ (metis)+)
  also have \dots = ((
        (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t);;((N_1 M)[true,true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok]];;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
        (((P\llbracket true,false/\$ok,\$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q\llbracket true,false/\$ok,\$wait \rrbracket)^t) ;; ((N_1 M)\llbracket false,true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok \rrbracket ;;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
        (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f) ;; ((N_1 M)[true,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok] ;;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
       (((P \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) \ ;; \ ((N_1 \ M) \llbracket false, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket \ ;;
R1(\neg \$ok)))))
    (\mathbf{is} - = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4))
    by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
  also have ... = (?C2 \lor ?C3)
  proof -
    have ?C1 = false
      by (rel-auto)
    moreover have ?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3 (is (?A;;?B) \Rightarrow (?C;;?D))
      from assms have 'P^f \Rightarrow P^t'
        by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
      hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
        by (rel-auto)
      have ?A \Rightarrow ?C
        using P by (rel-auto)
      moreover have ?B \Rightarrow ?D
        by (rel-auto)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: subsumption2)
  qed
  also have \dots = (
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))))
    by (rel-auto, metis+)
  also have \dots = (
      ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
      ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (pre_s \dagger Q)))
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma pre_s-SRD:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows pre_s \dagger P = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
proof -
  have pre_s \dagger P = pre_s \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P)
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_s \dagger pre_R P))
   by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_R P))
    by (rel-auto)
```

```
also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
    by (simp add: R2c-not R2c-preR assms rea-not-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-assm:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) \land
                                      \neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (\neg_r pre_R(Q))))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r \ (\mathit{pre}_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 \ M} ;; \ \mathit{R1 \ true} \ (\mathit{cmt}_s \dagger \ Q) \ \land \\
                               \neg_r (cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1 true} (pre_s \dagger Q))
    by (simp add: pre<sub>R</sub>-def parallel-assm-lemma assms SRD-healths R1-conj rea-not-def[THEN sym])
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (simp add: pre_s-SRD assms cmt_R-def Healthy-if closure unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-assm-unrest-wait' [unrest]:
  \llbracket \ P \ is \ SRD; \ Q \ is \ SRD \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$wait \ \sharp \ pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} \ Q)
  by (simp add: parallel-assm, simp add: par-by-merge-def unrest)
lemma JL1: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, true/\$0: ok, \$1: ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL2: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL3: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL_4: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok] = (\$ok \land N_0 \ M) ;; II_R^t
  by (simp add: merge-rd1-def usubst nmerge-rd1-def unrest)
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-1:
  assumes P is RD2
  shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) (pre_s \dagger Q)))
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (P[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \parallel_{(M_1(M))^t} Q[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
    by (simp add: usubst, rel-auto)
  also have ... = (P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; (M_1 M)^t)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, false / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok \rrbracket)))
    by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
```

```
(((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
      (is - (?C1 \lor_p ?C2 \lor_p ?C3 \lor_p ?C4))
    by (simp add: JL1 JL2 JL3)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1(M))^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
  proof -
    from assms have P^f \Rightarrow P^t
      by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
    hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
      by (rel-auto)
    have ?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3' (is (?A ;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C ;; ?D)')
    proof -
      have '?A \Rightarrow ?C'
       using P by (rel-auto)
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: subsumption2)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def JL4)
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-2:
 assumes P is RD2
 shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
         (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
  by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-1 assms parallel-assm-lemma)
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-3:
  M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow (\$ok \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t \text{ is } R1m
  by (rel\text{-}simp, safe, metis+)
lemma parallel-commitment:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 \mathbf{shows} \ cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok \ \land \ N_0 \ M) \ ;; \ II_R{}^t} \ cmt_R(Q))
 by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-2 parallel-commitment-lemma-3 Healthy-if assms cmt<sub>B</sub>-def
pre<sub>s</sub>-SRD closure rea-impl-def disj-comm unrest)
theorem parallel-reactive-design:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 shows (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(
    (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} cmt_R(Q)) \land
    \neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} (\neg_r pre_R(Q)))) \vdash
   (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} cmt_R(Q))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \vdash cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
  by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-rdes-NSRD)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
```

```
by (simp add: parallel-assm parallel-commitment design-export-spec assms, rel-auto)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma1:
        (\$ok \land P) ; II_R[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] = (\exists \$st \cdot P)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
        (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
      have ?lhs = (\$ok \land P) ; (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
              by (rel-blast)
      also have \dots = ?rhs
              by (rel-auto)
      finally show ?thesis.
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma2:
      assumes M is RDM
       shows (\exists \$st \cdot N_0(M))[true, true/\$ok, \$wait] = ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st \cdot M))
proof -
       have (\exists \$st \cdot N_0(M))[true, true/\$ok, \$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land \$t\acute{r} \ge_u \$<:tr \land M)
              by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
        also have ... = (\exists \$st \cdot (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land M)
         \textbf{by} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{hide-lams}) \; \textit{Healthy-if} \; R1 \\ \textit{m-def} \; R1 \\ \textit{m-idem} \; R2 \\ \textit{m-def} \; RD \\ \textit{M-def} \; assms \; utp-pred-laws. \\ \textit{inf-commute}) \; \textit{metis} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{hide-lams}) \; \textit{Healthy-if} \; R1 \\ \textit{m-def} \; R1 \\ \textit{m-def} \; R2 \\ \textit{m-def} \; RD \\ \textit{M-def} \; assms \; utp-pred-laws. \\ \textit{inf-commute}) \; \textit{metis} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \, \textit{hide-lams}) \; \textit{metis} \; (\textit{metis} \; \textit{metis} \; \textit{meti
       also have ... = ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st \cdot M))
              by (rel-auto)
      finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma3:
       ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st \cdot M)) = ((\$0:wait \land \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot M)) \lor (\neg \$0:wait \land \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot M))) \lor (\neg \$0:wait \land \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot M))
(\exists \$st \cdot M)) \lor (\$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot M)))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma parallel-pericondition [rdes]:
       fixes M :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
       assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
       shows peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st \ . \ M} peri_R(Q)
                                                                                                                                                                                   \vee \ post_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st.\ M} \ peri_R(Q)
                                                                                                                                                                                   \vee \ peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st \ . \ M} \ post_R(Q))
proof -
       have peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
              (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel_{(\$ok \land N_0 \ M)};; II_R \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \ cmt_R \ Q) by (simp \ add: \ peri-cmt-def \ parallel-commitment \ SRD-healths \ assms \ usubst \ unrest \ assms)
       \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (\mathit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r \mathit{cmt}_R \ P \parallel_{(\exists \ \$\mathit{st} \ . \ N_0 \ M)[[\mathit{true},\mathit{true}/\$\mathit{ok}, \ \$\mathit{wait}]]} \ \mathit{cmt}_R \ \mathit{Q})
              \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ parallel-pericondition-lemma1)
        also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel_{(\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st \cdot M)} cmt_R \ Q)
              by (simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma2 assms)
        \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (\textit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \ \Rightarrow_r \ ((\lceil \textit{cmt}_R \ P \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil \textit{cmt}_R \ Q \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$ <: \acute{\textbf{v}} =_u \ \$ \textbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$ \theta : \textit{wait} \ \land \ \P ) \ \land \ \P 
\$1:wait \land (\exists \$st \cdot M))
                                                                                                                                   \lor (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\neg \$ \theta : wait \land \$ 1 : wait \land (\exists vait \land \$ 1) : wait \land (\exists 
\$st \cdot M)
                                                                                                                                   \vee (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\$ \theta : wait \wedge \neg \$ 1 : wait \wedge (\exists v) )
\$st \cdot M)))
              by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def parallel-pericondition-lemma3 seqr-or-distr)
```

also have ... = $(pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil peri_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ \leqslant =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$ st \cdot M)$

```
\vee (\lceil post_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ \leqslant =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$ st \cdot M)
                                                                    \vee (\lceil peri_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ \leqslant =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ; (\exists \$ st \cdot M)))
     by (simp add: seqr-right-one-point-true seqr-right-one-point-false cmt_R-def post_R-def po
unrest assms)
   also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st \ . \ M} peri_R(Q) 
\lor post_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st \ . \ M} peri_R(Q) 
\lor peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st \ . \ M} post_R(Q))
       by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
{\bf lemma}\ parallel-postcondition-lemma 1:
    (\$ok \land P) ; II_R[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = P[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
   have ?lhs = (\$ok \land P) ;; II[true,false/\$ok, \$wait]
       by (rel-blast)
   also have \dots = ?rhs
       by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma 2:
   assumes M is RDM
   shows (N_0(M))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] = ((\neg \$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait) \land M)
proof -
   have (N_0(M))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] = ((\neg \$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait) \land \$t\acute{r} \ge_u \$<:tr \land M)
       by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
   also have ... = ((\neg \$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait) \land M)
       by (metis Healthy-if R1m-def RDM-R1m assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-postcondition [rdes]:
   fixes M :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
   assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
   shows post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \parallel_M post_R(Q))
proof -
   have post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
       (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$ok \land N_0 \ M)} ;; II_R \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \ cmt_R \ Q) by (simp \ add: \ post-cmt-def \ parallel-commitment \ assms \ usubst \ unrest \ SRD-healths)
   also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\neg \$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait \land M)} cmt_R \ Q)
       by (simp add: parallel-postcondition-lemma1 parallel-postcondition-lemma2 assms,
              simp add: utp-pred-laws.inf-commute utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute)
    also have ... = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \parallel_M post_R Q)
     by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def seqr-right-one-point-false usubst unrest cmt_R-def post_R-def assms)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-precondition-lemma:
   fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
   \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ is\ NSRD\ Q\ is\ NSRD\ M\ is\ RDM
   shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q) =
               ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ post_R \ Q)
proof -
```

```
have ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q)) =
          ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} (peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
     by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))
     by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \rceil_1 \triangleleft \$1: wait \triangleright \lceil post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;;
R1(true)
     by (simp add: wait'-cond-def alpha)
   also have ... = (((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$1: wait \triangleright (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land 
[post_R(Q)]_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ; N_0(M) ; R1(true)
     (is (?P ;; -) = (?Q ;; -))
  proof -
     have ?P = ?Q
       by (rel-auto)
     thus ?thesis by simp
   also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \llbracket true / \$ 1 : wait \rrbracket ; ; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1) \rrbracket
true)[true/\$1:wait]
                                   (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \llbracket false/\$1:wait \rrbracket ;; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1)
true)[false/$1:wait])
     by (simp add: cond-inter-var-split)
  also have ... = (([\neg_r \ pre_R \ P]_0 \land [peri_R \ Q]_1 \land \$<: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 \ M[true/\$1:wait]]; R1 \ true \lor
                         (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 \ M \llbracket false / \$ 1: wait \rrbracket ;; R1 \ true)
     by (simp add: usubst unrest)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\$ wait \land M) ;; R1 \ true \lor
                         (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\$ wait =_u \$ 0 : wait \land M) ;; R1 \ true)
  proof -
     have (\$t\acute{r} \ge_u \$ <: tr \land M) = M
       using RDM-R1m[OF\ assms(3)]
       by (simp add: Healthy-def R1m-def conj-comm)
     thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: nmerge-rd0-def unrest assms closure ex-unrest usubst)
  also have ... = (([\neg_r \ pre_R \ P]_0 \land [peri_R \ Q]_1 \land \$ <: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true \lor
                         (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true)
     (is (?P_1 \lor_p ?P_2) = (?Q_1 \lor ?Q_2))
  proof -
     have ?P_1 = (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$ wait) ;; R1 \ true
       by (simp add: conj-comm)
     hence 1: ?P_1 = ?Q_1
       by (simp add: seqr-left-one-point-true seqr-left-one-point-false add: unrest usubst closure assms)
     have ?P_2 = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$wait) ;; R1 \ true \lor
                     (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \neg \$ wait) ;; R1 \ true)
       by (subst seqr-bool-split of wait; L mrg-left, simp-all add: usubst unrest assms closure conj-comm)
     hence 2: ?P_2 = ?Q_2
       by (simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false unrest usubst closure assms)
     from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
  \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ post_R \ Q)
     by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma swap-nmerge-rd\theta:
  swap_m :: N_0(M) = N_0(swap_m :: M)
```

