

Reactive Designs in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock
Samuel Canham

October 28, 2020

Abstract

Reactive designs combine the UTP theories of reactive processes and designs to characterise reactive programs. Whereas sequential imperative programs are expected to run until termination, reactive programs pause at instances to allow interaction with the environment using abstract events, and often do not terminate at all. Thus, whereas a design describes the precondition and postcondition for a program, to characterise initial and final states, a reactive design also has a “pericondition”, which characterises intermediate quiescent observations. This gives rise to a notion of “reactive contract”, which specifies the assumptions a program makes of its environment, and the guarantees it will make of its own behaviour in both intermediate and final observations. This Isabelle/UTP document mechanises the UTP theory of reactive designs, including its healthiness conditions, signature, and a large library of algebraic laws of reactive programming.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions	3
2.1	Preliminaries	3
2.2	Identities	4
2.3	RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces	4
2.4	R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3	7
2.5	RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination	9
2.6	Major healthiness conditions	10
2.7	UTP theories	13
3	Reactive Design Specifications	15
3.1	Reactive design forms	15
3.2	Auxiliary healthiness conditions	17
3.3	Composition laws	18
3.4	Refinement introduction laws	25
3.5	Distribution laws	27
4	Reactive Design Triples	27
4.1	Diamond notation	27
4.2	Export laws	28
4.3	Pre-, peri-, and postconditions	29
4.3.1	Definitions	29
4.3.2	Unrestriction laws	29

4.3.3	Substitution laws	30
4.3.4	Healthiness laws	32
4.3.5	Calculation laws	34
4.4	Formation laws	36
4.4.1	Regular	36
4.4.2	Stateful	38
4.4.3	Order laws	39
4.5	Composition laws	40
4.5.1	Regular	40
4.5.2	Stateful	42
4.6	Refinement introduction laws	46
4.6.1	Regular	46
4.6.2	Stateful	46
4.7	Closure laws	49
4.7.1	Regular	49
4.7.2	Stateful	49
4.8	Distribution laws	50
4.9	Algebraic laws	52
4.10	Recursion laws	53
5	Normal Reactive Designs	54
5.1	UTP theory	71
6	Syntax for reactive design contracts	71
7	Reactive design tactics	73
8	Reactive design parallel-by-merge	74
8.1	Example basic merge	90
8.2	Simple parallel composition	91
9	Productive Reactive Designs	92
9.1	Healthiness condition	92
9.2	Reactive design calculations	93
9.3	Closure laws	94
10	Guarded Recursion	96
10.1	Traces with a size measure	96
10.2	Guardedness	97
10.3	Tail recursive fixed-point calculations	101
11	Reactive Design Programs	103
11.1	State substitution	103
11.2	Assignment	103
11.3	Conditional	105
11.4	Assumptions	106
11.5	Guarded commands	107
11.6	Generalised Alternation	107
11.7	Choose	109
11.8	Divergence Freedom	110

11.9 State Abstraction	110
11.10 Reactive Frames	111
11.11 While Loop	113
11.12 Iteration Construction	118
11.13 Substitution Laws	120
11.14 Algebraic Laws	121
11.15 Lifting designs to reactive designs	122
11.16 State Invariants	124
12 Instantaneous Reactive Designs	124
13 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs	127

1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [2] of our theory of reactive designs. Reactive designs form an important semantic foundation for reactive modelling languages such as Circus [3]. For more details of this work, please see our recent paper [1].

2 Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions

```
theory utp-rdes-healths
  imports UTP-Reactive.utp-reactive
begin
```

2.1 Preliminaries

```
named-theorems rdes and rdes-def and RD-elim
```

```
type-synonym ('s,'t) rdes = ('s,'t,unit) hrel-rsp
```

```
translations
  (type) ('s,'t) rdes <= (type) ('s,'t, unit) hrel-rsp
```

```
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
```

```
lemma R2-st-ex: R2 ( $\exists$  $st  $\cdot$  P) = ( $\exists$  $st  $\cdot$  R2(P))
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R2s-st'-eq-st:
  R2s($st' =u $st) = ($st' =u $st)
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R2c-st'-eq-st:
  R2c($st' =u $st) = ($st' =u $st)
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R1-des-lift-skip: R1( $\lceil$  II  $\rceil_D$ ) =  $\lceil$  II  $\rceil_D$ 
```

by (rel-auto)

lemma *R2-des-lift-skip*:

$R2(\llbracket II \rrbracket_D) = \llbracket II \rrbracket_D$

apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast

lemma *R1-R2c-ex-st*: $R1 (R2c (\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1)) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2c Q_1))$

by (rel-auto)

2.2 Identities

We define two identities for reactive designs, which correspond to the regular and state-sensitive versions of reactive designs, respectively. The former is the one used in the UTP book and related publications for CSP.

definition *skip-rea* :: $(t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp } (II_C)$ **where**

skip-rea-def [urel-defs]: $II_C = (II \vee (\neg \$ok \wedge \$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

definition *skip-srea* :: $(s, t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp } (II_R)$ **where**

skip-srea-def [urel-defs]: $II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II_C)$

lemma *skip-rea-R1-lemma*: $II_C = R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *skip-rea-form*: $II_C = (II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *skip-srea-form*: $II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *R1-skip-rea*: $R1(II_C) = II_C$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *R2c-skip-rea*: $R2c II_C = II_C$

by (simp add: skip-rea-def R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-skip-r R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-tr-le-tr')

lemma *R2-skip-rea*: $R2(II_C) = II_C$

by (metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-skip-rea R2c-skip-rea)

lemma *R2c-skip-srea*: $R2c(II_R) = II_R$

apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+

lemma *skip-srea-R1 [closure]*: II_R is *R1*

by (rel-auto)

lemma *skip-srea-R2c [closure]*: II_R is *R2c*

by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-skip-srea)

lemma *skip-srea-R2 [closure]*: II_R is *R2*

by (metis Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2c-skip-srea skip-srea-R1)

2.3 RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces

definition *RD1* :: $(t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp } \Rightarrow (t, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}$ **where**

[upred-defs]: $RD1(P) = (P \vee (\neg \$ok \wedge \$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

$RD1$ is essentially $H1$ from the theory of designs, but viewed through the prism of reactive processes.

lemma *RD1-idem*: $RD1(RD1(P)) = RD1(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-Idempotent*: *Idempotent RD1*
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RD1-idem*)

lemma *RD1-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies RD1(P) \sqsubseteq RD1(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-Monotonic*: *Monotonic RD1*
using *mono-def RD1-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *RD1-Continuous*: *Continuous RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-true-RD1-closed* [*closure*]: $R1(true)$ is *RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-wait-false* [*closure*]: P is *RD1* $\implies P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$ is *RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-wait'-false* [*closure*]: P is *RD1* $\implies P \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket$ is *RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-seq*: $RD1(RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)) = RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-seq-closure* [*closure*]: $\llbracket P \text{ is } RD1; Q \text{ is } RD1 \rrbracket \implies P ;; Q \text{ is } RD1$
by (*metis Healthy-def' RD1-seq*)

lemma *RD1-R1-commute*: $RD1(R1(P)) = R1(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-R2c-commute*: $RD1(R2c(P)) = R2c(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-via-R1*: $R1(H1(P)) = RD1(R1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-R1-cases*: $RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-rea-RD1-skip*: $II_C = RD1(II)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *skip-srea-RD1* [*closure*]: II_R is *RD1*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-algebraic-intro*:
assumes
 $P \text{ is } R1 (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) (II_C ;; P) = P$
shows $P \text{ is } RD1$
proof –

```

have  $P = (II_C ;; P)$ 
  by (simp add: assms(3))
also have  $\dots = (R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) ;; P)$ 
  by (simp add: skip-rea-R1-lemma)
also have  $\dots = (((\neg \$ok \wedge R1(true)) ;; P) \vee P)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl skip-rea-R1-lemma skip-rea-def utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left
    utp-pred-laws.sup-commute)
also have  $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; (R1(true_h) ;; P)) \vee P)$ 
  using dual-order.trans by (rel-blast)
also have  $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; R1(true_h)) \vee P)$ 
  by (simp add: assms(2))
also have  $\dots = (R1(\neg \$ok) \vee P)$ 
  by (rel-auto)
also have  $\dots = RD1(P)$ 
  by (rel-auto)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed

```

```

theorem RD1-left-zero:
  assumes  $P \text{ is } R1$   $P \text{ is } RD1$ 
  shows  $(R1(true) ;; P) = R1(true)$ 
proof -
  have  $(R1(true) ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(true)$ 
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2))
qed

```

```

theorem RD1-left-unit:
  assumes  $P \text{ is } R1$   $P \text{ is } RD1$ 
  shows  $(II_C ;; P) = P$ 
proof -
  have  $(II_C ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(RD1(P))$ 
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms(1) assms(2))
qed

```

```

lemma RD1-alt-def:
  assumes  $P \text{ is } R1$ 
  shows  $R1(P) = (P \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$ 
proof -
  have  $R1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))$ 
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed

```

```

theorem RD1-algebraic:
  assumes  $P \text{ is } R1$ 
  shows  $P \text{ is } RD1 \iff (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) \wedge (II_C ;; P) = P$ 
  using RD1-algebraic-intro RD1-left-unit RD1-left-zero assms by blast

```

2.4 R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3

definition $R3c :: ('t::trace, 'α) hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) hrel-rp$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: R3c(P) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition $R3h :: ('s, 't::trace, 'α) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) hrel-rsp$ **where**
 $R3h-def [upred-defs]: R3h(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

lemma $R3c-idem: R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3c-Idempotent: Idempotent R3c$
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def R3c-idem*)

lemma $R3c-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3c(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3c-Monotonic: Monotonic R3c$
by (*simp add: mono-def R3c-mono*)

lemma $R3c-Continuous: Continuous R3c$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-idem: R3h(R3h(P)) = R3h(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-Idempotent: Idempotent R3h$
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def R3h-idem*)

lemma $R3h-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3h(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-Monotonic: Monotonic R3h$
by (*simp add: mono-def R3h-mono*)

lemma $R3h-Continuous: Continuous R3h$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-inf: R3h(P \sqcap Q) = R3h(P) \sqcap R3h(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-UINF:$
 $A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow R3h(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot R3h(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3h-cond: R3h(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3h(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3h(Q))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3c-via-RD1-R3: RD1(R3(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R3c-RD1-def: P \text{ is } RD1 \Longrightarrow R3c(P) = RD1(R3(P))$
by (*simp add: Healthy-if R3c-via-RD1-R3*)

lemma $RD1-R3c-commute: RD1(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-R3c-commute*: $R1(R3c(P)) = R3c(R1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-R3c-commute*: $R2c(R3c(P)) = R3c(R2c(P))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *R1-R3h-commute*: $R1(R3h(P)) = R3h(R1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-R3h-commute*: $R2c(R3h(P)) = R3h(R2c(P))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *RD1-R3h-commute*: $RD1(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-cancels-R3*: $R3c(R3(P)) = R3c(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3-cancels-R3c*: $R3(R3c(P)) = R3(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-cancels-R3c*: $R3h(R3c(P)) = R3h(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-semir-form*:
 $(R3c(P) ;; R3c(R1(Q))) = R3c(P ;; R3c(R1(Q)))$
by (*rel-simp*, *safe*, *auto intro: order-trans*)

lemma *R3h-semir-form*:
 $(R3h(P) ;; R3h(R1(Q))) = R3h(P ;; R3h(R1(Q)))$
by (*rel-simp*, *safe*, *auto intro: order-trans*, *blast+*)

lemma *R3c-seq-closure*:
assumes P is $R3c$ Q is $R3c$ Q is $R1$
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is $R3c$
by (*metis Healthy-def' R3c-semir-form assms*)

lemma *R3h-seq-closure [closure]*:
assumes P is $R3h$ Q is $R3h$ Q is $R1$
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is $R3h$
by (*metis Healthy-def' R3h-semir-form assms*)

lemma *R3c-R3-left-seq-closure*:
assumes P is $R3$ Q is $R3c$
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is $R3c$
proof –
have $(P ;; Q) = ((P ;; Q) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*metis cond-var-split cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens*)
also have $\dots = (((II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) ;; Q) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*metis Healthy-def' R3-def assms(1)*)
also have $\dots = ((II \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*subst-tac*)
also have $\dots = (((II \wedge \$wait \text{'}) ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-pos-var-subst seqr-pre-var-out skip-var utp-pred-laws.inf-left-idem*)

wait-vwb-lens)
also have ... = $((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; Q \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*metis segr-pre-transfer segr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convr upred-eq-true utp-rel.unrest-ouvar vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens*)
also have ... = $((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q) \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*metis Healthy-def' R3c-def assms(2)*)
also have ... = $((II \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; II_C \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*subst-tac*)
also have ... = $((II \wedge \$wait') ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q)$
by (*metis segr-pre-transfer segr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convr upred-eq-true utp-rel.unrest-ouvar vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens*)
also have ... = $((II ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by (*simp add: cond-def segr-pre-transfer utp-rel.unrest-ouvar*)
also have ... = $(II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))$
by *simp*
also have ... = $R3c(P ;; Q)$
by (*simp add: R3c-def*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def'*)
qed

lemma *R3c-cases*: $R3c(P) = ((II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true})) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-cases*: $R3h(P) = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(\text{true})) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-form*: $R3h(P) = II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3c-subst-wait*: $R3c(P) = R3c(P_f)$
by (*simp add: R3c-def cond-var-subst-right*)

lemma *R3h-subst-wait*: $R3h(P) = R3h(P_f)$
by (*simp add: R3h-cases cond-var-subst-right*)

lemma *skip-srea-R3h [closure]*: II_R is *R3h*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-wait-true*:

assumes P is *R3h*

shows $P_t = II_R t$

proof –

have $P_t = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)_t$

by (*metis Healthy-if R3h-form assms*)

also have ... = $II_R t$

by (*simp add: usubst*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *R3c-refines-R3h*: $R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

2.5 RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination

definition *RD2* where

[upred-defs]: $RD2(P) = H2(P)$

$RD2$ is just $H2$ since the type system will automatically have J identifying the reactive variables as required.

lemma *RD2-idem*: $RD2(RD2(P)) = RD2(P)$
by (*simp add: H2-idem RD2-def*)

lemma *RD2-Idempotent*: *Idempotent RD2*
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RD2-idem*)

lemma *RD2-mono*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies RD2(P) \sqsubseteq RD2(Q)$
by (*simp add: H2-def RD2-def seqr-mono*)

lemma *RD2-Monotonic*: *Monotonic RD2*
using *mono-def RD2-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *RD2-Continuous*: *Continuous RD2*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-RD2-commute*: $RD1(RD2(P)) = RD2(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-R3c-commute*: $RD2(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD2(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-R3h-commute*: $RD2(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD2(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

2.6 Major healthiness conditions

definition *RH* :: $('t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$ (**R**)
where [upred-defs]: $RH(P) = R1(R2c(R3c(P)))$

definition *RHS* :: $('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ (**R_s**)
where [upred-defs]: $RHS(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(P)))$

definition *RD* :: $('t::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'α) \text{ hrel-rp}$
where [upred-defs]: $RD(P) = RD1(RD2(RP(P)))$

definition *SRD* :: $('s, 't::trace, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
where [upred-defs]: $SRD(P) = RD1(RD2(RHS(P)))$

lemma *RH-comp*: $RH = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3c$
by (*auto simp add: RH-def*)

lemma *RHS-comp*: $RHS = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3h$
by (*auto simp add: RHS-def*)

lemma *RD-comp*: $RD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RP$
by (*auto simp add: RD-def*)

lemma *SRD-comp*: $SRD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RHS$
by (*auto simp add: SRD-def*)

lemma *RH-idem*: $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(P)$

by (*simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem R2c-R3c-commute R2c-idem R3c-idem RH-def*)

lemma *RH-Idempotent: Idempotent* **R**
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RH-idem*)

lemma *RH-Monotonic: Monotonic* **R**
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-Monotonic R2c-Monotonic R3c-mono RH-def mono-def*)

lemma *RH-mono: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \mathbf{R}(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q)$*
using *mono-def RH-Monotonic* **by** *blast*

lemma *RH-Continuous: Continuous* **R**
by (*simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3c-Continuous RH-comp*)

lemma *RHS-idem: $\mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P)$*
by (*simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-idem R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RHS-def*)

lemma *RHS-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent* **R_s**
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def RHS-idem*)

lemma *RHS-Monotonic: Monotonic* **R_s**
by (*simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RHS-def*)

lemma *RHS-mono: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$*
using *mono-def RHS-Monotonic* **by** *blast*

lemma *RHS-Continuous [closure]: Continuous* **R_s**
by (*simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3h-Continuous RHS-comp*)

lemma *RHS-inf: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$*
using *Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def RHS-Continuous* **by** *auto*

lemma *RHS-INF:*
 $A \neq \{\} \implies \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-def R3h-UIINF R2c-USUP R1-USUP*)

lemma *RHS-sup: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcup Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q)$*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-SUP:*
 $A \neq \{\} \implies \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-cond: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(P) \triangleleft R2c\ b \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(Q))$*
by (*simp add: RHS-def R3h-cond R2c-condr R1-cond*)

lemma *RD-alt-def: $RD(P) = RD1(RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)))$*
by (*simp add: R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-RD2-commute RH-def RD-def RP-def*)

lemma *RD1-RH-commute: $RD1(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD1(P))$*
by (*simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RH-def*)

lemma *RD2-RH-commute: $RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD2(P))$*

by (metis *R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def RH-def*)

lemma *RD-idem*: $RD(RD(P)) = RD(P)$
 by (simp add: *RD-alt-def RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem RD1-idem RH-idem*)

lemma *RD-Monotonic*: *Monotonic RD*
 by (simp add: *Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RD-comp RP-Monotonic*)

lemma *RD-Continuous*: *Continuous RD*
 by (simp add: *Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RD-comp RP-Continuous*)

lemma *R3-RD-RP*: $R3(RD(P)) = RP(RD1(RD2(P)))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-cancels-R3c RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD-alt-def RH-def RP-def*)

lemma *RD-healths [closure]*:
 assumes *P is RD*
 shows *P is R1 P is R2 P is R3c P is RD1 P is RD2*
 apply (metis *Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RH-def RD-alt-def assms*)
 apply (metis *Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RH-def RD-alt-def assms*)
 apply (metis *Healthy-def R3-RD-RP R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RH-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-RH-commute RD-alt-def RD-def assms*)
 apply (metis *Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def RD1-Idempotent RD-alt-def assms*)
 apply (metis *H2-idem Healthy-def RD1-RD2-commute RD2-def RD-def assms*)
 done

lemma *RD1-RHS-commute*: $RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD1(P))$
 by (simp add: *RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RHS-def*)

lemma *RD2-RHS-commute*: $RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD2(P))$
 by (metis *R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def*)

lemma *SRD-idem*: $SRD(SRD(P)) = SRD(P)$
 by (simp add: *RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RHS-idem SRD-def*)

lemma *SRD-Idempotent [closure]*: *Idempotent SRD*
 by (simp add: *Idempotent-def SRD-idem*)

lemma *SRD-Monotonic*: *Monotonic SRD*
 by (simp add: *Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RHS-Monotonic SRD-comp*)

lemma *SRD-Continuous [closure]*: *Continuous SRD*
 by (simp add: *Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RHS-Continuous SRD-comp*)

lemma *SRD-RHS-H1-H2*: $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *SRD-healths [closure]*:
 assumes *P is SRD*
 shows *P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2*
 apply (metis *Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms*)
 apply (metis *Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def*)

SRD-def assms)

apply (*metis Healthy-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms*)

apply (*metis Healthy-def' RD1-idem SRD-def assms*)

apply (*metis Healthy-def' RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem SRD-def assms*)

done

lemma *SRD-intro*:

assumes *P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2*

shows *P is SRD*

by (*metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def SRD-def assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)*)

lemma *SRD-ok-false* [*usubst*]: *P is SRD $\implies P \llbracket \text{false}/\$ok \rrbracket = R1(\text{true})$*

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) H1-H2-eq-design Healthy-def R1-ok-false RD1-R1-commute RD1-via-R1 RD2-def SRD-def SRD-healths(1) design-ok-false*)

lemma *SRD-ok-true-wait-true* [*usubst*]:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

proof –

have $P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \text{ true} \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P$

by (*metis Healthy-def R3h-cases SRD-healths(3) assms*)

moreover have $((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \text{ true} \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: usubst*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp*)

qed

lemma *SRD-left-zero-1*: *P is SRD $\implies R1(\text{true}) ;; P = R1(\text{true})$*

by (*simp add: RD1-left-zero SRD-healths(1) SRD-healths(4)*)

lemma *SRD-left-zero-2*:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $(\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

proof –

have $(\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; R3h(P) = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket \text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths(3) assms*)

qed

2.7 UTP theories

We create two theory objects: one for reactive designs and one for stateful reactive designs.

interpretation *rdes-theory*: *utp-theory-continuous RD*

rewrites $P \in \text{carrier } \text{rdes-theory.thy-order} \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } RD$

and $\text{carrier } \text{rdes-theory.thy-order} \rightarrow \text{carrier } \text{rdes-theory.thy-order} \equiv \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H$

and $\text{le } \text{rdes-theory.thy-order} = (\sqsubseteq)$

and $\text{eq } \text{rdes-theory.thy-order} = (=)$

proof –

show *utp-theory-continuous RD*

by (*unfold-locale, simp-all add: RD-idem RD-Continuous*)

qed (*simp-all*)

interpretation *srdes-theory*: *utp-theory-continuous* *SRD*
rewrites $P \in \text{carrier } \textit{srdes-theory.thy-order} \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is } \textit{SRD}$
and $\text{carrier } \textit{srdes-theory.thy-order} \rightarrow \text{carrier } \textit{srdes-theory.thy-order} \equiv \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \textit{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
and $\text{le } \textit{srdes-theory.thy-order} = (\sqsubseteq)$
and $\text{eq } \textit{srdes-theory.thy-order} = (=)$
proof –
 show *utp-theory-continuous* *SRD*
 by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add: SRD-idem SRD-Continuous*)
qed (*simp-all*)

interpretation *rdes-rea-galois*:
 galois-connection ($RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP$)
proof (*simp* *add: mk-conn-def*, *rule* *galois-connectionI'*, *simp-all* *add: utp-partial-order*)
 show $R3 \in \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H$
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Healthy-def'* *Pi-I* *R3-RD-RP* *RP-idem* *mem-Collect-eq*)
 show $RD1 \circ RD2 \in \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H$
 by (*simp* *add: Pi-iff Healthy-def*, *metis* *RD-def* *RD-idem*)
 show *isotone* (*utp-order* *RD*) (*utp-order* *RP*) *R3*
 by (*simp* *add: R3-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI*)
 show *isotone* (*utp-order* *RP*) (*utp-order* *RD*) ($RD1 \circ RD2$)
 by (*simp* *add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI*)
 fix $P :: ('a, 'b) \text{ hrel-rp}$
 assume $P \text{ is } RD$
 thus $P \sqsubseteq RD1 (RD2 (R3 P))$
 by (*metis* *Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-iff*)
next
 fix $P :: ('a, 'b) \text{ hrel-rp}$
 assume $a: P \text{ is } RP$
 thus $R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) \sqsubseteq P$
 proof –
 have $R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) = RP (RD1 (RD2(P)))$
 by (*metis* *Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def a*)
 moreover **have** $RD1(RD2(P)) \sqsubseteq P$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 by (*metis* *Healthy-if RP-mono a*)
qed
qed

interpretation *rdes-rea-retract*:
 retract ($RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP$)
 by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add: mk-conn-def utp-partial-order*)
 (*metis* *Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-refl*)

abbreviation *Chaos* :: $('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
Chaos $\equiv \textit{srdes-theory.utp-bottom}$

abbreviation *Miracle* :: $('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
Miracle $\equiv \textit{srdes-theory.utp-top}$

thm *srdes-theory.weak.bottom-lower*
thm *srdes-theory.weak.top-higher*
thm *srdes-theory.meet-bottom*
thm *srdes-theory.meet-top*

abbreviation $srd\text{-}lfp$ (μ_R) **where** $\mu_R F \equiv srdes\text{-}theory.utp\text{-}lfp F$

abbreviation $srd\text{-}gfp$ (ν_R) **where** $\nu_R F \equiv srdes\text{-}theory.utp\text{-}gfp F$

syntax

$-srd\text{-}\mu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\mu_R \cdot \cdot - [0, 10] 10)$

$-srd\text{-}\nu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\nu_R \cdot \cdot - [0, 10] 10)$

translations

$\mu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)$

$\nu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)$

The reactive design weakest fixed-point can be defined in terms of relational calculus one.

lemma $srd\text{-}\mu\text{-equiv}$:

assumes $Monotonic F F \in \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H$

shows $(\mu_R X \cdot F(X)) = (\mu X \cdot F(SRD(X)))$

by $(metis\ assms\ srdes\text{-}theory.utp\text{-}lfp\text{-}def)$

end

3 Reactive Design Specifications

theory $utp\text{-}rdes\text{-}designs$

imports $utp\text{-}rdes\text{-}healths$

begin

3.1 Reactive design forms

lemma $rdes\text{-}skip\text{-}def$: $II_C = \mathbf{R}(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \llbracket II \rrbracket_R))$

apply $(rel\text{-}auto)$ **using** $minus\text{-}zero\text{-}eq$ **by** $blast+$

lemma $srdes\text{-}skip\text{-}def$: $II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \llbracket II \rrbracket_R))$

apply $(rel\text{-}auto)$ **using** $minus\text{-}zero\text{-}eq$ **by** $blast+$

lemma $Chaos\text{-}def$: $Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)$

proof –

have $Chaos = SRD(true)$

by $(metis\ srdes\text{-}theory.healthy\text{-}bottom)$

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(true))$

by $(simp\ add: SRD\text{-}RHS\text{-}H1\text{-}H2)$

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)$

by $(metis\ H1\text{-}design\ H2\text{-}true\ design\text{-}false\text{-}pre)$

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma $Miracle\text{-}def$: $Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)$

proof –

have $Miracle = SRD(false)$

by $(metis\ srdes\text{-}theory.healthy\text{-}top)$

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(false))$

by $(simp\ add: SRD\text{-}RHS\text{-}H1\text{-}H2)$

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)$

by $(metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ H1\text{-}H2\text{-}eq\text{-}design\ p\text{-}imp\text{-}p\ subst\text{-}impl\ subst\text{-}not\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.compl\text{-}bot\text{-}eq$

$utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.compl\text{-}top\text{-}eq)$

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma *RD1-reactive-design*: $RD1(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *RD2-reactive-design*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $RD2(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
 using *assms*
 by (metis *H2-design RD2-RH-commute RD2-def*)

lemma *RD1-st-reactive-design*: $RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *RD2-st-reactive-design*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
 using *assms*
 by (metis *H2-design RD2-RHS-commute RD2-def*)

lemma *wait-false-design*:
 $(P \vdash Q)_f = ((P_f) \vdash (Q_f))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *RD-RH-design-form*:
 $RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

proof –

have $RD(P) = RD1(RD2(R1(R2c(R3c(P)))))$
 by (simp add: *RD-alt-def RH-def*)
 also have $\dots = RD1(H2(R1(R2s(R3c(P)))))$
 by (simp add: *R1-R2s-R2c RD2-def*)
 also have $\dots = RD1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P)))))$
 by (simp add: *R1-H2-commute*)
 also have $\dots = R1(H1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P))))))$
 by (simp add: *R1-idem RD1-via-R1*)
 also have $\dots = R1(H1(H2(R2s(R3c(R1(P))))))$
 by (simp add: *R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1*)
 also have $\dots = R1(R2s(H1(H2(R3c(R1(P))))))$
 by (simp add: *R2s-H1-commute R2s-H2-commute*)
 also have $\dots = R1(R2s(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis *RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def*)
 also have $\dots = R2(R1(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis *R1-R2-commute R1-idem R2-def*)
 also have $\dots = R2(R3c(R1(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))))$
 by (simp add: *R1-R3c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1*)
 also have $\dots = RH(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))$
 by (metis *R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2-R1-form RH-def*)
 also have $\dots = RH(\mathbf{H}(P))$
 by (simp add: *R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem RD1-via-R1 RH-def*)
 also have $\dots = RH((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (simp add: *H1-H2-eq-design*)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *R3c-subst-wait RH-def subst-not wait-false-design*)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *RD-reactive-design*:

assumes P is *RD*
 shows $\mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P$
 by (metis *RD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms*)

lemma *RD-RH-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $RD(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
 by (simp add: *RD1-reactive-design RD2-reactive-design RD-alt-def RH-idem assms(1) assms(2)*)

lemma *RH-design-is-RD*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$ is *RD*
 by (simp add: *RD-RH-design Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2)*)

lemma *SRD-RH-design-form*:

$SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

proof –

have $SRD(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(RD1(RD2(R1(P))))))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3h-commute R1-idem R2c-H2-commute RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def SRD-def*)
 also have $\dots = R1(R2s(R3h(\mathbf{H}(P))))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-R1-form RD1-via-R1 RD2-def*)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$
 by (simp add: *R1-R2s-R2c RHS-def*)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (simp add: *H1-H2-eq-design*)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *R3h-subst-wait RHS-def subst-not wait-false-design*)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-design*:

assumes P is *SRD*
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P$
 by (metis *SRD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms*)

lemma *SRD-RH-design*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $SRD(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
 by (simp add: *RD1-st-reactive-design RD2-st-reactive-design RHS-idem SRD-def assms(1) assms(2)*)

lemma *RHS-design-is-SRD*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$ is *SRD*
 by (simp add: *Healthy-def' SRD-RH-design assms(1) assms(2)*)

lemma *SRD-RHS-H1-H2*: $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *H1-H2-eq-design R3h-subst-wait RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form subst-not wait-false-design*)

3.2 Auxiliary healthiness conditions

definition [*upred-defs*]: $R3c\text{-}pre(P) = (true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition $[upred-defs]$: $R3c-post(P) = (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

definition $[upred-defs]$: $R3h-post(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)$

lemma $R3c-pre-conj$: $R3c-pre(P \wedge Q) = (R3c-pre(P) \wedge R3c-pre(Q))$
by $(rel-auto)$

lemma $R3c-pre-seq$:
 $(true ;; Q) = true \implies R3c-pre(P ;; Q) = (R3c-pre(P) ;; Q)$
by $(rel-auto)$

lemma $unrest-ok-R3c-pre$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3c-pre(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3c-pre-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

lemma $unrest-ok'-R3c-pre$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3c-pre(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3c-pre-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

lemma $unrest-ok-R3c-post$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3c-post(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3c-post-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

lemma $unrest-ok-R3c-post'$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3c-post(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3c-post-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

lemma $unrest-ok-R3h-post$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok \# P \implies \$ok \# R3h-post(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3h-post-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

lemma $unrest-ok-R3h-post'$ $[unrest]$: $\$ok' \# P \implies \$ok' \# R3h-post(P)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } R3h-post-def \text{ cond-def } unrest)$

3.3 Composition laws

theorem $R1-design-composition$:

fixes $P \ Q :: ('t::trace, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}$

and $R \ S :: ('t, 'β, 'γ) \text{ rel-rp}$

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S$

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) =$
 $R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))$

proof –

have $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = ((R1(P \vdash Q))^t ;; R1(R \vdash S) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \vee (R1(P \vdash Q))^f ;; R1(R \vdash S) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket)$

by $(rule \text{ segr-bool-split[of } ok], \text{ simp})$

also from $assms$ **have** $\dots = ((R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow (true \wedge Q)) ;; R1((true \wedge R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow (false \wedge Q)) ;; R1((false \wedge R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$

by $(simp \text{ add: } design-def \text{ usubst } R1-def)$

also from $assms$ **have** $\dots = ((R1((\$ok \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q) ;; R1(R \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg (\$ok \wedge P)) ;; R1(true)))$

by $simp$

also from $assms$ **have** $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P \vee Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by $(simp \text{ add: } impl-alt-def \text{ utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc})$

also from $assms$ **have** $\dots = (((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) \vee R1(Q)) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$
 $\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$

by $(simp \text{ add: } R1-disj \text{ utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc})$

also from $assms$ **have** $\dots = ((R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vee (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S))) \\ & \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)) \end{aligned}$$
 by (simp add: seqr-or-distl utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)

also from *assms* have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))$

$$\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (rel-blast)

also from *assms* have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$

$$\vee (R1(\neg \$ok \vee \neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (simp add: R1-disj R1-extend-conj utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)

also have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$

$$\vee ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}) ;; R1(true)))$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (simp add: R1-disj seqr-or-distl)

also have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee R1(S) \wedge \$ok'))$

$$\vee (R1(\neg \$ok))$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

proof –

have $((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'β) \text{ rel-rp}) ;; R1(true)) =$

$$(R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, 'α, 'γ) \text{ rel-rp})$$

by (rel-auto)

thus ?thesis

by simp

qed

also have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \vee (R1(S \wedge \$ok'))))$

$$\vee R1(\neg \$ok)$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (simp add: R1-extend-conj)

also have ... = $((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$

$$\vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))$$

$$\vee R1(\neg \$ok)$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (simp add: seqr-or-distr utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)

also have ... = $R1((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$

$$\vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))$$

$$\vee (\neg \$ok)$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (simp add: R1-disj R1-seqr)

also have ... = $R1((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R)))$

$$\vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok')$$

$$\vee (\neg \$ok)$$

$$\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))$$

by (rel-blast)

also have ... = $R1(\neg(\$ok \wedge \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))$

$$\vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok'))$$

by (rel-blast)

also have ... = $R1((\$ok \wedge \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))$

$$\Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge (R1(Q) ;; R1(S))))$$

by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)

also have ... = $R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))$

by (simp add: design-def)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
 assumes *P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR*

shows
 $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$
apply (subst R1-design-composition)
apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
apply (rel-auto)
done

theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
shows
 $(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$
by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)

lemma R2s-design: $R2s(P \vdash Q) = (R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q))$
by (simp add: R2s-def design-def usubst)

lemma R2c-design: $R2c(P \vdash Q) = (R2c(P) \vdash R2c(Q))$
by (simp add: design-def impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-and R2c-ok')

lemma R1-R3c-design:
 $R1(R3c(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-pre}(P) \vdash R3c\text{-post}(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma R1-R3h-design:
 $R1(R3h(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-pre}(P) \vdash R3h\text{-post}(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma R3c-R1-design-composition:
assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(R3c(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3c(R1(R \vdash S))) =$
 $R3c(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg ((R1(Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))$
 $\vdash (R1(Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))$
proof –
have 1: $(\neg (R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-pre } (\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1 true)))$
by (rel-auto)
have 2: $(\neg (R1(R3c\text{-post } Q) ;; R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R)))$
by (rel-auto, blast+)
have 3: $(R1(R3c\text{-post } Q) ;; R1(R3c\text{-post } S)) = R3c\text{-post } (R1 Q ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 S))$
by (rel-auto)
show ?thesis
apply (simp add: R3c-semir-form R1-R3c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3c-design unrest)
apply (subst R1-design-composition)
apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
done
qed

lemma R3h-R1-design-composition:
assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok \# R \$ok \# S$
shows $(R3h(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3h(R1(R \vdash S))) =$
 $R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \wedge \neg ((R1(Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))$
 $\vdash (R1(Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))$
proof –
have 1: $(\neg (R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-pre } (\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1 true)))$
by (rel-auto)
have 2: $(\neg (R1(R3h\text{-post } Q) ;; R1(\neg R3c\text{-pre } R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R)))$

by (rel-auto, blast+)
 have 3:($R1 \ (R3h\text{-post } Q) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (R3h\text{-post } S)) = R3h\text{-post } (R1 \ Q \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ S))$
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
 show ?thesis
 apply (simp add: R3h-semir-form R1-R3h-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3h-design unrest)
 apply (subst R1-design-composition)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
 done
 qed

lemma *R2-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S$
 shows $(R2(P \vdash Q) \ ;\ ;\ R2(R \vdash S)) =$
 $R2((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2c \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true) \wedge \neg (R1 \ (R2c \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2c \ R))) \vdash (R1 \ (R2c \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (R2c \ S)))$
 apply (simp add: R2-R2c-def R2c-design R1-design-composition assms unrest R2c-not R2c-and R2c-disj
 R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R2c-idem R2c-R1-seq)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) R2c-R1-seq R2c-not R2c-true)
 done

lemma *RH-design-composition:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S$
 shows $(RH(P \vdash Q) \ ;\ ;\ RH(R \vdash S)) =$
 $RH((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true) \wedge \neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) \vdash$
 $(R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))$

proof –

have 1: $R2c \ (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true) = (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true)$

proof –

have 1: $(R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true) = (R1(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true))$

by (rel-auto)

have $R2c(R1(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)) = R2c(R1(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true))$

using R2c-not by blast

also have $\dots = R2(R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)$

by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)

also have $\dots = (R2 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)$

by (simp add: R2-seqr-distribute)

also have $\dots = (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true)$

by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)

finally show ?thesis

by (simp add: 1)

qed

have 2: $R2c \ ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))$

proof –

have $((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ (\neg R))$

by (rel-auto)

hence $R2c \ ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = (R2 \ (Q \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ (\neg R))$

by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)

also have $\dots = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))$

by (rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

have 3: $R2c \ ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))) = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))$

$(R2s\ S))$
proof –
 have $R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
proof –
 have $R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)) =$
 $R2c(R1\ (R2s\ (Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)) ;; [II]_D\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
 also have $\dots = R2c(R2(Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) ;; R2([II]_D\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 by (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true)
 also have $\dots = (R2(Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) ;; R2([II]_D\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 using R2c-seq by blast
 also have $\dots = ((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
 apply (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
 done
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have $R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1\ (R2s\ Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-F)
 (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-def R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false
 R2c-seq)
 ultimately show ?thesis
proof –
 have $[II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S) = R2([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright S)$
 by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2s-wait)
 then show ?thesis
 by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2c-seq)
qed
qed
 have $(R1(R2s(R3c(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3c(R \vdash S)))) =$
 $((R3c(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3c(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))$
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute R2s-design)
 also have $\dots = R3c(R1((\neg(R1(\neg R2s\ P) ;; R1\ true) \wedge \neg((R1(R2s\ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R2s\ R)))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s\ Q) ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s\ S))))$
 by (simp add: R3c-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
 also have $\dots = R3c(R1(R2c((\neg(R1(\neg R2s\ P) ;; R1\ true) \wedge \neg((R1(R2s\ Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R2s\ R)))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s\ Q) ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s\ S))))$
 by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
qed
lemma *RHS-design-composition*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P\ \$ok' \# Q\ \$ok \# R\ \$ok \# S$
 shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg(R1(\neg R2s\ P) ;; R1\ true) \wedge \neg((R1(R2s\ Q) \wedge (\neg \$wait')) ;; R1(\neg R2s\ R)))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s\ Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s\ S))))$
proof –
 have $1: R2c(R1(\neg R2s\ P) ;; R1\ true) = (R1(\neg R2s\ P) ;; R1\ true)$
proof –

have 1: $(R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true) = (R1(R2 \ (\neg \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true))$
 by (rel-auto, blast)
 have $R2c(R1(R2 \ (\neg \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)) = R2c(R1(R2 \ (\neg \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true))$
 using R2c-not by blast
 also have $\dots = R2(R2 \ (\neg \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)$
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
 also have $\dots = (R2 \ (\neg \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ true)$
 by (simp add: R2-seqr-distribute)
 also have $\dots = (R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ true)$
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: 1)
 qed

have 2: $R2c((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ R)) = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ R))$
 proof –
 have $((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ (\neg \ R))$
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
 hence $R2c((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ R)) = (R2 \ (Q \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R2 \ (\neg \ R))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)
 also have $\dots = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ \wedge \ \neg \ \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg \ R2s \ R))$
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

have 3: $R2c((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))) =$
 $(R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))$

proof –
 have $R2c(((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 proof –
 have $R2c(((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)) =$
 $R2c(R1 \ (R2s \ (Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket)) \ ;\ ;\ (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
 also have $\dots = R2c(R2 \ (Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) \ ;\ ;\ R2((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true R2-st-ex)
 also have $\dots = (R2 \ (Q\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket) \ ;\ ;\ R2((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 using R2c-seq by blast
 also have $\dots = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
 apply (metis (no-types) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
 done
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 moreover have $R2c(((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket))$
 $= ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))\llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \ \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: usubst)
 (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-R1-form R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false R2c-seq)
 ultimately show ?thesis
 by (smt R2-R1-form R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2c-seq R2s-wait)
 qed

have $(R1(R2s(R3h(P \vdash Q))) \ ;\ ;\ R1(R2s(R3h(R \vdash S)))) =$

$((R3h(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) \;; \; R3h(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *R1-R2s-R2c* *R1-R3h-commute* *R2c-R3h-commute* *R2s-design*)
also have ... = $R3h(R1((\neg(R1(\neg R2s P) \;; \; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg((R1(R2s Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; \; R1(\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s Q) \;; \; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s S))))$
by (*simp add*: *R3h-R1-design-composition* *assms unrest*)
also have ... = $R3h(R1(R2c((\neg(R1(\neg R2s P) \;; \; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg((R1(R2s Q) \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; \; R1(\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $(R1(R2s Q) \;; \; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(R2s S))))$
by (*simp add*: *R2c-design* *R2c-and* *R2c-not 1 2 3*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *R1-R2s-R2c* *R1-R3h-commute* *R2c-R3h-commute* *RHS-def*)
qed

lemma *RHS-R2s-design-composition*:

assumes

$\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S$
 $P \text{ is } R2s \ Q \text{ is } R2s \ R \text{ is } R2s \ S \text{ is } R2s$

shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) \;; \; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((\neg(R1(\neg P) \;; \; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg((R1 Q \wedge \neg \$wait') \;; \; R1(\neg R))) \vdash$
 $(R1 Q \;; \; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 S)))$

proof –

have *f1*: $R2s P = P$

by (*meson* *Healthy-def* *assms(5)*)

have *f2*: $R2s Q = Q$

by (*meson* *Healthy-def* *assms(6)*)

have *f3*: $R2s R = R$

by (*meson* *Healthy-def* *assms(7)*)

have $R2s S = S$

by (*meson* *Healthy-def* *assms(8)*)

then show *?thesis*

using *f3 f2 f1* **by** (*simp add*: *RHS-design-composition* *assms(1)* *assms(2)* *assms(3)* *assms(4)*)

qed

lemma *RH-design-export-R1*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-export-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-export-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R1*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-export-R2*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2(Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-design-R1-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}_s(R1(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-ok-wait*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-neg-R1-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P) \vdash R)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-neg-R1-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P) \vdash R)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg R1 P) \wedge Q) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg P) \wedge Q) \vdash R)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-pre-lemma*: $(\mathbf{R}_s P)^f_f = R1(R2c(P^f_f))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RH-design-R2c-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RHS-design-R2c-pre*:

$\mathbf{R}_s(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

3.4 Refinement introduction laws

lemma *R1-design-refine*:

assumes

$P_1 \text{ is } R1 \ P_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1$
 $\$ok \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok \# P_2 \ \$ok' \# P_2$
 $\$ok \# Q_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_1 \ \$ok \# Q_2 \ \$ok' \# Q_2$

shows $R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$

proof –

have $R1((\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1((\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_2))$
 $\longleftrightarrow 'R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot Q_1)' \wedge 'R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_1) \wedge R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok'$

$\cdot Q_2) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok; \$ok' \cdot P_2)'$

by (*rel-auto, meson+*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp-all add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *R1-design-refine-RR*:

assumes $P_1 \text{ is } RR \ P_2 \text{ is } RR \ Q_1 \text{ is } RR \ Q_2 \text{ is } RR$

shows $R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$

by (*simp add: R1-design-refine assms unrest closure*)

lemma *RH-design-refine*:

assumes

$P_1 \text{ is } R1 \ P_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1$

$P_1 \text{ is } R2c \ P_2 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$

$\$ok \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok \# P_2 \ \$ok' \# P_2$
 $\$ok \# Q_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_1 \ \$ok \# Q_2 \ \$ok' \# Q_2$
 $\$wait \# P_1 \ \$wait \# P_2 \ \$wait \# Q_1 \ \$wait \# Q_2$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))$
by (*simp add: R2c-R3c-commute RH-def*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))$
by (*simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3c(P_1 \vdash P_2))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(R3c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto, metis+*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)$
by (*simp add: usubst assms closure unrest*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
by (*simp add: R1-design-refine assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *RHS-design-refine*:

assumes
 $P_1 \text{ is } R1 \ P_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1$
 $P_1 \text{ is } R2c \ P_2 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $\$ok \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok \# P_2 \ \$ok' \# P_2$
 $\$ok \# Q_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_1 \ \$ok \# Q_2 \ \$ok' \# Q_2$
 $\$wait \# P_1 \ \$wait \# P_2 \ \$wait \# Q_1 \ \$wait \# Q_2$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))$
by (*simp add: R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))$
by (*simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto, metis+*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)\llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)$
by (*simp add: usubst assms closure unrest*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'$
by (*simp add: R1-design-refine assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *rdes-refine-intro*:

assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1'$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2)$
by (*simp add: RH-mono assms design-refine-intro*)

lemma *srdes-refine-intro*:

assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1'$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2)$
by (*simp add: RHS-mono assms design-refine-intro*)

3.5 Distribution laws

lemma *RHS-design-choice*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \vee Q_2))$
by (*metis RHS-inf design-choice*)

lemma *RHS-design-sup*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))$
by (*metis RHS-sup design-inf*)

lemma *RHS-design-USUP*:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $(\bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)))$
by (*subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms*)

end

4 Reactive Design Triples

theory *utp-rdes-triples*
imports *utp-rdes-designs*
begin

4.1 Diamond notation

definition *wait'-cond* ::
 $('t::\text{trace}, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp} \Rightarrow ('t, 'a, 'b) \text{ rel-rp}$ (**infixr** \diamond 60) **where**
 $[\text{upred-defs}]: P \diamond Q = (P \triangleleft \$\text{wait}' \triangleright Q)$

utp-const *wait'-cond*

lemma *wait'-cond-unrest* [*unrest*]:
 $\llbracket \text{out-var wait} \bowtie x; x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (P \diamond Q)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def unrest*)

lemma *wait'-cond-subst* [*usubst*]:
 $\$ \text{wait}' \#_s \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P \diamond Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \diamond (\sigma \dagger Q)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest usubst-apply-unrest*)

lemma *wait'-cond-left-false*: $\text{false} \diamond P = (\neg \$ \text{wait}' \wedge P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-seq*: $((P \diamond Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; (\$ \text{wait}' \wedge R)) \vee (Q ;; (\neg \$ \text{wait}' \wedge R)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-def seq-or-distl, rel-blast*)

lemma *wait'-cond-true*: $(P \diamond Q \wedge \$ \text{wait}') = (P \wedge \$ \text{wait}')$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-false*: $(P \diamond Q \wedge (\neg \$ \text{wait}')) = (Q \wedge (\neg \$ \text{wait}'))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-idem*: $P \diamond P = P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-conj-exchange*:
 $((P \diamond Q) \wedge (R \diamond S)) = (P \wedge R) \diamond (Q \wedge S)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-cond-true* [*usubst*]: $(P \diamond Q) \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket = P \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-cond-false* [*usubst*]: $(P \diamond Q) \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket = Q \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-left-subst*: $(P \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket \diamond Q) = (P \diamond Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *subst-wait'-right-subst*: $(P \diamond Q \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket) = (P \diamond Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait'-cond-split*: $P \llbracket \text{true} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket \diamond P \llbracket \text{false} / \$\text{wait}' \rrbracket = P$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-var-split*)

lemma *wait-cond'-assoc* [*simp*]: $P \diamond Q \diamond R = P \diamond R$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait-cond'-shadow*: $(P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond Q \diamond R$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wait-cond'-conj* [*simp*]: $P \diamond (Q \wedge (R \diamond S)) = P \diamond (Q \wedge S)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-wait'-cond*: $R1(P \diamond Q) = R1(P) \diamond R1(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2s-wait'-cond*: $R2s(P \diamond Q) = R2s(P) \diamond R2s(Q)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def R2s-def R2s-def usubst*)

lemma *R2-wait'-cond*: $R2(P \diamond Q) = R2(P) \diamond R2(Q)$
by (*simp add: R2-def R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond*)

lemma *wait'-cond-R1-closed* [*closure*]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R1$
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1-wait'-cond*)

lemma *wait'-cond-R2c-closed* [*closure*]: $\llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R2c$
by (*simp add: R2c-condr wait'-cond-def Healthy-def, rel-auto*)

4.2 Export laws

lemma *RH-design-peri-R1*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1*)

lemma *RH-design-post-R1*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1 RH-design-peri-R1*)

lemma *RH-design-peri-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s*)

lemma *RH-design-post-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s*)

lemma *RH-design-peri-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*metis R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s*)

lemma *RHS-design-peri-R1*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1)

lemma *RHS-design-post-R1*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-peri-R1)

lemma *RHS-design-peri-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s)

lemma *RHS-design-post-R2s*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s RHS-design-peri-R2s)

lemma *RHS-design-peri-R2c*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)

lemma *RH-design-lemma1*:
 $RH(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \vee R) \diamond S) = RH(P \vdash (Q \vee R) \diamond S)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)

lemma *RHS-design-lemma1*:
 $RHS(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \vee R) \diamond S) = RHS(P \vdash (Q \vee R) \diamond S)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)

4.3 Pre-, peri-, and postconditions

4.3.1 Definitions

abbreviation $pre_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $cmt_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $peri_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s true]$

abbreviation $post_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s false]$

abbreviation $npre_R(P) \equiv pre_s \dagger P$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $pre_R(P) = (\neg_r npre_R(P))$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $cmt_R(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $peri_R(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)$

definition [*upred-defs*]: $post_R(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$

no-utp-lift $pre_R cmt_R peri_R post_R npre_R$

4.3.2 Unrestriction laws

lemma *ok-pre-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# pre_R P$
 by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma *ok-peri-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# peri_R P$
 by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma *ok-post-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# post_R P$
 by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma *ok-cmt-unrest* [*unrest*]: $\$ok \# cmt_R P$

by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma ok'-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \# pre_R P
by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma ok'-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \# peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma ok'-post-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \# post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma ok'-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \# cmt_R P
by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \# pre_R P
by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \# peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-post-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \# post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \# cmt_R P
by (simp add: cmt_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait'-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$wait' \# peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)

lemma wait'-post-unrest [unrest]: \$wait' \# post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)

4.3.3 Substitution laws

lemma pre_s-design: pre_s \# (P \vdash Q) = (\neg pre_s \# P)
by (simp add: design-def pre_R-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-design: peri_s \# (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = peri_s \# (P \Rightarrow Q)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma post_s-design: post_s \# (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = post_s \# (P \Rightarrow R)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma cmt_s-design: cmt_s \# (P \vdash Q) = cmt_s \# (P \Rightarrow Q)
by (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

lemma pre_s-R1 [usubst]: pre_s \# R1(P) = R1(pre_s \# P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma pre_s-R2c [usubst]: pre_s \# R2c(P) = R2c(pre_s \# P)
by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-R1 [usubst]: peri_s \# R1(P) = R1(peri_s \# P)
by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma peri_s-R2c [usubst]: peri_s \# R2c(P) = R2c(peri_s \# P)

by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma *post_s-R1* [usubst]: $post_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$
 by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma *post_s-R2c* [usubst]: $post_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(post_s \dagger P)$
 by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma *cmt_s-R1* [usubst]: $cmt_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)$
 by (simp add: R1-def usubst)

lemma *cmt_s-R2c* [usubst]: $cmt_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(cmt_s \dagger P)$
 by (simp add: R2c-def R2s-def usubst)

lemma *pre-wait-false*:
 $pre_R(P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket) = pre_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *cmt-wait-false*:
 $cmt_R(P \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket) = cmt_R(P)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *rea-pre-RH-design*: $pre_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))$
 by (simp add: RH-def usubst R3c-def pre_R-def pre_s-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def)

lemma *rea-pre-RHS-design*: $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))$
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre_R-def pre_s-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def)

lemma *rea-cmt-RH-design*: $cmt_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))$
 by (simp add: RH-def usubst R3c-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem)

lemma *rea-cmt-RHS-design*: $cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem)

lemma *rea-peri-RH-design*: $peri_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))$
 by rel-auto

lemma *rea-peri-RHS-design*: $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst peri_R-def R3h-def peri_s-design, rel-auto)

lemma *rea-post-RH-design*: $post_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))$
 by rel-auto

lemma *rea-post-RHS-design*: $post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst post_R-def R3h-def post_s-design, rel-auto)

lemma *peri-cmt-def*: $peri_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *post-cmt-def*: $post_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *rdes-export-cmt*: $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash cmt_s \dagger Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *rdes-export-pre*: $\mathbf{R}_s((P \llbracket \text{true}, \text{false} / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

4.3.4 Healthiness laws

lemma *wait'-unrest-pre-SRD* [*unrest*]:
 $\$wait' \# pre_R(P) \implies \$wait' \# pre_R(SRD\ P)$
apply (*rel-auto*)
using *least-zero apply blast+*
done

lemma *R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2s(cmt_R(P))) = cmt_R(P)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design*
assms rea-cmt-RHS-design)

lemma *R1-R2s-peri-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2s(per_i_R(P))) = per_i_R(P)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form*
assms R1-idem per_i_R-def per_i_s-R1 per_i_s-R2c)

lemma *R1-peri-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(per_i_R(P)) = per_i_R(P)$
proof –
have $R1(per_i_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(per_i_R(P))))$
by (*simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms*)
also have $\dots = per_i_R(P)$
by (*simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *R1-R2c-peri-RHS*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2c(per_i_R(P))) = per_i_R(P)$
by (*metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms*)

lemma *R1-R2s-post-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(R2s(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form*
assms post_R-def post_s-R1 post_s-R2c)

lemma *R2c-peri-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R2c(per_i_R(P)) = per_i_R(P)$
by (*metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-peri-RHS R1-peri-SRD assms*)

lemma *R1-post-SRD*:
assumes *P is SRD*
shows $R1(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)$
proof –
have $R1(post_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(post_R(P))))$
by (*simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms*)

also have $\dots = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (*simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *R2c-post-SRD*:
 assumes *P is SRD*
 shows $R2c(\text{post}_R(P)) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (*metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms*)

lemma *R1-R2c-post-RHS*:
 assumes *P is SRD*
 shows $R1(R2c(\text{post}_R(P))) = \text{post}_R(P)$
 by (*metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD assms*)

lemma *R2-cmt-conj-wait'*:
 $P \text{ is } SRD \implies R2(\text{cmt}_R P \wedge \neg \$wait') = (\text{cmt}_R P \wedge \neg \$wait')$
 by (*simp add: R2-def R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' R1-extend-conj R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*)

lemma *R2c-preR*:
 $P \text{ is } SRD \implies R2c(\text{pre}_R(P)) = \text{pre}_R(P)$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design rea-pre-RHS-design*)

lemma *preR-R2-closed [closure]*:
 assumes *P is R2*
 shows $\text{pre}_R P \text{ is } R2$
proof –
 have $R2(\text{pre}_R(R2(P))) = \text{pre}_R(R2(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)
 qed

lemma *periR-R2-closed [closure]*:
 assumes *P is R2*
 shows $\text{peri}_R P \text{ is } R2$
proof –
 have $R2(\text{peri}_R(R2(P))) = \text{peri}_R(R2(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)
 qed

lemma *postR-R2-closed [closure]*:
 assumes *P is R2*
 shows $\text{post}_R P \text{ is } R2$
proof –
 have $R2(\text{post}_R(R2(P))) = \text{post}_R(R2(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)
 qed

lemma *postR-SRD-R1 [closure]*: $P \text{ is } SRD \implies \text{post}_R(P) \text{ is } R1$
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def' R1-post-SRD*)

lemma *R2c-periR*:

$P \text{ is } SRD \implies R2c(per_i_R(P)) = per_i_R(P)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD R2c-idem*)

lemma *R2c-postR*:

$P \text{ is } SRD \implies R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-post-SRD R2-def R2s-idem*)

lemma *periR-RR [closure]*: $P \text{ is } R2 \implies per_i_R(P) \text{ is } RR$

by (*rule* *RR-intro*, *simp-all add: closure unrest*)

lemma *postR-RR [closure]*: $P \text{ is } R2 \implies post_R(P) \text{ is } RR$

by (*rule* *RR-intro*, *simp-all add: closure unrest*)

lemma *wpR-trace-ident-pre [wp]*:

$(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R) \text{ wp}_r \text{ pre}_R P = \text{pre}_R P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R1-preR [closure]*:

$pre_R(P) \text{ is } R1$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *trace-ident-left-periR*:

$(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R) ;; per_i_R(P) = per_i_R(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *trace-ident-left-postR*:

$(\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R) ;; post_R(P) = post_R(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *trace-ident-right-postR*:

$post_R(P) ;; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R) = post_R(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

4.3.5 Calculation laws

lemma *wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt [rdes]*:

$cmt_R P = per_i_R P \diamond post_R P$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *preR-rdes [rdes]*:

assumes $P \text{ is } RR$
shows $pre_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1*)

lemma *preR-srdes [rdes]*:

assumes $P \text{ is } RR$
shows $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1*)

lemma *periR-rdes [rdes]*:

assumes $P \text{ is } RR \text{ } Q \text{ is } RR$
shows $per_i_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$
by (*simp add: rea-peri-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure*)

lemma *periR-srdes* [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR
 shows $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)$
 by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR -implies- $R2c$ closure)

lemma *postR-rdes* [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows $post_R(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)$
 by (simp add: rea-post-RH-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR -implies- $R2c$ closure)

lemma *postR-srdes* [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows $post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)$
 by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR -implies- $R2c$ closure)

lemma *preR-Chaos* [rdes]: $pre_R(Chaos) = false$
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)

lemma *periR-Chaos* [rdes]: $peri_R(Chaos) = true_r$
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)

lemma *postR-Chaos* [rdes]: $post_R(Chaos) = true_r$
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)

lemma *preR-Miracle* [rdes]: $pre_R(Miracle) = true_r$
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)

lemma *periR-Miracle* [rdes]: $peri_R(Miracle) = false$
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)

lemma *postR-Miracle* [rdes]: $post_R(Miracle) = false$
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)

lemma *preR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $pre_R(II_R) = true_r$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $peri_R(II_R) = false$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-srdes-skip* [rdes]: $post_R(II_R) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R)$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-INF* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies pre_R(\bigsqcap A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-INF* [rdes]: $peri_R(\bigsqcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-INF* [rdes]: $post_R(\bigsqcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-UINF* [rdes]: $pre_R(\bigsqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \cdot pre_R(P(i)))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-UINF* [rdes]: $peri_R(\bigsqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \cdot peri_R(P(i)))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-UINF* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\bigsqcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \cdot \text{post}_R(P(i)))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{pre}_R(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P(i)))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{pre}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P \ i \ j))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{pre}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P \ i \ j \ k))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P(i)))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P \ i \ j))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P \ i \ j \ k))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-UINF-member* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcap i \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P(i)))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-UINF-member-2* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigsqcap (i,j) \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P \ i \ j))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-UINF-member-3* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigsqcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P \ i \ j \ k))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-inf* [rdes]: $\text{pre}_R(P \sqcap Q) = (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{pre}_R(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-inf* [rdes]: $\text{peri}_R(P \sqcap Q) = (\text{peri}_R(P) \vee \text{peri}_R(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-inf* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R(P \sqcap Q) = (\text{post}_R(P) \vee \text{post}_R(Q))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *preR-SUP* [rdes]: $\text{pre}_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-SUP* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{peri}_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P))$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-SUP* [rdes]: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{post}_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P))$
by (rel-auto)

4.4 Formation laws

4.4.1 Regular

lemma *rdes-skip-tri-design* [rdes-def]: $\Pi_C = \mathbf{R}(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \Pi_r)$

apply (*simp add: skip-rea-def, rel-auto*)
using *minus-zero-eq* **apply** *blast+*
done

lemma *RH-tri-design-form:*

assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR* P_3 is *RR*
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (P_2 \diamond P_3))))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3))))$

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *RH-design-pre-post-form:*

$\mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: design-subst-ok*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$

by (*simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RD-as-reactive-design:*

$RD(P) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$

by (*simp add: RH-design-pre-post-form RD-RH-design-form*)

lemma *RD-reactive-design-alt:*

assumes P is *RD*

shows $\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$

by (*simp add: RH-design-pre-post-form*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: RD-reactive-design assms*)

qed

lemma *RD-reactive-tri-design-lemma:*

$RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: RD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split*)

lemma *RD-as-reactive-tri-design:*

$RD(P) = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))$

proof –

have $RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)$

by (*simp add: RD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RD-reactive-tri-design:*

assumes P is *RD*

shows $\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P$
by (*metis Healthy-if RD-as-reactive-tri-design assms*)

lemma *RD-elimination* [*RD-elim*]: $\llbracket P \text{ is } RD; Q(\mathbf{R}(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$
by (*simp add: RD-reactive-tri-design*)

lemma *RH-tri-design-is-RD* [*closure*]:
assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok' \# R$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \text{ is } RD$
by (*rule RH-design-is-RD, simp-all add: unrest assms*)

lemma *RD-rdes-intro* [*closure*]:
assumes $P \text{ is } RR \ Q \text{ is } RR \ R \text{ is } RR$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \text{ is } RD$
by (*rule RH-tri-design-is-RD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms*)

4.4.2 Stateful

lemma *srdes-skip-tri-design* [*rdes-def*]: $II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r)$
by (*simp add: srdes-skip-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *Chaos-tri-def* [*rdes-def*]: $Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash false \diamond false)$
by (*simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre*)

lemma *Miracle-tri-def* [*rdes-def*]: $Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond false)$
by (*simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre wait'-cond-idem*)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-form*:
assumes $P_1 \text{ is } RR \ P_2 \text{ is } RR \ P_3 \text{ is } RR$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (P_2 \diamond P_3))))$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \wedge RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \wedge (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)))))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)
qed

lemma *RHS-design-pre-post-form*:
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \vdash P^t_f \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket)$
by (*simp add: design-subst-ok*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$
by (*simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *SRD-as-reactive-design*:
 $SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))$
by (*simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form SRD-RH-design-form*)

lemma *SRD-reactive-design-alt*:
assumes $P \text{ is } SRD$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P$
proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form)
 thus ?thesis
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design assms)
 qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-tri-design-lemma*:

$\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)

lemma *SRD-as-reactive-tri-design*:

$\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$

proof –

have $\text{SRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait' \rrbracket \diamond P^t_f \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait' \rrbracket)$
 by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$
 apply (simp add: usubst)
 apply (subst design-subst-ok-ok'[THEN sym])
 apply (simp add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)

done

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *SRD-reactive-tri-design*:

assumes P is SRD
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) = P$
 by (metis Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)

lemma *SRD-elim* [RD-elim]: $\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$

by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-is-SRD* [closure]:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q \ \$ok' \# R$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest assms)

lemma *SRD-rdes-intro* [closure]:

assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is SRD
 by (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)

lemma *USUP-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 shows $(\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P))) = (\bigsqcup P \in A \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)$
 by (rule USUP-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)

lemma *UINF-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD*:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 shows $(\bigsqcap P \in A \cdot R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P))) = (\bigsqcap P \in A \cdot \text{cmt}_R P)$
 by (rule UINF-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)