```
by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma SymMerge-nmerge-rd0 [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \Longrightarrow N_0(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma swap-merge-rd':
  swap_m ;; N_R(M) = N_R(swap_m ;; M)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma swap-merge-rd:
  swap_m ;; M_R(M) = M_R(swap_m ;; M)
  by (simp add: merge-rd-def seqr-assoc[THEN sym] swap-merge-rd')
lemma SymMerge-merge-rd [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \Longrightarrow M_R(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (simp add: Healthy-def swap-merge-rd)
lemma nmerge-rd1-merge3:
  assumes M is RDM
  shows \mathbf{M}\beta(N_1(M)) = (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land
                       \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land
                       \mathbf{M}3(M)
proof -
  have \mathbf{M} \Im(N_1(M)) = \mathbf{M} \Im(\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:ok) \land
                        \$wait =_{u} (\$0{:}wait \lor \$1{:}wait) \land
                        <:tr \leq_u $t\acute{r} \land
                        (\exists \{\$0:ok, \$1:ok, \$<:ok, \$ok, \$0:wait, \$1:wait, \$<:wait, \$wait\} \cdot RDM(M)))
    by (simp add: nmerge-rd1-def nmerge-rd0-def assms Healthy-if)
  also have ... = \mathbf{M}3(\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land RDM(M))
    by (rel-blast)
  \textbf{also have} \ ... = (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land \texttt{}
\mathbf{M}3(RDM(M))
    by (rel-blast)
  also have ... = (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait)
\mathbf{M}3(M)
    by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma nmerge-rd-merge3:
  \mathbf{M}\beta(N_R(M)) = (\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$<:v) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright \mathbf{M}\beta(N_1|M) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright (\$<:tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r})
  by (rel-blast)
\mathbf{lemma}\ AssocMerge-nmerge-rd:
  assumes M is RDM AssocMerge M
  shows AssocMerge(N_R(M))
  have 1:\mathbf{M}3(M) = rotate_m ;; \mathbf{M}3(M)
    using assms by (simp add: AssocMerge-def)
  have rotate_m;; (\mathbf{M} \beta(N_R(M))) =
        ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
            (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land \mathbf{M}3(M))
\triangleleft $<:ok \triangleright
```

```
(\$<:tr\le_u\$t\acute{r})
    by (simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms)
  also have ... =
        ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
            (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (rotate_m)
(\mathbf{M}\mathfrak{Z}(M)) \triangleleft \$ <: ok \triangleright
             (\$<:tr \le_u \$t\acute{r}))
    by (rel-blast)
  also have \dots =
        ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
            (\$ok =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land \mathbf{M}3(M))
(\$<:tr \le_u \$t\acute{r}))
    using 1 by auto
  also have ... = \mathbf{M} \Im(N_R(M))
    by (simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms)
  finally show ?thesis
    using AssocMerge-def by blast
qed
lemma swap-merge-RDM-closed [closure]:
  assumes M is RDM
  shows swap_m ;; M is RDM
proof -
  have RDM(swap_m ;; RDM(M)) = (swap_m ;; RDM(M))
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Healthy-def'}\ \mathit{assms})
lemma parallel-precondition:
  fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) rsp merge
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
  shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
          (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_{M};; R1(true) peri_R Q) \land
            \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} post_R \ Q) \land
            \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ peri_R \ P) \land
            \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ post_R \ P))
proof -
  have a: (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) \ cmt_R(Q) =
           ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M :: R1(true)} peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M :: R1(true)} post_R \ Q)
    by (simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma assms)
  have b: (\neg_r \ cmt_R \ P \parallel_{N_0 \ M} ;; R1 \ true \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)) =
           (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q))) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ cmt_R(P))
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ swap-nmerge-rd0[THEN\ sym]\ seqr-assoc[THEN\ sym]\ par-by-merge-def\ par-sep-swap)
  have c: (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(P) =
           ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m :: M) :: R1(true)} peri_R \ P \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m :: M) :: R1(true)} post_R
    by (simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma closure assms)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: parallel-assm closure assms a b c, rel-auto)
qed
```

Weakest Parallel Precondition

```
definition wrR ::
  ('t::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rp \Rightarrow
  ('t :: trace, '\alpha) \ rp \ merge \Rightarrow
  ('t, '\alpha) hrel-rp \Rightarrow
  ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ (- \ wr_R'(-') \ - \ [60,0,61] \ 61)
where [upred-defs]: Q \ wr_R(M) \ P = (\neg_r \ ((\neg_r \ P) \parallel_{M} \ ;; \ R1(true) \ Q))
lemma wrR-R1 [closure]:
  M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow Q \text{ } wr_R(M) \text{ } P \text{ is } R1
 by (simp add: wrR-def closure)
lemma R2-rea-not: R2(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wrR-R2-lemma:
 assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
 shows ((\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q) ;; R1(true_h) is R2
proof -
 have (\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q is R2
   by (simp add: closure assms)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: closure)
qed
lemma wrR-R2 [closure]:
 assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
 shows Q wr_R(M) P is R2
 have ((\neg_r \ P) \parallel_M \ Q) \ ;; \ R \textit{1}(\textit{true}_h) \ \textit{is} \ R \textit{2}
   by (simp add: wrR-R2-lemma assms)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: wrR-def wrR-R2-lemma par-by-merge-seg-add closure)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma wrR-RR [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
 shows Q wr_R(M) P is RR
 apply (rule RR-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest assms closure wrR-def rpred)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-rea-not RDM-R2m
             RR-implies-R2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-by-merge-seq-add rea-not-R2-closed
             wrR-R2-lemma)
done
lemma wrR-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
 shows (Q wr_R(M) P) is RC
 apply (rule RC-intro)
 apply (simp add: closure assms)
 apply (simp add: wrR-def rpred closure assms)
 apply (simp add: par-by-merge-def segr-assoc)
lemma wppR-choice [wp]: (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
```

```
proof -
   have (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R =
               (\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land (P ;; U1 \lor Q ;; U1) \land \$ < : \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r)
       by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def seqr-or-distl)
   also have ... = (\neg_r \ ((\neg_r \ R) \ ;; \ U0 \land P \ ;; \ U1 \land \$ <: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v} \lor (\neg_r \ R) \ ;; \ U0 \land Q \ ;; \ U1 \land \$ <: \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v})
;; M ;; true_r)
       by (simp add: conj-disj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
   also have ... = (\neg_r (((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land P ;; U1 \land \$ < : \acute{\mathbf{v}} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r \lor )
                                            ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{\acute{v}} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r))
       by (simp add: seqr-or-distl)
   also have ... = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
       by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma wppR-impl [wp]: (P \Rightarrow_r Q) wr_R(M) R = ((\neg_r P) wr_R(M) R \land Q wr_R(M) R)
   by (simp add: rea-impl-def wp)
lemma wppR-miracle [wp]: false wr_R(M) P = true_r
   by (simp \ add: wrR-def)
lemma wppR-true [wp]: P wr_R(M) true_r = true_r
   by (simp \ add: wrR-def)
lemma parallel-precondition-wr [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
   shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (peri_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \ 
                                                      peri_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q) \land post_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q))
   by (simp add: assms parallel-precondition wrR-def)
lemma rea-impl-merge-left: (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \parallel_M R = (((\neg_r P) \parallel_M R) \lor (Q \parallel_M R))
   by (simp add: rea-impl-def par-by-merge-or-left)
lemma rea-impl-merge-right: P \parallel_M (Q \Rightarrow_r R) = (P \parallel_M (\neg_r Q) \lor P \parallel_M R)
   by (simp add: rea-impl-def par-by-merge-or-right)
lemma parallel-pre-lemma:
    ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \land (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists \$st} M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
             = ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st. M} (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
   apply (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
   apply (rel-auto)
   apply (meson order-refl)
   apply (meson order-refl)
   apply blast
   apply blast
   apply blast
   apply blast
   done
lemma parallel-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
   assumes P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
                  \$st \sharp P_2 \$st \sharp Q_2
                  M is RDM
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \parallel_{M_R(M)} \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
                \mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge
```

```
(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash
                       proof -
     have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ ?lhs \vdash peri_R \ ?lhs \diamond post_R \ ?lhs)
         by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms closure)
    also have ... =
                    \mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge
                                (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ Q_1 \land (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ Q_1) \vdash
                      (is - = \mathbf{R}_s(?X)
                                  \vdash (?Y_1 \lor ?Y_2 \lor ?Y_3)
                                   \diamond ?Z))
         by (simp add: rdes closure unrest assms, rel-auto)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \land ?Y_1) \lor (?X \land ?Y_2) \lor (?X \land ?Y_3)) \diamond (?X \land ?Z))
         by (rel-auto)
   \mathbf{also\ have}\ ... = \mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_2) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_2) \lor (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_2)) \lor (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_2) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \$st\ .\ M} Q_3) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists\ \ M} Q_3) \lor (
 (Q_3) \diamond (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_M Q_3)
    proof -
         have 1:(?X \land ?Y_1) = (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st \cdot M} Q_2)
              \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rel-auto}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{blast} +)
         have 2:(?X \land ?Y_2) = (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists \$st. M} Q_2)
              by (rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+)
         have 3:(?X \land ?Y_3) = (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st. M} Q_3)
             by (rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+)
         have 4:(?X \land ?Z) = (?X \land P_3 \parallel_M Q_3)
             by (rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+)
         show ?thesis
              by (simp add: 1 2 3 4)
    qed
    also have \dots = ?rhs
         by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Miracle-parallel-left-zero:
    assumes P is SRD M is RDM
    shows Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = Miracle
proof -
    have pre_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = true_r
         by (simp add: parallel-assm wait'-cond-idem rdes closure assms)
     moreover hence cmt_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = false
         by (simp add: rdes closure wait'-cond-idem SRD-healths assms)
     ultimately have Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
         by (metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory.weak.top-closed)
     thus ?thesis
         by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed
lemma Miracle-parallel-right-zero:
```

assumes P is SRD M is RDM

```
shows P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = Miracle
proof -
  have pre_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = true_r
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem parallel-assm rdes closure assms)
  moreover hence cmt_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = false
    by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem rdes closure SRD-healths assms)
  ultimately have P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
    by (metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory.weak.top-closed)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed
        Example basic merge
definition BasicMerge :: (('s, 't::trace, unit) rsp) merge (N_B) where
[upred-defs]: BasicMerge = (\$<:tr \le_u \$t\hat{r} \land \$t\hat{r} - \$<:tr =_u \$0:tr - \$<:tr \land \$t\hat{r} - \$<:tr =_u \$1:tr - \$
\$ < :tr \land \$st =_u \$ < :st
abbreviation rbasic-par (- \parallel_B - [85,86] 85) where
P \parallel_B Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(N_B)} Q
lemma BasicMerge-RDM [closure]: N_B is RDM
 by (rule\ RDM\text{-}intro,\ (rel\text{-}auto)+)
lemma BasicMerge-SymMerge [closure]:
  N_B is SymMerge
 by (rel-auto)
lemma BasicMerge'-calc:
  assumes \$ok \sharp P \$wait \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$wait \sharp Q P is R2 Q is R2
 shows P \parallel_{N_B} Q = ((\exists \$st \cdot P) \land (\exists \$st \cdot Q) \land \$st =_u \$st)
  using assms
proof -
  have P:(\exists \{\$ok,\$wait\} \cdot R2(P)) = P \text{ (is } ?P' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  have Q:(\exists \{\$ok,\$wait\} \cdot R2(Q)) = Q \text{ (is } ?Q' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  \mathbf{have}~?P'\parallel_{N_B}?Q'=((\exists~\$st\cdot?P')~\land~(\exists~\$st\cdot?Q')~\land~\$st=_u~\$st)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def, rel-auto, blast+)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp \ add: P \ Q)
qed
8.2
        Simple parallel composition
definition rea-design-par ::
  ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (infixr \parallel_R 85)
where [upred-defs]: P \parallel_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q)) \vdash (cmt_R(P) \land cmt_R(Q)))
lemma RHS-design-par:
 assumes
    \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2))
  have \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2}) =
```