4.4.3 Order laws

lemma *preR-antitone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{pre}_R(Q) \sqsubseteq \text{pre}_R(P)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-monotone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{peri}_R(P) \sqsubseteq \text{peri}_R(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-monotone*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \implies \text{post}_R(P) \sqsubseteq \text{post}_R(Q)$
by (*rel-auto*)

4.5 Composition laws

theorem *R1-design-composition-RR*:

assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$

apply (*subst R1-design-composition*)

apply (*simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

theorem *R1-design-composition-RC*:

assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR

shows

$(R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \wedge Q \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))$

by (*simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp*)

4.5.1 Regular

theorem *RH-tri-design-composition*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$

$\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$

shows $(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =$

$\mathbf{R}((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $((Q_1 \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2))))$

proof –

have $1: (\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =$

$(\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false*)

have $2: (R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =$

$((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait'))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ([II]_D)))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; [II]_D) \wedge \$wait')$

by (*rel-auto*)

also from *assms*(2) **have** $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait')$

by (*rel-auto, blast*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

moreover have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$

$= (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ (\neg \ \$wait \wedge (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb*)

also have ... $= ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket \ ;\ ;\ (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket)$
by (*metis* *false-alt-def segr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens*)

also have ... $= ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;\ ;\ (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))$
by (*simp* *add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms*)

finally show *?thesis* .
qed

moreover
have $((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \wedge \$wait') \vee ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;\ ;\ (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))$
 $= (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \vee (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))$
by (*simp* *add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest*)

ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest*)
qed

from *assms*(7,8) **have** $\exists: (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R) = R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)$
by (*rel-auto*, *meson*)

show *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add: RH-design-composition unrest assms 1 2 3, simp* *add: R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-lemma1*)
qed

theorem *RH-tri-design-composition-wp:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \ \$ok' \# Q_1 \ \$ok' \# Q_2 \ \$ok \# R \ \$ok \# S_1 \ \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \ \$wait' \# Q_2 \ \$wait \# S_1 \ \$wait \# S_2$
 $P \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R \text{ is } R2c \ S_1 \text{ is } R1 \ S_1 \text{ is } R2c \ S_2 \text{ is } R1 \ S_2 \text{ is } R2c$

shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash ((Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_2)))$ (*is* *?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –

have *?lhs* $= \mathbf{R}((\neg R1 \ (\neg P) \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ \text{true} \wedge \neg Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ R1 \ (\neg R)) \vdash (Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_2))$
by (*simp* *add: RH-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def*)
(metis (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms*(11,16))

also have ... $= ?rhs$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

theorem *RH-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:*

assumes $P \text{ is } RR \ Q_1 \text{ is } RR \ Q_2 \text{ is } RR$
 $R \text{ is } RR \ S_1 \text{ is } RR \ S_2 \text{ is } RR$

shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \ ;\ ;\ \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash ((Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;\ ;\ S_2)))$ (*is* *?lhs = ?rhs*)

by (*simp* *add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c*)

lemma *RH-tri-normal-design-composition:*

assumes

$\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$
 $P \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R \text{ is } R2c \ S_1 \text{ is } R1 \ S_1 \text{ is } R2c \ S_2 \text{ is } R1 \ S_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P)$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}((R1 (\neg P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
by (*simp-all add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest*)
also have ... $= \mathbf{R}((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
by (*simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *RH-tri-normal-design-composition'* [rdes-def]:
assumes $P \text{ is } RC \ Q_1 \text{ is } RR \ Q_2 \text{ is } RR \ R \text{ is } RR \ S_1 \text{ is } RR \ S_2 \text{ is } RR$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
proof –
have $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = R1(\neg P)$
using *RC-implies-RC1[OF assms(1)]*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def*)
(metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: RH-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c*)
qed

lemma *RH-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*:
assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R \$wait' \# R$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_C = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash (Q \diamond R))$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_C = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}(\text{true} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R))$
by (*simp add: rdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto*)
also have ... $= \mathbf{R}((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash Q \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R))))$
by (*simp-all add: RH-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false*)
also have ... $= \mathbf{R}((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash Q \diamond R1 (R2s R))$
proof –
from *assms(3,4)* **have** $(R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil II \rceil_R))) = R1 (R2s R)$
by (*rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel*)
thus ?thesis
by *simp*
qed
also have ... $= \mathbf{R}((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (Q \diamond R))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RH-design-R2c-pre RH-design-neg-R1-pre RH-design-post-R1 RH-design-post-R2s*)
also have ... $= \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash Q \diamond R)$
by (*rel-auto*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

4.5.2 Stateful

theorem *RHS-tri-design-composition*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$
shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \wedge \neg (R1(R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2))))$

proof –

have $1: (\neg ((R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) =$
 $(\neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R)))$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false*)
have $2: (R1 (R2s (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2s Q_1)) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait'))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\$wait \wedge (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)))$
by (*rel-auto, blast+*)
also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_1) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \wedge \$wait')$
by (*rel-auto*)
also from *assms(2)* **have** $\dots = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 (R2s Q_1)) \wedge \$wait'))$
by (*rel-auto, blast*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

moreover have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$

proof –

have $(R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; (\neg \$wait \wedge (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem*
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket)$
by (*metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens*)

also have $\dots = ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

moreover

have $((R1 (R2s Q_1) \wedge \$wait') \vee ((R1 (R2s Q_2)) ;; (R1 (R2s S_1) \diamond R1 (R2s S_2))))$
 $= (R1 (R2s Q_1) \vee (R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (R2s S_2)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest*)
(simp add: cond-and-T-integrate cond-seq-right-distr unrest-var wait'-cond-def)

qed

from *assms(7,8)* **have** $3: (R1 (R2s Q_2) \wedge \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s$

R)
 by (*rel-auto*, *blast*, *meson*)

show *?thesis*
 apply (*subst RHS-design-composition*)
 apply (*simp-all add: assms*)
 apply (*simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest*)
 apply (*simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest*)
 apply (*simp add: 1 2 3*)
 apply (*simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-lemma1*)
 apply (*metis R1-R2c-ex-st RHS-design-lemma1*)
 done
 qed

theorem *RHS-tri-design-composition-wp*:
 assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$
 $P \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R \text{ is } R2c \ S_1 \text{ is } R1 \ S_1 \text{ is } R2c \ S_2 \text{ is } R1 \ S_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2)))$ (*is ?lhs =*
?rhs)
 proof –
 have $?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} \wedge \neg Q_2 ;; R1 (\neg R)) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2$
 $;; S_2))$
 by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def*)
 (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16)*)
 also have ... = *?rhs*
 by (*rel-auto*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

theorem *RHS-tri-design-composition-RR-wp*:
 assumes $P \text{ is } RR \ Q_1 \text{ is } RR \ Q_2 \text{ is } RR$
 $R \text{ is } RR \ S_1 \text{ is } RR \ S_2 \text{ is } RR$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2)))$ (*is ?lhs =*
?rhs)
 by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c*)

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition*:
 assumes
 $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$
 $P \text{ is } R2c \ Q_1 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_1 \text{ is } R2c \ Q_2 \text{ is } R1 \ Q_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R \text{ is } R2c \ S_1 \text{ is } R1 \ S_1 \text{ is } R2c \ S_2 \text{ is } R1 \ S_2 \text{ is } R2c$
 $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(\text{true}) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \# Q_1$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
 proof –
 have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((R1 (\neg P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
 by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
 by (*simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition'* [rdes-def]:
 assumes *P is RC Q₁ is RR \$st' # Q₁ Q₂ is RR R is RR S₁ is RR S₂ is RR*
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$

proof –
 have *R1* $(\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = R1(\neg P)$
 using *RC-implies-RC1* [OF *assms*(1)]
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def*)
 (*metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c*)
 qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*:

assumes *\$ok' # P \$ok' # Q \$ok' # R \$wait' # R*
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$
proof –
 have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))$
 by (*simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))))$

by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 (R2s R))$
proof –
 from *assms*(3,4) have $(R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge [II]_R))) = R1 (R2s R)$
 by (*rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by *simp*

qed
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; \text{true}_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *RD-composition-wp*:

assumes *P is RD Q is RD*
 shows $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $(\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$
 (*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –
 have $(P ;; Q) = (\mathbf{R}(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) ;; \mathbf{R}(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q)))$
 by (*simp add: RD-reactive-tri-design assms*(1) *assms*(2))
 also from *assms*
 have ... = *?rhs*
 by (*simp add: RH-tri-design-composition-wp unrest closure disj-upred-def*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *SRD-composition-wp*:

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
shows $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have $(P ;; Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2)*)
also from *assms*
have ... = ?rhs
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp disj-upred-def unrest assms closure*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

4.6 Refinement introduction laws

4.6.1 Regular

lemma *RH-tri-design-refine*:

assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow$
 P_3'
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have ?lhs $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$
by (*simp add: RH-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '(P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[\text{true}/\$wait']' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2)$
 $\diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[\text{false}/\$wait']'$
by (*rel-auto, metis*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$
by (*simp add: usubst unrest assms*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *RH-tri-design-refine'*:

assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1) \wedge (P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2)) \wedge (P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge$
 $Q_3))$
by (*simp add: RH-tri-design-refine assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *rdes-tri-refine-intro*:

assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge R_2 \Rightarrow R_1'$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$
using *assms*
by (*rule-tac rdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto*)

lemma *rdes-tri-refine-intro'*:

assumes $P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \wedge Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2) \wedge R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge R_2)$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$
using *assms*
by (*rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order*)

4.6.2 Stateful

lemma *RHS-tri-design-refine*:

assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR* P_3 is *RR* Q_1 is *RR* Q_2 is *RR* Q_3 is *RR*
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_3'$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have $?lhs \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \diamond Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$
by (*simp add: RHS-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '(P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'$
by (*rel-auto*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[[true/\$wait']]' \wedge '((P_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[[false/\$wait]]'$
by (*rel-auto, metis*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$
by (*simp add: usubst unrest assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-refine'*:
assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR* P_3 is *RR* Q_1 is *RR* Q_2 is *RR* Q_3 is *RR*
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1) \wedge (P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2)) \wedge (P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_3))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms, rel-auto*)

lemma *srdes-tri-refine-intro*:
assumes $'P_1 \Rightarrow P_2' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1' \wedge 'P_1 \wedge R_2 \Rightarrow R_1'$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$
using *assms*
by (*rule-tac srdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto*)

lemma *srdes-tri-refine-intro'*:
assumes $P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \wedge Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2) \wedge R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge R_2)$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)$
using *assms*
by (*rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order*)

lemma *SRD-peri-under-pre*:
assumes P is *SRD* $\$wait' \nmid pre_R(P)$
shows $(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)$
proof –
have $peri_R(P) =$
 $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)$
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design assms*
unrest usubst R1-peri-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-periR)
finally show *?thesis* ..
qed

lemma *SRD-post-under-pre*:
assumes P is *SRD* $\$wait' \nmid pre_R(P)$
shows $(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P)) = post_R(P)$
proof –
have $post_R(P) =$
 $post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

also have ... = ($pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P$)
 by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design assms*
unrest usubst R1-post-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-postR)
 finally show ?thesis ..
 qed

lemma *SRD-refine-intro*:

assumes
 P is SRD Q is SRD
 $\langle pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q) \rangle$ $\langle pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P) \rangle$ $\langle pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P) \rangle$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$
by (*metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) srdes-tri-refine-intro*)

lemma *SRD-refine-intro'*:

assumes
 P is SRD Q is SRD
 $\langle pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q) \rangle$ $peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \wedge peri_R(Q))$ $post_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(Q))$
shows $P \sqsubseteq Q$
using *assms* **by** (*rule-tac SRD-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order*)

lemma *SRD-eq-intro*:

assumes
 P is SRD Q is SRD $pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q)$ $peri_R(P) = peri_R(Q)$ $post_R(P) = post_R(Q)$
shows $P = Q$
by (*metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

lemma *srdes-tri-eq-iff*:

assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (P_1 = Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \wedge Q_2) = (Q_1 \wedge P_2) \wedge (P_1 \wedge Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \wedge \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3))$
by *fastforce*
also have ... = $(Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1 \wedge P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2) \wedge P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \wedge P_1 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_2) \wedge Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_3))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine' assms*)
also have ... = $(P_1 = Q_1 \wedge P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_2) \wedge P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge Q_3) \wedge Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_2) \wedge Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_3))$
by *fastforce*
also have ... = $(P_1 = Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \wedge Q_2) = (Q_1 \wedge P_2) \wedge (P_1 \wedge Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3))$
apply (*safe, simp-all*)
apply (*meson eq-iff utp-pred-laws.inf-greatest utp-pred-laws.inf-le1*) +
apply (*metis utp-pred-laws.inf-le2*) +
done
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *rdes-tri-eq-intro*:

assumes $P_1 = Q_1$ $(P_1 \wedge Q_2) = (Q_1 \wedge P_2)$ $(P_1 \wedge Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3)$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem*)

lemma *srdes-tri-eq-intro*:

assumes $P_1 = Q_1 \ (P_1 \wedge Q_2) = (Q_1 \wedge P_2) \ (P_1 \wedge Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3)$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3) *design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem*)

lemma *rdes-tri-eq-intro'*:

assumes $P_1 = Q_1 \ P_2 = Q_2 \ P_3 = Q_3$
shows $\mathbf{R}(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp*)

lemma *srdes-tri-eq-intro'*:

assumes $P_1 = Q_1 \ P_2 = Q_2 \ P_3 = Q_3$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp*)

4.7 Closure laws

4.7.1 Regular

lemma *RD-srdes-skip [closure]*: II_C is RD

by (*simp add: rdes-skip-def RH-design-is-RD unrest*)

lemma *RD-seqr-closure [closure]*:

assumes P is RD Q is RD

shows $(P ;; Q)$ is RD

proof –

have $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $(\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$

by (*simp add: RD-composition-wp assms*(1) *assms*(2))

also have ... is RD

by (*rule RH-design-is-RD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RD-power-Suc [closure]*: P is RD $\implies P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)$ is RD

proof (*induct n*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by (*simp*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show *?case*

using *RD-seqr-closure* **by** (*simp add: RD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc*)

qed

lemma *RD-power-comp [closure]*: P is RD $\implies P ;; P^\wedge n$ is RD

by (*metis RD-power-Suc upred-semiring.power-Suc*)

lemma *uplus-RD-closed [closure]*: P is RD $\implies P^+$ is RD

by (*simp add: uplus-power-def closure*)

4.7.2 Stateful

lemma *SRD-srdes-skip [closure]*: II_R is SRD

by (*simp add: srdes-skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *SRD-seqr-closure* [closure]:
assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is SRD
proof –
 have $(P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash$
 $((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms(1) assms(2))
 also have ... is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *SRD-power-Suc* [closure]: P is SRD $\implies P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)$ is SRD
proof (induct n)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 by (simp)
next
 case (Suc n)
 then show ?case
 using SRD-seqr-closure by (simp add: SRD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed

lemma *SRD-power-comp* [closure]: P is SRD $\implies P ;; P^\wedge n$ is SRD
 by (metis SRD-power-Suc upred-semiring.power-Suc)

lemma *uplus-SRD-closed* [closure]: P is SRD $\implies P^+$ is SRD
 by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)

lemma *SRD-Sup-closure* [closure]:
assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$
shows $(\sqcap A)$ is SRD
proof –
 have $\text{SRD } (\sqcap A) = (\sqcap (\text{SRD } A))$
 by (simp add: ContinuousD SRD-Continuous assms(2))
 also have ... = $(\sqcap A)$
 by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed

4.8 Distribution laws

lemma *RHS-tri-design-choice* [rdes-def]:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \vee Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3))$
 apply (simp add: RHS-design-choice)
 apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s])
 apply (simp)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done

lemma *RHS-tri-design-disj* [rdes-def]:
 $(\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \vee \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \vee Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \vee Q_3))$
 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice disj-upred-def)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-sup* [rdes-def]:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1$

$\Rightarrow_r P_3) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-design-sup, rel-auto)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-conj* [rdes-def]:

$(\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \wedge \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \vee Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$
 by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-sup conj-upred-def)

lemma *SRD-UINF* [rdes-def]:

assumes $A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
 shows $\sqcap A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P)))$

proof –

have $\sqcap A = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(\sqcap A) \vdash \text{peri}_R(\sqcap A) \diamond \text{post}_R(\sqcap A))$
 by (metis SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms srdes-theory.healthy-inf srdes-theory.healthy-inf-def)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P)))$
 by (simp add: preR-INF periR-INF postR-INF assms)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-USUP* [rdes-def]:

assumes $A \neq \{\}$
 shows $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i) \diamond R(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\sqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\sqcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond (\sqcap i \in A \cdot R(i)))$
 by (subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms, rel-auto)

lemma *SRD-UINF-mem*:

assumes $A \neq \{\}$ $\bigwedge i. P \ i \text{ is } \text{SRD}$
 shows $(\sqcap i \in A \cdot P \ i) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge i \in A \cdot \text{pre}_R(P \ i)) \vdash (\bigvee i \in A \cdot \text{peri}_R(P \ i)) \diamond (\bigvee i \in A \cdot \text{post}_R(P \ i)))$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $(\sqcap (P \ ' \ A))$
 by (rel-auto)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\sqcup Pa \in P \ ' \ A \cdot \text{pre}_R \ Pa) \vdash (\sqcap Pa \in P \ ' \ A \cdot \text{peri}_R \ Pa) \diamond (\sqcap Pa \in P \ ' \ A \cdot \text{post}_R \ Pa))$
 by (subst rdes-def, simp-all add: assms image-subsetI)
 also have $\dots = ?rhs$
 by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-UINF-ind* [rdes-def]:

$(\sqcap i \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P_1(i) \vdash P_2(i) \diamond P_3(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge i \cdot P_1 \ i) \vdash (\bigvee i \cdot P_2(i)) \diamond (\bigvee i \cdot P_3(i)))$
 by (rel-auto)

lemma *cond-srea-form* [rdes-def]:

$\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R\mathcal{Z}c(\lceil b \rceil_{S<}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 by (pred-auto)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 by (simp add: RHS-cond lift-cond-srea-def)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1 \diamond S_2))$
 by (simp add: design-condr lift-cond-srea-def)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))$
 by (rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *SRD-cond-srea* [closure]:

assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD*

shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$ is SRD

proof –

have $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q))$

by (simp add: *SRD-reactive-tri-design* assms)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{post}_R Q))$

by (simp add: *cond-srea-form*)

also have ... is SRD

by (simp add: *RHS-tri-design-is-SRD lift-cond-srea-def unrest*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

4.9 Algebraic laws

lemma *RD-left-unit*:

assumes *P is RD*

shows $\Pi_C ;; P = P$

by (simp add: *RD1-left-unit RD-healths(1) RD-healths(4) assms*)

lemma *skip-rdes-self-unit* [simp]:

$\Pi_C ;; \Pi_C = \Pi_C$

by (simp add: *RD-left-unit closure*)

lemma *SRD-left-unit*:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $\Pi_R ;; P = P$

by (simp add: *SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp C1 R1-negate-R1 R1-false rpred trace-ident-left-periR trace-ident-left-postR SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

lemma *skip-srea-self-unit* [simp]:

$\Pi_R ;; \Pi_R = \Pi_R$

by (simp add: *SRD-left-unit closure*)

lemma *SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma*:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $P ;; \Pi_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false} \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P)$

by (simp add: *SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp rpred trace-ident-right-postR assms*)

lemma *Miracle-left-zero*:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows *Miracle* ;; $P = \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\text{Miracle} ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false}) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$

by (simp add: *Miracle-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{false})$

by (simp add: *RHS-design-composition unrest R1-false R2s-false R2s-true*)

also have ... = *Miracle*

by (simp add: *Miracle-def*)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *Chaos-left-zero*:

assumes *P* is *SRD*

shows $(\text{Chaos} ;; P) = \text{Chaos}$

proof –

have $\text{Chaos} ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true}) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P))$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg R1 \text{ true} \wedge \neg (R1 \text{ true} \wedge \neg \$\text{wait}')) ;; R1 (\neg R2s (\text{pre}_R P))) \vdash$
 $R1 \text{ true} ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$\text{wait} \triangleright R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P)))$

by (*simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R2s-false R2s-true R1-false*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{false} \wedge \neg (R1 \text{ true} \wedge \neg \$\text{wait}')) ;; R1 (\neg R2s (\text{pre}_R P))) \vdash$
 $R1 \text{ true} ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$\text{wait} \triangleright R1 (R2s (\text{cmt}_R P)))$

by (*simp add: RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true})$

by (*simp add: design-false-pre*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{true})$

by (*simp add: design-def*)

also have $\dots = \text{Chaos}$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma*:

assumes *P* is *SRD*

shows $P ;; \text{Chaos} = \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{false}) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{false})$

by (*simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto*)

lemma *SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma*:

assumes *P* is *SRD*

shows $P ;; \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{ wp}_r \text{false} \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{false})$

by (*simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto*)

Reactive designs are left unital

interpretation *rdes-left-unital*: *utp-theory-left-unital RD II_C*

by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure RD-left-unit*)

Stateful reactive designs are left unital

interpretation *srdes-left-unital*: *utp-theory-left-unital SRD II_R*

by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure SRD-left-unit*)

4.10 Recursion laws

lemma *mono-srd-iter*:

assumes $\text{mono } F \ F \in \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$

shows $\text{mono } (\lambda X. \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F X) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F X) \diamond \text{post}_R(F X)))$

apply (*rule monoI*)

apply (*rule srdes-tri-refine-intro'*)

apply (*meson assms(1) monoE preR-antitone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2*)

apply (*meson assms(1) monoE periR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2*)

apply (*meson assms(1) monoE postR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2*)

done

lemma *mu-srd-SRD*:

assumes $\text{mono } F \ F \in \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$

shows $(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F X) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F X) \diamond \text{post}_R(F X)))$ is SRD
apply (subst gfp-unfold)
apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
apply (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD)
apply (simp-all add: unrest)
done

lemma *mu-srd-iter*:

assumes $\text{mono } F \ F \in \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
shows $(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F(X)) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F(X)) \diamond \text{post}_R(F(X)))) = F(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F(X)) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F(X)) \diamond \text{post}_R(F(X))))$
apply (subst gfp-unfold)
apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
apply (subst SRD-as-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym])
apply (simp add: Healthy-apply-closed SRD-as-reactive-design SRD-reactive-design-alt assms(1) assms(2) mu-srd-SRD)
done

lemma *mu-srd-form*:

assumes $\text{mono } F \ F \in \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$
shows $\mu_R F = (\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F(X)) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F(X)) \diamond \text{post}_R(F(X))))$
proof –
have 1: $F(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F X) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F X) \diamond \text{post}_R(F X)))$ is SRD
by (simp add: Healthy-apply-closed assms(1) assms(2) mu-srd-SRD)
have 2: $\text{Mono}_{\text{utp-order}} \text{SRD } F$
by (simp add: assms(1) mono-Monotone-utp-order)
hence 3: $\mu_R F = F(\mu_R F)$
by (simp add: srdes-theory.LFP-unfold[THEN sym] assms)
hence $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F(F(\mu_R F))) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F(F(\mu_R F))) \diamond \text{post}_R(F(F(\mu_R F)))) = \mu_R F$
using SRD-reactive-tri-design **by** force
hence $(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(F X) \vdash \text{peri}_R(F X) \diamond \text{post}_R(F X))) \sqsubseteq F(\mu_R F)$
by (simp add: 2 srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lemma3 gfp-upperbound assms)
thus ?thesis
using assms 1 3 srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lowerbound eq-iff mu-srd-iter
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

lemma *Monotonic-SRD-comp [closure]*: *Monotonic* $((::) P \circ \text{SRD})$

by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RD1-mono RD2-mono RHS-def SRD-def segr-mono)

end

5 Normal Reactive Designs

theory *utp-rdes-normal*

imports

utp-rdes-triples

UTP-KAT.utp-kleene

begin

These additional healthiness conditions are analogous to H3

definition *RD3* **where**

[upred-defs]: $\text{RD3}(P) = P \mathrel{;;} \text{II}_R$

definition $RD3c$ where

$[upred-defs]: RD3c(P) = P ;; II_C$

lemma $RD3-idem: RD3(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –

have $a: II_R ;; II_R = II_R$

by ($simp$ add: $SRD-left-unit$ $SRD-srdes-skip$)

show ?thesis

by ($simp$ add: $RD3-def$ $seqr-assoc$ a)

qed

lemma $RD3-Idempotent$ [closure]: $Idempotent\ RD3$

by ($simp$ add: $Idempotent-def$ $RD3-idem$)

lemma $RD3-continuous: RD3(\bigwedge A) = (\bigwedge P \in A. RD3(P))$

by ($simp$ add: $RD3-def$ $seq-Sup-distr$)

lemma $RD3-Continuous$ [closure]: $Continuous\ RD3$

by ($simp$ add: $Continuous-def$ $RD3-continuous$)

lemma $RD3-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –

have $a: II_R ;; J = II_R$

by ($rel-auto$)

show ?thesis

by ($metis$ ($no-types$, $hide-lams$) $H2-def$ $RD2-def$ $RD3-def$ a $seqr-assoc$)

qed

lemma $RD3c-idem: RD3c(RD3c(P)) = RD3c(P)$

proof –

have $a: II_C ;; II_C = II_C$

by $simp$

show ?thesis

by ($simp$ add: $RD3c-def$ $seqr-assoc$ a)

qed

lemma $RD3c-Idempotent$ [closure]: $Idempotent\ RD3c$

by ($simp$ add: $Idempotent-def$ $RD3c-idem$)

lemma $RD3c-continuous: RD3c(\bigwedge A) = (\bigwedge P \in A. RD3c(P))$

by ($simp$ add: $RD3c-def$ $seq-Sup-distr$)

lemma $RD3c-Continuous$ [closure]: $Continuous\ RD3c$

by ($simp$ add: $Continuous-def$ $RD3c-continuous$)

lemma $RD3c-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2 (RD3c P) = RD3c P$

proof –

have $a: II_C ;; J = II_C$

by ($rel-auto$)

thus ?thesis

by ($simp$ add: a $H2-def$ $RD2-def$ $RD3c-def$ $seqr-assoc$)

qed

lemma $RD3-left-subsumes-RD2: RD3(RD2(P)) = RD3(P)$

proof –

have $a:J \;; \; II_R = II_R$
by (*rel-simp, safe, blast+*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc*)
qed

lemma *RD3c-left-subsumes-RD2*: $RD3c(RD2(P)) = RD3c(P)$
proof –
have $a:J \;; \; II_C = II_C$
by (*rel-auto*)
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: H2-def RD2-def RD3c-def a seqr-assoc*)
qed

lemma *RD3-implies-RD2*: $P \text{ is } RD3 \implies P \text{ is } RD2$
by (*metis Healthy-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2*)

lemma *RD3-intro-pre*:
assumes $P \text{ is } SRD \ (\neg_r \text{ pre}_R(P)) \;; \; true_r = (\neg_r \text{ pre}_R(P)) \ \$st' \ \# \ \text{peri}_R(P)$
shows $P \text{ is } RD3$
proof –
have $RD3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \text{ pre}_R P) \ wp_r \text{ false} \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P)$
by (*simp add: RD3-def SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma assms*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \text{ pre}_R P) \ wp_r \text{ false} \vdash \text{peri}_R P \diamond \text{post}_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms(3) ex-unrest*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \text{ pre}_R P) \ wp_r \text{ false} \vdash \text{cmt}_R P)$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s \ (\text{pre}_R P \vdash \text{cmt}_R P)$
by (*simp add: assms(2) rpred wp-rea-def R1-preR*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*metis Healthy-def SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1)*)
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro*:
assumes
 $\$ok' \ \# \ P \ \$ok' \ \# \ Q \ \$ok' \ \# \ R \ \$st' \ \# \ Q \ \$wait' \ \# \ R$
 $P \text{ is } R1 \ (\neg_r P) \;; \; true_r = (\neg_r P)$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \text{ is } RD3$
apply (*simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def*)
apply (*subst RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma*)
apply (*simp-all add:assms ex-unrest rpred*)
done

RD3 reactive designs are those whose assumption can be written as a conjunction of a precondition on (undashed) program variables, and a negated statement about the trace. The latter allows us to state that certain events must not occur in the trace – which are effectively safety properties.

lemma *R1-right-unit-lemma*:
 $\llbracket \text{out}\alpha \ \# \ b; \text{out}\alpha \ \# \ e \rrbracket \implies (\neg_r b \vee \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr') \;; \; R1(true) = (\neg_r b \vee \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr')$
by (*rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans*)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro-form*:
assumes
 $\text{out}\alpha \ \# \ b \ \text{out}\alpha \ \# \ e \ \$ok' \ \# \ Q \ \$st' \ \# \ Q \ \$ok' \ \# \ R \ \$wait' \ \# \ R$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s((b \wedge \neg_r \$tr \hat{^}_u e \leq_u \$tr') \vdash Q \diamond R) \text{ is } RD3$


```

apply (rule RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: assms unrest closure rpred)
apply (subst R1-right-unit-lemma)
  apply (simp-all add: assms unrest)
done