```
\mathbf{R}_s(P_1[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \vdash Q_1[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]) \vdash
Q_2[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
    by (simp add: RHS-design-ok-wait)
 also from assms
 have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R1\ (R2c\ (P_1)) \land R1\ (R2c\ (P_2)))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash
           (R1 (R2c (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 (R2c (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))) \llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)
      apply (simp add: rea-design-par-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest assms)
      apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp)
      using assms apply (rel-auto)
  done
 also have ... =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R2c(P_1) \wedge R2c(P_2)) \vdash
           (R1 (R2s (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 (R2s (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-conj R1-design-R1-pre RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (R1 \ (R2s \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 \ (R2s \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
    by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute R2c-and R2c-design R2c-idem R2c-not RHS-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-conj R2s-conj RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-par:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \land R_2))
 by (simp add: RHS-design-par assms unrest wait'-cond-conj-exchange)
lemma RHS-tri-design-par-RR [rdes-def]:
  assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \land R_2))
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest assms)
lemma RHS-comp-assoc:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD R is NSRD
  shows (P \parallel_R Q) \parallel_R R = P \parallel_R Q \parallel_R R
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
end
```

9 Productive Reactive Designs

theory utp-rdes-productive imports utp-rdes-parallel begin

9.1 Healthiness condition

A reactive design is productive if it strictly increases the trace, whenever it terminates. If it does not terminate, it is also classed as productive.

```
definition Productive :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
```

```
[upred-defs]: Productive(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash true \diamond (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
lemma Productive-alt-def:
    Productive(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash true_r \diamond (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
   by (rel-auto)
lemma Productive-RHS-design-form:
    assumes \$ok \ \sharp \ P \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q \ \$ok \ \sharp \ R
    shows Productive(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond (R \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
    using assms by (simp add: Productive-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest rpred)
We use the R4 healthiness condition to characterise that the postcondition must extend the
trace for a reactive design to be productive.
lemma Productive-RHS-R4-design-form:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
   shows Productive(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R \not \downarrow(R))
   by (simp add: Productive-RHS-design-form closure assms unrest R4-def)
lemma Productive-form:
    Productive(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
proof -
   have Productive(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash true_r \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr))
        by (simp add: Productive-alt-def SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
   also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
        by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest rpred, rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
A reactive design is productive provided that the postcondition, under the precondition, strictly
increases the trace.
lemma Productive-intro:
   assumes P is SRD (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P)) \$wait \sharp pre_R(P)
    shows P is Productive
    have P: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})) = P
    proof -
         have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P)) \diamond 
post_R(P))
            by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P) \land (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\hat{r})))
            by (metis assms(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1 utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))
            by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
        finally show ?thesis
            by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms(1))
    qed
    thus ?thesis
        by (metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1))
qed
{\bf lemma}\ Productive	ext{-}post	ext{-}refines	ext{-}tr	ext{-}increase:
   assumes P is SRD P is Productive \$wait \sharp pre<sub>R</sub>(P)
    shows (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P))
proof -
```

have $post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))$

```
by (metis\ Healthy-def\ Productive-form\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
  also have ... = R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))
   by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms, rel-auto)
  also have ... = R1((pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))
   by (simp add: R2c-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' assms)
 also have (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land ...)
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Continuous-Productive [closure]: Continuous Productive
 by (simp add: Continuous-def Productive-def, rel-auto)
      Reactive design calculations
9.2
lemma preR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))
   by (metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-preR R1-preR Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma periR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
 have peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))
   by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not assms closure)
 also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-peri-SRD)
                R1-peri-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms unrest closure)
qed
lemma postR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows post_R(Productive(P)) = (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})
proof -
 \mathbf{have} \ post_R(Productive(P)) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))
   by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow_r post<sub>R</sub> P \land $tr >_u $tr))
   by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest assms closure)
 also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-and R1-extend-conj' R2c-post-SRD
           R1-post-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-frame-seq-export:
```

assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD

```
shows (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q) = (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q))
proof -
 have (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q)) = (pre_R \ P \land ((pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q))
   by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P)) \ ;; \ Q)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms(1) rea-impl-def segr-or-distl R1-preR Healthy-if)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
 proof -
   have (pre_R \ P \lor \neg_r \ pre_R \ P) = R1 \ true
     by (simp add: R1-preR rea-not-or)
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def conj-comm disj-comm disj-conj-distr rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup.left-idem)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ NSRD\text{-}neg\text{-}pre\text{-}left\text{-}zero\ assms\ closure\ SRD\text{-}healths)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) ;; \ Q)
   by (rel-blast)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
9.3
       Closure laws
lemma Productive-rdes-intro:
 assumes (\$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq R \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$wait \sharp P \$wait \sharp P
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
proof (rule Productive-intro)
  show \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD assms)
 from assms(1) show (\$t\hat{r}>_u\$tr) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) \land post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)))
   apply (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst assms unrest)
   using assms(1) apply (rel-auto)
   apply fastforce
   done
 show $wait \pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s \ (P \vdash Q \diamond R))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst R1-def R2c-def R2s-def assms unrest)
qed
lemma Productive-rdes-RR-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR R is R4
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
 using assms by (simp add: Productive-rdes-intro R4-iff-refine unrest)
lemma Productive-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is Productive
 unfolding Miracle-tri-def Healthy-def
 by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma Productive-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is Productive
 unfolding Chaos-tri-def Healthy-def
 by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest closure)
lemma Productive-intChoice [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
 shows P \sqcap Q is Productive
```

```
proof -
        have P \sqcap Q =
                             \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \wedge \$tr)
<_u \$t\acute{r}))
               by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land pre<sub>R</sub> Q) \vdash (peri<sub>R</sub> P \lor peri<sub>R</sub> Q) \diamond ((post<sub>R</sub> P \land $t\acute{r} >_u $tr) \lor (post<sub>R</sub>
 Q \wedge \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)))
               \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon RHS\text{-}tri\text{-}design\text{-}choice})
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor peri_R \ Q) \diamond (((post_R \ P) \lor (post_R \ Q)) \land \$t\acute{r} >_u
\$tr)
               by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
        also have ... is Productive
                  by (metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(3) calculation periR-inf postR-inf preR-inf
srdes-theory.meet-is-healthy)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma cond-R1-closure [closure]: [P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1] \implies P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } R1
        by (rel-auto)
lemma Productive-cond-rea [closure]:
        assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
        shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is Productive
proof -
        have P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q =
                              \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\hat{r})) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q)) \triangleleft b \triangleleft_R \mid peri_R(Q)
\wedge \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})
               by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
        \textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\textit{pre}_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ \textit{pre}_R \ Q) \vdash (\textit{peri}_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond ((\textit{post}_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)
\triangleleft b \triangleright_R (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)))
               by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
       \textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((pre_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ peri_R \ Q) \mathrel{\diamond} (((post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ (post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleright}_R 
  Q)) \wedge \$t\acute{r} >_{u} \$tr))
               by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
        also have ... is Productive
               by (simp add: Healthy-def, simp add: Productive-RHS-design-form closure unrest assms)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-1 [closure]:
        assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD
        shows P ;; Q is Productive
proof -
          have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr)) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond
(post_R(Q))
                    by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms(1) assms(2)
assms(3)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land (post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) <math>\vdash
                                                                                        (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ post_R
               by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land (post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) <math>\vdash
                                                                                         (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) ;; peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) ;; post_R)
Q \wedge \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)
```

```
proof -
                \mathbf{have}\ ((post_R\ P \land \$t\hat{r}>_u\$tr)\ ;;\ R1(post_R\ Q)) = ((post_R\ P \land \$t\hat{r}>_u\$tr)\ ;;\ R1(post_R\ Q) \land \$t\hat{r}>_u\$tr)
                         by (rel-auto)
                \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
                         by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
        also have ... is Productive
                by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-2 [closure]:
        assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD Q is Productive
       shows P;; Q is Productive
proof -
         have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P))) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land \$tr))
                by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash (peri<sub>R</sub> P \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post<sub>R</sub> P
(post_R \ Q \wedge \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr))
                by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neq-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
       \textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\textit{pre}_R \ P \ \land \ \textit{post}_R \ P \ \textit{wp}_r \ \textit{pre}_R \ Q) \vdash (\textit{peri}_R \ P \ \lor (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q)) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ P \ ;; \ \textit{peri}_R \ Q) \diamond (\textit{post}_R \ Q) \diamond 
(post_R \ Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr)
                have (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R Q \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) = (R1
\$tr))
                         by (rel-auto)
                thus ?thesis
                         by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
        qed
        also have ... is Productive
                by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
```

10 Guarded Recursion

theory utp-rdes-guarded imports utp-rdes-productive begin

10.1 Traces with a size measure

Guarded recursion relies on our ability to measure the trace's size, in order to see if it is decreasing on each iteration. Thus, we here equip the trace algebra with the *size* function that provides this.

```
class size-trace = trace + size +
assumes
size-zero: size  0 = 0 and
size-nzero: s > 0 \Longrightarrow size(s) > 0 and
```

```
size-plus: size (s + t) = size(s) + size(t)
   - These axioms may be stronger than necessary. In particular, (0::'a) < ?s \implies 0 < size ?s requires
that a non-empty trace have a positive size. But this may not be the case with all trace models and is
possibly more restrictive than necessary. In future we will explore weakening.
begin
lemma size-mono: s \le t \Longrightarrow size(s) \le size(t)
 by (metis le-add1 local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus)
lemma size-strict-mono: s < t \Longrightarrow size(s) < size(t)
  by (metis cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-diff-cancel-left' local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff lo-
cal.minus-gr-zero-iff local.size-nzero local.size-plus zero-less-diff)
lemma trace-strict-prefixE: xs < ys \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge zs. \parallel ys = xs + zs; size(zs) > 0 \parallel \Longrightarrow thesis) \Longrightarrow thesis
  by (metis local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff local.minus-gr-zero-iff local.size-nzero)
lemma size-minus-trace: y \le x \Longrightarrow size(x - y) = size(x) - size(y)
 by (metis diff-add-inverse local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus)
end
Both natural numbers and lists are measurable trace algebras.
\mathbf{instance}\ \mathit{nat} :: \mathit{size-trace}
 by (intro-classes, simp-all)
instance list :: (type) size-trace
  by (intro-classes, simp-all add: zero-list-def less-list-def ' plus-list-def prefix-length-less)
syntax
              :: logic \Rightarrow logic (size_u'(-'))
  -usize
translations
  size_u(t) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ size \ t
10.2 Guardedness
definition gvrt :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ rp \times ('t,'\alpha) \ rp) chain where
[upred-defs]: gvrt(n) \equiv (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r} \land size_u(\&tt) <_u \ll n)
lemma gvrt-chain: chain gvrt
 apply (simp add: chain-def, safe)
 apply (rel-simp)
 apply (rel\text{-}simp)+
done
lemma gvrt-limit: \bigcap (range\ gvrt) = (\$tr \le_u \$t\acute{r})
 by (rel-auto)
definition Guarded :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp) \Rightarrow bool \ where
[upred-defs]: Guarded(F) = (\forall X \ n. \ (F(X) \land qvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land qvrt(n)) \land qvrt(n+1)))
lemma GuardedI: \llbracket \bigwedge X \ n. \ (F(X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Guarded F
  by (simp add: Guarded-def)
Guarded reactive designs yield unique fixed-points.
```

theorem guarded-fp-uniq:

```
assumes mono F F \in [id]_H \to [SRD]_H Guarded F
    shows \mu F = \nu F
proof -
    have constr F gvrt
         using assms
         by (auto simp add: constr-def gvrt-chain Guarded-def tcontr-alt-def')
    hence (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r} \wedge \mu F) = (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r} \wedge \nu F)
         apply (rule constr-fp-uniq)
           apply (simp add: assms)
         using gvrt-limit apply blast
         done
    moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r} \wedge \mu F) = \mu F
    proof -
         have \mu F is R1
             by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-mu, simp-all add: assms)
         \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
              by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
    qed
    moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r} \wedge \nu F) = \nu F
    proof -
         have \nu F is R1
              by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-nu, simp-all add: assms)
         thus ?thesis
              by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
    ultimately show ?thesis
         by (simp)
qed
lemma Guarded-const [closure]: Guarded (\lambda X. P)
    by (simp add: Guarded-def)
lemma UINF-Guarded [closure]:
    assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow Guarded P
    shows Guarded (\lambda X. \prod P \in A \cdot P(X))
proof (rule GuardedI)
    fix X n
    have \bigwedge Y. ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
    proof -
         \mathbf{fix} \ Y
        let ?lhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land qvrt(n+1)) and ?rhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land qvrt(n+1))) \land qvrt(n+1))
         have a:?lhs[false/\$ok] = ?rhs[false/\$ok]
             by (rel-auto)
         have b:?lhs[true/\$ok][true/\$wait] = ?rhs[true/\$ok][true/\$wait]
             by (rel-auto)
         {\bf have} \ \ c:?lhs[[true/\$ok]][[false/\$wait]] = \ ?rhs[[true/\$ok]][[false/\$wait]]
             by (rel-auto)
         show ?lhs = ?rhs
              using a \ b \ c
              by (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait], simp-all)
     moreover have ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times A \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1))) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \land (P \times A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1) = ((\bigcap P \cap A \land 
gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)
    proof -
         have (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
```

```
proof (rule UINF-cong)
      fix P assume P \in A
      thus (P X \land gvrt(n+1)) = (P (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1))
       using Guarded-def assms by blast
   qed
   thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ (X \land gvrt(n)))) \land gvrt(n+1))
   by simp
qed
lemma intChoice-Guarded [closure]:
  assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
 shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \sqcap Q(X))
proof -
  have Guarded (\lambda X. \square F \in \{P,Q\} \cdot F(X))
   by (rule UINF-Guarded, auto simp add: assms)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp)
qed
lemma cond-srea-Guarded [closure]:
  assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
  shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q(X))
  using assms by (rel-auto)
A tail recursive reactive design with a productive body is guarded.
lemma Guarded-if-Productive [closure]:
  fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows Guarded (\lambda X. P ;; SRD(X))
proof (clarsimp simp add: Guarded-def)

    We split the proof into three cases corresponding to valuations for ok, wait, and wait' respectively.

 \mathbf{fix} \ X \ n
 have a:(P ;; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[false/\$ok]] =
        (P :: SRD(X \land qvrt \ n) \land qvrt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket
   by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-1 assms)
 have b:((P :; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]] =
          ((P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]
   by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-2 assms)
  have c:((P ;; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[false/\$wait]] =
          ((P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[true/\$ok])[false/\$wait]
  proof -
   have 1:(P[true/\$wait]]::(SRD\ X)[true/\$wait]] \land qvrt\ (Suc\ n)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] =
          (P[true/\$wait]] :: (SRD (X \land qvrt n))[true/\$wait]] \land qvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R3h-wait-true SRD-healths(3) SRD-idem)
   have 2:(P[false/\$wait]]; (SRD X)[false/\$wait]] \land qvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] =
          (P[[false/\$wait]]; (SRD (X \land gvrt n))[[false/\$wait]] \land gvrt (Suc n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
   proof -
   \mathbf{have}\ exp: \land\ Y:: ('s,\ 't,'\alpha)\ hrel-rsp.\ (P\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket\ ;;\ (SRD\ Y)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \land\ gvrt\ (Suc\ n))\llbracket true,false/\$ok,\$wait \rrbracket
                          ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ \gt_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ \gt_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket 
Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                     \land gvrt (Suc n) [true, false/$ok, $wait]
      proof -
```

```
fix Y :: ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
                    \mathbf{have} \ (P[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]] \ ;; \ (SRD \ Y)[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]] \ \land \ \mathit{gvrt} \ (\mathit{Suc} \ n))[\mathit{true},\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]] =
                                     ((\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[false/\$wait]]; (SRD Y)[false/\$wait]]
\land gvrt (Suc n) [true, false/$ok, $wait]
                           by (metis (no-types) Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) NSRD-is-SRD)
                     also have ... =
                                ((R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))))[false/\$wait]]; (SRD Y)[false/\$wait]]
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                           by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def RD1-def RD2-def usubst unrest assms
closure design-def)
                    also have ... =
                                       (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] ;; (SRD \ Y)[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r})))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land ((((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))))[\![false/\$wait]\!] \land ((((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$t\acute{r}))))]
gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                           by (simp add: impl-alt-def R2c-disj R1-disj R2c-not assms closure R2c-and
                                      R2c-preR rea-not-def R1-extend-conj' R2c-ok' R2c-post-SRD R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
                     also have ... =
                                                  ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (\$ok \land post_R \ P \land post_R \ 
 Y)[[false/\$wait]]) \land gvrt (Suc n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
                           by (simp add: usubst unrest assms closure seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero)
                     also have \dots =
                       ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; (SRD \ Y)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$t\'r >_u \$tr) \ ;; (SRD \ Y) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket))
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
                     proof -
                           have (\$ok \land post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) ; (SRD Y) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket =
                                           ((post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \land \$o\acute{k} =_u true) ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
                                by (rel-blast)
                           also have ... = (post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket
                                using seqr-left-one-point[of ok (post<sub>R</sub> P \land \$t\acute{r} >_{u} \$tr) True (SRD Y)[[false/\$wait]]
                                by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym])
                           finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst unrest)
                     qed
                    finally
                    \mathbf{show}\ (P[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]\ ;;\ (\mathit{SRD}\ Y)[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]\ \land\ \mathit{gvrt}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ n))[\mathit{true},\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]\ =\ 
                                                                      ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]] \ \lor \ (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$t\acute{r} \ >_u \ \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[false/\$wait]
 Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                                             \land qvrt (Suc n))[true, false/\$ok, \$wait].
                qed
                have 1:((post_R P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD X)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \land gvrt (Suc n)) =
                                     ((post_R \ P \land \$t\acute{r} >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD \ (X \land gvrt \ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))
                     apply (rel-auto)
                       apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok')
                       apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
                       apply (simp)
                       apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
                       apply (rename-tac tr st ref ok wait tr' st' ref' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> ref<sub>0</sub> ok' zs)
                       apply (rule-tac x=False in exI)
                       apply (simp add: size-minus-trace)
                       apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
                          apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
                     using size-strict-mono apply blast
                     apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok')
                     apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
                     apply (simp)
```

```
apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
       apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok' zs)
       apply (auto simp add: size-minus-trace)
       apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
        apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
       using size-strict-mono apply blast
     have 2:(\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD \ X) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(X \land gvrt \ n)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
       by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero closure assms SRD-healths)
     show ?thesis
       by (simp add: exp 1 2 utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
   qed
   show ?thesis
   proof -
     have (P :: (SRD X) \land gvrt (n+1))[true,false/$ok,$wait] =
         ((P[true/\$wait]; (SRD\ X)[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \lor
         (P[false/\$wait]; (SRD\ X)[false/\$wait] \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
       \textbf{by } (subst \ seqr-bool-split[of \ wait], \ simp-all \ add: \ usubst \ utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
     also
     \mathbf{have} \ ... = ((P[true/\$wait]]; (SRD \ (X \land gvrt \ n))[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt \ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
                (P[[false/\$wait]]; (SRD(X \land gvrt n))[[false/\$wait]] \land gvrt(n+1))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
       by (simp add: 1 2)
     also
     have ... = ((P[true/\$wait]]; (SRD (X \land gvrt n))[true/\$wait]] \lor
                  P[false/\$wait];; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[false/\$wait]) \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
       by (simp\ add: usubst\ utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
     also have ... = (P :: (SRD (X \land gvrt n)) \land gvrt (n+1))[true,false/$ok,$wait]]
       by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst)
     finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst)
   qed
 show (P :: SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n)) = (P :: SRD(X \land gvrt n) \land gvrt (Suc n))
   \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule-tac} \ \mathit{bool-eq-splitI}[\mathit{of} \ \mathit{in-var} \ \mathit{ok}])
     apply (simp-all \ add: \ a)
   apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait])
     apply (simp-all \ add: \ b \ c)
 done
qed
         Tail recursive fixed-point calculations
10.3
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]
lemma mu-csp-form-1 [rdes]:
 fixes P::('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\prod i \cdot P \ (i+1)) \ ;; Miracle
 have 1: Continuous (\lambda X.\ P;; SRD\ X)
   using SRD-Continuous
```

```
by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: (\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \in [id]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
   by (blast intro: funcsetI closure assms)
  with 1 2 have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\nu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X))
   by (simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure)
  also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \cap i) false)
   by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 1 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \frown 0) false \sqcap ( \sqcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \frown (i+1)) false)
   by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
 also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) ^ (i+1)) false)
   by (simp)
 also have ... = (\prod i. P^{(i+1)};; Miracle)
 proof (rule SUP-cong, simp-all)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   show P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X) \cap i) false) = (P :: P \cap i) :: Miracle
   proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     then show ?case
       by (simp, metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
   next
     case (Suc\ i)
     then show ?case
    by (simp add: Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD SRD-power-comp SRD-segr-closure assms(1) segr-assoc[THEN
sym] srdes-theory.top-closed)
   qed
 qed
 also have ... = (\prod i. P^{(i+1)});; Miracle
   by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
qed
lemma mu-csp-form-NSRD [closure]:
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) is NSRD
 by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure)
lemma mu-csp-form-1':
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (P \ ;; P^*) \ ;; Miracle
proof -
 have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\bigcap \ i \in UNIV \cdot P \ ;; \ P \widehat{\ } i) \ ;; Miracle
   by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure ustar-def)
 also have ... = (P ;; P^*) ;; Miracle
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp\ only:\ seq\text{-}UINF\text{-}distl[THEN\ sym]},\ \mathit{simp\ add:\ ustar\text{-}def})
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]
```

end

11 Reactive Design Programs

```
theory utp-rdes-prog
  imports
    utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}normal
    utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}tactics
    utp-rdes-parallel
    utp-rdes-guarded
     UTP-KAT.utp-kleene
begin
11.1
           State substitution
\mathbf{lemma}\ srd\text{-}subst\text{-}RHS\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ [usubst]:
  [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \vdash ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger Q) \diamond ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger R))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma srd-subst-SRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P is SRD
proof -
  have SRD(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD \ P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD \ P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def assms)
qed
lemma preR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  pre_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger pre_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  peri_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger peri_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  post_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger post_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma srd-subst-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes assms unrest)
11.2
           Assignment
definition assigns-srd :: 's usubst \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (\langle -\rangle_R) where
[upred-defs]: assigns-srd \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait \land [\langle \sigma \rangle_a]_S \land \$\Sigma'_S =_u \$\Sigma_S))
syntax
  -assign\text{-}srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic \ ('(-') :=_R '(-'))
  -assign-srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic \ (infixr :=_R 62)
translations
  -assign-srd xs \ vs => CONST \ assigns-srd \ (-mk-usubst \ (id_s) \ xs \ vs)
  -assign-srd x \ v \le CONST \ assigns-srd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (id_s) \ x \ v)
```