```

lemma *RD1-RD3-commute*: $RD1(RD3(P)) = RD3(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto, blast+*)

lemma *RD1-RD3c-commute*: $RD1(RD3c(P)) = RD3c(RD1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

definition *NSRD* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'t}::\text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'t}, \text{'}\alpha) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
where [*upred-defs*]: $NSRD = RD1 \circ RD3 \circ RHS$

definition *NRD* :: $(\text{'t}::\text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow (\text{'t}, \text{'}\alpha) \text{ hrel-rp}$
where [*upred-defs*]: $NRD = RD1 \circ RD3c \circ RH$

lemma *NRD-is-RD* [*closure*]: $P \text{ is } NRD \Rightarrow P \text{ is } RD$
by (simp add: *Healthy-def NRD-def RD-def*)
 (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *R1-R3c-commute R1-seqr R1-skip-rea R2c-R1-seq R2c-R3c-commute*
R2c-skip-rea R3-skipr R3c-semir-form R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute
RD1-RD2-commute RD1-idem RD3c-def RD3c-right-subsumes-RD2 RH-def RP-def RP-idem skip-rea-RD1-skip)

lemma *NRD-elim* [*RD-elim*]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } NRD; Q(\mathbf{R}(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) \rrbracket \Rightarrow Q(P)$
by (simp add: *RD-elim closure*)

lemma *NRD-idem*: $NRD(NRD(P)) = NRD(P)$
by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *Healthy-Idempotent Healthy-def Idempotent-def NRD-def R1-R3c-commute*
R1-skip-rea R2-R2c-def R2-idem R2-seqr-closure R2c-R3c-commute R2c-skip-rea R3c-Idempotent R3c-def
R3c-seq-closure R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-RD3c-commute RD1-RH-commute RD1-idem RD3c-def RD3c-idem
RD-healths(3) RH-def comp-assoc comp-eq-dest-lhs rdes-left-unital.Healthy-Unit rea-lift-R1 rea-lift-def)

lemma *NRD-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent NRD*
by (simp add: *Idempotent-def NRD-idem*)

lemma *NRD-Continuous* [*closure*]: *Continuous NRD*
by (simp add: *Continuous-comp NRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3c-Continuous RH-Continuous*)

lemma *NRD-form*:
 $NRD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
proof –
have $NRD(P) = RD3c(RD(P))$
by (*metis* *NRD-def RD1-RD3c-commute RD3c-left-subsumes-RD2 RD-alt-def comp-eq-dest-lhs*)
also have $\dots = RD3c(\mathbf{R}(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (simp add: *RD-as-reactive-tri-design*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) ;; \Pi_C$
by (simp add: *RD3c-def*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash (\text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$
by (simp add: *RH-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *NRD-healthy-form*:

assumes P is NRD
 shows $\mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) = P$
 by (metis Healthy-def NRD -form $assms$)

lemma NRD -neg-pre-unit:

assumes P is NRD
 shows $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$

proof –

have $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) = (\neg_r pre_R(\mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))))$
 by (simp add: NRD -healthy-form $assms$)

also have $\dots = R1\ (R2c\ ((\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true))$

by (simp add: rea-pre- RH -design $R1$ -negate- $R1$ $R1$ -idem $R1$ -rea-not' $R2c$ -rea-not $usubst$ $rpred$ $unrest$ $closure$)

also have $\dots = (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true$

by (simp add: $R1$ - $R2c$ -segr-distribute $closure$ $assms$)

finally show ?thesis

by (simp add: rea-not-def)

qed

lemma NRD -wait'-unrest-pre [$unrest$]:

assumes P is NRD
 shows $\$wait' \# pre_R(P)$

proof –

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))))$
 by (simp add: NRD -healthy-form $assms$)

also have $\dots = (R1\ (R2c\ (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true)))$

by (simp add: rea-pre- RH -design $usubst$ $unrest$)

also have $\$wait' \# \dots$

by (simp add: $R1$ -def $R2c$ -def $unrest$)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma pre_R - NRD - RR [$closure$]: P is $NRD \implies pre_R(P)$ is RR

by (rule RR -intro, simp-all add: $closure$ $unrest$)

lemma NRD -neg-pre- RC [$closure$]:

assumes P is NRD

shows $pre_R(P)$ is RC

by (rule RC -intro, simp-all add: $closure$ $assms$ NRD -neg-pre-unit $rpred$)

lemma NRD -intro:

assumes P is RD $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$

shows P is NRD

proof –

have $NRD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash (peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))$
 by (simp add: NRD -form)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}(pre_R\ P \vdash peri_R\ P \diamond post_R\ P)$

by (simp add: $assms$ $rpred$ $closure$)

also have $\dots = P$

by (simp add: RD -reactive-tri-design $assms(1)$)

finally show ?thesis

using Healthy-def by blast

qed

lemma NRD -intro':

assumes P is $R2$ P is $R3c$ P is $RD1$ P is $RD3c$
shows P is NRD
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Healthy-def NRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RH-def assms comp-apply*)

lemma *NRD-RC-intro*:
assumes P is RD $pre_R(P)$ is RC
shows P is NRD
by (*metis Healthy-def NRD-form RD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2)*
rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)

lemma *NRD-rdes-intro* [*closure*]:
assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR
shows $\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is NRD
by (*rule NRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest*)

lemma *NRD-composition-wp*:
assumes P is NRD Q is RD
shows $P ;; Q =$
 $\mathbf{R}((pre_R P \wedge post_R P \text{ wp}_r pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))$
by (*simp add: RD-composition-wp assms NRD-is-RD wp-rea-def NRD-neg-pre-unit R1-negate-R1 R1-preR ex-unrest rpred*)

lemma *NSRD-is-SRD* [*closure*]: P is $NSRD \implies P$ is SRD
by (*simp add: Healthy-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD2-RHS-commute RD3-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip*)

lemma *NSRD-elim* [*RD-elim*]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } NSRD; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$
by (*simp add: RD-elim closure*)

lemma *NSRD-idem*: $NSRD(NSRD(P)) = NSRD(P)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Healthy-def NSRD-def RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RD3-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RD3-def RD3-idem RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 RHS-idem SRD-def comp-apply fun.map-comp srdes-left-unital.Healthy-Sequence srdes-left-unital.Healthy-Unit*)

lemma *NSRD-Idempotent* [*closure*]: *Idempotent NSRD*
by (*simp add: Idempotent-def NSRD-idem*)

lemma *NSRD-Continuous* [*closure*]: *Continuous NSRD*
by (*simp add: Continuous-comp NSRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3-Continuous RHS-Continuous*)

lemma *NSRD-form*:
 $NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))$
proof –
have $NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-def*)
also have $\dots = RD3(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: SRD-as-reactive-tri-design*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; II_R$
by (*simp add: RD3-def*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *NSRD-healthy-form*:

assumes P is NSRD

shows $\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))) = P$

by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-form assms*)

lemma *NSRD-Sup-closure* [*closure*]:

assumes $A \subseteq \llbracket \text{NSRD} \rrbracket_H$ $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\bigcap A$ is NSRD

proof –

have $\text{NSRD} (\bigcap A) = (\bigcap (\text{NSRD } 'A))$

by (*simp add: ContinuousD NSRD-Continuous assms(2)*)

also have $\dots = (\bigcap A)$

by (*simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: Healthy-def*)

qed

lemma *intChoice-NSRD-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

shows $P \sqcap Q$ is NSRD

using *NSRD-Sup-closure*[*of* $\{P, Q\}$] **by** (*simp add: assms*)

lemma *NSRD-SUP-closure* [*closure*]:

$\llbracket \bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P(i) \text{ is NSRD}; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (\bigcap i \in A. P(i)) \text{ is NSRD}$

by (*rule NSRD-Sup-closure, auto*)

lemma *NSRD-neg-pre-unit*:

assumes P is NSRD

shows $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; \text{true}_r = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))$

proof –

have $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))))$

by (*simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms*)

also have $\dots = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true}))$

by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest closure*)

also have $\dots = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true}$

by (*simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: rea-not-def*)

qed

lemma *NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero*:

assumes P is NSRD Q is R1 Q is RD1

shows $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; Q = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P))$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) NSRD-neg-pre-unit RD1-left-zero assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) seqr-assoc*)

lemma *NSRD-st'-unrest-peri* [*unrest*]:

assumes P is NSRD

shows $\$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P)$

proof –

have $\text{peri}_R(P) = \text{peri}_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1 \text{ true}) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))))$

by (*simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms*)

also have $\dots = R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true} \Rightarrow_r (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P)))$

by (*simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest*)

also have $\$st' \# \dots$

by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:

assumes P is NSRD
 shows $\$wait' \# pre_R(P)$

proof -

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))$

by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)

also have $\dots = R1\ (R2c\ (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true))$

by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)

also have $\$wait' \# \dots$

by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-pre [unrest]:

assumes P is NSRD
 shows $\$st' \# pre_R(P)$

proof -

have $pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1\ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))$

by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)

also have $\dots = R1\ (R2c\ (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R\ P) ;; R1\ true))$

by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)

also have $\$st' \# \dots$

by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma NSRD-peri-under-pre [rpred]:

P is NSRD $\implies (pre_R\ P \Rightarrow_r\ peri_R\ P) = peri_R\ P$
 by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre unrest closure)

lemma NSRD-post-under-pre [rpred]:

P is NSRD $\implies (pre_R\ P \Rightarrow_r\ post_R\ P) = post_R\ P$
 by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre unrest closure)

lemma NSRD-peri-seq-under-pre:

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

shows $(pre_R\ P \Rightarrow_r\ peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; peri_R\ Q) = (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; peri_R\ Q)$

by (metis NSRD-peri-under-pre assms(1) rea-impl-def utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)

lemma NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl:

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

shows $(post_R\ P\ wp_r\ pre_R\ Q \Rightarrow_r\ (post_R\ P ;; peri_R\ Q)) = (post_R\ P ;; peri_R\ Q)$

by (metis NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-peri-under-pre SRD-healths(2) assms postR-RR wpR-impl-post-spec)

lemma NSRD-postR-seq-postR-impl:

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

shows $(post_R\ P\ wp_r\ pre_R\ Q \Rightarrow_r\ (post_R\ P ;; post_R\ Q)) = (post_R\ P ;; post_R\ Q)$

by (metis NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-post-under-pre SRD-healths(2) assms postR-RR wpR-impl-post-spec)

lemma NSRD-peri-under-assms:

assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD

shows $(pre_R P \wedge post_R P \wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q) = (peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *NSRD-peri-seq-under-pre* *assms* *NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl* *rea-impl-conj* *rea-impl-disj*)

lemma *NSRD-peri-under-assms'*:

assumes P is *NSRD* Q is *NSRD*

shows $(post_R P \wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q) = (peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q)$

by (*simp* *add*: *NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl* *assms* *rea-impl-disj*)

lemma *NSRD-post-under-assms*:

assumes P is *NSRD* Q is *NSRD*

shows $(pre_R P \wedge post_R P \wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; post_R Q) = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r (post_R P ;; post_R Q))$

by (*metis* *NSRD-postR-seq-postR-impl* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *rea-impl-conj*)

lemma *NSRD-alt-def*: $NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))$

by (*metis* *NSRD-def* *RD1-RD3-commute* *RD3-left-subsumes-RD2* *SRD-def* *comp-eq-dest-lhs*)

lemma *preR-RR* [*closure*]: P is *NSRD* $\implies pre_R(P)$ is *RR*

by (*rule* *RR-intro*, *simp-all* *add*: *closure unrest*)

lemma *NSRD-neg-pre-RC* [*closure*]:

assumes P is *NSRD*

shows $pre_R(P)$ is *RC*

by (*rule* *RC-intro*, *simp-all* *add*: *closure* *assms* *NSRD-neg-pre-unit* *rpred*)

lemma *NSRD-intro*:

assumes P is *SRD* $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P)) \$st' \# peri_R(P)$

shows P is *NSRD*

proof –

have $NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; R1 true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))$

by (*simp* *add*: *NSRD-form*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P)$

by (*simp* *add*: *assms* *ex-unrest* *rpred* *closure*)

also have $\dots = P$

by (*simp* *add*: *SRD-reactive-tri-design* *assms*(1))

finally show *?thesis*

using *Healthy-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *NSRD-intro'*:

assumes P is *R2* P is *R3h* P is *RD1* P is *RD3*

shows P is *NSRD*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *Healthy-def* *NSRD-def* *R1-R2c-is-R2* *RHS-def* *assms* *comp-apply*)

lemma *NSRD-RC-intro*:

assumes P is *SRD* $pre_R(P)$ is *RC* $\$st' \# peri_R(P)$

shows P is *NSRD*

by (*metis* *Healthy-def* *NSRD-form* *SRD-reactive-tri-design* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3)

ex-unrest *rea-not-false* *wp-rea-RC-false* *wp-rea-def*)

lemma *NSRD-rdes-intro* [*closure*]:

assumes P is *RC* Q is *RR* R is *RR* $\$st' \# Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is *NSRD*

by (*rule* *NSRD-RC-intro*, *simp-all* *add*: *rdes* *closure* *assms* *unrest*)

lemma *SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; P \text{ is RD3} \rrbracket \implies P \text{ is NSRD}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Healthy-def* *NSRD-def* *RHS-idem* *SRD-healths*(4) *SRD-reactive-design* *comp-apply*)

lemma *NSRD-iff*:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \iff ((P \text{ is SRD}) \wedge (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) ;; R1(\text{true}) = (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \wedge (\$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P)))$

by (*meson* *NSRD-intro* *NSRD-is-SRD* *NSRD-neg-pre-unit* *NSRD-st'-unrest-peri*)

lemma *NSRD-is-RD3* [*closure*]:

assumes $P \text{ is NSRD}$

shows $P \text{ is RD3}$

by (*simp* *add*: *NSRD-is-SRD* *NSRD-neg-pre-unit* *NSRD-st'-unrest-peri* *RD3-intro-pre* *assms*)

lemma *NSRD-refine-elim*:

assumes

$P \sqsubseteq Q$ $P \text{ is NSRD}$ $Q \text{ is NSRD}$

$\llbracket \text{'pre}_R(P) \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R(Q) \text{'}; \text{'pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(Q) \Rightarrow \text{peri}_R(P) \text{'}; \text{'pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(Q) \Rightarrow \text{post}_R(P) \text{' } \rrbracket \implies R$

shows R

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q))$

by (*simp* *add*: *NSRD-is-SRD* *SRD-reactive-tri-design* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3))

hence 1: $\text{'pre}_R P \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R Q \text{'}$ **and** 2: $\text{'pre}_R P \wedge \text{peri}_R Q \Rightarrow \text{peri}_R P \text{'}$ **and** 3: $\text{'pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R Q \Rightarrow \text{post}_R P \text{'}$

by (*simp-all* *add*: *RHS-tri-design-refine* *assms* *closure*)

with *assms*(4) **show** *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

lemma *NSRD-right-unit*: $P \text{ is NSRD} \implies P ;; II_R = P$

by (*metis* *Healthy-if* *NSRD-is-RD3* *RD3-def*)

lemma *NSRD-composition-wp*:

assumes $P \text{ is NSRD}$ $Q \text{ is SRD}$

shows $P ;; Q =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$

by (*simp* *add*: *SRD-composition-wp* *assms* *NSRD-is-SRD* *wp-rea-def* *NSRD-neg-pre-unit* *NSRD-st'-unrest-peri* *R1-negate-R1* *R1-preR* *ex-unrest* *rpred*)

lemma *preR-NSRD-seq-lemma*:

assumes $P \text{ is NSRD}$ $Q \text{ is SRD}$

shows $R1(R2c(\text{post}_R P ;; (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q))) = \text{post}_R P ;; (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q)$

proof –

have $\text{post}_R P ;; (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q) = R1(R2c(\text{post}_R P)) ;; R1(R2c(\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q))$

by (*simp* *add*: *NSRD-is-SRD* *R1-R2c-post-RHS* *R1-rea-not* *R2c-preR* *R2c-rea-not* *assms*(1) *assms*(2))

also have $\dots = R1(R2c(\text{post}_R P ;; (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q)))$

by (*simp* *add*: *R1-seqr* *R2c-R1-seq* *calculation*)

finally show *?thesis* ..

qed

lemma *preR-NRD-seq* [*rdes*]:

assumes P is NRD Q is RD
shows $\text{pre}_R(P ;; Q) = (\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: NRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RH-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj*
R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure
R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not preR-NSRD-seq-lemma Healthy-if)

lemma *preR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:*
assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
shows $\text{pre}_R(P ;; Q) = (\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj*
R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute[THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure
R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not preR-NSRD-seq-lemma)

lemma *periR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:*
assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
shows $\text{peri}_R(P ;; Q) = ((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (\text{peri}_R P \vee (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q)))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def*
R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not R1-peri-SRD)

lemma *postR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:*
assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
shows $\text{post}_R(P ;; Q) = ((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R Q))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def*
R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not)

lemma *NRD-seqr-closure [closure]:*
assumes P is NRD Q is NRD
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is NRD
proof –
have $(\neg_r \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) ;; \text{true}_r = (\neg_r \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NRD-neg-pre-unit*)
thus ?thesis
by (*rule-tac NRD-intro, simp-all add: seqr-or-distl NRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest*)
qed

lemma *NSRD-seqr-closure [closure]:*
assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
shows $(P ;; Q)$ is NSRD
proof –
have $(\neg_r \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) ;; \text{true}_r = (\neg_r \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NSRD-neg-pre-unit*)
moreover have $\$st' \# \text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R P \vee \text{post}_R P ;; \text{peri}_R Q$
by (*simp add: unrest assms wp-rea-def*)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (*rule-tac NSRD-intro, simp-all add: seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest*)
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:*
assumes
 $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q_1 \$ok' \# Q_2 \$ok \# R \$ok \# S_1 \$ok \# S_2$
 $\$wait \# R \$wait' \# Q_2 \$wait \# S_1 \$wait \# S_2$

P is $R2c$ Q_1 is $R1$ Q_1 is $R2c$ Q_2 is $R1$ Q_2 is $R2c$
 R is $R2c$ S_1 is $R1$ S_1 is $R2c$ S_2 is $R1$ S_2 is $R2c$
 $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \# Q_1$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
proof –
have $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s((R1 (\neg P) \text{ wp}_r \text{ false} \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
by (*simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
by (*simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *RHS-tri-normal-design-composition'* [*rdes-def*]:
assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR $\$st' \# Q_1$ Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)$
 $= \mathbf{R}_s((P \wedge Q_2 \text{ wp}_r R) \vdash (Q_1 \vee (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))$
proof –
have $R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \text{ true} = R1(\neg P)$
using *RC-implies-RC1[OF assms(1)]*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def*)
(metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c*)
qed

If a normal reactive design has postcondition false, then it is a left zero for sequential composition.

lemma *NSRD-seq-post-false*:
assumes P is *NSRD* Q is *SRD* $\text{post}_R(P) = \text{false}$
shows $P ;; Q = P$
apply (*simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms wp rpred closure*)
using *NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1,3)* **apply** *fastforce*
done

lemma *NSRD-srd-skip* [*closure*]: Π_R is *NSRD*
by (*rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure unrest*)

lemma *NSRD-Chaos* [*closure*]: *Chaos* is *NSRD*
by (*rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest*)

lemma *NSRD-Miracle* [*closure*]: *Miracle* is *NSRD*
by (*rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest*)

Post-composing a miracle filters out the non-terminating behaviours

lemma *NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma*:
assumes P is *NSRD*
shows $P ;; \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R P \vdash \text{peri}_R P \diamond \text{false})$
by (*simp add: NSRD-composition-wp closure assms rdes wp rpred*)

lemma *Miracle-right-anhil-iff*:
assumes P is *NSRD*
shows $P ;; \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle} \longleftrightarrow \text{pre}_R P = \text{true}_r \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) = \text{false}$
by (*simp add: SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def srdes-tri-eq-iff closure*)

assms wp rpred, fastforce)

The set of non-terminating behaviours is a subset

lemma *NSRD-right-Miracle-refines*:

assumes *P is NSRD*

shows $P \sqsubseteq P ;; \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s (pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond false)$

by (*rule sdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: NSRD-elim NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms*)

qed

Chaos is a right zero of *P* precisely when the conjunction of the precondition of *P* with the weakest precondition under which $post_R P$ yields $\mathbf{U}(false)$ reduces to $\mathbf{U}(false)$. This is effectively a feasibility check for reactive designs.

lemma *Chaos-right-anhil-iff*:

assumes *P is NSRD*

shows $P ;; \text{Chaos} = \text{Chaos} \longleftrightarrow (pre_R P \wedge post_R P wp_r false) = false$

by (*simp add: SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def sdes-tri-eq-iff closure assms wp*)

lemma *upower-Suc-NSRD-closed [closure]*:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \implies P \wedge Suc\ n \text{ is NSRD}$

proof (*induct n*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by (*simp*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show *?case*

by (*simp add: NSRD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc*)

qed

lemma *NSRD-power-Suc [closure]*:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \implies P ;; P \wedge n \text{ is NSRD}$

by (*metis upower-Suc-NSRD-closed upred-semiring.power-Suc*)

lemma *uplus-NSRD-closed [closure]*: $P \text{ is NSRD} \implies P^+ \text{ is NSRD}$

by (*simp add: uplus-power-def closure*)

lemma *preR-power*:

assumes *P is NSRD*

shows $pre_R(P ;; P^n) = (\bigsqcup_{i \in \{0..n\}} (post_R(P) \wedge i) wp_r (pre_R(P)))$

proof (*induct n*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by (*simp add: wp closure*)

next

case (*Suc n*) **note** *hyp = this*

have $pre_R (P \wedge (Suc\ n + 1)) = pre_R (P ;; P \wedge (n+1))$

by (*simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc*)

also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge post_R P wp_r pre_R (P \wedge (Suc\ n)))$

using *NSRD-iff assms preR-NSRD-seq upower-Suc-NSRD-closed* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge post_R P wp_r (\bigsqcup_{i \in \{0..n\}} post_R P \wedge i wp_r pre_R P))$

```

    by (simp add: hyp upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  also have ... = (preR P ∧ (⋂ i∈{0..n}. postR P wpr (postR P ^ i wpr preR P)))
    by (simp add: wp)
  also have ... = (preR P ∧ (⋂ i∈{0..n}. (postR P ^ (i+1) wpr preR P)))
proof -
  have ⋀ i. R1 (postR P ^ i ;; (¬r preR P)) = (postR P ^ i ;; (¬r preR P))
    by (induct-tac i, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: wp-rea-def upred-semiring.power-Suc seqr-assoc rpred closure assms)
qed
also have ... = (postR P ^ 0 wpr preR P ∧ (⋂ i∈{0..n}. (postR P ^ (i+1) wpr preR P)))
  by (simp add: wp assms closure)
also have ... = (postR P ^ 0 wpr preR P ∧ (⋂ i∈{1..Suc n}. (postR P ^ i wpr preR P)))
proof -
  have (⋂ i∈{0..n}. (postR P ^ (i+1) wpr preR P)) = (⋂ i∈{1..Suc n}. (postR P ^ i wpr preR P))
    by (rule cong[of Inf], simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
    (metis (no-types, lifting) image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-cong image-image)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (⋂ i∈insert 0 {1..Suc n}. (postR P ^ i wpr preR P))
  by (simp add: conj-upred-def)
also have ... = (⋂ i∈{0..Suc n}. postR P ^ i wpr preR P)
  by (simp add: atLeast0-atMost-Suc-eq-insert-0)
finally show ?case by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed

lemma preR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows preR(P ;; P^n) = (⋂ i∈{0..n}. (postR(P) ^ i) wpr (preR(P)))
  by (simp add: preR-power assms USUP-as-Inf[THEN sym], simp add: USUP-as-Inf-image)

lemma preR-power-Suc [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows preR(P^(Suc n)) = (⋂ i∈{0..n}. (postR(P) ^ i) wpr (preR(P)))
  by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc rdes assms)

declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]

lemma periR-power:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows periR(P ;; P^n) = (preR(P^(Suc n)) ⇒r (⋂ i∈{0..n}. postR(P) ^ i ;; periR(P)))
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-peri-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc n) note hyp = this
  have periR (P ^ (Suc n + 1)) = periR (P ;; P ^ (n+1))
    by (simp)
  also have ... = (preR(P ^ (Suc n + 1)) ⇒r (periR P ∨ postR P ;; periR (P ;; P ^ n)))
    by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have ... = (preR(P ^ (Suc n + 1)) ⇒r (periR P ∨ postR P ;; (preR (P ^ (Suc n)) ⇒r (⋂ i∈{0..n}. postR P ^ i ;; periR P))))
    by (simp only: hyp)
  also

```

```

have ... = (preR P ⇒r periR P ∨ (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (preR (P ;; P ^ n)
⇒r (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i ;; periR P)))
  by (simp add: rdes closure assms, rel-blast)
also
have ... = (preR P ⇒r periR P ∨ (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR
P ^ i ;; periR P)))
proof -
  have (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i) is R1
    by (simp add: R1-Continuous assms closure)
  hence 1:(⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i ;; periR P) is R1
    by (simp add: closure assms)
  hence (preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ i ;; periR P) is R1
    by (simp add: closure)
  hence (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r postR P ;; (preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P
^ i ;; periR P))
    = (postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r R1(postR P) ;; R1(preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}.
postR P ^ i ;; periR P))
    by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-post-SRD assms closure)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp only: wp-rea-impl-lemma, simp add: Healthy-if 1, simp add: R1-post-SRD assms closure)
qed
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ postR P ;; (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR
P ^ i ;; periR P))
  by (pred-auto)
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ ((⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ (Suc
i)) ;; periR P))
  by (simp add: seq-Sup-distl seqr-assoc[THEN sym])
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r periR P ∨ ((⌈ i ∈ {1..Suc n}. postR P ^ i
;; periR P))
proof -
  have (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. postR P ^ Suc i) = (⌈ i ∈ {1..Suc n}. postR P ^ i)
    apply (rule cong[of Sup], auto)
  apply (metis atLeast0AtMost atMost-iff image-Suc-atLeastAtMost rev-image-eqI upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  using Suc-le-D apply fastforce
done
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also
have ... = (preR P ∧ postR P wpr preR (P ;; P ^ n) ⇒r ((⌈ i ∈ {0..Suc n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P)
  by (simp add: SUP-atLeastAtMost-first uinf-or seqr-or-distl seqr-or-distr)
also
have ... = (preR (P ^ (Suc (Suc n)))) ⇒r ((⌈ i ∈ {0..Suc n}. postR P ^ i) ;; periR P)
  by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
finally show ?case by (simp)
qed

```

lemma peri_R-power' [rdes]:

assumes P is NSRD

shows peri_R(P ;; P ^ n) = (pre_R(P ^ (Suc n)) ⇒_r (⌈ i ∈ {0..n}. post_R(P) ^ i) ;; peri_R(P))

by (simp add: peri_R-power assms UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym], simp add: UINF-as-Sup-image)

lemma peri_R-power-Suc [rdes]:

```

assumes  $P$  is NSRD
shows  $\text{peri}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)) = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot \text{post}_R(P) \wedge i) ;; \text{peri}_R(P))$ 
by (simp add: rdes assms)

lemma postR-power [rdes]:
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD
  shows  $\text{post}_R(P ;; P^\wedge n) = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P) \wedge \text{Suc } n)$ 
proof (induct  $n$ )
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-post-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc  $n$ ) note hyp = this
  have  $\text{post}_R(P \wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) = \text{post}_R(P ;; P^\wedge(n+1))$ 
    by (simp)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R(P ;; P^\wedge n)))$ 
    by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P \wedge (\text{Suc } n + 1)) \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P ;; (\text{pre}_R(P \wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P \wedge \text{Suc } n)))$ 
    by (simp only: hyp)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P \wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P ;; (\text{pre}_R(P \wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P \wedge \text{Suc } n)))$ 
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms, pred-auto)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \Rightarrow_r (\text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P \wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P ;; \text{post}_R P \wedge \text{Suc } n))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc R1-power assms hyp postR-SRD-R1 upred-semiring.power-Suc wp-rea-impl-lemma)
  also
  have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{post}_R P \text{ wp}_r \text{pre}_R(P \wedge \text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
    by (pred-auto)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R P \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  finally show ?case by (simp)
qed

lemma postR-power-Suc [rdes]:
  assumes  $P$  is NSRD
  shows  $\text{post}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)) = (\text{pre}_R(P^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)) \Rightarrow_r \text{post}_R(P) \wedge \text{Suc } n)$ 
by (simp add: rdes assms)

lemma power-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes  $P$  is RC  $Q$  is RR  $R$  is RR  $\$st' \nmid Q$ 
  shows  $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^\wedge(\text{Suc } n)$ 
     $= \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \wedge i) \text{ wp}_r P) \vdash ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \wedge i) ;; Q) \diamond (R \wedge \text{Suc } n))$ 
proof (induct  $n$ )
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: wp assms closure)
next
  case (Suc  $n$ )

  have  $1: (P \wedge (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \text{ wp}_r (R \wedge i \text{ wp}_r P))) = (\bigcap i \in \{0..\text{Suc } n\} \cdot R \wedge i \text{ wp}_r P)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof –
    have ?lhs =  $(P \wedge (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \wedge \text{Suc } i \text{ wp}_r P)))$ 

```

by (simp add: wp closure assms)
 also have ... = $(P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (R \hat{\ } \text{Suc } i \text{ } wp_r \text{ } P)))$
 by (simp only: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
 also have ... = $(P \wedge (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. (R \hat{\ } i \text{ } wp_r \text{ } P)))$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) INF-cong One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup i \in \text{insert } 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. (R \hat{\ } i \text{ } wp_r \text{ } P))$
 by (simp add: wp assms closure conj-upred-def)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc \ n\}. (R \hat{\ } i \text{ } wp_r \text{ } P))$
 by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
 qed

have 2: $(Q \vee R ;; (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q) = (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..Suc \ n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –
 have ?lhs = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } \text{Suc } i) ;; Q)$
 by (simp add: seqr-assoc[THEN sym] seq-UINF-distl)
 also have ... = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \hat{\ } \text{Suc } i) ;; Q)$
 by (simp only: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 also have ... = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q)$
 by (metis One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
 also have ... = $((\bigsqcap i \in \text{insert } 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q)$
 by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
 also have ... = $((\bigsqcap i \in \{0..Suc \ n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q)$
 by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 qed

have 3: $(\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q$ is RR

proof –
 have $(\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q = (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q$
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 also have ... = $(\bigsqcap i \in \text{insert } 0 \ \{1..n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q$
 by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
 also have ... = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{1..n\}. R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-insert disj-upred-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl upred-semiring.power-0)
 also have ... = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..<n\}. R \hat{\ } \text{Suc } i) ;; Q)$
 by (metis One-nat-def atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost image-Suc-atLeastLessThan image-image)
 also have ... = $(Q \vee (\bigsqcap i \in \{0..<n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } \text{Suc } i) ;; Q)$
 by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 also have ... is RR
 by (simp-all add: closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 from 1 2 3 Suc show ?case
 by (simp add: Suc RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms wp)
 qed

declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]

theorem uplus-rdes-def [rdes-def]:

assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR $\$st' \nmid Q$
 shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^+ = \mathbf{R}_s(R^{*r} \text{ } wp_r \text{ } P \vdash (R^{*r} ;; Q) \diamond R^+)$

proof –
have $1:(\prod i \cdot R \hat{=} i) ;; Q = R^{*r} ;; Q$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *RA1* *assms*(2) *rea-skip-unit*(2) *rrel-theory.Star-def* *ustar-alt-def*)
show *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add: uplus-power-def seq-UINF-distr wp closure assms rdes-def*)
(metis 1 seq-UINF-distr')
qed

5.1 UTP theory

lemma *NSRD-false: NSRD false = Miracle*
by (*metis Healthy-if NSRD-Miracle NSRD-alt-def NSRD-is-RD3 srdes-theory.healthy-top*)

lemma *NSRD-true: NSRD true = Chaos*
by (*metis Healthy-if NSRD-Chaos NSRD-alt-def NSRD-is-RD3 srdes-theory.healthy-bottom*)

interpretation *nsrdes-theory: utp-theory-kleene NSRD II_R*
rewrites $P \in \text{carrier } \text{nsrdes-theory.thy-order} \longleftrightarrow P \text{ is NSRD}$
and $\text{carrier } \text{nsrdes-theory.thy-order} \rightarrow \text{carrier } \text{nsrdes-theory.thy-order} \equiv \llbracket \text{NSRD} \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{NSRD} \rrbracket_H$
and $\text{le } \text{nsrdes-theory.thy-order} = (\sqsubseteq)$
and $\text{eq } \text{nsrdes-theory.thy-order} = (=)$
and *nsrdes-top: nsrdes-theory.utp-top = Miracle*
and *nsrdes-bottom: nsrdes-theory.utp-bottom = Chaos*

proof –
have *utp-theory-continuous NSRD*
by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: NSRD-idem NSRD-Continuous*)
then interpret *utp-theory-continuous NSRD*
by *simp*
show $t: \text{utp-top} = \text{Miracle}$ **and** $b: \text{utp-bottom} = \text{Chaos}$
by (*simp-all add: healthy-top healthy-bottom NSRD-false NSRD-true*)
show *utp-theory-kleene NSRD II_R*
by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure srdes-left-unital.Unit-Left NSRD-right-unit Miracle-left-zero*
t)
qed (*simp-all*)

abbreviation *TestR (test_R) where*
test_R P ≡ nsrdes-theory.utp-test P

definition *StarR :: ('s, 't::trace, 'α) hrel-rsp ⇒ ('s, 't, 'α) hrel-rsp (-^{*R} [999] 999) where*
StarR ≡ nsrdes-theory.utp-star

We also show how to calculate the Kleene closure of a reactive design.

thm *rdes-def*

lemma *StarR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:*
assumes $P \text{ is RC } Q \text{ is RR } R \text{ is RR } \$st' \# Q$
shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{*R} = \mathbf{R}_s((R^{*r} \text{ wp}_r P) \vdash (R^{*r} ;; Q) \diamond R^{*r})$
by (*simp* *add: StarR-def rrel-theory.Star-alt-def nsrdes-theory.Star-alt-def closure assms*)
(simp add: rrel-theory.Star-alt-def assms closure rdes-def unrest rpred disj-upred-def)

end

6 Syntax for reactive design contracts

theory *utp-rdes-contracts*

```

imports utp-rdes-normal
begin

```

We give an experimental syntax for reactive design contracts $[P \vdash Q|R]_R$, where P is a precondition on undashed state variables only, Q is a pericondition that can refer to the trace and before state but not the after state, and R is a postcondition. Both Q and R can refer only to the trace contribution through a HOL variable $trace$ which is bound to $U(\&tt)$.