```
-assign\text{-}srd\ x\ v <= -assign\text{-}srd\ (-spvar\ x)\ v
  x,y :=_R u,v <= CONST \ assigns-srd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (id_s) \ (CONST \ pr-var \ x)
u) (CONST pr-var y) v)
lemma assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des [rdes-def]:
  \langle \sigma \rangle_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond \langle \sigma \rangle_r)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-srd-NSRD-closed [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is NSRD
  by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-assigns-srd [rdes]: pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = true_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma periR-assigns-srd [rdes]: peri<sub>R</sub>(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = false
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma postR-assigns-srd [rdes]: post<sub>R</sub>(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \langle \sigma \rangle_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure rpred)
lemma taut-eq-impl-property:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens \ x; \ \$x \ \sharp \ P \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow `(\$x =_u \ «v» \land Q) \Rightarrow P` = `Q \llbracket «v» / \$x \rrbracket \Rightarrow P`
  by (rel-auto, meson mwb-lens-weak vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
lemma st-subst-taut-impl:
  assumes vwb-lens x $st:x $ Q P  is RR Q is RR 
  shows \{\&x \mapsto_s \&k\} \nmid_S P \Rightarrow Q' = \{\&x =_u \&k\} \mid_{S < A} P \Rightarrow Q' \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}
proof -
  have ?lhs = `P[(\langle k \rangle / st:x]] \Rightarrow Q`
    by (simp add: usubst-st-lift-def alpha usubst)
  also have ... = (\$st:x =_u \&k) \land RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms taut-eq-impl-property)
  also have ... = \{\&x =_u \&k\}_{S <} \land RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)\}
    by (rel-blast)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed
```

The following law explains how to refine a program Q when it is first initialised by an assignment. Would be good if it could be generalised to a more general precondition.

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ Assign R$ -init-refine-intro:

```
by (simp add: a2 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
  show \{\&x \mapsto_s \langle k \rangle \mid \dagger_S post_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_3 \}
    by (simp add: a3 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
qed
11.3
          Conditional
lemma preR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  pre_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S \triangleleft} \land pre_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S \triangleleft} \land pre_R(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S \triangleleft} \land peri_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S \triangleleft} \land peri_R(Q))
proof -
  have peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = peri_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed
lemma postR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S <} \land post_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S <} \land post_R(Q))
  have post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = post_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed
lemma NSRD-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is NSRD
proof (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
  show P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
    by (simp add: closure assms)
  show pre_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) is RC
  proof -
    have 1:([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r pre_R P);; R1(true) = ([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r pre_R P)
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-neg-pre-unit aext-not assms(1) seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    have 2:(\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)
      by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  qed
  show \$st \sharp peri_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)
  by (simp add: rdes assms closure unrest)
\mathbf{qed}
```

11.4 Assumptions

definition Assume $R: 's \ cond \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp ([-]^{\top}_R)$ where

```
[upred-defs]: Assume B = II_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Miracle
lemma AssumeR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  [b]^{\top}_{R} = \mathbf{R}_{s}(true_{r} \vdash false \diamond [b]^{\top}_{r})
  unfolding AssumeR-def by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-NSRD [closure]: [b]^{\top}_{R} is NSRD
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ Assume R\text{-}def\ closure)
lemma AssumeR-false: [false]^{\top}_{R} = Miracle
  by (rel-auto)
lemma AssumeR-true: [true]^{\top}_{R} = II_{R}
  by (rel-auto)
lemma AssumeR-comp: [b]^{\top}_{R};; [c]^{\top}_{R} = [b \land c]^{\top}_{R}
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma AssumeR-choice: [b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap [c]^{\top}_{R} = [b \lor c]^{\top}_{R}
  by (rdes-eq)
lemma Assume R-refine-skip: II_R \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_R
 by (rdes-refine)
lemma AssumeR-test [closure]: test_R [b]^{\top}_R
  by (simp add: AssumeR-refine-skip nsrdes-theory.utest-intro)
lemma Star\text{-}AssumeR: [b]^{\top}_{R}{}^{\star R} = II_{R}
  by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-test nsrdes-theory.Star-test)
lemma AssumeR-choice-skip: II_R \sqcap [b]^{\top}_R = II_R
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-seq-refines:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows P \sqsubseteq P ;; [b]^{\top}_{R}
 by (rdes-refine cls: assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ cond\text{-}srea\text{-}Assume R\text{-}form:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P) \sqcap ([\neg b]^{\top}_R ;; Q)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma cond-srea-insert-assume:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R [\neg b]^{\top}_R ;; Q)
 by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-comp NSRD-seqr-closure RA1 assms cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
lemma AssumeR-cond-left:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows [b]^{\top}_R;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]^{\top}_R;; P)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma AssumeR-cond-right:
```

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

```
shows [\neg b]^{\top}_{R};; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_{R} Q) = ([\neg b]^{\top}_{R};; Q) by (rdes\text{-}eq\ cls:\ assms)
```

11.5 Guarded commands

```
definition GuardedCommR:: 's cond \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (- \rightarrow_R - [85,
86 85 where
gcmd-def[rdes-def]: GuardedCommR \ g \ A = A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R Miracle
lemma gcmd-false[simp]: (false \rightarrow_R A) = Miracle
 unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
lemma gcmd-true[simp]: (true \rightarrow_R A) = A
 unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
lemma gcmd-SRD:
 assumes A is SRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is SRD
 by (simp add: gcmd-def SRD-cond-srea assms srdes-theory.top-closed)
lemma gcmd-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes A is NSRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is NSRD
 by (simp add: gcmd-def NSRD-cond-srea assms NSRD-Miracle)
lemma gcmd-Productive [closure]:
 assumes A is NSRD A is Productive
 shows (q \rightarrow_R A) is Productive
 by (simp add: gcmd-def closure assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ gcmd\text{-}seq\text{-}distr:
 assumes B is NSRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) :: B = (g \rightarrow_R (A :: B))
 by (simp add: Miracle-left-zero NSRD-is-SRD assms cond-st-distr gcmd-def)
lemma gcmd-nondet-distr:
 assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R (A \sqcap B)) = (g \rightarrow_R A) \sqcap (g \rightarrow_R B)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma Assume R-as-gcmd:
 [b]^{\top}_{R} = b \rightarrow_{R} II_{R}
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma Assume R-gcomm:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows [b]^{\top}_R :: (c \to_R P) = (b \land c) \to_R P
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
```

11.6 Generalised Alternation

```
definition AlternateR :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where [upred-defs, rdes-def]: AlternateR I g A B = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((g i) \rightarrow_R (A i))) \bigcap ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot g i)) \rightarrow_R B)
```

```
definition AlternateR-list
  :: ('s upred \times ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
  AlternateR-list xs \ P = AlternateR \ \{0... < length \ xs \} \ (\lambda \ i. \ map \ fst \ xs \ ! \ i) \ (\lambda \ i. \ map \ snd \ xs \ ! \ i) \ P
syntax
  -altindR-els :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if R -\in - · · \rightarrow - else - fi)
                    :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R - \in - - \rightarrow - fi)
  -altgcommR-els :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R/ - else - /fi)
                      :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (if_R/ - /fi)
  -altqcommR
translations
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \to A \ else \ B \ fi \ \rightharpoonup \ CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \ fi \ \rightharpoonup \ CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ (CONST \ Chaos)
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot (g \ i) \rightarrow A \ else \ B \ fi \ \leftarrow \ CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ g \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B
  -altgcommR \ cs \rightharpoonup CONST \ AlternateR-list \ cs \ (CONST \ Chaos)
  -altqcommR (-qcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST AlternateR-list cs (CONST Chaos)
  -altgcommR-els\ cs\ P 
ightharpoonup CONST\ AlternateR-list\ cs\ P
  -altgcommR-els (-gcomm-show cs) P \leftarrow CONST \ AlternateR-list cs P
lemma AlternateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}
  shows (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) \ is \ NSRD
proof (cases I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def assms)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
lemma AlternateR-empty [simp]:
  (if_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = B
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma AlternateR-Productive [closure]:
  assumes
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i is NSRD B is NSRD
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i is Productive B is Productive
  shows (if_R i \in I \cdot g i \rightarrow A i else B fi) is Productive
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp \ add: \ assms(4))
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma AlternateR-singleton:
  assumes A k is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in \{k\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R B)
  by (simp add: AlternateR-def, rdes-eq cls: assms)
```

Convert an alternation over disjoint guards into a cascading if-then-else

```
lemma AlternateR-insert-cascade:
  assumes
   \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i is NSRD
    A k is NSRD B is NSRD
   (g(k) \land (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g(i))) = false
 shows (if_R \ i \in insert \ k \ I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow A(i) \ else \ B \ fi))
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-singleton assms)
next
  case False
  have 1: (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R A \ i) = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(A \ i) \vdash peri_R(A \ i) \diamond post_R(A \ i)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) cong: UINF-cong)
 from assms(4) show ?thesis
   by (simp add: AlternateR-def 1 False)
       (rdes-eq\ cls:\ assms(1-3)\ False\ cong:\ UINF-cong)
qed
lemma AlternateR-assume-branch:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ NSRD \ Q \ is \ NSRD
  shows ([[ i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{R} ;; AlternateR \ I \ b \ P \ Q) = ([ i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) \ (is \ ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = [\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{R} ;; ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) \cap (\neg (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i)) \rightarrow_{R} Q)
   by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
  also have ... = [\prod i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{R};; (\prod i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) \cap Miracle
   by (simp add: seqr-inf-distr AssumeR-gcomm closure assms)
  by (simp add: seq-UINF-distl AssumeR-geomm closure assms cong: UINF-cong)
  also have ... = (   i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i ) \cap Miracle
  proof -
   have \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i) \land b \ i) = b \ i
     by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
      by (simp cong: UINF-cong)
  qed
  also have ... = (   i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i )
   by (simp add: closure assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
11.7
          Choose
definition choose-srd :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (choose_R) where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: choose_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond true_r)
lemma preR-choose [rdes]: pre_R(choose_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-choose [rdes]: peri_R(choose_R) = false
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-choose [rdes]: post_R(choose_R) = true_r
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma choose-srd-SRD [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is SRD
  by (simp add: choose-srd-def closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-choose-srd [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)
         Divergence Freedom
11.8
definition ndiv\text{-}srd :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel\text{-}rsp (ndiv_B)
where [rdes-def]: ndiv-srd = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash true_r \diamond true_r)
lemma ndiv-NSRD [closure]: ndiv_R is NSRD
  by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)
lemma ndiv-srd-refines-preR-true:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows ndiv_R \sqsubseteq P \longleftrightarrow pre_R(P) = true_r \text{ (is ?lhs } \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  thus ?rhs
  \textbf{by} \ (metis\ R1\text{-}preR\ ndiv\text{-}srd\text{-}def\ preR\text{-}antitone\ preR\text{-}srdes\ rea\text{-}true\text{-}RR\ rea\text{-}true\text{-}disj(2)\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.sup.orderE})
next
  assume ?rhs
  hence ndiv_R \subseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
  \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: RHS-tri-design-conj \ assms \ ndiv-srd-def \ closure \ rea-true-conj \ (1) \ rea-true-impl \ utp-pred-laws. inf. \ absorb-iff.
  thus ?lhs
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
qed
lemma ndiv-srd-refines-rdes-pre-true:
  assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
  shows ndiv_R \subseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \longleftrightarrow P_1 = true_r \text{ (is ?lhs $\longleftrightarrow$ ?rhs)}
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:}\ \mathit{ndiv-srd-refines-pre}R\text{-}\mathit{true}\ \mathit{closure}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{rdes}\ \mathit{unrest})
          State Abstraction
11.9
\mathbf{definition}\ state\text{-}srea::
  's itself \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp \Rightarrow (unit,'t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: state-srea t P = \langle \exists \{\$st,\$st\} \cdot P \rangle_S
syntax
  -state-srea :: type \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (state - \cdot - [0,200] 200)
translations
  state 'a \cdot P == CONST state-srea TYPE('a) P
lemma R1-state-srea: R1(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · R1(P))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-state-srea: R2c(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R2c(P))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-state-srea: R3h(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R3h(P))
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma RD1-state-srea: RD1(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · RD1(P))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-state-srea: RD2(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD3-state-srea: RD3(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · RD3(P))
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma SRD-state-srea [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is SRD
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-state-srea R2c-state-srea R3h-state-srea RD1-state-srea RD2-state-srea
RHS-def SRD-def)
lemma NSRD-state-srea [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-RD3 NSRD-is-SRD RD3-state-srea SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD SRD-state-srea)
lemma preR-state-srea [rdes]: pre_R(state 'a \cdot P) = \langle \forall \{\$st,\$st\} \cdot pre_R(P) \rangle_S
 by (simp add: state-srea-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-state-srea [rdes]: peri_R(state 'a \cdot P) = state 'a \cdot peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-state-srea [rdes]: post_R(state 'a \cdot P) = state 'a \cdot post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ state\text{-}srea\text{-}rdes\text{-}def\ [rdes\text{-}def]:
  assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR
  shows state a \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(\langle \forall \{\$st,\$st\} \cdot P \rangle_S \vdash (state \ a \cdot Q) \diamond (state \ a \cdot R))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(?lhs) \vdash peri_R(?lhs) \diamond post_R(?lhs))
    by (simp add: RC-implies-RR SRD-rdes-intro SRD-reactive-tri-design SRD-state-srea assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (\forall \{\$st, \$st\} \cdot P_S \vdash state 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \diamond state 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r R))
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma ext-st-rdes-dist [rdes-def]:
  \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L = \mathbf{R}_s(P \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L \vdash Q \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L \diamond R \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma state-srea-refine:
  (P \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L) \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow P \sqsubseteq (state\text{-}srea\ TYPE('s)\ Q)
 by (rel-auto)
11.10 Reactive Frames
definition rdes-frame-ext :: ('\alpha \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Longrightarrow ('\alpha, 't::trace, 'r) \ hrel-rsp \Longrightarrow ('\beta, 't, 'r) \ hrel-rsp \ where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: rdes-frame-ext a P = \mathbf{R}_s(rel-aext (pre_R(P)) (map-st_L a) \vdash rel-aext (peri_R(P))
(map-st_L \ a) \diamond a:[post_R(P)]_r^+)
```

-rdes-frame-ext :: $salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (-:[-]_R^+ [99,0] 100)$

```
translations
  -rdes-frame-ext x P => CONST rdes-frame-ext x P
  -rdes-frame-ext (-salphaset (-salphamk x)) P <= CONST rdes-frame-ext x P
lemma RC-rel-aext-st-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is RC
 shows rel-aext P (map-st<sub>L</sub> a) is RC
proof -
 have RC(rel-aext\ (RC(P))\ (map-st_L\ a)) = rel-aext\ (RC(P))\ (map-st_L\ a)
   (metis (no-types, hide-lams) diff-add-cancel-left' dual-order trans le-add trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left
trace-class.add-left-mono)
 thus ?thesis
   by (rule-tac Healthy-intro, simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed
lemma rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; \$wait \sharp pre_R(P) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a:[P]_R^+ \text{ is } SRD
 unfolding rdes-frame-ext-def
 apply (rule SRD-rdes-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: closure unrest)
 apply (simp add: RR-R2-intro ok'-pre-unrest ok-pre-unrest wait-pre-unrest closure)
 done
lemma preR-rdes-frame-ext:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(a:[P]_R^+) = rel\text{-}aext (pre_R(P)) (map-st_L a)
 by (simp add: preR-RR preR-srdes rdes-frame-ext-def rea-aext-RR)
lemma unrest-rel-aext-st' [unrest]: \$st \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$st \ \sharp \ rel-aext \ P \ (map-st_L \ a)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-frame-ext-NSRD-closed:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow a:[P]_R^+ \text{ is } NSRD
 apply (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
   apply (rule \ rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed)
 apply (simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)
   apply (simp add: NSRD-neq-pre-RC RC-rel-aext-st-closed preR-RR preR-srdes rdes-frame-ext-def
rea-aext-RR
 apply (simp add: rdes-frame-ext-def)
 apply (simp add: rdes closure unrest)
 done
lemma skip-srea-frame [frame]:
  vwb-lens a \Longrightarrow a: [II_R]_R^+ = II_R
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma seq-srea-frame [frame]:
 assumes vwb-lens a P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows a:[P ;; Q]_R^+ = a:[P]_R^+ ;; a:[Q]_R^+ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a] \vdash
                 ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a] \Rightarrow_r (peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; peri_R \ Q) \oplus_r
map\text{-}st_L[a]) \diamond
                 a:[pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q]_r^+)
   using assms(1) by (rdes-simp\ cls:\ assms(2-3))
```

```
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \oplus_r \ map-st_L[a] \vdash
                  ((peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; peri_R \ Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a]) \diamond
                  a:[post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q]_r^+)
   by (rel-auto)
  also from assms(1) have ... = ?rhs
   apply (rdes-eq-split\ cls:\ assms(2-3))
   apply (rel-auto)
     apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
    apply (rel-auto)
     apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
     apply (metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-get)
    apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
   apply (rel-auto)
    apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
   done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma rdes-frame-ext-Productive-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows x:[P]_R^+ is Productive
  have x:[Productive(P)]_R^+ is Productive
   by (rdes-simp cls: assms, rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
11.11
         While Loop
definition While R: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::size-trace, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \ where
While R \ b \ P = (\mu_R \ X \cdot (P \ ;; \ X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
syntax - whileR :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (while_R - do - od)
\mathbf{translations} \ \textit{-while} R \ \textit{b} \ \textit{P} == \textit{CONST} \ \textit{While} R \ \textit{b} \ \textit{P}
{f lemma} Sup\text{-}power\text{-}false:
 fixes F :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred
 shows (\bigcap i. (F \frown i) false) = (\bigcap i. (F \frown (i+1)) false)
proof -
  have (\bigcap i. (F \frown i) false) = (F \frown 0) false \cap (\bigcap i. (F \frown (i+1)) false)
   by (subst\ Sup\text{-}power\text{-}expand,\ simp)
 also have ... = (\prod i. (F ^{\frown}(i+1)) false)
   by (simp)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem WhileR-unfold:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows while R b do P od = (P : while R b do P od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
 apply (simp add: WhileR-def)
  apply (subst srdes-theory.LFP-unfold)
  apply (simp-all add: mono-Monotone-utp-order closure assms)
  done
theorem WhileR-iter-expand:
```

```
assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while b do P od = (\bigcap i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R H_R) \cap i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R H_R)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have 1: Continuous (\lambda X.\ P;; SRD\ X)
    using SRD-Continuous
    by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: Continuous (\lambda X.\ P ;; SRD\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: 1 closure assms)
  have ?lhs = (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: WhileR-def)
  also have ... = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (auto simp add: srd-mu-equiv closure assms)
  also have ... = (\nu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (auto simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure assms)
  also have ... = ([\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap i) false)
    by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 2 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap (i+1)) false)
    by (simp add: Sup-power-false)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{\hat{}} i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))
  proof (rule SUP-cong, simp)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    show ((\lambda X.\ P\ ;;\ SRD\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \ \widehat{}\ (i+1))\ false = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \ \widehat{}\ i\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R
II_R
    proof (induct i)
       case \theta
       thm if-eq-cancel
       then show ?case
        by (simp, metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
    next
       case (Suc\ i)
       show ?case
       proof -
        have ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap (Suc i + 1)) false =
                P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \land (i + 1)) false) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
        also have ... = P ;; SRD ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i} ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
           using Suc.hyps by auto
         also have ... = P ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i ;; (P ;; \mathit{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
                 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-cond-srea NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc
NSRD-srd-skip SRD-cond-srea SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) power-power-eq-if seqr-left-unit srdes-theory top-closed)
         also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap Suc\ i :: (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
        proof (induct i)
           case \theta
           then show ?case
              by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip
assms(1) cond-L6 cond-st-distr srdes-theory.top-closed)
        next
           case (Suc\ i)
           have 1: II_R;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R);; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \stackrel{\wedge}{} i) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R);; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \stackrel{\wedge}{} i)
             by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip assms(1))
           then show ?case
           proof -
             have \bigwedge u. (u ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap Suc i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R) =
                         ((u \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap Suc i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R))
                by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps 1 cond-L6 cond-st-distr power.power.power.Suc)
```

```
then show ?thesis
               by (simp add: RA1 upred-semiring.power-Suc)
           qed
        qed
        finally show ?thesis.
      qed
    qed
  qed
  also have ... = (\prod i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{\hat{}} i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect')
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem WhileR-star-expand:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while R b do P od = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R};; (P : Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = (\bigcap i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \cap i) ;; (P :; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: WhileR-iter-expand seq-UINF-distr' assms)
  also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: ustar-def)
  also have ... = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* ;; II_R) ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: seqr-assoc SRD-left-unit closure assms)
  also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P :; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def nsrdes-theory.Star-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma WhileR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while_R b do P od is NSRD
  by (simp add: StarR-def WhileR-star-expand assms closure)
theorem WhileR-iter-form-lemma:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{\star R};; (P :; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) = ([b]^{\top}_R :; P)^{\star R};; [\neg b]^{\top}_R
  \mathbf{have}\ (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{\star R}\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ \mathit{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) = (([b]^\top_R\ ;;\ P)\ \sqcap\ [\neg b]^\top_R)^{\star R}\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ \mathit{Miracle} \triangleleft b)
\triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-right-unit NSRD-srd-skip assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R {}^{*R})^{*R} ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-denest assms(1))
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{\star R})^{\star R} ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def RD3-def RD3-idem Star-AssumeR nsrdes-theory.Star-def)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-invol assms(1))
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle) \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
    by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-Miracle NSRD-right-unit NSRD-segr-closure NSRD-srd-skip
assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
  also have ... = ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle) \sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ upred\text{-}semiring.distrib\text{-}left)
  also have ... = ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
  proof -
    \mathbf{have}\ (([b]^{\top}_{R}\ ;;\ P)^{\star R})\ ;;\ [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} = (II_{R}\ \sqcap\ (([b]^{\top}_{R}\ ;;\ P)^{\star R}\ ;;\ [b]^{\top}_{R}\ ;;\ P))\ ;;\ [\neg\ b]^{\top}_{R}
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldr-eq assms(1))
```

```
also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ (\textit{no-types}, \textit{lifting})\ \textit{StarR-def}\ \textit{Assume} R - \textit{NSRD}\ \textit{Assume} R - \textit{as-gcmd}\ \textit{NSRD-srd-skip}\ \textit{Star-Assume} R
nsrdes-theory.Star-slide gcmd-seq-distr skip-srea-self-unit urel-dioid.distrib-right')
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [b \lor \neg b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
       by (simp add: AssumeR-true NSRD-right-unit assms(1))
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})

\sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) AssumeR-choice upred-semiring.add-assoc upred-semiring.distrib-left
upred-semiring.distrib-right)
    also have ... = [\neg \ b]^{\top_R} \cap (([b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ P)^{\star_R} \ ;; \ [b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ P \ ;; \ ([b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ [\neg \ b]^{\top_R}))