definition $mk\text{-}RD :: 's \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 's \text{ hrel}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $mk\text{-}RD\ P\ Q\ R = \mathbf{R}_s([\![P]\!]_{S<} \vdash [\![Q(x)]\!]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \diamond [\![R(x)]\!]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket)$

definition $trace\text{-}pred :: ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $[upred\text{-}defs]: trace\text{-}pred\ P = [\![P\ x]\!]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket$

syntax

```

-trace-var :: logic
-mk-RD    :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic ( $[-/\vdash -/ \mid -]_R$ )
-trace-pred :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic ( $[-]_t$ )

```

parse-translation (

```

let
  fun trace-var-tr [] = Syntax.free trace
    | trace-var-tr - = raise Match;
in
  [(@{syntax-const -trace-var}, K trace-var-tr)]
end
)

```

translations

```

 $[P \vdash Q \mid R]_R \Rightarrow \text{CONST } mk\text{-}RD\ P\ (\lambda \text{-trace-var. } Q)\ (\lambda \text{-trace-var. } R)$ 
 $[P \vdash Q \mid R]_R \Leftarrow \text{CONST } mk\text{-}RD\ P\ (\lambda x. Q)\ (\lambda y. R)$ 
 $[P]_t \Rightarrow \text{CONST } trace\text{-}pred\ (\lambda \text{-trace-var. } P)$ 
 $[P]_t \Leftarrow \text{CONST } trace\text{-}pred\ (\lambda t. P)$ 

```

lemma $SRD\text{-}mk\text{-}RD$ $[closure]: [P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R$ *is* SRD
by (*simp add: mk-RD-def closure unrest*)

lemma $preR\text{-}mk\text{-}RD$ $[rdes]: pre_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = R1([\![P]\!]_{S<})$
by (*simp add: mk-RD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre*)

lemma $trace\text{-}pred\text{-}RR\text{-}closed$ $[closure]:$
 $[P\ trace]_t$ *is* RR
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $unrest\text{-}trace\text{-}pred\text{-}st'$ $[unrest]:$
 $\$st' \# [P\ trace]_t$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $R2c\text{-}msubst\text{-}tt: R2c\ (msubst\ (\lambda x. [\![Q\ x]\!]_S) \ \&tt) = (msubst\ (\lambda x. [\![Q\ x]\!]_S) \ \&tt)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma $periR\text{-}mk\text{-}RD$ $[rdes]: peri_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = ([\![P]\!]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1([\![Q(trace)]\!]_{S<} \llbracket trace \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket))$
by (*simp add: mk-RD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl*)

lemma *postR-mk-RD* [rdes]: $\text{post}_R([P \vdash Q(\text{trace}) \mid R(\text{trace})]_R) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1((\llbracket R(\text{trace}) \rrbracket_S) \llbracket \text{trace} \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket))$
by (*simp add: mk-RD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre*
impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)

Refinement introduction law for contracts

lemma *RD-contract-refine*:

assumes
 $Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ '}[P_1]_{S<} \Rightarrow \text{pre}_R Q \text{'}$
 $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R Q \Rightarrow [P_2 \ x]_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \text{'}$
 $\text{'}[P_1]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R Q \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket \text{'}$
shows $[P_1 \vdash P_2(\text{trace}) \mid P_3(\text{trace})]_R \sqsubseteq Q$
proof –
have $[P_1 \vdash P_2(\text{trace}) \mid P_3(\text{trace})]_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond \text{post}_R(Q))$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: mk-RD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1)*)
qed
end

7 Reactive design tactics

theory *utp-rdes-tactics*
imports *utp-rdes-triples*
begin

Theorems for normalisation

lemmas *rdes-rel-norms* =
prod.case-eq-if
conj-assoc
disj-assoc
utp-pred-laws.distrib(3,4)
conj-UINF-dist
conj-UINF-ind-dist
seqr-or-distl
seqr-or-distr
seq-UINF-distl
seq-UINF-distl'
seq-UINF-distr
seq-UINF-distr'

The following tactic can be used to simply and evaluate reactive predicates.

method *rpred-simp* = (*ueexpr-simp_simps: rpred usubst closure unrest*)

Tactic to expand out healthy reactive design predicates into the syntactic triple form.

method *rdes-expand* **uses** *cls* = (*insert cls, (erule RD-elim)+*)

Tactic to simplify the definition of a reactive design

method *rdes-simp* **uses** *cls cong_simps* =
(*(rdes-expand cls: cls)?, (simp add: closure)?, (simp add: rdes-def rdes-rel-norms rdes rpred cls closure*
alpha frame usubst unrest wp_simps cong: cong))

Tactic to split a refinement conjecture into three POs

```
method rdes-refine-split uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro' srdes-tri-refine-intro')
```

Tactics to split an equality conjecture into three POs

```
method rdes-eq-split uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (rule-tac rdes-tri-eq-intro srdes-tri-eq-intro))
```

```
method rdes-eq-split' uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (rule-tac rdes-tri-eq-intro' srdes-tri-eq-intro'))
```

Tactic to prove a refinement

```
method rdes-refine uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-refine-split cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (insert cls; rel-auto))
```

Tactics to prove an equality

```
method rdes-eq uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-eq-split cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; rel-auto)
```

```
method rdes-eq' uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-eq-split' cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; rel-auto)
```

Via antisymmetry

```
method rdes-eq-anti uses cls cong_simps =
  (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong_simps: simps; (rule-tac antisym; (rule-tac rdes-tri-refine-intro srdes-tri-refine-intro;
rel-auto)))
```

Tactic to calculate pre/peri/postconditions from reactive designs

```
method rdes-calc = (simp add: rdes rpred closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if)
```

The following tactic attempts to prove a reactive design refinement by calculation of the pre-, peri-, and postconditions and then showing three implications between them using *rel-blast*.

```
method rdspl-refine =
  (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro; (simp add: closure rdes unrest usubst ; rel-blast?))
```

The following tactic combines antisymmetry with the previous tactic to prove an equality.

```
method rdspl-eq =
  (rule-tac antisym, rdes-refine, rdes-refine)
```

end

8 Reactive design parallel-by-merge

```
theory utp-rdes-parallel
```

```
  imports
```

```
    utp-rdes-normal
```

```
    utp-rdes-tactics
```

```
begin
```

R3h implicitly depends on RD1, and therefore it requires that both sides be RD1. We also require that both sides are R3c, and that $wait_m$ is a quasi-unit, and div_m yields divergence.

```
lemma st-U0-alpha:  $[\exists \$st \cdot II]_0 = (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_0)$ 
  by (rel-auto)
```

lemma *st-U1-alpha*: $\lceil \exists \$st \cdot II \rceil_1 = (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_1)$
by (*rel-auto*)

definition *skip-rm* :: $(s, t :: trace, \alpha) \text{ rsp merge } (II_{RM})$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: II_{RM} = (\exists \$<:st \cdot skip_m \vee (\neg \$<:ok \wedge \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

definition $[upred-defs]: R3hm(M) = (II_{RM} \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright M)$

lemma *R3hm-idem*: $R3hm(R3hm(P)) = R3hm(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

abbreviation *copytype* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (*CPTYPE*'(-, -')) **where** *CPTYPE*(*A*, *B*) $\equiv B$

lemma *R3h-par-by-merge* [*closure*]:
assumes *P* is *R3h* *Q* is *R3h* *M* is *R3hm*
shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is *R3h*

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) = (((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true/\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

by (*simp add: cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right*)

also have $\dots = (((P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; R3hm(M)) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$<:st \cdot CPTYPE(M, \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<))) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$<:st \cdot CPTYPE(M, \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<))) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: closure assms unrest*)

qed

also have $\dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (R1(true)) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; R3hm(M)) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (CPTYPE(M, \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have $\dots = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (CPTYPE(M, \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

also have $\dots = (R1(true)) \llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$

by (*rel-blast*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = R3h(P \parallel_M Q)$

by (*simp add: R3h-cases*)

finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)
 qed

definition [upred-defs]: $RD1m(M) = (M \vee \neg \$<:ok \wedge \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr')$

lemma *RD1-par-by-merge* [closure]:
 assumes *P is R1 Q is R1 M is R1m P is RD1 Q is RD1 M is RD1m*
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is RD1

proof –

have 1: $(RD1(R1(P)) \parallel_{RD1m(R1m(M))} RD1(R1(Q))) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket = R1(true)$
 by (rel-blast)
 have $(P \parallel_M Q) = (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket$
 by (simp add: cond-var-split)
 also have $\dots = R1(P \parallel_M Q) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)$
 by (metis 1 Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge assms calculation
 cond-idem cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar ok-vwb-lens)
 also have $\dots = RD1(P \parallel_M Q)$
 by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge RD1-alt-def assms(3))
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def)

qed

lemma *RD2-par-by-merge* [closure]:

assumes *M is RD2*
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is RD2

proof –

have $(P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M)$
 by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 also from assms have $\dots = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; (M ;; J))$
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' RD2-def H2-def)
 also from assms have $\dots = (((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) ;; J)$
 by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
 also from assms have $\dots = RD2(P \parallel_M Q)$
 by (simp add: RD2-def H2-def par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: Healthy-def')

qed

lemma *SRD-par-by-merge*:

assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD M is R1m M is R2m M is R3hm M is RD1m M is RD2*
 shows $(P \parallel_M Q)$ is SRD
 by (rule SRD-intro, simp-all add: assms closure SRD-healths)

definition *nmerge-rd0* (N_0) **where**

[upred-defs]: $N_0(M) = (\$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:wait) \wedge \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge (\exists \$0:ok; \$1:ok; \$<:ok; \$ok'; \$0:wait; \$1:wait; \$<:wait; \$wait' \cdot M))$

definition *nmerge-rd1* (N_1) **where**

[upred-defs]: $N_1(M) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:ok) \wedge N_0(M))$

definition *nmerge-rd* (N_R) **where**

[upred-defs]: $N_R(M) = ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$v' =_u \$<:v) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright N_1(M)) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright (\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr')$

definition *merge-rd1* (M_1) **where**

[upred-defs]: $M_1(M) = (N_1(M) ;; II_R)$

definition *merge-rd* (M_R) **where**

[upred-defs]: $M_R(M) = N_R(M) ;; II_R$

abbreviation *rdes-par* ($- \parallel_R - [85, 0, 86] 85$) **where**

$P \parallel_{RM} Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q$

Healthiness condition for reactive design merge predicates

definition [upred-defs]: $RDM(M) = R2m(\exists \$0:ok; \$1:ok; \$<:ok; \$ok'; \$0:wait; \$1:wait; \$<:wait; \$wait' \cdot M)$

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R1m* [closure]:

$N_R(M)$ *is* *R1m*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *R2m-nmerge-rd*: $R2m(N_R(R2m(M))) = N_R(R2m(M))$

apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R2m* [closure]:

M *is* *R2m* $\implies N_R(M)$ *is* *R2m*

by (*metis Healthy-def' R2m-nmerge-rd*)

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-R3hm* [closure]: $N_R(M)$ *is* *R3hm*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *nmerge-rd-is-RD1m* [closure]: $N_R(M)$ *is* *RD1m*

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *merge-rd-is-RD3*: $M_R(M)$ *is* *RD3*

by (*metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def merge-rd-def*)

lemma *merge-rd-is-RD2*: $M_R(M)$ *is* *RD2*

by (*simp add: RD3-implies-RD2 merge-rd-is-RD3*)

lemma *par-rdes-NSRD* [closure]:

assumes P *is* *SRD* Q *is* *SRD* M *is* *RDM*

shows $P \parallel_{RM} Q$ *is* *NSRD*

proof –

have ($P \parallel_{N_R M} Q ;; II_R$) *is* *NSRD*

by (*rule NSRD-intro', simp-all add: SRD-healths closure assms*)

(*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R2-par-by-merge R2-seqr-closure R2m-nmerge-rd RDM-def SRD-healths(2) assms skip-srea-R2*

, *metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: merge-rd-def par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc*)

qed

lemma *RDM-intro*:

assumes M *is* *R2m* $\$0:ok \# M \$1:ok \# M \$<:ok \# M \$ok' \# M$

$\$0:wait \# M \$1:wait \# M \$<:wait \# M \$wait' \# M$

shows M *is* *RDM*

using *assms*

by (*simp add: Healthy-def RDM-def ex-unrest unrest*)

lemma *RDM-unrests* [*unrest*]:

assumes *M* is *RDM*

shows $\$0:ok \# M \ \$1:ok \# M \ \$<:ok \# M \ \$ok' \# M$

$\$0:wait \# M \ \$1:wait \# M \ \$<:wait \# M \ \$wait' \# M$

by (*subst Healthy-if*[*OF assms, THEN sym*], *simp-all add: RDM-def unrest, rel-auto*)⁺

lemma *RDM-R1m* [*closure*]: *M* is *RDM* \implies *M* is *R1m*

by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *Healthy-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def*)

lemma *RDM-R2m* [*closure*]: *M* is *RDM* \implies *M* is *R2m*

by (*metis* (*no-types, hide-lams*) *Healthy-def R2m-idem RDM-def*)

lemma *ex-st'-R2m-closed* [*closure*]:

assumes *P* is *R2m*

shows $(\exists \ \$st' \cdot P)$ is *R2m*

proof –

have $R2m(\exists \ \$st' \cdot R2m \ P) = (\exists \ \$st' \cdot R2m \ P)$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Healthy-def' assms*)

qed

lemma *parallel-RR-closed*:

assumes *P* is *RR* *Q* is *RR* *M* is *R2m*

$\$<:ok \# M \ \$<:wait \# M \ \$ok' \# M \ \$wait' \# M$

shows $P \parallel_M Q$ is *RR*

by (*rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms RR-implies-R2 closure*)

lemma *parallel-ok-cases*:

$((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = ($

$((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket false, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket true, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket false, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)))$

proof –

have $((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (\exists \ ok_0 \cdot (P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg / \$0:ok' \rrbracket ;; M \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg / \$0:ok \rrbracket)$

by (*subst segr-middle*[*of ok ;L mrg-left*], *simp-all*)

also have $\dots = (\exists \ ok_0 \cdot \exists \ ok_1 \cdot ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg / \$0:ok' \rrbracket \llbracket \llcorner ok_1 \gg / \$1:ok' \rrbracket ;; (M \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg / \$0:ok \rrbracket \llbracket \llcorner ok_1 \gg / \$1:ok \rrbracket))$

by (*subst segr-middle*[*of ok ;L mrg-right*], *simp-all*)

also have $\dots = (\exists \ ok_0 \cdot \exists \ ok_1 \cdot (P \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg / \$ok' \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket \llcorner ok_1 \gg / \$ok' \rrbracket) ;; (M \llbracket \llcorner ok_0 \gg, \llcorner ok_1 \gg / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket))$

by (*rel-auto robust*)

also have $\dots = ($

$((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M \llbracket false, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket true, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$

$((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M \llbracket false, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)))$

by (*simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym] false-alt-def[THEN sym] disj-assoc*

utp-pred-laws.sup.left-commute utp-pred-laws.sup-commute usubst)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *skip-srea-ok-f* [*usubst*]:

$\Pi_R^f = R1(\neg \$ok)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *nmerge0-rd-unrest* [*unrest*]:

$\$0:ok \# N_0 M \ \$1:ok \# N_0 M$
by (*pred-auto*)⁺

lemma *parallel-assm-lemma*:

assumes *P* *is* *RD2*

shows $pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (cmt_s \dagger Q))$
 $\vee ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (pre_s \dagger Q)))$

proof –

have $pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = pre_s \dagger ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M_R(M))$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def*)

also have $\dots = ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket ;; N_R M ;; R1(\neg \$ok))$

by (*simp add: merge-rd-def usubst, rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = ((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) ;; N_1(M) ;; R1(\neg \$ok))$

by (*rel-auto robust, (metis)+*)

also have $\dots = (($

$((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t \parallel_s (Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t) ;; ((N_1 M) \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket ;;$
 $R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$

$((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t) ;; ((N_1 M) \llbracket false, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket ;;$
 $R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$

$((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t \parallel_s (Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) ;; ((N_1 M) \llbracket true, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket ;;$
 $R1(\neg \$ok))) \vee$

$((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) ;; ((N_1 M) \llbracket false, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket ;;$
 $R1(\neg \$ok)))$

(is $\neg = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4)$

by (*subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac*)

also have $\dots = (?C2 \vee ?C3)$

proof –

have $?C1 = false$

by (*rel-auto*)

moreover have $'?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3'$ (**is** $'(?A ;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C ;; ?D)'$)

proof –

from *assms* **have** $'P^f \Rightarrow P^t'$

by (*metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def*)

hence $P: 'P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f'$

by (*rel-auto*)

have $'?A \Rightarrow ?C'$

using *P* **by** (*rel-auto*)

moreover have $'?B \Rightarrow ?D'$

by (*rel-auto*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: impl-seqr-mono*)

qed

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: subsumption2*)

qed

also have $\dots = ($

$((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true))) \vee$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))$

by (*rel-auto, metis+*)

also have $\dots = ($

$((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1(true) (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \vee$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1(true) (pre_s \dagger Q))$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *pre_s-SRD*:

assumes *P is SRD*

shows $pre_s \uparrow P = (\neg_r pre_R(P))$

proof –

have $pre_s \uparrow P = pre_s \uparrow \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P)$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

also have $\dots = R1(R2c(\neg pre_s \uparrow pre_R P))$

by (*simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre_s-design*)

also have $\dots = R1(R2c(\neg pre_R P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = (\neg_r pre_R P)$

by (*simp add: R2c-not R2c-preR assms rea-not-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *parallel-assm*:

assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD*

shows $pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) \wedge$
 $\neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (\neg_r pre_R(Q))))$

(*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –

have $pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r (pre_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 true (cmt_s \uparrow Q) \wedge$
 $\neg_r (cmt_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 true (pre_s \uparrow Q))$

by (*simp add: pre_R-def parallel-assm-lemma assms SRD-healths R1-conj rea-not-def [THEN sym]*)

also have $\dots = ?rhs$

by (*simp add: pre_s-SRD assms cmt_R-def Healthy-if closure unrest*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *parallel-assm-unrest-wait' [unrest]*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; Q \text{ is SRD} \rrbracket \implies \$wait' \# pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q)$

by (*simp add: parallel-assm, simp add: par-by-merge-def unrest*)

lemma *JL1*: $(M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)$

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *JL2*: $(M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)$

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *JL3*: $(M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)$

by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *JL4*: $(M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket = (\$ok' \wedge N_0 M) ;; II_R^t$

by (*simp add: merge-rd1-def usubst nmerge-rd1-def unrest*)

lemma *parallel-commitment-lemma-1*:

assumes *P is RD2*

shows $cmt_s \uparrow (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = ($

$((cmt_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t (cmt_s \uparrow Q)) \vee$

$((pre_s \uparrow P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (cmt_s \uparrow Q)) \vee$

$((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (pre_s \dagger Q)))$
proof –
have $cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_{(M_1(M))^t} Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$
by (*simp add: usubst, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = ((P \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \parallel_s Q \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) ;; (M_1 M)^t)$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def*)
also have $\dots = ($
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, false / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket))$
by (*subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac*)
also have $\dots = ($
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee$
 $((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true)))$
is $\dots = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4)$
by (*simp add: JL1 JL2 JL3*)
also have $\dots = ($
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1(M))^t \llbracket true, true / \$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket)) \vee$
 $((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true)))$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $P^f \Rightarrow P^t$
by (*metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def*)
hence $P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f$
by (*rel-auto*)
have $?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3$ (**is** $(?A ;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C ;; ?D)$)
proof –
have $?A \Rightarrow ?C$
using P **by** (*rel-auto*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: impl-seqr-mono*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: subsumption2*)
qed
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-def JL4*)
qed

lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-2:
assumes P *is* $RD2$
shows $cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$
 $((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \vee pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-1 assms parallel-asm-lemma*)

lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-3:
 M *is* $R1m \implies (\$ok' \wedge N_0 M) ;; II_R^t$ *is* $R1m$
by (*rel-simp, safe, metis+*)

lemma parallel-commitment:
assumes P *is* SRD Q *is* SRD M *is* RDM
shows $cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t cmt_R(Q))$

by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-2 parallel-commitment-lemma-3 Healthy-if assms cmt_R-def pre_s-SRD closure rea-impl-def disj-comm unrest)

theorem parallel-reactive-design:

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(\$

$(\neg_r ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(Q)) \wedge$

$\neg_r (\text{cmt}_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(Q))) \vdash$

$(\text{cmt}_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R^t \text{cmt}_R(Q)))$ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have $(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \vdash \text{cmt}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))$

by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-rdes-NSRD)

also have ... = ?rhs

by (simp add: parallel-assm parallel-commitment design-export-spec assms, rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma1:

$(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II_R[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait'] = (\exists \$st' \cdot P)[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait']$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot II)[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait']$

by (rel-blast)

also have ... = ?rhs

by (rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma2:

assumes M is RDM

shows $(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M))[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\$0:\text{wait} \vee \$1:\text{wait}) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$

proof –

have $(\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M))[\text{true}, \text{true}/\$ok', \$wait'] = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0:\text{wait} \vee \$1:\text{wait}) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$<:\text{tr} \wedge M)$

by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)

also have ... = $(\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0:\text{wait} \vee \$1:\text{wait}) \wedge M)$

by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-if R1m-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)

also have ... = $(\$0:\text{wait} \vee \$1:\text{wait}) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)$

by (rel-auto)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma3:

$((\$0:\text{wait} \vee \$1:\text{wait}) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) = ((\$0:\text{wait} \wedge \$1:\text{wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \vee (\neg \$0:\text{wait} \wedge \$1:\text{wait}$

$\wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \vee (\$0:\text{wait} \wedge \neg \$1:\text{wait} \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$

by (rel-auto)

lemma parallel-pericondition [rdes]:

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{rsp merge}$

assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM

shows $\text{peri}_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\text{pre}_R (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q)$

$\vee \text{post}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{peri}_R(Q)$

$\vee \text{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} \text{post}_R(Q))$

proof –

have $peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$
 $(pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel (\$ok' \wedge N_0 M) ;; II_R[true, true/\$ok', \$wait'] cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: peri-cmt-def parallel-commitment SRD-healths assms usubst unrest assms*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel (\exists \$st' \cdot N_0 M)[true, true/\$ok', \$wait'] cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma1*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel (\$0:wait \vee \$1:wait) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M) cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma2 assms*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil cmt_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$0:wait \wedge \$1:wait \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$
 $\vee (\lceil cmt_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\neg \$0:wait \wedge \$1:wait \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$
 $\vee (\lceil cmt_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$0:wait \wedge \neg \$1:wait \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def parallel-pericondition-lemma3 segr-or-distr*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil peri_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil peri_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$
 $\vee (\lceil post_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil peri_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M))$
 $\vee (\lceil peri_R P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil post_R Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))$
by (*simp add: segr-right-one-point-true segr-right-one-point-false cmt_R-def post_R-def peri_R-def usubst unrest assms*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} peri_R(Q)$
 $\vee post_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} peri_R(Q)$
 $\vee peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} post_R(Q))$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma1:
 $(\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II_R[true, false/\$ok', \$wait'] = P[true, false/\$ok', \$wait']$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\$ok' \wedge P) ;; II[true, false/\$ok', \$wait']$
by (*rel-blast*)
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by (*rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma2:
assumes *M is RDM*
shows $(N_0(M))[true, false/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\neg \$0:wait \wedge \neg \$1:wait) \wedge M)$
proof –
have $(N_0(M))[true, false/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\neg \$0:wait \wedge \neg \$1:wait) \wedge \$tr' \geq_u \$<:tr \wedge M)$
by (*simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms*)
also have $\dots = ((\neg \$0:wait \wedge \neg \$1:wait) \wedge M)$
by (*metis Healthy-if R1m-def RDM-R1m assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma parallel-postcondition [rdes]:
fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: trace, 'a) rsp merge$
assumes *P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM*
shows $post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \parallel_M post_R(Q))$
proof –
have $post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$(pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel_{(\$ok' \wedge N_0 M)} ;; II_R \llbracket true, false / \$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: post-cmt-def parallel-commitment assms usubst unrest SRD-healths*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R P \parallel_{(\neg \$0:wait \wedge \neg \$1:wait \wedge M)} cmt_R Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-postcondition-lemma1 parallel-postcondition-lemma2 assms,*
simp add: utp-pred-laws.inf-commute utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute)
also have $\dots = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R} M Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \parallel_M post_R Q)$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def seqr-right-one-point-false usubst unrest cmt_R-def post_R-def assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *parallel-precondition-lemma:*

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: trace, 'a) \text{rsp merge}$
assumes $P \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD } M \text{ is RDM}$
shows $(\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) cmt_R(Q) =$
 $((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) peri_R Q \vee (\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) post_R Q)$
proof –
have $((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) =$
 $((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) (peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt*)
also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R(P)]_0 \wedge [peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))$
by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def*)
also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R(P)]_0 \wedge [peri_R(Q)]_1 \triangleleft \$1:wait' \triangleright [post_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))$
by (*simp add: wait'-cond-def alpha*)
also have $\dots = ((([\neg_r pre_R(P)]_0 \wedge [peri_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$1:wait' \triangleright ([\neg_r pre_R(P)]_0 \wedge [post_R(Q)]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v})) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))$
(is $(?P ;; -) = (?Q ;; -)$ **)**
proof –
have $?P = ?Q$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [peri_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \llbracket true / \$1:wait' \rrbracket ;; (N_0 M ;; R1 true) \llbracket true / \$1:wait \rrbracket \vee$
 $([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [post_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \llbracket false / \$1:wait' \rrbracket ;; (N_0 M ;; R1 true) \llbracket false / \$1:wait \rrbracket)$
by (*simp add: cond-inter-var-split*)
also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [peri_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 M \llbracket true / \$1:wait \rrbracket ;; R1 true \vee$
 $([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [post_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 M \llbracket false / \$1:wait \rrbracket ;; R1 true)$
by (*simp add: usubst unrest*)
also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [peri_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' \wedge M) ;; R1 true \vee$
 $([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [post_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' =_u \$0:wait \wedge M) ;; R1 true)$
proof –
have $(\$tr' \geq_u \$<:tr \wedge M) = M$
using *RDM-R1m[OF assms(3)]*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1m-def conj-comm*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: nmerge-rd0-def unrest assms closure ex-unrest usubst*)
qed

also have $\dots = (([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [peri_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 true \vee$
 $([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [post_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 true)$
(is $(?P_1 \vee_p ?P_2) = (?Q_1 \vee ?Q_2)$ **)**

proof –
have $?P_1 = ([\neg_r pre_R P]_0 \wedge [peri_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \wedge \$wait')$ **;;** $R1 true$

by (simp add: conj-comm)
 hence 1: $?P_1 = ?Q_1$
 by (simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false add: unrest usubst closure assms)
 have $?P_2 = ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \wedge \$\text{wait}') ;; R1 \text{ true} \vee$
 $(\neg_r \text{pre}_R P]_0 \wedge [\text{post}_R Q]_1 \wedge \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (M \wedge \neg \$\text{wait}') ;; R1 \text{ true})$
 by (subst segr-bool-split[of wait ;_L mrg-left], simp-all add: usubst unrest assms closure conj-comm)
 hence 2: $?P_2 = ?Q_2$
 by (simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false unrest usubst closure assms)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 also have $\dots = ((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{peri}_R Q \vee (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{post}_R Q)$
 by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *swap-nmerge-rd0*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; N_0(M) = N_0(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
 by (rel-auto, meson+)

lemma *SymMerge-nmerge-rd0 [closure]*:
 $M \text{ is SymMerge} \implies N_0(M) \text{ is SymMerge}$
 by (rel-auto, meson+)

lemma *swap-merge-rd'*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; N_R(M) = N_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
 by (rel-blast)

lemma *swap-merge-rd*:
 $\text{swap}_m ;; M_R(M) = M_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M)$
 by (simp add: merge-rd-def segr-assoc[THEN sym] swap-merge-rd')

lemma *SymMerge-merge-rd [closure]*:
 $M \text{ is SymMerge} \implies M_R(M) \text{ is SymMerge}$
 by (simp add: Healthy-def swap-merge-rd)

lemma *nmerge-rd1-merge3*:
 assumes $M \text{ is RDM}$
 shows $\mathbf{M3}(N_1(M)) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge$
 $\$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait) \wedge$
 $\mathbf{M3}(M))$

proof –

have $\mathbf{M3}(N_1(M)) = \mathbf{M3}(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:ok) \wedge$
 $\$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:wait) \wedge$
 $\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge$
 $(\exists \{\$0:ok, \$1:ok, \$<:ok, \$ok', \$0:wait, \$1:wait, \$<:wait, \$wait'\} \cdot \text{RDM}(M)))$
 by (simp add: nmerge-rd1-def nmerge-rd0-def assms Healthy-if)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{M3}(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:wait) \wedge \text{RDM}(M))$
 by (rel-blast)
 also have $\dots = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait)$
 $\wedge \mathbf{M3}(\text{RDM}(M)))$
 by (rel-blast)
 also have $\dots = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait)$
 $\wedge \mathbf{M3}(M))$
 by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
 finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *nmerge-rd-merge3*:

$\mathbf{M3}(N_R(M)) = (\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright \mathbf{M3}(N_1\ M) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright (\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr')$
by (*rel-blast*)

lemma *AssocMerge-nmerge-rd*:

assumes *M is RDM AssocMerge M*

shows *AssocMerge(N_R(M))*

proof –

have $1:\mathbf{M3}(M) = rotate_m ;; \mathbf{M3}(M)$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: AssocMerge-def*)

have $rotate_m ;; (\mathbf{M3}(N_R(M))) =$

$rotate_m ;;$

$((\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright$

$(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait) \wedge$

$\mathbf{M3}(M)) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright$

$(\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

by (*simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms*)

also have ... =

$((\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright$

$(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait) \wedge$

$(rotate_m ;; \mathbf{M3}(M))) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright$

$(\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

by (*rel-blast*)

also have ... =

$((\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright$

$(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \wedge \$1:0:ok \wedge \$1:1:ok) \wedge \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \vee \$1:0:wait \vee \$1:1:wait) \wedge$

$\mathbf{M3}(M)) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright$

$(\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'))$

using *1* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $\mathbf{M3}(N_R(M))$

by (*simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms*)

finally show *?thesis*

using *AssocMerge-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *swap-merge-RDM-closed [closure]*:

assumes *M is RDM*

shows $swap_m ;; M$ *is RDM*

proof –

have $RDM(swap_m ;; RDM(M)) = (swap_m ;; RDM(M))$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Healthy-def' assms*)

qed

lemma *parallel-precondition*:

fixes $M :: ('s, 't :: trace, 'a) \text{rsp merge}$

assumes *P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM*

shows $pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =$

$(\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R Q) \wedge$

$\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R Q) \wedge$

$\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{peri}_R P) \wedge$

$\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R Q) \parallel_{(swap_m ;; M)} ;; R1(true) \text{post}_R P))$

proof –

have $a: (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(Q) =$
 $((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{peri}_R Q \vee (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{post}_R Q)$
by (*simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma assms*)

have $b: (\neg_r \text{cmt}_R P \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 \text{true} (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q)) =$
 $(\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(\text{swap}_m ;; M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(P))$
by (*simp add: swap-nmerge-rd0[THEN sym] seqr-assoc[THEN sym] par-by-merge-def par-sep-swap*)

have $c: (\neg_r \text{pre}_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(\text{swap}_m ;; M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{cmt}_R(P) =$
 $((\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q) \parallel_{(\text{swap}_m ;; M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{peri}_R P \vee (\neg_r \text{pre}_R Q) \parallel_{(\text{swap}_m ;; M)} ;; R1(\text{true}) \text{post}_R$