\cap (([b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ P)^{\star_R} \ ;; \ [b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ P \ ;; \ ([\neg \ b]^{\top_R} \ ;; \ [\neg \ b]^{\top_R}))
       by (simp \ add: RA1)
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle)

\sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
       by (simp add: AssumeR-comp AssumeR-false)
    finally have ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqsubseteq (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle
       by (simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-supI1)
    thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-iff-sup)
  \mathbf{qed}
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
{\bf theorem}\ {\it While R-iter-form}:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while b do P od = ([b]^{\top}_{R};;P)^{\star R};;[\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
  by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form-lemma WhileR-star-expand assms)
theorem While R-outer-refine-intro:
  assumes
     P is NSRD P is Productive
    S \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\perp}_{R} ;; P) ;; S S \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^{\perp}_{R}
  shows S \sqsubseteq while_R \ b \ do \ P \ od
  apply (simp add: assms StarR-def WhileR-iter-form)
  apply (rule nsrdes-theory.Star-inductl)
  apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
  done
theorem While R-outer-refine-init-intro:
  assumes
     P is NSRD I is NSRD P is Productive
    S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg b]^{\perp}_{R}
    S \sqsubseteq S ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P
S \sqsubseteq I ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P
  shows S \sqsubseteq I ;; while_R b do P od
proof -
  have S \sqsubseteq I ; ; (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
    have S \sqsubseteq I ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}
          by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure RA1 assms(1)
assms(2) assms(5) assms(6) nsrdes-theory.Star-inductr semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-seq-refines StarR-def assms(1) dual-order.trans
nsrdes-theory. Healthy-Sequence nsrdes-theory. utp-theory-kleene-axioms urel-dioid. mult-isol utp-theory-kleene. Star-Healthy
```

qed

```
moreover have S \sqsubseteq I ;; II_R ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R
    by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD assms nsrdes-theory.Unit-Left)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: assms WhileR-iter-form StarR-def)
    apply (subst nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldl-eq[THEN sym])
     apply (auto simp add: closure assms segr-inf-distr)
    done
qed
theorem WhileR-false:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows while R false do P od = II_R
 by (simp add: WhileR-def rpred closure srdes-theory.LFP-const)
theorem WhileR-true:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows while R true do P od = P^{*R}; Miracle
  by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form AssumeR-true AssumeR-false SRD-left-unit assms closure)
lemma WhileR-insert-assume:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows while B b do ([b]^{\top}_{R}; P) od = while B b do P od
 by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-comp NSRD-seqr-closure Productive-seq-2 RA1 WhileR-iter-form
assms)
theorem WhileR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st \mathred{\sharp} Q R is R4
  shows while R b do \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) od =
        \mathbf{R}_s \ (([b]^\top_r \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ wp_r \ ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (([b]^\top_r \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ ;; \ [b]^\top_r \ ;; \ Q) \diamond (([b]^\top_r \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ ;; \ [\neg \ b]^\top_r))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\star R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R
    by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form Productive-rdes-RR-intro assms closure)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (simp add: rdes-def assms closure unrest rpred wp del: rea-star-wp)
 finally show ?thesis.
Refinement introduction law for reactive while loops
theorem WhileR-refine-intro:
 assumes
    — Closure conditions
    Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR \$st \sharp Q_2 Q_3 is R4
     - Refinement conditions
    ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} wp_r ([b]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1
   P_{2} \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_{r} ;; Q_{2}
P_{2} \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_{r} ;; Q_{3} ;; P_{2}
P_{3} \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^{\top}_{r}
P_{3} \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_{r} ;; Q_{3} ;; P_{3}
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq while_R \ b \ do \ \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \ od
proof (simp add: rdes-def assms, rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
  \mathbf{show} ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} wp_r ([b]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1
   by (simp add: assms)
  show P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [b]^\top_r ;; Q_2)
  proof -
```

```
have P_2 \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_2
      by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seq-RR-closed seqr-assoc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
  qed
  show P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r)
  proof –
    have P_3 \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_r
      by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seqr-assoc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infl2)
  qed
qed
lemma While R-post-lemma:
  assumes
    P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
    Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR \$st \sharp Q_2 Q_3 is R4
    \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq while_R \ b \ do \ \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \ od
  shows P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge [\neg b]^\top_r)
proof -
  from assms have P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r)
    by (simp add: rdes-def assms RHS-tri-design-refine' closure)
  moreover have (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r) \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge [\neg b]^\top_r)
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) order-reft rea-assume-RR rea-skip-unit(2) rrel-theory.Star-unfoldl
semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff urel-dioid.mult-isor utp-pred-laws.inf-mono)
  thus ?thesis
    by (meson calculation order.trans)
11.12
          Iteration Construction
definition IterateR
  :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp)
where IterateR \ A \ g \ P = while_R \ (\bigvee i \in A \cdot g(i)) \ do \ (if_R \ i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ fi) \ od
{\bf definition}\ \mathit{IterateR-list}
  :: ('s upred \times ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
  IterateR-list xs = IterateR \{0.. < length xs\} (\lambda i. map fst xs! i) (\lambda i. map snd xs! i)
syntax
               :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (do_R - \in - \cdot - \rightarrow - od)
  -iter-gcommR :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (do_R/ - /od)
translations
  -iter-srd x A g P => CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x. P)
  -iter-srd x A g P \le CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x'. P)
  -iter-gcommR cs 
ightharpoonup CONST IterateR-list cs
  -iter-gcommR (-gcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST IterateR-list cs
lemma IterateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) \text{ is NSRD}
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) is Productive
  shows do_R \ i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od \ is \ NSRD
```

```
by (simp add: IterateR-def closure assms)
lemma IterateR-empty:
  do_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od = II_R
  by (simp add: IterateR-def srd-mu-equiv closure rpred qfp-const WhileR-false)
lemma IterateR-singleton:
  assumes P k is NSRD P k is Productive
  shows do_R i \in \{k\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od = while_R \ g(k) \ do \ P(k) \ od \ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = while_R \ g \ k \ do \ P \ k \triangleleft g \ k \triangleright_R \ Chaos \ od
    by (simp add: IterateR-def AlternateR-singleton assms closure)
  also have ... = while_R \ g \ k \ do \ [g \ k]^{\top}_R \ ;; \ (P \ k \triangleleft g \ k \triangleright_R \ Chaos) \ od
    by (simp add: WhileR-insert-assume closure assms)
  also have \dots = while_R \ g \ k \ do \ P \ k \ od
    by (simp add: AssumeR-cond-left NSRD-Chaos WhileR-insert-assume assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
declare IterateR-list-def [rdes-def]
declare IterateR-def [rdes-def]
lemma R4-Continuous [closure]: Continuous R4
 by (rel-auto)
lemma cond-rea-R4-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } R4; Q \text{ is } R4 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } R4
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R4-cond)
lemma IterateR-outer-refine-intro:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ NSRD \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ Productive
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq (b \ i \to_R P \ i \ ;; \ S)
    shows S \sqsubseteq do_R \ i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od
  apply (simp add: IterateR-def)
  apply (rule WhileR-outer-refine-intro)
     apply (simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distr UINF-refines)
  done
lemma IterateR-outer-refine-init-intro:
    \textit{A} \neq \{\} \  \, \bigwedge \textit{ i. } \textit{i} \in \textit{A} \Longrightarrow \textit{P i is NSRD}
    \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P i is Productive
    I is NSRD
    S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot b i)]^{\top}_{R}
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq S ;; \ b \ i \to_R P \ i
    \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq I ;; b i \to_R P i
  shows S \sqsubseteq I ;; do_R i \in A \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) od
 apply (simp add: IterateR-def)
  apply (rule-tac WhileR-outer-refine-init-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distl UINF-refines)
  done
lemma IterateR-lemma1:
```

 $[[] i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{r} ;; ([] i \in I \cdot P \ i \triangleleft b \ i \triangleright_{R} false) = ([] i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top}_{r} ;; P \ i)$

```
by (rel-auto; fastforce)
lemma IterateR-lemma2:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) \text{ is } RR
  \mathbf{shows} \; ([\  \, ] \; i \in I \; \cdot \; b \; i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r (\  \, \bigsqcup \; i \in I \; \cdot \; (P \; i) \; \triangleleft \; b \; i \; \triangleright_R \; R1 \; true) \; \wedge \; false \; \triangleleft \; (\neg \; (\  \, \bigcap \; \; i \in I \; \cdot \; b \; i)) \; \triangleright_R \; R1 \; true)
true)
        proof -
  from assms(1)
  \mathbf{have} \; ([\![ ] \; i \in I \; \cdot \; b \; i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r ([\![ ] \; i \in I \; \cdot \; RR(P \; i) \; \triangleleft \; b \; i \triangleright_R \; R1 \; true) \; \wedge \; false \; \triangleleft \; (\neg \; ([\![ ] \; i \in I \; \cdot \; b \; i)) \; \triangleright_R \; r) ) ) ) ) 
        = ( \bigsqcup i \in I \cdot RR(P \ i) \triangleleft b \ i \triangleright_R R1 \ true )
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms Healthy-if conq: USUP-conq)
qed
lemma IterateR-lemma3:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) is RR
  proof -
  by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms Healthy-if cong: USUP-cong)
ged
theorem IterateR-refine-intro:
  assumes
     — Closure conditions
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_1(i) \ is \ RC \ \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_2(i) \ is \ RR \ \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_3(i) \ is \ RR
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow \$st \sharp Q_2(i) \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_3(i) \ is \ R \not = \{\}
    (\prod i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\perp}_{r} ;; Q_3 \ i)^{\star r} \ wp_r (\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot [b \ i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r Q_1 \ i) \sqsubseteq P_1
    \begin{array}{l} P_2 \sqsubseteq ( \bigcap \ i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top_r} \ ;; \ Q_2 \ i) \\ P_2 \sqsubseteq ( \bigcap \ i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top_r} \ ;; \ Q_3 \ i) \ ;; \ P_2 \end{array}
    P_3 \sqsubseteq (\bigcap i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top_r};; Q_3 \ i) ;; P_3
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq do_R \ i \in I \cdot b(i) \to \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1(i) \vdash Q_2(i) \diamond Q_3(i)) \ od
  apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest del: WhileR-rdes-def)
  apply (rule WhileR-refine-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure assms unrest IterateR-lemma1 IterateR-lemma2 segr-assoc[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: IterateR-lemma3 closure assms unrest)
  done
method unfold-iteration = simp add: IterateR-list-def IterateR-def AlternateR-list-def AlternateR-def
UINF-upto-expand-first
11.13 Substitution Laws
lemma srd-subst-Chaos [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma srd-subst-Miracle [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-simp)
```

```
lemma srd-subst-skip [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S II_R = \langle \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
lemma srd-subst-assigns [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
11.14 Algebraic Laws
theorem assigns-srd-id: \langle id_s \rangle_R = II_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-comp: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R
theorem assigns-srd-Miracle: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-Chaos: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-cond : \langle \sigma \rangle_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-left-seq:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows \langle \sigma \rangle_R;; P = \sigma \dagger_S P
  by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
lemma AlternateR-seq-distr:
  assumes \bigwedge i. A i is NSRD B is NSRD C is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) \ ;; \ C = (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ ;; \ C \ else \ B \ ;; \ C \ fi)
proof (cases I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def upred-semiring.distrib-right seq-UINF-distr gcmd-seq-distr assms(3))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AlternateR-is-cond-srea} :
  assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in \{a\} \cdot g \to A \ else \ B \ fi) = (A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R B)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AlternateR-Chaos} :
  if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow Chaos fi = Chaos
  by (cases\ A = \{\},\ simp,\ rdes-eq)
lemma choose-srd-par:
  choose_R \parallel_R choose_R = choose_R
  by (rdes-eq)
```

11.15 Lifting designs to reactive designs