$P)$

by (*simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma closure assms*)

show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: parallel-assm closure assms a b c, rel-auto*)

qed

Weakest Parallel Precondition

definition *wrR* ::

$(t::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{hrel-rp} \Rightarrow$
 $(t :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{rp merge} \Rightarrow$
 $(t, 'a) \text{hrel-rp} \Rightarrow$
 $(t, 'a) \text{hrel-rp} (- \text{wr}_R'(-) - [60,0,61] \ 61)$

where [*upred-defs*]: $Q \text{wr}_R(M) P = (\neg_r ((\neg_r P) \parallel_M ;; R1(\text{true}) Q))$

lemma *wrR-R1* [*closure*]:

$M \text{ is } R1m \implies Q \text{wr}_R(M) P \text{ is } R1$

by (*simp add: wrR-def closure*)

lemma *R2-rea-not*: $R2(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R2(P))$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *wrR-R2-lemma*:

assumes $P \text{ is } R2 \ Q \text{ is } R2 \ M \text{ is } R2m$

shows $((\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q) ;; R1(\text{true}_h) \text{ is } R2$

proof –

have $(\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q \text{ is } R2$

by (*simp add: closure assms*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: closure*)

qed

lemma *wrR-R2* [*closure*]:

assumes $P \text{ is } R2 \ Q \text{ is } R2 \ M \text{ is } R2m$

shows $Q \text{wr}_R(M) P \text{ is } R2$

proof –

have $((\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q) ;; R1(\text{true}_h) \text{ is } R2$

by (*simp add: wrR-R2-lemma assms*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: wrR-def wrR-R2-lemma par-by-merge-seq-add closure*)

qed

lemma *wrR-RR* [*closure*]:

assumes $P \text{ is } RR \ Q \text{ is } RR \ M \text{ is } RDM$

shows $Q \text{wr}_R(M) P \text{ is } RR$

```

apply (rule RR-intro)
apply (simp add: unrest assms closure wrR-def rpred)
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-rea-not RDM-R2m
  RR-implies-R2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-by-merge-seq-add rea-not-R2-closed
  wrR-R2-lemma)
done

lemma wrR-RC [closure]:
  assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
  shows (Q wrR(M) P) is RC
  apply (rule RC-intro)
  apply (simp add: closure assms)
  apply (simp add: wrR-def rpred closure assms)
  apply (simp add: par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
done

lemma wppR-choice [wp]: (P ∨ Q) wrR(M) R = (P wrR(M) R ∧ Q wrR(M) R)
proof –
  have (P ∨ Q) wrR(M) R =
    (¬r ((¬r R) ;; U0 ∧ (P ;; U1 ∨ Q ;; U1) ∧ $<:v' =u $v) ;; M ;; truer)
    by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def seqr-or-distl)
  also have ... = (¬r ((¬r R) ;; U0 ∧ P ;; U1 ∧ $<:v' =u $v ∨ (¬r R) ;; U0 ∧ Q ;; U1 ∧ $<:v' =u $v) ;; M ;; truer)
    by (simp add: conj-disj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
  also have ... = (¬r (((¬r R) ;; U0 ∧ P ;; U1 ∧ $<:v' =u $v) ;; M ;; truer ∨
    ((¬r R) ;; U0 ∧ Q ;; U1 ∧ $<:v' =u $v) ;; M ;; truer))
    by (simp add: seqr-or-distl)
  also have ... = (P wrR(M) R ∧ Q wrR(M) R)
    by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma wppR-impl [wp]: (P ⇒r Q) wrR(M) R = ((¬r P) wrR(M) R ∧ Q wrR(M) R)
  by (simp add: rea-impl-def wp)

lemma wppR-miracle [wp]: false wrR(M) P = truer
  by (simp add: wrR-def)

lemma wppR-true [wp]: P wrR(M) truer = truer
  by (simp add: wrR-def)

lemma parallel-precondition-wr [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
  shows preR(P ||MR(M) Q) = (periR(Q) wrR(M) preR(P) ∧ postR(Q) wrR(M) preR(P) ∧
    periR(P) wrR(swapm ;; M) preR(Q) ∧ postR(P) wrR(swapm ;; M) preR(Q))
  by (simp add: assms parallel-precondition wrR-def)

lemma rea-impl-merge-left: (P ⇒r Q) ||M R = (((¬r P) ||M R) ∨ (Q ||M R))
  by (simp add: rea-impl-def par-by-merge-or-left)

lemma rea-impl-merge-right: P ||M (Q ⇒r R) = (P ||M (¬r Q) ∨ P ||M R)
  by (simp add: rea-impl-def par-by-merge-or-right)

lemma parallel-pre-lemma:
  ((Q1 ⇒r Q2) wrR(M) P1 ∧ (P1 ⇒r P2) ||∃ $st' . M (Q1 ⇒r Q2))

```


$= ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))$
apply (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*meson order-refl*)
apply (*meson order-refl*)
apply *blast*
apply *blast*
apply *blast*
apply *blast*
done

lemma *parallel-rdes-def* [*rdes-def*]:

assumes P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
 $\$st' \nVdash P_2 \ \$st' \nVdash Q_2$
 M is RDM

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \parallel_{M_R(M)} \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge$
 $(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash$
 $(P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2 \vee$
 $P_3 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2 \vee P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_3) \diamond$
 $(P_3 \parallel_M Q_3))$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R ?lhs \vdash \text{peri}_R ?lhs \diamond \text{post}_R ?lhs)$
by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms closure*)

also have ... =

$\mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) \text{ wr}_R(M) P_1 \wedge$
 $(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \text{ wr}_R(\text{swap}_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash$
 $((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \vee$
 $(P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \vee (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)) \diamond$
 $((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) \parallel_M (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))$
(is - $\mathbf{R}_s(?X$
 $\vdash (?Y_1 \vee ?Y_2 \vee ?Y_3)$
 $\diamond ?Z))$

by (*simp add: rdes closure unrest assms, rel-auto*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \wedge ?Y_1) \vee (?X \wedge ?Y_2) \vee (?X \wedge ?Y_3)) \diamond (?X \wedge ?Z))$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2) \vee (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2) \vee (?X \wedge P_2$
 $\parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_3)) \diamond (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_M Q_3))$

proof –

have 1: $(?X \wedge ?Y_1) = (?X \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2)$

by (*rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+*)

have 2: $(?X \wedge ?Y_2) = (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_2)$

by (*rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+*)

have 3: $(?X \wedge ?Y_3) = (?X \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' . M Q_3)$

by (*rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+*)

have 4: $(?X \wedge ?Z) = (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_M Q_3)$

by (*rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+*)

show $?thesis$

by (*simp add: 1 2 3 4*)

qed

also have ... = $?rhs$

by (*rel-auto*)

finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma *Miracle-parallel-left-zero:*

assumes P is SRD M is RDM

shows $\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P = \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\text{pre}_R(\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P) = \text{true}_r$

by (*simp add: parallel-assm wait'-cond-idem rdes closure assms*)

moreover hence $\text{cmt}_R(\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P) = \text{false}$

by (*simp add: rdes closure wait'-cond-idem SRD-healths assms*)

ultimately have $\text{Miracle} \parallel_{RM} P = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false})$

by (*metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD sdes-theory.weak.top-closed*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre*)

qed

lemma *Miracle-parallel-right-zero:*

assumes P is SRD M is RDM

shows $P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$

proof –

have $\text{pre}_R(P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle}) = \text{true}_r$

by (*simp add: wait'-cond-idem parallel-assm rdes closure assms*)

moreover hence $\text{cmt}_R(P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle}) = \text{false}$

by (*simp add: wait'-cond-idem rdes closure SRD-healths assms*)

ultimately have $P \parallel_{RM} \text{Miracle} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false})$

by (*metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD sdes-theory.weak.top-closed*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre*)

qed

8.1 Example basic merge

definition *BasicMerge* :: $((s, t::\text{trace}, \text{unit}) \text{ rsp}) \text{ merge } (N_B)$ **where**

[upred-defs]: BasicMerge = $(\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \$tr' - \$<:tr =_u \$0:tr - \$<:tr \wedge \$tr' - \$<:tr =_u \$1:tr - \$<:tr \wedge \$st' =_u \$<:st)$

abbreviation *rbasic-par* $(- \parallel_B - [85,86] \ 85)$ **where**

$P \parallel_B Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(N_B)} Q$

lemma *BasicMerge-RDM [closure]:* N_B is RDM

by (*rule RDM-intro, (rel-auto)+*)

lemma *BasicMerge-SymMerge [closure]:*

N_B is *SymMerge*

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *BasicMerge'-calc:*

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$wait' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$wait' \# Q$ P is R2 Q is R2

shows $P \parallel_{N_B} Q = ((\exists \$st' \cdot P) \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \wedge \$st' =_u \$st)$

using *assms*

proof –

have $P: (\exists \{ \$ok', \$wait' \} \cdot R2(P)) = P$ (**is** *?P' = -*)

by (*simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms*)

have $Q: (\exists \{ \$ok', \$wait' \} \cdot R2(Q)) = Q$ (**is** *?Q' = -*)

by (*simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms*)

have $?P' \parallel_{N_B} ?Q' = ((\exists \$st' \cdot ?P') \wedge (\exists \$st' \cdot ?Q') \wedge \$st' =_u \$st)$

by (*simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def, rel-auto, blast+*)

thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: P Q*)
 qed

8.2 Simple parallel composition

definition *rea-design-par* ::

(*'s, 't::trace, 'α*) *hrel-rsp* \Rightarrow (*'s, 't, 'α*) *hrel-rsp* \Rightarrow (*'s, 't, 'α*) *hrel-rsp* (**infixr** \parallel_R 85)
 where [*upred-defs*]: $P \parallel_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P) \wedge pre_R(Q)) \vdash (cmt_R(P) \wedge cmt_R(Q)))$

lemma *RHS-design-par*:

assumes
 $\$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# P_2$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))$
proof –
 have $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash Q_1 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash$
 $Q_2 \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$
 by (*simp add: RHS-design-ok-wait*)

also from *assms*

have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s((R1 (R2c (P_1)) \wedge R1 (R2c (P_2))) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket \vdash$
 $(R1 (R2c (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2c (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)$
 apply (*simp add: rea-design-par-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest assms*)
 apply (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s$], simp*)
 using *assms* apply (*rel-auto*)

done

also have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s((R2c(P_1) \wedge R2c(P_2)) \vdash$
 $(R1 (R2s (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2s (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))$
 by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-conj R1-design-R1-pre RHS-design-ok-wait*)
 also have ... =
 $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (R1 (R2s (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 (R2s (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))$
 by (*simp add: R2c-R3h-commute R2c-and R2c-design R2c-idem R2c-not RHS-def*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R1-conj R2s-conj RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2s*)
 also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))$
 by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)
 finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *RHS-tri-design-par*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P_1 \ \$ok' \# P_2$
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \wedge R_2))$
 by (*simp add: RHS-design-par assms unrest wait'-cond-conj-exchange*)

lemma *RHS-tri-design-par-RR* [*rdes-def*]:

assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR*
 shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \wedge R_2))$
 by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest assms*)

lemma *RHS-comp-assoc*:

assumes P is *NSRD* Q is *NSRD* R is *NSRD*
 shows $(P \parallel_R Q) \parallel_R R = P \parallel_R Q \parallel_R R$
 by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

end

9 Productive Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rdes-productive
  imports utp-rdes-parallel
begin
```

9.1 Healthiness condition

A reactive design is productive if it strictly increases the trace, whenever it terminates. If it does not terminate, it is also classed as productive.

definition *Productive* :: (*'s*, *'t*::trace, *'α*) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow (*'s*, *'t*, *'α*) hrel-rsp **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \text{Productive}(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{true} \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))$

lemma *Productive-RHS-design-form*:

```
assumes $ok' \# P $ok' \# Q $ok' \# R
shows Productive(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond (R \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))
using assms by (simp add: Productive-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest)
```

lemma *Productive-form*:

$\text{Productive}(\text{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$

proof –

```
have Productive(\text{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true} \vdash \text{true} \diamond (\$tr <_u \$tr'))
  by (simp add: Productive-def SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest)
finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

A reactive design is productive provided that the postcondition, under the precondition, strictly increases the trace.

lemma *Productive-intro*:

```
assumes P is SRD (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(P)) \$wait' \# \text{pre}_R(P)
shows P is Productive
```

proof –

```
have P:\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) = P
```

proof –

```
have \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{post}_R(P)))
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge \text{peri}_R(P)) \diamond (\text{pre}_R(P) \wedge (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))
  by (metis assms(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1 utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc)
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
```

qed

thus ?thesis

```
by (metis Healthy-def RHS-tri-design-par Productive-def ok'-pre-unrest unrest-true utp-pred-laws.inf-right-idem
  utp-pred-laws.inf-top-right)
```

qed

lemma *Productive-post-refines-tr-increase*:

assumes P is SRD P is Productive $\$wait' \# pre_R(P)$
shows $(\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \wedge post_R(P))$

proof –

have $post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2)*)
also have $\dots = R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms, rel-auto*)
also have $\dots = R1((pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*simp add: R2c-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' assms*)
also have $(\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \wedge \dots)$
by (*rel-auto*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *Continuous-Productive [closure]: Continuous Productive*

by (*simp add: Continuous-def Productive-def, rel-auto*)

9.2 Reactive design calculations

lemma *preR-Productive [rdes]*:

assumes P is SRD
shows $pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(P)$

proof –

have $pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-preR R1-preR Healthy-if assms*)

qed

lemma *periR-Productive [rdes]*:

assumes P is NSRD
shows $peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(P)$

proof –

have $peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms*)
also have $\dots = R1(R2c(pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P))$
by (*simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not assms closure*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)$
by (*simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-peri-SRD R1-peri-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr'*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms unrest closure*)

qed

lemma *postR-Productive [rdes]*:

assumes P is NSRD
shows $post_R(Productive(P)) = (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$

proof –

have $post_R(Productive(P)) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')))$
by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms*)
also have $\dots = R1(R2c(pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
by (*simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest assms closure*)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)$
by (*simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-and R1-extend-conj' R2c-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr'*)

finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *preR-frame-seq-export*:

assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD*
shows $(pre_R P \wedge (pre_R P \wedge post_R P) ;; Q) = (pre_R P \wedge (post_R P ;; Q))$

proof –

have $(pre_R P \wedge (post_R P ;; Q)) = (pre_R P \wedge ((pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P) ;; Q))$
by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge (((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; Q \vee (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R P)) ;; Q)))$
by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms(1) rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl R1-preR Healthy-if)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge (((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; Q \vee (pre_R P \wedge post_R P) ;; Q)))$

proof –

have $(pre_R P \vee \neg_r pre_R P) = R1 true$
by (simp add: R1-preR rea-not-or)
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def conj-comm disj-comm disj-conj-distr rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup.left-idem)

qed

also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge (((\neg_r pre_R P) \vee (pre_R P \wedge post_R P) ;; Q)))$
by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero assms closure SRD-healths)
also have $\dots = (pre_R P \wedge (pre_R P \wedge post_R P) ;; Q)$
by (rel-blast)

finally show ?thesis ..

qed

9.3 Closure laws

lemma *Productive-rdes-intro*:

assumes $(\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq R \$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R \$wait \# P \$wait' \# P$
shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))$ is Productive

proof (rule Productive-intro)

show $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)$ is SRD
by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD assms)

from assms(1) show $(\$tr' >_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq (pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) \wedge post_R (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)))$
apply (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst assms unrest)
using assms(1) apply (rel-auto)
apply fastforce
done

show $\$wait' \# pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))$

by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst R1-def R2c-def R2s-def assms unrest)

qed

We use the $R4$ healthiness condition to characterise that the postcondition must extend the trace for a reactive design to be productive.

lemma *Productive-rdes-RR-intro* [closure]:

assumes *P is RR Q is RR R is RR R is R4*
shows $(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))$ is Productive
using assms by (simp add: Productive-rdes-intro R4-iff-refine unrest)

lemma *Productive-Miracle* [closure]: *Miracle is Productive*

unfolding *Miracle-tri-def Healthy-def*
by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest)

lemma *Productive-Chaos* [closure]: *Chaos is Productive*
unfolding *Chaos-tri-def Healthy-def*
by (*subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest*)

lemma *Productive-intChoice* [closure]:
assumes *P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive*
shows $P \sqcap Q$ *is Productive*

proof –

have $P \sqcap Q =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R(Q) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by (*metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond ((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \vee (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond (((\text{post}_R P) \vee (\text{post}_R Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)
also have \dots *is Productive*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *Productive-cond-rea* [closure]:
assumes *P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive*
shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q$ *is Productive*

proof –

have $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q =$
 $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R(Q) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$
by (*metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond ((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (\text{post}_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$
by (*simp add: cond-srea-form*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{peri}_R Q) \diamond (((\text{post}_R P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (\text{post}_R Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
by (*rule cong[of $\mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s$], simp, rel-auto*)
also have \dots *is Productive*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *Productive-seq-1* [closure]:
assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD*
shows $P ;; Q$ *is Productive*

proof –

have $P ;; Q = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(Q) \vdash \text{peri}_R(Q) \diamond (\text{post}_R(Q)))$
by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)*)
also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\text{pre}_R P \wedge (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \text{wp}_r \text{pre}_R Q) \vdash (\text{peri}_R P \vee ((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; \text{peri}_R Q)) \diamond ((\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; \text{post}_R Q))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero*)

$SRD\text{-}healths\ ex\text{-}unrest\ wp\text{-}rea\text{-}def\ disj\text{-}upred\text{-}def)$
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge (post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash$
 $(peri_R P \vee ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;;$
 $post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
proof –
have $((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q)) = ((post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q) \wedge \tr'
 $>_u \$tr)$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms*)
qed
also have ... *is Productive*
by (*rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *Productive-seq-2 [closure]*:
assumes P *is NSRD* Q *is NSRD* Q *is Productive*
shows $P ;; Q$ *is Productive*
proof –
have $P ;; Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P))) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \wedge \tr
 $<_u \$tr'))$
by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms*)
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge post_R P \wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R$
 $P ;; (post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))$
by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero*
 $SRD\text{-}healths\ ex\text{-}unrest\ wp\text{-}rea\text{-}def\ disj\text{-}upred\text{-}def)$
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s((pre_R P \wedge post_R P \wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash (peri_R P \vee (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R$
 $P ;; (post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))$
proof –
have $(R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P) ;; (post_R Q \wedge \tr'
 $>_u \$tr))$
by (*rel-auto*)
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms*)
qed
also have ... *is Productive*
by (*rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def*)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

10 Guarded Recursion

theory *utp-rdes-guarded*
imports *utp-rdes-productive*
begin

10.1 Traces with a size measure

Guarded recursion relies on our ability to measure the trace's size, in order to see if it is decreasing on each iteration. Thus, we here equip the trace algebra with the *size* function that provides this.

class *size-trace* = *trace* + *size* +

assumes

size-zero: *size* 0 = 0 **and**

size-nzero: *s* > 0 \implies *size*(*s*) > 0 **and**

size-plus: *size* (*s* + *t*) = *size*(*s*) + *size*(*t*)

— These axioms may be stronger than necessary. In particular, $(0::'a) < ?s \implies 0 < \text{size } ?s$ requires that a non-empty trace have a positive size. But this may not be the case with all trace models and is possibly more restrictive than necessary. In future we will explore weakening.

begin

lemma *size-mono*: $s \leq t \implies \text{size}(s) \leq \text{size}(t)$

by (*metis* *le-add1* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.size-plus*)

lemma *size-strict-mono*: $s < t \implies \text{size}(s) < \text{size}(t)$

by (*metis* *cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-diff-cancel-left'* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.less-iff* *local.minus-gr-zero-iff* *local.size-nzero* *local.size-plus* *zero-less-diff*)

lemma *trace-strict-prefixE*: $xs < ys \implies (\bigwedge zs. \llbracket ys = xs + zs; \text{size}(zs) > 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$

by (*metis* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.less-iff* *local.minus-gr-zero-iff* *local.size-nzero*)

lemma *size-minus-trace*: $y \leq x \implies \text{size}(x - y) = \text{size}(x) - \text{size}(y)$

by (*metis* *diff-add-inverse* *local.diff-add-cancel-left'* *local.size-plus*)

end

Both natural numbers and lists are measurable trace algebras.

instance *nat* :: *size-trace*

by (*intro-classes*, *simp-all*)

instance *list* :: (*type*) *size-trace*

by (*intro-classes*, *simp-all* *add*: *zero-list-def* *less-list-def'* *plus-list-def* *prefix-length-less*)

syntax

-usize :: *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* (*size_u'*(-))

translations

size_u(*t*) == *CONST* *uop* *CONST* *size* *t*

10.2 Guardedness

definition *gvt* :: $((t::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ rp} \times (t, 'a) \text{ rp}) \text{ chain where}$

$[upred-defs]: \text{gvt}(n) \equiv (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \text{size}_u(\&tt) <_u \llbracket n \rrbracket)$

lemma *gvt-chain*: *chain* *gvt*

apply (*simp* *add*: *chain-def*, *safe*)

apply (*rel-simp*)

apply (*rel-simp*)+

done

lemma *gvt-limit*: $\sqcap (\text{range } \text{gvt}) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr')$

by (*rel-auto*)

definition *Guarded* :: $((t::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rp} \Rightarrow (t, 'a) \text{ hrel-rp}) \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

$[upred-defs]: \text{Guarded}(F) = (\forall X n. (F(X) \wedge \text{gvt}(n+1)) = (F(X \wedge \text{gvt}(n)) \wedge \text{gvt}(n+1)))$

lemma *GuardedI*: $\llbracket \bigwedge X n. (F(X) \wedge \text{gvt}(n+1)) = (F(X \wedge \text{gvt}(n)) \wedge \text{gvt}(n+1)) \rrbracket \implies \text{Guarded } F$

by (simp add: Guarded-def)

Guarded reactive designs yield unique fixed-points.

theorem *guarded-fp-uniq*:

assumes $\text{mono } F \ F \in \llbracket id \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket SRD \rrbracket_H \ \text{Guarded } F$

shows $\mu \ F = \nu \ F$

proof –

have *constr F gvirt*

using *assms*

by (auto simp add: constr-def gvirt-chain Guarded-def tcontr-alt-def')

hence $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu \ F) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu \ F)$

apply (rule constr-fp-uniq)

apply (simp add: assms)

using gvirt-limit apply blast

done

moreover have $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu \ F) = \mu \ F$

proof –

have $\mu \ F$ is *R1*

by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-mu, simp-all add: assms)

thus ?thesis

by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)

qed

moreover have $(\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu \ F) = \nu \ F$

proof –

have $\nu \ F$ is *R1*

by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-nu, simp-all add: assms)

thus ?thesis

by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)

qed

ultimately show ?thesis

by (simp)

qed

lemma *Guarded-const [closure]*: *Guarded* $(\lambda \ X. \ P)$

by (simp add: Guarded-def)

lemma *UINF-Guarded [closure]*:

assumes $\bigwedge P. P \in A \implies \text{Guarded } P$

shows *Guarded* $(\lambda \ X. \ \bigcap_{P \in A} P(X))$

proof (rule GuardedI)

fix $X \ n$

have $\bigwedge Y. ((\bigcap_{P \in A} P \ Y) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1)) = ((\bigcap_{P \in A} (P \ Y \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$

proof –

fix Y

let ?lhs = $((\bigcap_{P \in A} P \ Y) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$ and ?rhs = $((\bigcap_{P \in A} (P \ Y \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))) \wedge \text{gvrt}(n+1))$

have $a: ?lhs \llbracket \text{false}/\$ok \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{false}/\$ok \rrbracket$

by (rel-auto)

have $b: ?lhs \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{true}/\$wait \rrbracket$

by (rel-auto)

have $c: ?lhs \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait \rrbracket = ?rhs \llbracket \text{true}/\$ok \rrbracket \llbracket \text{false}/\$wait \rrbracket$

by (rel-auto)

show ?lhs = ?rhs

using a b c

by (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait], simp-all)

qed

moreover have $((\prod_{P \in A} \cdot (P \ X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1))) \wedge gvirt(n+1)) = ((\prod_{P \in A} \cdot (P \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n)) \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1))) \wedge gvirt(n+1))$
proof –
have $(\prod_{P \in A} \cdot (P \ X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1))) = (\prod_{P \in A} \cdot (P \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n)) \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1)))$
proof (*rule UINF-cong*)
fix P **assume** $P \in A$
thus $(P \ X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1)) = (P \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n)) \ \wedge \ gvirt(n+1))$
using *Guarded-def assms* **by** *blast*
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
ultimately show $((\prod_{P \in A} \cdot P \ X) \wedge gvirt(n+1)) = ((\prod_{P \in A} \cdot (P \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt(n)))) \wedge gvirt(n+1))$
by *simp*
qed

lemma *intChoice-Guarded* [*closure*]:
assumes *Guarded P Guarded Q*
shows *Guarded* $(\lambda X. P(X) \sqcap Q(X))$
proof –
have *Guarded* $(\lambda X. \prod_{F \in \{P, Q\}} \cdot F(X))$
by (*rule UINF-Guarded, auto simp add: assms*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp*)
qed

lemma *cond-srea-Guarded* [*closure*]:
assumes *Guarded P Guarded Q*
shows *Guarded* $(\lambda X. P(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q(X))$
using *assms* **by** (*rel-auto*)

A tail recursive reactive design with a productive body is guarded.

lemma *Guarded-if-Productive* [*closure*]:
fixes $P :: ('s, 't :: \text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows *Guarded* $(\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}(X))$
proof (*clarsimp simp add: Guarded-def*)
— We split the proof into three cases corresponding to valuations for ok, wait, and wait' respectively.
fix $X \ n$
have $a: (P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket =$
 $(P ;; \text{SRD}(X \ \wedge \ gvirt \ n) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-1 assms*)
have $b: ((P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket =$
 $((P ;; \text{SRD}(X \ \wedge \ gvirt \ n) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket$
by (*simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-2 assms*)
have $c: ((P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket =$
 $((P ;; \text{SRD}(X \ \wedge \ gvirt \ n) \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket$
proof –
have $1: (P \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD} \ X) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket =$
 $(P \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD} \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt \ n)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R3h-wait-true SRD-healths(3) SRD-idem*)
have $2: (P \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD} \ X) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket =$
 $(P \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD} \ (X \ \wedge \ gvirt \ n)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$
proof –
have $\text{exp}: \bigwedge Y :: ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}. (P \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (\text{SRD} \ Y) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \wedge gvirt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket$
=

```

      ((( $\neg_r$   $pre_R P$ ) ;; ( $SRD(Y)$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\vee$  ( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD$ 
 $Y$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]))
       $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
proof –
  fix  $Y :: ('s, 't, 'a) hrel-rsp$ 

  have ( $P$ )[ $false/\$wait'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ] =
    (( $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$ )[ $false/\$wait'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]
 $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
    by (metis (no-types) Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) NSRD-is-SRD)
  also have ... =
    (( $R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \wedge post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr'))$ ))[ $false/\$wait'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]
 $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
    by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def RD1-def RD2-def usubst unrest assms
closure design-def)
  also have ... =
    ((( $\neg_r pre_R(P) \vee (\$ok' \wedge post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')$ ))[ $false/\$wait'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]
 $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
    by (simp add: impl-alt-def R2c-disj R1-disj R2c-not assms closure R2c-and
R2c-preR rea-not-def R1-extend-conj' R2c-ok' R2c-post-SRD R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
  also have ... =
    ((( $\neg_r pre_R P$ ) ;; ( $SRD(Y)$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\vee$  ( $\$ok' \wedge post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD$ 
 $Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]))  $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
    by (simp add: usubst unrest assms closure seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero)
  also have ... =
    ((( $\neg_r pre_R P$ ) ;; ( $SRD(Y)$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\vee$  ( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]))
 $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]
proof –
  have ( $\$ok' \wedge post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ] =
    (( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ )  $\wedge$   $\$ok' =_u true$ ) ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]
    by (rel-blast)
  also have ... = ( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ )[ $true/\$ok'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ][ $true/\$ok$ ]
    using seqr-left-one-point[of ok (post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr) True (SRD Y)[false/\$wait]]
    by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym])
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst unrest)
qed
finally
show ( $P$ )[ $false/\$wait'$ ] ;; ( $SRD Y$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ] =
    ((( $\neg_r pre_R P$ ) ;; ( $SRD(Y)$ )[ $false/\$wait$ ]  $\vee$  ( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD$ 
 $Y$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]))
     $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ] .
qed

have 1:(( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD X$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]  $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ ) =
  (( $post_R P \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr$ ) ;; ( $SRD (X \wedge gvert n)$ )[ $true,false/\$ok,\$wait$ ]  $\wedge$   $gvert (Suc n)$ )
apply (rel-auto)
apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr0 st0 more0 ok')
apply (rule-tac x=tr0 in exI, rule-tac x=st0 in exI, rule-tac x=more0 in exI)
apply (simp)
apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
apply (rename-tac tr st ref ok wait tr' st' ref' tr0 st0 ref0 ok' zs)
apply (rule-tac x=False in exI)
apply (simp add: size-minus-trace)
apply (subgoal-tac size(tr) < size(tr0))
apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)

```

```

using size-strict-mono apply blast
apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr0 st0 more0 ok')
apply (rule-tac x=tr0 in exI, rule-tac x=st0 in exI, rule-tac x=more0 in exI)
apply (simp)
apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr0 st0 more0 ok' zs)
apply (auto simp add: size-minus-trace)
apply (subgoal-tac size(tr) < size(tr0))
  apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
using size-strict-mono apply blast
done
have 2: (¬r preR P) ;; (SRD X)⟦false/$wait⟧ = (¬r preR P) ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))⟦false/$wait⟧
  by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero closure assms SRD-healths)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: exp 1 2 utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
qed

show ?thesis
proof -
  have (P ;; (SRD X) ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧ =
    ((P⟦true/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD X)⟦true/$wait⟧ ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧ ∨
     (P⟦false/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD X)⟦false/$wait⟧ ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧)
  by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))

  also
  have ... = ((P⟦true/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))⟦true/$wait⟧ ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧
    ∨
    (P⟦false/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))⟦false/$wait⟧ ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧)
  by (simp add: 1 2)

  also
  have ... = ((P⟦true/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))⟦true/$wait⟧ ∨
    P⟦false/$wait'⟧ ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n))⟦false/$wait⟧) ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧
  by (simp add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))

  also have ... = (P ;; (SRD (X ∧ gvirt n)) ∧ gvirt (n+1))⟦true,false/$ok,$wait⟧
  by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst)
qed

qed
show (P ;; SRD(X) ∧ gvirt (Suc n)) = (P ;; SRD(X ∧ gvirt n) ∧ gvirt (Suc n))
  apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok])
  apply (simp-all add: a)
  apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait])
  apply (simp-all add: b c)
done
qed