```
definition des-rea-lift :: 's hrel-des \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (\mathbf{R}_D) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \vdash (false \diamond (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S)))
definition des-rea-drop :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow 's hrel-des (\mathbf{D}_R) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{D}_R(P) = \lfloor (pre_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$t\mathring{r} \rrbracket \upharpoonright_v \$st \rfloor_{S<}
                     \vdash_n \lfloor (post_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$t\mathring{r} \rrbracket \upharpoonright_v \{\$st,\$s\mathring{t}\} \rfloor_S
lemma ndesign-rea-lift-inverse: \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{R}_D(p \vdash_n Q)) = p \vdash_n Q
  apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def des-rea-drop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design)
  apply (simp add: R1-def R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest)
  apply (rel-auto)
  done
lemma ndesign-rea-lift-injective:
  assumes P is \mathbf{N} Q is \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}_D P = \mathbf{R}_D Q (is ?RP(P) = ?RQ(Q))
  shows P = Q
proof -
  have ?RP(|pre_D(P)| < \vdash_n post_D(P)) = ?RQ(|pre_D(Q)| < \vdash_n post_D(Q))
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
  hence |pre_D(P)| < \vdash_n post_D(P) = |pre_D(Q)| < \vdash_n post_D(Q)
    by (metis ndesign-rea-lift-inverse)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
qed
lemma des-rea-lift-closure [closure]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) is SRD
  by (simp add: des-rea-lift-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma preR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  pre_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = R1(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  peri_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = (false \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r}))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  post_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = ((true \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\neg \$tr \leq_u \$t\acute{r})) \Rightarrow (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S))
  apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma ndes-rea-lift-closure [closure]:
  assumes P is N
  shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) is NSRD
proof -
  obtain p Q where P: P = (p \vdash_n Q)
    by (metis H1-H3-commute H1-H3-is-normal-design H1-idem Healthy-def assms)
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule NSRD-intro)
      apply (simp-all add: closure rdes unrest P)
    apply (rel-auto)
    done
qed
lemma R-D-mono:
```

```
assumes P is \mathbf{H} Q is \mathbf{H} P \sqsubseteq Q
  shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def)
 apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
 apply (meson aext-mono assms(3) design-refine-thms(1) refBy-order)
 apply (rel-auto)
 apply (smt\ aext-and\ aext-mono\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ rdesign-ref-monos(2)\ utp-pred-laws.inf.cobounded2
utp-pred-laws.inf.coboundedI2 utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff)
 done
Homomorphism laws
lemma R-D-Miracle:
  \mathbf{R}_D(\top_D) = Miracle
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma R-D-Chaos:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = Chaos
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \mathbf{R}_D(false \vdash_r true)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash false \diamond (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def des-rea-lift-def alpha)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (true)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R-D-inf:
  \mathbf{R}_D(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-cond:
  \mathbf{R}_D(P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{D \triangleleft} \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-seq-ndesign:
  \mathbf{R}_D(p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(p_2 \vdash_n Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_D((p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; (p_2 \vdash_n Q_2))
 apply (rule antisym)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
      apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
     apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
   apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rel-auto)
 done
lemma R-D-seq:
 assumes P is N Q is N
```

shows $\mathbf{R}_D(P)$;; $\mathbf{R}_D(Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P ;; Q)$

```
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ R\text{-}D\text{-}\mathit{seq}\text{-}\mathit{ndesign}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{ndesign}\text{-}\mathit{form})
```

These laws are applicable only when there is no further alphabet extension

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ R\text{-}D\text{-}skip\text{:} \\ \textbf{R}_D(II_D) = (II_R :: ('s,'t::trace,unit) \ hrel\text{-}rsp) \\ \textbf{apply} \ (rel\text{-}auto) \ \textbf{using} \ minus\text{-}zero\text{-}eq \ \textbf{by} \ blast + \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ R\text{-}D\text{-}assigns\text{:} \\ \textbf{R}_D(\langle\sigma\rangle_D) = (\langle\sigma\rangle_R :: ('s,'t::trace,unit) \ hrel\text{-}rsp) \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ assigns\text{-}d\text{-}def \ des\text{-}rea\text{-}lift\text{-}def \ alpha \ assigns\text{-}srd\text{-}RHS\text{-}tri\text{-}des, \ rel\text{-}auto) \\ \end{array}
```

11.16 State Invariants

end

```
definition StateInvR :: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (sinv_R'(-')) where [rdes-def]: sinv_R(b) = \mathbf{R}_s([b]_{S<} \vdash true_r \diamond [b]_{S>})

lemma StateInvR-NSRD [closure]: sinv_R(b) is NSRD by (simp \ add: StateInvR-def \ closure \ unrest)

lemma StateInvR-srd-skip-refine: sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq II_R by (rdes-refine)

lemma StateInvR-seq-idem: \ sinv_R(b) \ ;; \ sinv_R(b) = sinv_R(b) by (rdes-eq)

lemma StateInvR-seq-refine: \ assumes \ sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq P \ sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq Q \ shows \ sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq P \ ;; \ Q \ by \ (metis \ (full-types) \ StateInvR-seq-idem \ assms \ seqr-mono)

lemma ndiv-StateInvR: ndiv_R = sinv_R(true) by (rdes-eq)
```

12 Instantaneous Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rdes-instant imports utp-rdes-prog begin

definition ISRD1:: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where [upred-defs]: <math>ISRD1(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond (\$tr =_u \$t\acute{r}))

definition ISRD:: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where [upred-defs]: <math>ISRD = ISRD1 \circ NSRD

lemma ISRD1-idem: ISRD1(ISRD1(P)) = ISRD1(P) by (rel-auto)

lemma ISRD1-monotonic: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow ISRD1(P) \sqsubseteq ISRD1(Q) by (rel-auto)
```

```
{f lemma} {\it ISRD1-RHS-design-form}:
 assumes \$ok \sharp P \$ok \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R
 shows ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond R5(R))
 using assms by (simp add: ISRD1-def choose-srd-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest, rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-form:
  ISRD1(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (R5(post_R(P))))
 by (simp add: ISRD1-RHS-design-form SRD-as-reactive-tri-design unrest)
lemma ISRD1-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } RR; R \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond R5(R))
 by (simp add: ISRD1-def R5-def rdes-def closure rpred)
lemma ISRD-intro:
 assumes P is NSRD peri_R(P) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ (\$t\acute{r} =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq post_R(P)
 shows P is ISRD
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) is ISRD1
   apply (simp add: Healthy-def rdes-def closure assms(1-2))
   using assms(3) least-zero apply (rel-blast)
   done
 hence P is ISRD1
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure assms(1))
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-comp assms(1))
qed
lemma ISRD1-rdes-intro:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR (\$tr =_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) is ISRD1
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def R5-def assms closure unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)
lemma ISRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR (\$tr =_u \$t\acute{r}) \sqsubseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) is ISRD
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD-def R5-def closure Healthy-if ISRD1-rdes-def assms unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)
lemma ISRD-implies-ISRD1:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is ISRD1
proof -
 have ISRD(P) is ISRD1
   by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-def ISRD1-idem)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed
lemma ISRD-implies-SRD:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is SRD
proof -
 have 1:ISRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true \land R1 \ true) \vdash false \diamond (post_R \ P \land \$tr =_u \ \$tr))
```

```
by (simp add: NSRD-form ISRD1-def R5-def ISRD-def RHS-tri-design-par rdes-def unrest closure)
  moreover have ... is SRD
   by (simp add: closure unrest)
  ultimately have ISRD(P) is SRD
   by (simp)
  with assms show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma ISRD-implies-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
 have 1:ISRD(P) = ISRD1(RD3(SRD(P)))
   by (simp add: ISRD-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2)
 also have ... = ISRD1(RD3(P))
   by (simp add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ISRD1 (\mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r pre<sub>R</sub> P) wp<sub>r</sub> false<sub>h</sub> \vdash (\exists $st · peri<sub>R</sub> P) \diamond post<sub>R</sub> P))
   by (simp add: RD3-def, subst SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma, simp-all add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false_h \vdash false \diamond (post_R \ P \land \$tr =_u \$t\acute{r}))
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par ISRD1-def unrest choose-srd-def rpred closure ISRD-implies-SRD
assms)
 also have \dots = (\dots ;; II_R)
  by (rdes-simp, simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms unrest ISRD-implies-SRD
R5-def wp rpred wp-rea-false-RC)
 also have ... is RD3
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def seqr-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD Healthy-if assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
qed
lemma ISRD-form:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond R5(post_R(P))) = P
proof -
 have P = ISRD1(P)
   by (simp add: ISRD-implies-ISRD1 assms Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design ISRD-implies-SRD assms)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond R5(post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def R5-def closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma ISRD-elim [RD-elim]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } ISRD; \ Q(\mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond R5(post_R(P)))) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: ISRD-form)
lemma skip-srd-ISRD [closure]: II_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure)
lemma assigns-srd-ISRD [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure, rel-auto)
lemma seq-ISRD-closed:
```

```
assumes P is ISRD Q is ISRD
 shows P;; Q is ISRD
 apply (insert assms)
 apply (erule ISRD-elim)+
 apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
 apply (rule ISRD-rdes-intro)
   apply (simp-all add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done
lemma ISRD-Miracle-right-zero:
 assumes P is ISRD pre_R(P) = true_r
 shows P;; Miracle = Miracle
 by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
A recursion whose body does not extend the trace results in divergence
lemma ISRD-recurse-Chaos:
 assumes P is ISRD post_R P ;; true_r = true_r
 shows (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X) = Chaos
proof -
 have 1: (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X) = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ SRD(X))
   by (auto simp add: srdes-theory.utp-lfp-def closure assms)
 have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) \sqsubseteq Chaos
 proof (rule gfp-upperbound)
   have P ;; Chaos \sqsubseteq Chaos
     apply (rdes-refine-split cls: assms)
     using assms(2) apply (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.antisym order-reft)
      apply (rel-auto)+
     done
   thus P :: SRD \ Chaos \sqsubseteq Chaos
     by (simp add: Healthy-if srdes-theory.bottom-closed)
 qed
 thus ?thesis
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis 1 dual-order}. \textit{antisym srdes-theory}. \textit{LFP-closed srdes-theory}. \textit{bottom-lower})
qed
lemma recursive-assign-Chaos:
  (\mu_R \ X \cdot \langle \sigma \rangle_R \ ;; \ X) = Chaos
 by (rule ISRD-recurse-Chaos, simp-all add: closure rdes, rel-auto)
lemma unproductive-form:
 assumes P_2 is RR P_3 is RR P_3 is R5 P_3 \neq false
 shows \neg (\mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) is Productive)
 have Productive(\mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond R_4(P_3))
   by (simp add: Productive-RHS-R4-design-form closure assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond false)
   by (metis\ Healthy-if\ R4-R5\ assms(3))
 also have ... \neq \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3)
   by (simp add: R5-implies-R1 assms rea-true-conj(1) rrel-theory.bottom-closed rrel-theory.top-closed
srdes-tri-eq-iff)
 finally show ?thesis
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Healthy-if}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
qed
```

```
lemma unproductive-assigns: \neg (\langle \sigma \rangle_R \text{ is Productive})
unfolding rdes-def by (rule unproductive-form, simp-all add: closure, rel-auto+)
```

end

13 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rea-designs
imports
utp-rdes-healths
utp-rdes-designs
utp-rdes-triples
utp-rdes-normal
utp-rdes-contracts
utp-rdes-parallel
utp-rdes-prog
utp-rdes-instant
utp-rdes-guarded
begin end
```

References

- [1] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233.
- [2] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [3] M. V. M. Oliveira. Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.