```

10.3 Tail recursive fixed-point calculations

declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]

lemma mu-csp-form-1 [rdes]:

fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, 'α) hrel-rsp

assumes P is NSRD P is Productive

```

shows ( $\mu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ ) = ( $\prod i \cdot P \wedge (i+1)$ ) ;; Miracle
proof -
  have 1: Continuous ( $\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X$ )
    using SRD-Continuous
    by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: ( $\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X$ )  $\in \llbracket id \rrbracket_H \rightarrow \llbracket \text{SRD} \rrbracket_H$ 
    by (blast intro: funcsetI closure assms)
  with 1 2 have ( $\mu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ ) = ( $\nu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ )
    by (simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure)
  also have ... = ( $\prod i. ((\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X) \wedge^i) \text{ false}$ )
    by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 1 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = ( $(\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X) \wedge^0 \text{ false} \sqcap (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X) \wedge^{i+1}) \text{ false})$ )
    by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
  also have ... = ( $\prod i. ((\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X) \wedge^{i+1}) \text{ false}$ )
    by (simp)
  also have ... = ( $\prod i. P \wedge (i+1)$ ) ;; Miracle
proof (rule SUP-cong, simp-all)
  fix i
  show  $P \;; \text{SRD } ((\lambda X. P \;; \text{SRD } X) \wedge^i \text{ false}) = (P \;; P \wedge i)$  ;; Miracle
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp, metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD SRD-power-comp SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) seqr-assoc[THEN sym] srdes-theory.top-closed)
qed
qed
also have ... = ( $\prod i. P \wedge (i+1)$ ) ;; Miracle
  by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
qed

lemma mu-csp-form-NSRD [closure]:
  fixes  $P :: ('s, 't::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ 
  assumes  $P \text{ is NSRD } P \text{ is Productive}$ 
  shows ( $\mu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ ) is NSRD
  by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure)

lemma mu-csp-form-1':
  fixes  $P :: ('s, 't::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ 
  assumes  $P \text{ is NSRD } P \text{ is Productive}$ 
  shows ( $\mu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ ) = ( $P \;; P^*$ ) ;; Miracle
proof -
  have ( $\mu X \cdot P \;; \text{SRD}(X)$ ) = ( $\prod i \in \text{UNIV} \cdot P \;; P \wedge i$ ) ;; Miracle
    by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure ustar-def)
  also have ... = ( $P \;; P^*$ ) ;; Miracle
    by (simp only: seq-UINF-distl[THEN sym], simp add: ustar-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]

```

end

11 Reactive Design Programs

```
theory utp-rdes-prog
  imports
    utp-rdes-normal
    utp-rdes-tactics
    utp-rdes-parallel
    utp-rdes-guarded
    UTP-KAT.utp-kleene
begin
```

11.1 State substitution

lemma *srd-subst-RHS-tri-design* [*usubst*]:

$$\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \vdash (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger Q) \diamond (\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger R))$$

 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *srd-subst-SRD-closed* [*closure*]:
 assumes *P is SRD*
 shows $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$ is *SRD*
proof –
 have $SRD(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD\ P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD\ P)$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*metis Healthy-def assms*)
qed

lemma *preR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$$pre_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger pre_R(P)$$

 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$$peri_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger peri_R(P)$$

 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-srd-subst* [*rdes*]:

$$post_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger post_R(P)$$

 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *srd-subst-NSRD-closed* [*closure*]:
 assumes *P is NSRD*
 shows $\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P$ is *NSRD*
 by (*rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes assms unrest*)

11.2 Assignment

definition *assigns-srd* :: '*s usubst* \Rightarrow ('*s*, '*t::trace*, '*α*) *hrel-rsp* ($\langle \cdot \rangle_R$) **where**
 [*upred-defs*]: *assigns-srd* $\sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \neg \$wait' \wedge \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \wedge \$\Sigma_S' =_u \$\Sigma_S))$

syntax
 -*assign-srd* :: *svids* \Rightarrow *uexprs* \Rightarrow *logic* (*'(-)'* :=_R *'(-)'*)
 -*assign-srd* :: *svids* \Rightarrow *uexprs* \Rightarrow *logic* (**infixr** :=_R 62)

translations

$-assign-srd\ xs\ vs \Rightarrow CONST\ assigns-srd\ (-mk-usubst\ (id_s)\ xs\ vs)$
 $-assign-srd\ x\ v \Leftarrow CONST\ assigns-srd\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (id_s)\ x\ v)$
 $-assign-srd\ x\ v \Leftarrow -assign-srd\ (-spvar\ x)\ v$
 $x, y :=_R u, v \Leftarrow CONST\ assigns-srd\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (CONST\ subst-upd\ (id_s)\ (CONST\ pr-var\ x)\ u)\ (CONST\ pr-var\ y)\ v)$

lemma *assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des* [rdes-def]:

$\langle \sigma \rangle_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond \langle \sigma \rangle_r)$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *assigns-srd-NSRD-closed* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R$ is NSRD

by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)

lemma *preR-assigs-srd* [rdes]: $pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = true_r$

by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)

lemma *periR-assigs-srd* [rdes]: $peri_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = false$

by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)

lemma *postR-assigs-srd* [rdes]: $post_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \langle \sigma \rangle_r$

by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure rpred)

lemma *taut-eq-impl-property*:

$\llbracket vwb-lens\ x;\ \$x\ \sharp\ P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow '(\$x =_u \ll v \gg \wedge Q) \Rightarrow P' = 'Q[\ll v \gg / \$x] \Rightarrow P'$
by (rel-auto, meson mwb-lens-weak vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)

lemma *st-subst-taut-impl*:

assumes $vwb-lens\ x\ \$st:x\ \sharp\ Q\ P\ is\ RR\ Q\ is\ RR$
shows $'[\&x \mapsto_s \ll k \gg] \uparrow_S P \Rightarrow Q' = '[\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \wedge P \Rightarrow Q'$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $'P[\ll k \gg / \$st:x] \Rightarrow Q'$
by (simp add: usubst-st-lift-def alpha usubst)
also have ... = $'(\$st:x =_u \ll k \gg) \wedge RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)'$
by (simp add: Healthy-if assms taut-eq-impl-property)
also have ... = $'[\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \wedge RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)'$
by (rel-blast)
finally show ?thesis **by** (simp add: assms Healthy-if)

qed

The following law explains how to refine a program Q when it is first initialised by an assignment. Would be good if it could be generalised to a more general precondition.

lemma *AssignR-init-refine-intro*:

assumes
 $vwb-lens\ x\ \$st:x\ \sharp\ P_2\ \$st:x\ \sharp\ P_3$
 $P_2\ is\ RR\ P_3\ is\ RR\ Q\ is\ NSRD$
 $\mathbf{R}_s([\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq Q$
shows $\mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq (x :=_R \ll k \gg) ;; Q$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s([\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))$
by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
hence $\mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq x :=_R \ll k \gg ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))$
proof (clarsimp simp add: rdes-def assms closure unrest rpred wp RHS-tri-design-refine, safe)
assume a1: $'[\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)'$ **and** a2: $'[\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \wedge peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2'$ **and** a3: $'[\&x$


```

 $=_u \llbracket k \rrbracket_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_3$ 
from  $a1 \text{ assms}(1)$  show  $\text{'}R1 \text{ true} \Rightarrow [\&x \mapsto_s \llbracket k \rrbracket] \uparrow_S \text{pre}_R(Q)\text{'}$ 
  by (rel-simp)
show  $[\&x \mapsto_s \llbracket k \rrbracket] \uparrow_S \text{peri}_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2$ 
  by (simp add: a2 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
show  $[\&x \mapsto_s \llbracket k \rrbracket] \uparrow_S \text{post}_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_3$ 
  by (simp add: a3 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
qed

```

11.3 Conditional

```

lemma preR-cond-srea [rdes]:
   $\text{pre}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{pre}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{pre}_R(Q))$ 
  by (rel-auto)

lemma periR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  assumes  $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD}$ 
  shows  $\text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{peri}_R(Q))$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = \text{peri}_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))$ 
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed

```

```

lemma postR-cond-srea [rdes]:
  assumes  $P \text{ is SRD } Q \text{ is SRD}$ 
  shows  $\text{post}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(P) \vee [\neg b]_{S<} \wedge \text{post}_R(Q))$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{post}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = \text{post}_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))$ 
    by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rel-auto)
qed

```

```

lemma NSRD-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes  $P \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD}$ 
  shows  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is NSRD}$ 
proof (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
  show  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is SRD}$ 
    by (simp add: closure assms)
  show  $\text{pre}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) \text{ is RC}$ 
proof –
  have  $1:([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1(\text{true}) = ([\neg b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R P)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-neg-pre-unit aext-not assms(1) seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
  have  $2:([b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R Q) ;; R1(\text{true}) = ([b]_{S<} \vee \neg_r \text{pre}_R Q)$ 
    by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
qed
show  $\$st' \# \text{peri}_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)$ 
  by (simp add: rdes assms closure unrest)
qed

```

11.4 Assumptions

definition $AssumeR :: 's \text{ cond} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp } ([\cdot]^\top_R)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: AssumeR \ b = II_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \text{ Miracle}$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-rdes-def}$ $[rdes-def]$:
 $[b]^\top_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond [b]^\top_r)$
unfolding $AssumeR\text{-def}$ **by** $(rdes\text{-eq})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-NSRD}$ $[closure]$: $[b]^\top_R$ *is NSRD*
by $(simp \text{ add: } AssumeR\text{-def } closure)$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-false}$: $[false]^\top_R = \text{Miracle}$
by $(rel\text{-auto})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-true}$: $[true]^\top_R = II_R$
by $(rel\text{-auto})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-comp}$: $[b]^\top_R ;; [c]^\top_R = [b \wedge c]^\top_R$
by $(rdes\text{-simp})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-choice}$: $[b]^\top_R \sqcap [c]^\top_R = [b \vee c]^\top_R$
by $(rdes\text{-eq})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-refine-skip}$: $II_R \sqsubseteq [b]^\top_R$
by $(rdes\text{-refine})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-test}$ $[closure]$: $test_R [b]^\top_R$
by $(simp \text{ add: } AssumeR\text{-refine-skip } nsrdes\text{-theory.} utest\text{-intro})$

lemma $Star\text{-AssumeR}$: $[b]^\top_R^{\star R} = II_R$
by $(simp \text{ add: } StarR\text{-def } AssumeR\text{-NSRD } AssumeR\text{-test } nsrdes\text{-theory.} Star\text{-test})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-choice-skip}$: $II_R \sqcap [b]^\top_R = II_R$
by $(rdes\text{-eq})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-seq-refines}$:
assumes P *is NSRD*
shows $P \sqsubseteq P ;; [b]^\top_R$
by $(rdes\text{-refine } cls: \text{assms})$

lemma $cond\text{-srea-}AssumeR\text{-form}$:
assumes P *is NSRD* Q *is NSRD*
shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^\top_R ;; P) \sqcap ([\neg b]^\top_R ;; Q)$
by $(rdes\text{-eq } cls: \text{assms})$

lemma $cond\text{-srea-insert-assume}$:
assumes P *is NSRD* Q *is NSRD*
shows $P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^\top_R ;; P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R [\neg b]^\top_R ;; Q)$
by $(simp \text{ add: } AssumeR\text{-NSRD } AssumeR\text{-comp } NSRD\text{-segr-closure } RA1 \text{ assms } cond\text{-srea-}AssumeR\text{-form})$

lemma $AssumeR\text{-cond-left}$:
assumes P *is NSRD* Q *is NSRD*
shows $[b]^\top_R ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]^\top_R ;; P)$
by $(rdes\text{-eq } cls: \text{assms})$

lemma *AssumeR-cond-right*:
assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
shows $[\neg b]^\top_R \;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([\neg b]^\top_R \;; Q)$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

11.5 Guarded commands

definition *GuardedCommR* :: $'s \text{ cond} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} (- \rightarrow_R - [85, 86] \ 85)$ **where**

gcmd-def[*rdes-def*]: *GuardedCommR* $g \ A = A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R \text{Miracle}$

lemma *gcmd-false[simp]*: $(\text{false} \rightarrow_R A) = \text{Miracle}$
unfolding *gcmd-def* **by** (*pred-auto*)

lemma *gcmd-true[simp]*: $(\text{true} \rightarrow_R A) = A$
unfolding *gcmd-def* **by** (*pred-auto*)

lemma *gcmd-SRD*:
assumes A is SRD
shows $(g \rightarrow_R A)$ is SRD
by (*simp add: gcmd-def SRD-cond-srea assms srdes-theory.top-closed*)

lemma *gcmd-NSRD [closure]*:
assumes A is NSRD
shows $(g \rightarrow_R A)$ is NSRD
by (*simp add: gcmd-def NSRD-cond-srea assms NSRD-Miracle*)

lemma *gcmd-Productive [closure]*:
assumes A is NSRD A is Productive
shows $(g \rightarrow_R A)$ is Productive
by (*simp add: gcmd-def closure assms*)

lemma *gcmd-seq-distr*:
assumes B is NSRD
shows $(g \rightarrow_R A) \;; B = (g \rightarrow_R (A \;; B))$
by (*simp add: Miracle-left-zero NSRD-is-SRD assms cond-st-distr gcmd-def*)

lemma *gcmd-nondet-distr*:
assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
shows $(g \rightarrow_R (A \sqcap B)) = (g \rightarrow_R A) \sqcap (g \rightarrow_R B)$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

lemma *AssumeR-as-gcmd*:
 $[b]^\top_R = b \rightarrow_R \text{II}_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *AssumeR-gcomm*:
assumes P is NSRD
shows $[b]^\top_R \;; (c \rightarrow_R P) = (b \wedge c) \rightarrow_R P$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

11.6 Generalised Alternation

definition *AlternateR*

:: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**

$[upred-defs, rdes-def]: \text{AlternateR } I \ g \ A \ B = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((g \ i) \rightarrow_R (A \ i))) \sqcap ((\neg (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g \ i)) \rightarrow_R B)$

definition *AlternateR-list*

$:: ('s \ upred \times ('s, 't::trace, 'a) \ hrel-rsp) \ list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp$ **where**
 $[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:$

$\text{AlternateR-list } xs \ P = \text{AlternateR } \{0..<\text{length } xs\} \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{map } fst \ xs \ ! \ i) \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{map } snd \ xs \ ! \ i) \ P$

syntax

$\text{-altindR-els} \quad :: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ -\in- \cdot \ - \rightarrow \ - \ \text{else} \ - \ fi)$

$\text{-altindR} \quad :: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R \ -\in- \cdot \ - \rightarrow \ - \ fi)$

$\text{-altgcommR-els} \quad :: \text{gcomms} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R / \ - \ \text{else} \ - \ /fi)$

$\text{-altgcommR} \quad :: \text{gcomms} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (if_R / \ - \ /fi)$

translations

$if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \ \text{else} \ B \ fi \ \rightarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR } I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B$

$if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \ fi \ \rightarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR } I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ (\text{CONST } \text{Chaos})$

$if_R \ i \in I \cdot (g \ i) \rightarrow A \ \text{else} \ B \ fi \ \leftarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR } I \ g \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B$

$\text{-altgcommR } cs \ \rightarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR-list } cs \ (\text{CONST } \text{Chaos})$

$\text{-altgcommR } (-\text{gcomm-show } cs) \ \leftarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR-list } cs \ (\text{CONST } \text{Chaos})$

$\text{-altgcommR-els } cs \ P \ \rightarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR-list } cs \ P$

$\text{-altgcommR-els } (-\text{gcomm-show } cs) \ P \ \leftarrow \ \text{CONST } \text{AlternateR-list } cs \ P$

lemma *AlternateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:*

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies A \ i \ \text{is NSRD } B \ \text{is NSRD}$

shows $(if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ fi) \ \text{is NSRD}$

proof $(\text{cases } I = \{\})$

case *True*

then show *?thesis* **by** $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def assms})$

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis* **by** $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms})$

qed

lemma *AlternateR-empty [simp]:*

$(if_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ fi) = B$

by $(rdes-simp)$

lemma *AlternateR-Productive [closure]:*

assumes

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies A \ i \ \text{is NSRD } B \ \text{is NSRD}$

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies A \ i \ \text{is Productive } B \ \text{is Productive}$

shows $(if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ \text{else} \ B \ fi) \ \text{is Productive}$

proof $(\text{cases } I = \{\})$

case *True*

then show *?thesis*

by $(\text{simp add: assms}(4))$

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis*

by $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms})$

qed

lemma *AlternateR-singleton:*

assumes $A \text{ } k \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}$
shows $(\text{if}_R i \in \{k\} \cdot g \text{ } i \rightarrow A \text{ } i \text{ else } B \text{ } fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R B)$
by $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def, rdes-eq cls: assms})$

Convert an alternation over disjoint guards into a cascading if-then-else

lemma *AlternateR-insert-cascade:*

assumes
 $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies A \text{ } i \text{ is NSRD}$
 $A \text{ } k \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}$
 $(g(k) \wedge (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g(i))) = \text{false}$
shows $(\text{if}_R i \in \text{insert } k \text{ } I \cdot g \text{ } i \rightarrow A \text{ } i \text{ else } B \text{ } fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R (\text{if}_R i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow A(i) \text{ else } B \text{ } fi))$
proof $(\text{cases } I = \{\})$
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **by** $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-singleton assms})$
next
case *False*
have $1: (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \text{ } i \rightarrow_R A \text{ } i) = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \text{ } i \rightarrow_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(A \text{ } i) \vdash \text{peri}_R(A \text{ } i) \diamond \text{post}_R(A \text{ } i)))$
by $(\text{simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms}(I) \text{ cong: UINF-cong})$
from $\text{assms}(4)$ **show** *?thesis*
by $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def } 1 \text{ } False)$
 $(\text{rdes-eq cls: assms}(1-3) \text{ } False \text{ cong: UINF-cong})$
qed

lemma *AlternateR-assume-branch:*

assumes $I \neq \{\} \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P \text{ } i \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD}$
shows $([\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i]^\top_R ;; \text{AlternateR } I \text{ } b \text{ } P \text{ } Q) = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i) \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}$
proof $-$
have $?lhs = [\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i]^\top_R ;; ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i) \sqcap (\neg (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i)) \rightarrow_R Q)$
by $(\text{simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms})$
also have $\dots = [\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i]^\top_R ;; (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i) \sqcap \text{Miracle}$
by $(\text{simp add: seqr-inf-distr AssumeR-gcomm closure assms})$
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i) \wedge b \text{ } i) \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i) \sqcap \text{Miracle}$
by $(\text{simp add: seq-UINF-distl AssumeR-gcomm closure assms cong: UINF-cong})$
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i) \sqcap \text{Miracle}$
proof $-$
have $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i) \wedge b \text{ } i) = b \text{ } i$
by (rel-auto)
thus *?thesis*
by $(\text{simp cong: UINF-cong})$
qed
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \text{ } i \rightarrow_R P \text{ } i)$
by $(\text{simp add: closure assms})$
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

11.7 Choose

definition *choose-srd* $:: ('s, 't :: \text{trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\text{choose}_R) \text{ where}$
 $[\text{upred-defs}, \text{rdes-def}]: \text{choose}_R = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond \text{true}_r)$

lemma *preR-choose* $[\text{rdes}]: \text{pre}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{true}_r$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *periR-choose* $[\text{rdes}]: \text{peri}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{false}$
by (rel-auto)

lemma *postR-choose* [*rdes*]: $\text{post}_R(\text{choose}_R) = \text{true}_r$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *choose-srd-SRD* [*closure*]: choose_R is *SRD*
by (*simp add: choose-srd-def closure unrest*)

lemma *NSRD-choose-srd* [*closure*]: choose_R is *NSRD*
by (*rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest rdes*)

11.8 Divergence Freedom

definition *ndiv-srd* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'t}::\text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha\text{'}) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\text{ndiv}_R)$
where [*rdes-def*]: $\text{ndiv-srd} = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{true}_r \diamond \text{true}_r)$

lemma *ndiv-NSRD* [*closure*]: ndiv_R is *NSRD*
by (*simp add: rdes-def closure unrest*)

lemma *ndiv-srd-refines-preR-true*:
assumes P is *SRD*
shows $\text{ndiv}_R \sqsubseteq P \longleftrightarrow \text{pre}_R(P) = \text{true}_r$ (**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

proof

assume $?lhs$

thus $?rhs$

by (*metis R1-preR ndiv-srd-def preR-antitone preR-srdes rea-true-RR rea-true-disj(2) utp-pred-laws.sup.orderE*)

next

assume $?rhs$

hence $\text{ndiv}_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P))$

by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-conj assms ndiv-srd-def closure rea-true-conj(1) rea-true-impl utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb-if*)

thus $?lhs$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms*)

qed

lemma *ndiv-srd-refines-rdes-pre-true*:
assumes P_1 is *RR* P_2 is *RR* P_3 is *RR*
shows $\text{ndiv}_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \longleftrightarrow P_1 = \text{true}_r$ (**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
by (*simp add: ndiv-srd-refines-preR-true closure assms rdes unrest*)

11.9 State Abstraction

definition *state-srea* ::
 $\text{'s} \text{ itself} \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'t}::\text{trace}, \text{'}\alpha\text{'}, \text{'}\beta\text{'}) \text{ rel-rsp} \Rightarrow (\text{unit}, \text{'t}, \text{'}\alpha\text{'}, \text{'}\beta\text{'}) \text{ rel-rsp}$ **where**
[*upred-defs*]: $\text{state-srea } t \ P = \langle \exists \ \{\$st, \$st'\} \cdot P \rangle_S$

syntax

$\text{-state-srea} :: \text{type} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic } (\text{state} \ \cdot \ \cdot \ - [0,200] \ 200)$

translations

$\text{state } 'a \cdot P == \text{CONST state-srea TYPE('a)} \ P$

lemma *R1-state-srea*: $R1(\text{state } 'a \cdot P) = (\text{state } 'a \cdot R1(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R2c-state-srea*: $R2c(\text{state } 'a \cdot P) = (\text{state } 'a \cdot R2c(P))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *R3h-state-srea*: $R3h(state\ 'a \cdot P) = (state\ 'a \cdot R3h(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD1-state-srea*: $RD1(state\ 'a \cdot P) = (state\ 'a \cdot RD1(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD2-state-srea*: $RD2(state\ 'a \cdot P) = (state\ 'a \cdot RD2(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *RD3-state-srea*: $RD3(state\ 'a \cdot P) = (state\ 'a \cdot RD3(P))$
 by (*rel-auto*, *blast+*)

lemma *SRD-state-srea* [*closure*]: $P\ is\ SRD \implies state\ 'a \cdot P\ is\ SRD$
 by (*simp add: Healthy-def R1-state-srea R2c-state-srea R3h-state-srea RD1-state-srea RD2-state-srea RHS-def SRD-def*)

lemma *NSRD-state-srea* [*closure*]: $P\ is\ NSRD \implies state\ 'a \cdot P\ is\ NSRD$
 by (*metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-RD3 NSRD-is-SRD RD3-state-srea SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD SRD-state-srea*)

lemma *preR-state-srea* [*rdes*]: $pre_R(state\ 'a \cdot P) = (\forall\ \{\$st, \$st'\} \cdot pre_R(P))_S$
 by (*simp add: state-srea-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-state-srea* [*rdes*]: $peri_R(state\ 'a \cdot P) = state\ 'a \cdot peri_R(P)$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-state-srea* [*rdes*]: $post_R(state\ 'a \cdot P) = state\ 'a \cdot post_R(P)$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *state-srea-rdes-def* [*rdes-def*]:
 assumes $P\ is\ RC\ Q\ is\ RR\ R\ is\ RR$
 shows $state\ 'a \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall\ \{\$st, \$st'\} \cdot P)_S \vdash (state\ 'a \cdot Q) \diamond (state\ 'a \cdot R))$
 (is $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(?lhs) \vdash peri_R(?lhs) \diamond post_R(?lhs))$
 by (*simp add: RC-implies-RR SRD-rdes-intro SRD-reactive-tri-design SRD-state-srea assms*)
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s((\forall\ \{\$st, \$st'\} \cdot P)_S \vdash state\ 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \diamond state\ 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r R))$
 by (*simp add: rdes closure assms*)
 also have $\dots = ?rhs$
 by (*rel-auto*)
 finally show $?thesis$.

qed

lemma *ext-st-rdes-dist* [*rdes-def*]:
 $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \oplus_p abs-st_L = \mathbf{R}_s(P \oplus_p abs-st_L \vdash Q \oplus_p abs-st_L \diamond R \oplus_p abs-st_L)$
 by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *state-srea-refine*:
 $(P \oplus_p abs-st_L) \sqsubseteq Q \implies P \sqsubseteq (state-srea\ TYPE('s)\ Q)$
 by (*rel-auto*)

11.10 Reactive Frames

definition *rdes-frame-ext* :: $(' \alpha \implies ' \beta) \Rightarrow (' \alpha, ' t :: trace, ' r) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow (' \beta, ' t, ' r) \ hrel-rsp$ **where**
 [*upred-defs, rdes-def*]: $rdes-frame-ext\ a\ P = \mathbf{R}_s(rel-aext\ (pre_R(P))\ (map-st_L\ a) \vdash rel-aext\ (peri_R(P))\ (map-st_L\ a) \diamond a : [post_R(P)]_r^+)$

syntax

$\text{-rdes-frame-ext} :: \text{salpha} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \text{ } (-:[-]_{R^+} [99,0] 100)$

translations

$\text{-rdes-frame-ext } x \ P \Rightarrow \text{CONST rdes-frame-ext } x \ P$

$\text{-rdes-frame-ext } (-\text{salphaset } (-\text{salphamk } x)) \ P \leq \text{CONST rdes-frame-ext } x \ P$

lemma *RC-rel-aext-st-closed* [closure]:

assumes P is RC

shows $\text{rel-aext } P \text{ } (\text{map-st}_L \ a)$ is RC

proof –

have $\text{RC}(\text{rel-aext } (\text{RC}(P)) \text{ } (\text{map-st}_L \ a)) = \text{rel-aext } (\text{RC}(P)) \text{ } (\text{map-st}_L \ a)$

by (*rel-auto*)

(metis (no-types, hide-lams) diff-add-cancel-left' dual-order.trans le-add trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left trace-class.add-left-mono)

thus ?thesis

by (*rule-tac Healthy-intro, simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

qed

lemma *rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is SRD}; \$\text{wait}' \ \# \ \text{pre}_R(P) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a:[P]_{R^+} \text{ is SRD}$

unfolding *rdes-frame-ext-def*

apply (*rule SRD-rdes-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: closure unrest*)

apply (*simp add: RR-R2-intro ok'-pre-unrest ok-pre-unrest wait-pre-unrest closure*)

done

lemma *preR-rdes-frame-ext*:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \Longrightarrow \text{pre}_R(a:[P]_{R^+}) = \text{rel-aext } (\text{pre}_R(P)) \text{ } (\text{map-st}_L \ a)$

by (*simp add: preR-RR preR-srdes rdes-frame-ext-def rea-aext-RR*)

lemma *unrest-rel-aext-st'* [unrest]: $\$st' \ \# \ P \Longrightarrow \$st' \ \# \ \text{rel-aext } P \text{ } (\text{map-st}_L \ a)$

by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *rdes-frame-ext-NSRD-closed*:

$P \text{ is NSRD} \Longrightarrow a:[P]_{R^+} \text{ is NSRD}$

apply (*rule NSRD-RC-intro*)

apply (*rule rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed*)

apply (*simp-all add: closure unrest rdes*)

apply (*simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-RC RC-rel-aext-st-closed preR-RR preR-srdes rdes-frame-ext-def rea-aext-RR*)

apply (*simp add: rdes-frame-ext-def*)

apply (*simp add: rdes closure unrest*)

done

lemma *skip-srea-frame* [frame]:

$\text{vwb-lens } a \Longrightarrow a:[II_R]_{R^+} = II_R$

by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *seq-srea-frame* [frame]:

assumes $\text{vwb-lens } a \ P \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD}$

shows $a:[P ;; Q]_{R^+} = a:[P]_{R^+} ;; a:[Q]_{R^+}$ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $\mathbf{R}_s \ ((\text{pre}_R \ P \wedge \text{post}_R \ P \ \text{wp}_r \ \text{pre}_R \ Q) \oplus_r \ \text{map-st}_L[a] \vdash$

$((\text{pre}_R \ P \wedge \text{post}_R \ P \ \text{wp}_r \ \text{pre}_R \ Q) \oplus_r \ \text{map-st}_L[a] \Rightarrow_r (\text{peri}_R \ P \vee \text{post}_R \ P ;; \text{peri}_R \ Q))$

$\oplus_r \text{map-st}_L[a] \diamond$
 $a:[pre_R P \wedge post_R P \text{wp}_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; post_R Q]_r^+$
using *assms*(1) **by** (*rdes-simp cls: assms*(2-3))
also have ... = $\mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \wedge post_R P \text{wp}_r pre_R Q) \oplus_r \text{map-st}_L[a] \vdash$
 $((peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q) \oplus_r \text{map-st}_L[a]) \diamond$
 $a:[post_R P ;; post_R Q]_r^+$
by (*rel-auto*)
also from *assms*(1) **have** ... = ?*rhs*
apply (*rdes-eq-split cls: assms*(2-3))
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get*)
apply (*metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-get*)
apply (*metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
apply (*metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get*)
done
finally show ?*thesis* .
qed

lemma *rdes-frame-ext-Productive-closed* [*closure*]:
assumes *P* is NSRD *P* is Productive
shows $x:[P]_R^+$ is Productive
proof –
have $x:[Productive(P)]_R^+$ is Productive
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms, rel-auto*)
thus ?*thesis*
by (*simp add: Healthy-if assms*)
qed

11.11 While Loop

definition *WhileR* :: '*s* upred \Rightarrow ('*s*, '*t*::size-trace, '*α*) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('*s*, '*t*, '*α*) hrel-rsp **where**
 $WhileR \ b \ P = (\mu_R \ X \cdot (P ;; X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ \Pi_R)$

syntax -*whileR* :: *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* \Rightarrow *logic* (*whileR* - *do* - *od*)
translations -*whileR* *b P* == *CONST WhileR b P*

lemma *Sup-power-false*:
fixes *F* :: '*α* upred \Rightarrow '*α* upred
shows $(\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} i) \text{false}) = (\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{false})$
proof –
have $(\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} i) \text{false}) = (F \hat{\wedge} 0) \text{false} \sqcap (\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{false})$
by (*subst Sup-power-expand, simp*)
also have ... = $(\bigcap i. (F \hat{\wedge} (i+1)) \text{false})$
by (*simp*)
finally show ?*thesis* .
qed

theorem *WhileR-unfold*:
assumes *P* is NSRD
shows *whileR b do P od* = $(P ;; whileR \ b \ do \ P \ od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ \Pi_R$
apply (*simp add: WhileR-def*)
apply (*subst srdes-theory.LFP-unfold*)
apply (*simp-all add: mono-Monotone-utp-order closure assms*)

done

theorem *WhileR-iter-expand*:

assumes *P* is NSRD *P* is Productive

shows $\text{while}_R\ b\ \text{do}\ P\ \text{od} = (\bigwedge i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))$ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have 1: *Continuous* ($\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X$)

using *SRD-Continuous*

by (*clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp*)

have 2: *Continuous* ($\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$)

by (*simp add: 1 closure assms*)

have ?lhs = $(\mu_R\ X \cdot P ;; X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$

by (*simp add: WhileR-def*)

also have ... = $(\mu\ X \cdot P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$

by (*auto simp add: srd-mu-equiv closure assms*)

also have ... = $(\nu\ X \cdot P ;; \text{SRD}(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$

by (*auto simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure assms*)

also have ... = $(\bigwedge i. ((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i)\ \text{false})$

by (*simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 2 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def*)

also have ... = $(\bigwedge i. ((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge (i+1))\ \text{false})$

by (*simp add: Sup-power-false*)

also have ... = $(\bigwedge i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))$

proof (*rule SUP-cong, simp*)

fix *i*

show $((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge (i+1))\ \text{false} = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$

proof (*induct i*)

case 0

thm *if-eq-cancel*

then show ?case

by (*simp,metis srdes-theory.healthy-top*)

next

case (*Suc i*)

show ?case

proof –

have $((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge (Suc\ i + 1))\ \text{false} =$

$P ;; \text{SRD}\ (((\lambda X. P ;; \text{SRD}\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge (i+1))\ \text{false}) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$

by *simp*

also have ... = $P ;; \text{SRD}\ ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$

using *Suc.hyps* by *auto*

also have ... = $P ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-cond-srea NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc NSRD-srd-skip SRD-cond-srea SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) power.power-eq-if seqr-left-unit srdes-theory.top-closed*)

also have ... = $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge Suc\ i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$

proof (*induct i*)

case 0

then show ?case

by (*simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip assms(1) cond-L6 cond-st-distr srdes-theory.top-closed*)

next

case (*Suc i*)

have 1: $II_R ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge i)$

by (*simp add: NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip assms(1)*)

then show ?case

proof –

have $\bigwedge u. (u ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge \text{Suc } i) ;; (P ;; (\text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R) =$
 $((u \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \wedge \text{Suc } i) ;; (P ;; (\text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)))$
by (*metis (no-types) Suc.hyps 1 cond-L6 cond-st-distr power.power.power-Suc*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: RA1 upred-semiring.power-Suc*)
qed
qed
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
qed
qed
also have $\dots = (\bigcap i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))$
by (*simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect'*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

theorem *WhileR-star-expand:*

assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows $\text{while}_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od} = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\bigcap i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^i ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))$
by (*simp add: WhileR-iter-expand seq-UINF-distr' assms*)
also have $\dots = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: ustar-def*)
also have $\dots = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*} ;; II_R) ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: seqr-assoc SRD-left-unit closure assms*)
also have $\dots = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: StarR-def nsrdes-theory.Star-def*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *WhileR-NSRD-closed [closure]:*

assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows $\text{while}_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od}$ *is NSRD*
by (*simp add: StarR-def WhileR-star-expand assms closure*)

theorem *WhileR-iter-form-lemma:*

assumes *P is NSRD*
shows $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R$
proof –
have $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P) \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top}_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-right-unit NSRD-srd-skip assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form*)
also have $\dots = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R)^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-denest assms(1)*)
also have $\dots = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R})^{*R} ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def RD3-def RD3-idem Star-AssumeR nsrdes-theory.Star-def*)
also have $\dots = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R}) ;; (P ;; \text{Miracle} \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)$
by (*simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-invol assms(1)*)
also have $\dots = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R}) ;; ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P ;; \text{Miracle}) \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top}_R$
by (*simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-Miracle NSRD-right-unit NSRD-seqr-closure NSRD-srd-skip assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form*)
also have $\dots = ((([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [b]^{\top}_R ;; P ;; \text{Miracle}) \sqcap ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R)$
by (*simp add: upred-semiring.distrib-left*)
also have $\dots = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R$

proof –
have $(([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R = (II_R \sqcap (([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P)) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R$
by (*simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldr-eq assms(1)*)
also have $\dots = [\neg b]^\top_R \sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-as-gcmd NSRD-srd-skip Star-AssumeR nsrdes-theory.Star-slide gcmd-seq-distr skip-srea-self-unit urel-diod.distrib-right*)
also have $\dots = [\neg b]^\top_R \sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; [b \vee \neg b]^\top_R) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R)$
by (*simp add: AssumeR-true NSRD-right-unit assms(1)*)
also have $\dots = [\neg b]^\top_R \sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; [b]^\top_R) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R)$
 $\sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; [\neg b]^\top_R) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R)$
by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) AssumeR-choice upred-semiring.add-assoc upred-semiring.distrib-left upred-semiring.distrib-right*)
also have $\dots = [\neg b]^\top_R \sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; ([b]^\top_R ;; [\neg b]^\top_R))$
 $\sqcap (([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; ([\neg b]^\top_R ;; [\neg b]^\top_R))$
by (*simp add: RA1*)
also have $\dots = [\neg b]^\top_R \sqcap ((([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; Miracle)$
 $\sqcap (([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; [\neg b]^\top_R)$
by (*simp add: AssumeR-comp AssumeR-false*)
finally have $([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [\neg b]^\top_R \sqsubseteq (([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P ;; Miracle$
by (*simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-supI1*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-iff-sup*)
qed
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

theorem *WhileR-iter-form:*

assumes *P is NSRD P is Productive*
shows $while_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od} = ([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [\neg b]^\top_R$
by (*simp add: WhileR-iter-form-lemma WhileR-star-expand assms*)

theorem *WhileR-outer-refine-intro:*

assumes
P is NSRD P is Productive
 $S \sqsubseteq ([b]^\top_R ;; P) ;; S \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^\top_R$
shows $S \sqsubseteq while_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od}$
apply (*simp add: assms StarR-def WhileR-iter-form*)
apply (*rule nsrdes-theory.Star-inductl*)
apply (*simp-all add: closure assms*)
done

theorem *WhileR-outer-refine-init-intro:*

assumes
P is NSRD I is NSRD P is Productive
 $S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg b]^\top_R$
 $S \sqsubseteq S ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P$
 $S \sqsubseteq I ;; [b]^\top_R ;; P$
shows $S \sqsubseteq I ;; while_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od}$

proof –

have $S \sqsubseteq I ;; (([b]^\top_R ;; P) ;; ([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; [\neg b]^\top_R$

proof –

have $S \sqsubseteq I ;; ([b]^\top_R ;; P) ;; ([b]^\top_R ;; P)^{\star R}$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure RA1 assms(1)*
assms(2) assms(5) assms(6) nsrdes-theory.Star-inductr semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff)
thus *?thesis*

by (metis (no-types, lifting) AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-seq-refines StarR-def assms(1) dual-order.trans
 nsrdes-theory.Healthy-Sequence nsrdes-theory.utp-theory-kleene-axioms urel-dioid.mult-isol utp-theory-kleene.Star-Healthy)

qed

moreover have $S \sqsubseteq I$;; II_R ;; $[\neg b]^\top_R$

by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD assms nsrdes-theory.Unit-Left)

ultimately show ?thesis

apply (simp add: assms WhileR-iter-form StarR-def)

apply (subst nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldl-eq[THEN sym])

apply (auto simp add: closure assms segr-inf-distr)

done

qed

theorem *WhileR-false:*

assumes P is NSRD

shows $\text{while}_R \text{ false do } P \text{ od} = II_R$

by (simp add: WhileR-def rpred closure srdes-theory.LFP-const)

theorem *WhileR-true:*

assumes P is NSRD P is Productive

shows $\text{while}_R \text{ true do } P \text{ od} = P^{*R}$;; *Miracle*

by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form AssumeR-true AssumeR-false SRD-left-unit assms closure)

lemma *WhileR-insert-assume:*

assumes P is NSRD P is Productive

shows $\text{while}_R b \text{ do } ([b]^\top_R ;; P) \text{ od} = \text{while}_R b \text{ do } P \text{ od}$

by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-comp NSRD-segr-closure Productive-seq-2 RA1 WhileR-iter-form assms)

theorem *WhileR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:*

assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR $\$st' \# Q$ R is R4

shows $\text{while}_R b \text{ do } \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \text{ od} =$

$\mathbf{R}_s((([b]^\top_r ;; R)^{*r} \text{ wp}_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P) \vdash (([b]^\top_r ;; R)^{*r} ;; [b]^\top_r ;; Q) \diamond (([b]^\top_r ;; R)^{*r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r))$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have ?lhs = $([b]^\top_R ;; \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^\top_R$

by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form Productive-rdes-RR-intro assms closure)

also have ... = ?rhs

by (simp add: rdes-def assms closure unrest rpred wp del: rea-star-wp)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

Refinement introduction law for reactive while loops

theorem *WhileR-refine-intro:*

assumes

— Closure conditions

Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR $\$st' \# Q_2$ Q_3 is R4

— Refinement conditions

$([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} \text{ wp}_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1$

$P_2 \sqsubseteq [b]^\top_r ;; Q_2$

$P_2 \sqsubseteq [b]^\top_r ;; Q_3 ;; P_2$

$P_3 \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^\top_r$

$P_3 \sqsubseteq [b]^\top_r ;; Q_3 ;; P_3$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \text{while}_R b \text{ do } \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \text{ od}$

proof (simp add: rdes-def assms, rule srdes-tri-refine-intro[^])

show $([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} \text{ wp}_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1$

```

  by (simp add: assms)
show  $P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [b]^\top_r ;; Q_2)$ 
proof -
  have  $P_2 \sqsubseteq ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [b]^\top_r ;; Q_2$ 
  by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seq-RR-closed seqr-assoc)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
qed
show  $P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r)$ 
proof -
  have  $P_3 \sqsubseteq ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r$ 
  by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seqr-assoc)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
qed
qed

lemma WhileR-post-lemma:
  assumes
     $P_1$  is RC  $P_2$  is RR  $P_3$  is RR
     $Q_1$  is RC  $Q_2$  is RR  $Q_3$  is RR  $\$st' \# Q_2$   $Q_3$  is R4
     $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \text{while}_R b \text{ do } \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \text{ od}$ 
  shows  $P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge [\neg b]^\top_r)$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r)$ 
  by (simp add: rdes-def assms RHS-tri-design-refine' closure)
  moreover have  $(P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r) \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge [\neg b]^\top_r)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) order-refl rea-assume-RR rea-skip-unit(2) rrel-theory.Star-unfoldl
    semilattice-sup-class.le-sup-iff urel-dioid.mult-isor utp-pred-laws.inf-mono)
  thus ?thesis
  by (meson calculation order.trans)
qed

```

11.12 Iteration Construction

definition *IterateR*

$:: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \text{ upred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$
where *IterateR* $A \ g \ P = \text{while}_R (\bigvee i \in A \cdot g(i)) \text{ do } (\text{if}_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ fi}) \text{ od}$

definition *IterateR-list*

$:: ('s \text{ upred} \times ('s, 't::\text{size-trace}, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $[\text{upred-defs}, \text{ndes-simp}]$:

IterateR-list $xs = \text{IterateR } \{0..<\text{length } xs\} (\lambda i. \text{map fst } xs ! i) (\lambda i. \text{map snd } xs ! i)$

syntax

-iter-srd $:: p\text{trn} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (do_R \text{ -- } \cdot \rightarrow \cdot \text{ od})$
-iter-gcommR $:: g\text{comms} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \ (do_R / \cdot / \text{od})$

translations

-iter-srd $x \ A \ g \ P \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{IterateR } A \ (\lambda x. g) \ (\lambda x. P)$
-iter-srd $x \ A \ g \ P \Leftarrow \text{CONST } \text{IterateR } A \ (\lambda x. g) \ (\lambda x'. P)$
-iter-gcommR $cs \multimap \text{CONST } \text{IterateR-list } cs$
-iter-gcommR $(\text{-gcomm-show } cs) \multimap \text{CONST } \text{IterateR-list } cs$

lemma *IterateR-NSRD-closed* [closure]:

assumes

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P(i) \text{ is NSRD}$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P(i) \text{ is Productive}$
shows $do_R i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od is NSRD}$
by (*simp add: IterateR-def closure assms*)

lemma *IterateR-empty:*
 $do_R i \in \{\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od} = II_R$
by (*simp add: IterateR-def srd-mu-equiv closure rpred gfp-const WhileR-false*)

lemma *IterateR-singleton:*
assumes $P k \text{ is NSRD } P k \text{ is Productive}$
shows $do_R i \in \{k\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od} = \text{while}_R g(k) \text{ do } P(k) \text{ od}$ (*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)
proof –
have $?lhs = \text{while}_R g k \text{ do } P k \triangleleft g k \triangleright_R \text{Chaos} \text{ od}$
by (*simp add: IterateR-def AlternateR-singleton assms closure*)
also have $\dots = \text{while}_R g k \text{ do } [g k]^\top_R ;; (P k \triangleleft g k \triangleright_R \text{Chaos}) \text{ od}$
by (*simp add: WhileR-insert-assume closure assms*)
also have $\dots = \text{while}_R g k \text{ do } P k \text{ od}$
by (*simp add: AssumeR-cond-left NSRD-Chaos WhileR-insert-assume assms*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

declare *IterateR-list-def* [*rdes-def*]
declare *IterateR-def* [*rdes-def*]

lemma *R4-Continuous* [*closure*]: *Continuous R4*
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *cond-rea-R4-closed* [*closure*]:
 $\llbracket P \text{ is } R4; Q \text{ is } R4 \rrbracket \implies P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } R4$
by (*simp add: Healthy-def R4-cond*)

lemma *IterateR-outer-refine-intro:*
assumes $I \neq \{\} \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P i \text{ is NSRD} \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P i \text{ is Productive}$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies S \sqsubseteq (b i \rightarrow_R P i ;; S)$
 $S \sqsubseteq [\neg (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b i)]^\top_R$
shows $S \sqsubseteq do_R i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od}$
apply (*simp add: IterateR-def*)
apply (*rule WhileR-outer-refine-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distr UINF-refines*)
done

lemma *IterateR-outer-refine-init-intro:*
assumes
 $A \neq \{\} \bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P i \text{ is NSRD}$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies P i \text{ is Productive}$
 $I \text{ is NSRD}$
 $S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg (\bigcap i \in A \cdot b i)]^\top_R$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies S \sqsubseteq S ;; b i \rightarrow_R P i$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies S \sqsubseteq I ;; b i \rightarrow_R P i$
shows $S \sqsubseteq I ;; do_R i \in A \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \text{ od}$
apply (*simp add: IterateR-def*)
apply (*rule-tac WhileR-outer-refine-init-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distl UINF-refines*)
done

lemma *IterateR-lemma1*:

$(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i]^\top_r \;; (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot P\ i \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{false}) = (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]^\top_r \;; P\ i)$
by (*rel-auto*; *fastforce*)

lemma *IterateR-lemma2*:

assumes $I \neq \{\}$ $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P(i)$ *is RR*

shows $(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot (P\ i) \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true}) \wedge \text{false} \triangleleft (\neg (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i)) \triangleright_R \text{R1 true})$
 $= (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot (P\ i) \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true})$

proof –

from *assms(1)*

have $(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot \text{RR}(P\ i) \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true}) \wedge \text{false} \triangleleft (\neg (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i)) \triangleright_R \text{R1 true})$
 $= (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot \text{RR}(P\ i) \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true})$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if cong: USUP-cong*)

qed

lemma *IterateR-lemma3*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies P(i)$ *is RR*

shows $(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot P\ i \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true}) = (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r P\ i)$

proof –

have $(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot \text{RR}(P\ i) \triangleleft b\ i \triangleright_R \text{R1 true}) = (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r \text{RR}(P\ i))$

by (*rel-auto*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if cong: USUP-cong*)

qed

theorem *IterateR-refine-intro*:

assumes

– Closure conditions

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Q_1(i)$ *is RC* $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Q_2(i)$ *is RR* $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Q_3(i)$ *is RR*

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \$st' \nmid Q_2(i)$ $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Q_3(i)$ *is R4* $I \neq \{\}$

$(\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]^\top_r \;; Q_3\ i)^{*r} \text{wp}_r (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r Q_1\ i) \sqsubseteq P_1$

$P_2 \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]^\top_r \;; Q_2\ i)$

$P_2 \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]^\top_r \;; Q_3\ i) \;; P_2$

$P_3 \sqsubseteq [\neg (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot b\ i)]^\top_r$

$P_3 \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcap i \in I \cdot [b\ i]^\top_r \;; Q_3\ i) \;; P_3$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \text{do}_R i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1(i) \vdash Q_2(i) \diamond Q_3(i))$ *od*

apply (*simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest del: WhileR-rdes-def*)

apply (*rule WhileR-refine-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: closure assms unrest IterateR-lemma1 IterateR-lemma2 segr-assoc[THEN sym]*)

apply (*simp add: IterateR-lemma3 closure assms unrest*)

done

method *unfold-iteration* = *simp add: IterateR-list-def IterateR-def AlternateR-list-def AlternateR-def UINF-upto-expand-first*

11.13 Substitution Laws

lemma *srd-subst-Chaos* [*usubst*]:

$\sigma \dagger_S \text{Chaos} = \text{Chaos}$

by (*rdes-simp*)

lemma *srd-subst-Miracle* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$
by (*rdes-simp*)

lemma *srd-subst-skip* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S II_R = \langle \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *srd-subst-assigns* [*usubst*]:
 $\sigma \dagger_S \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

11.14 Algebraic Laws

theorem *assigns-srd-id*: $\langle id_s \rangle_R = II_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-comp*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-Miracle*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-Chaos*: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \text{Chaos} = \text{Chaos}$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-cond* : $\langle \sigma \rangle_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho \rangle_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

theorem *assigns-srd-left-seq*:
assumes P is NSRD
shows $\langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; P = \sigma \dagger_S P$
by (*rdes-simp cls: assms*)

lemma *AlternateR-seq-distr*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. A\ i$ is NSRD B is NSRD C is NSRD
shows $(if_R\ i \in I \cdot g\ i \rightarrow A\ i\ else\ B\ fi) ;; C = (if_R\ i \in I \cdot g\ i \rightarrow A\ i ;; C\ else\ B ;; C\ fi)$
proof (*cases* $I = \{\}$)
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp*)
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: AlternateR-def upred-semiring.distrib-right seq-UINF-distr gcnd-seq-distr assms(3)*)
qed

lemma *AlternateR-is-cond-srea*:
assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
shows $(if_R\ i \in \{a\} \cdot g \rightarrow A\ else\ B\ fi) = (A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R B)$
by (*rdes-eq cls: assms*)

lemma *AlternateR-Chaos*:
 $if_R\ i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow \text{Chaos}\ fi = \text{Chaos}$
by (*cases* $A = \{\}$, *simp*, *rdes-eq*)

lemma *choose-srd-par*:

$choose_R \parallel_R choose_R = choose_R$
by (*rdes-eq*)

11.15 Lifting designs to reactive designs

definition *des-rea-lift* :: $'s \text{ hrel-des} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp } (\mathbf{R}_D)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S)))$

definition *des-rea-drop* :: $('s, 't::\text{trace}, 'α) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow 's \text{ hrel-des } (\mathbf{D}_R)$ **where**
 $[upred-defs]: \mathbf{D}_R(P) = \lfloor (pre_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr / \$tr' \rrbracket \vdash_v \$st \rfloor_{S<} \vdash_n \lfloor (post_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr / \$tr' \rrbracket \vdash_v \{ \$st, \$st' \} \rfloor_S$

lemma *ndesign-rea-lift-inverse*: $\mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{R}_D(p \vdash_n Q)) = p \vdash_n Q$
apply (*simp add: des-rea-lift-def des-rea-drop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design*)
apply (*simp add: R1-def R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

lemma *ndesign-rea-lift-injective*:
assumes $P \text{ is } \mathbf{N} \ Q \text{ is } \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{R}_D \ P = \mathbf{R}_D \ Q$ (**is** $?RP(P) = ?RQ(Q)$)
shows $P = Q$

proof –
have $?RP(\lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(P)) = ?RQ(\lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(Q))$
by (*simp add: ndesign-form assms*)
hence $\lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(P) = \lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor_{<} \vdash_n post_D(Q)$
by (*metis ndesign-rea-lift-inverse*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: ndesign-form assms*)
qed

lemma *des-rea-lift-closure* [*closure*]: $\mathbf{R}_D(P)$ *is SRD*
by (*simp add: des-rea-lift-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest*)

lemma *preR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $pre_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = R1(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *periR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $peri_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = (false \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *postR-des-rea-lift* [*rdes*]:
 $post_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = ((true \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\neg \$tr \leq_u \$tr')) \Rightarrow (\$tr' =_u \$tr \wedge \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S))$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *ndes-rea-lift-closure* [*closure*]:
assumes $P \text{ is } \mathbf{N}$
shows $\mathbf{R}_D(P)$ *is NSRD*

proof –
obtain $p \ Q$ **where** $P = (p \vdash_n Q)$
by (*metis H1-H3-commute H1-H3-is-normal-design H1-idem Healthy-def assms*)
show *?thesis*
apply (*rule NSRD-intro*)
apply (*simp-all add: closure rdes unrest P*)
apply (*rel-auto*)
done

qed

lemma *R-D-mono*:

assumes P is **H** Q is **H** $P \sqsubseteq Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$

apply (*simp add: des-rea-lift-def*)

apply (*rule srdes-tri-refine-intro'*)

apply (*meson aext-mono assms(3) design-refine-thms(1) refBy-order*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*smt aext-and aext-mono assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) rdesign-ref-monos(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.cobounded2 utp-pred-laws.inf.coboundedI2 utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff*)

done

Homomorphism laws

lemma *R-D-Miracle*:

$\mathbf{R}_D(\top_D) = \text{Miracle}$

by (*simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto*)

lemma *R-D-Chaos*:

$\mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \text{Chaos}$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \mathbf{R}_D(\text{false} \vdash_r \text{true})$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{false} \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def des-rea-lift-def alpha*)

also have $\dots = \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true})$

by (*rel-auto*)

also have $\dots = \text{Chaos}$

by (*simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *R-D-inf*:

$\mathbf{R}_D(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$

by (*rule antisym, rel-auto+*)

lemma *R-D-cond*:

$\mathbf{R}_D(P \triangleleft [b]_{D<} \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_D(Q)$

by (*rule antisym, rel-auto+*)

lemma *R-D-seq-ndesign*:

$\mathbf{R}_D(p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(p_2 \vdash_n Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_D((p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; (p_2 \vdash_n Q_2))$

apply (*rule antisym*)

apply (*rule SRD-refine-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp*)

using *dual-order.trans* **apply** (*rel-blast*)

using *dual-order.trans* **apply** (*rel-blast*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*rule SRD-refine-intro*)

apply (*simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

apply (*rel-auto*)

done

lemma *R-D-seq*:
assumes P is \mathbf{N} Q is \mathbf{N}
shows $\mathbf{R}_D(P) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P ;; Q)$
by (*metis R-D-seq-ndesign assms ndesign-form*)

These laws are applicable only when there is no further alphabet extension

lemma *R-D-skip*:
 $\mathbf{R}_D(\Pi_D) = (\Pi_R :: ('s, 't::trace, unit) \text{ hrel-rsp})$
apply (*rel-auto*) **using** *minus-zero-eq* **by** *blast+*

lemma *R-D-assigns*:
 $\mathbf{R}_D(\langle \sigma \rangle_D) = (\langle \sigma \rangle_R :: ('s, 't::trace, unit) \text{ hrel-rsp})$
by (*simp add: assigns-d-def des-rea-lift-def alpha assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des, rel-auto*)

11.16 State Invariants

definition *StateInvR* :: $'s \text{ upred} \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \text{ (} \text{sinv}_R'(-)\text{)}$ **where**
 $[rdes\text{-def}]: \text{sinv}_R(b) = \mathbf{R}_s([b]_{S<} \vdash \text{true}_r \diamond [b]_{S>})$

lemma *StateInvR-NSRD* [*closure*]: $\text{sinv}_R(b)$ is *NSRD*
by (*simp add: StateInvR-def closure unrest*)

lemma *StateInvR-srd-skip-refine*: $\text{sinv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq \Pi_R$
by (*rdes-refine*)

lemma *StateInvR-seq-idem*:
 $\text{sinv}_R(b) ;; \text{sinv}_R(b) = \text{sinv}_R(b)$
by (*rdes-eq*)

lemma *StateInvR-seq-refine*:
assumes $\text{sinv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq P$ $\text{sinv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq Q$
shows $\text{sinv}_R(b) \sqsubseteq P ;; Q$
by (*metis (full-types) StateInvR-seq-idem assms seqr-mono*)

lemma *ndiv-StateInvR*: $\text{ndiv}_R = \text{sinv}_R(\text{true})$
by (*rdes-eq*)

end

12 Instantaneous Reactive Designs

theory *utp-rdes-instant*
imports *utp-rdes-prog*
begin

definition *ISRDI* :: $('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $[upred\text{-defs}]: \text{ISRDI}(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\text{true}_r \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))$

definition *ISRDI* :: $('s, 't::trace, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \text{ hrel-rsp}$ **where**
 $[upred\text{-defs}]: \text{ISRDI} = \text{ISRDI} \circ \text{NSRD}$

lemma *ISRDI-idem*: $\text{ISRDI}(\text{ISRDI}(P)) = \text{ISRDI}(P)$
by (*rel-auto*)

lemma *ISRDI-monotonic*: $P \sqsubseteq Q \Rightarrow \text{ISRDI}(P) \sqsubseteq \text{ISRDI}(Q)$

by (rel-auto)

lemma *ISRDI-RHS-design-form*:

assumes $\$ok' \# P \$ok' \# Q \$ok' \# R$

shows $ISRDI(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond (R \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ISRDI-def choose-srd-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest, rel-auto*)

lemma *ISRDI-form*:

$ISRDI(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$

by (*simp add: ISRDI-RHS-design-form SRD-as-reactive-tri-design unrest*)

lemma *ISRDI-rdes-def [rdes-def]*:

$\llbracket P \text{ is } RR; R \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \implies ISRDI(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond (R \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$

by (*simp add: ISRDI-def rdes-def closure rpred*)

lemma *ISRDI-intro*:

assumes $P \text{ is } NSRD \text{ } peri_R(P) = (\neg_r pre_R(P)) (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq post_R(P)$

shows $P \text{ is } ISRDI$

proof –

have $\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \text{ is } ISRDI$

apply (*simp add: Healthy-def rdes-def closure assms(1-2)*)

using *assms(3) least-zero* **apply** (*rel-blast*)

done

hence $P \text{ is } ISRDI$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure assms(1)*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: ISRDI-def Healthy-comp assms(1)*)

qed

lemma *ISRDI-rdes-intro*:

assumes $P \text{ is } RR \ Q \text{ is } RR \ (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) \text{ is } ISRDI$

unfolding *Healthy-def*

by (*simp add: ISRDI-rdes-def assms closure unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1*)

lemma *ISRDI-rdes-intro [closure]*:

assumes $P \text{ is } RC \ Q \text{ is } RR \ (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq Q$

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) \text{ is } ISRDI$

unfolding *Healthy-def*

by (*simp add: ISRDI-def closure Healthy-if ISRDI-rdes-def assms unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1*)

lemma *ISRDI-implies-ISRDI*:

assumes $P \text{ is } ISRDI$

shows $P \text{ is } ISRDI$

proof –

have $ISRDI(P) \text{ is } ISRDI$

by (*simp add: ISRDI-def Healthy-def ISRDI-idem*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms Healthy-if*)

qed

lemma *ISRDI-implies-SRD*:

assumes $P \text{ is } ISRDI$

shows $P \text{ is } SRD$

proof –

have $1: \text{ISRD}(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) ;; R1 \text{ true} \wedge R1 \text{ true}) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$
by (*simp add: NSRD-form ISRD1-def ISRD-def RHS-tri-design-par rdes-def unrest closure*)
moreover have ... *is SRD*
by (*simp add: closure unrest*)
ultimately have $\text{ISRD}(P)$ *is SRD*
by (*simp*)
with *assms show ?thesis*
by (*simp add: Healthy-def*)
qed

lemma *ISRD-implies-NSRD* [closure]:

assumes P *is ISRD*

shows P *is NSRD*

proof –

have $1: \text{ISRD}(P) = \text{ISRD1}(\text{RD3}(\text{SRD}(P)))$

by (*simp add: ISRD-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2*)

also have ... $= \text{ISRD1}(\text{RD3}(P))$

by (*simp add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD Healthy-if*)

also have ... $= \text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false}_h \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot \text{peri}_R P) \diamond \text{post}_R P))$

by (*simp add: RD3-def, subst SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma, simp-all add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD*)

also have ... $= \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \text{pre}_R P) \text{wp}_r \text{false}_h \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R P \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$

by (*simp add: RHS-tri-design-par ISRD1-def unrest choose-srd-def rpred closure ISRD-implies-SRD assms*)

also have ... $= (\dots ;; II_R)$

by (*rdes-simp, simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms unrest ISRD-implies-SRD wp rpred wp-rea-false-RC*)

also have ... *is RD3*

by (*simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def segr-assoc*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD Healthy-if assms ISRD-implies-SRD*)

qed

lemma *ISRD-form*:

assumes P *is ISRD*

shows $\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr)) = P$

proof –

have $P = \text{ISRD1}(P)$

by (*simp add: ISRD-implies-ISRD1 assms Healthy-if*)

also have ... $= \text{ISRD1}(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{peri}_R(P) \diamond \text{post}_R(P)))$

by (*simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design ISRD-implies-SRD assms*)

also have ... $= \mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))$

by (*simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def closure assms*)

finally show *?thesis ..*

qed

lemma *ISRD-elim* [RD-elim]:

$\llbracket P \text{ is ISRD}; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(\text{pre}_R(P) \vdash \text{false} \diamond (\text{post}_R(P) \wedge \$tr' =_u \$tr))) \rrbracket \implies Q(P)$

by (*simp add: ISRD-form*)

lemma *skip-srd-ISRD* [closure]: II_R *is ISRD*

by (*rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure*)

lemma *assigns-srd-ISRD* [closure]: $\langle \sigma \rangle_R$ *is ISRD*

by (*rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure, rel-auto*)

```

lemma seq-ISRDClosed:
  assumes  $P$  is ISRDClosed  $Q$  is ISRDClosed
  shows  $P \parallel Q$  is ISRDClosed
  apply (insert assms)
  apply (erule ISRDClosed-elim)
  apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
  apply (rule ISRDClosed-rdes-intro)
    apply (simp-all add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
  apply (rel-auto)
done

```

```

lemma ISRDMiracleRightZero:
  assumes  $P$  is ISRDClosed  $\text{pre}_R(P) = \text{true}_r$ 
  shows  $P \parallel \text{Miracle} = \text{Miracle}$ 
  by (rdes-simp cls: assms)

```

A recursion whose body does not extend the trace results in divergence

```

lemma ISRDClosedRecurseChaos:
  assumes  $P$  is ISRDClosed  $\text{post}_R P \parallel \text{true}_r = \text{true}_r$ 
  shows  $(\mu_R X \cdot P \parallel X) = \text{Chaos}$ 
proof –
  have  $1: (\mu_R X \cdot P \parallel X) = (\mu X \cdot P \parallel \text{SRD}(X))$ 
    by (auto simp add: srdes-theory.utp-lfp-def closure assms)
  have  $(\mu X \cdot P \parallel \text{SRD}(X)) \sqsubseteq \text{Chaos}$ 
  proof (rule gfp-upperbound)
    have  $P \parallel \text{Chaos} \sqsubseteq \text{Chaos}$ 
    apply (rdes-refine-split cls: assms)
    using assms(2) apply (rel-auto,metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.antisym order-refl)
    apply (rel-auto)
    done
  thus  $P \parallel \text{SRD } \text{Chaos} \sqsubseteq \text{Chaos}$ 
    by (simp add: Healthy-if srdes-theory.bottom-closed)
  qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis 1 dual-order.antisym srdes-theory.LFP-closed srdes-theory.bottom-lower)
qed

```

```

lemma recursiveAssignChaos:
   $(\mu_R X \cdot \langle \sigma \rangle_R \parallel X) = \text{Chaos}$ 
  by (rule ISRDClosedRecurseChaos, simp-all add: closure rdes, rel-auto)

```

end

13 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs

```

theory utp-rea-designs
imports
  utp-rdes-healths
  utp-rdes-designs
  utp-rdes-triples
  utp-rdes-normal
  utp-rdes-contracts
  utp-rdes-tactics
  utp-rdes-parallel
  utp-rdes-prog

```

```
    utp-rdes-instant
    utp-rdes-guarded
begin end
```

References

- [1] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233>.
- [2] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [3] M. V. M. Oliveira. *Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science - University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.