# Reactive Designs in Isabelle/UTP

Simon Foster James Baxter Ana Cavalcanti Jim Woodcock Samuel Canham

March 27, 2020

#### Abstract

Reactive designs combine the UTP theories of reactive processes and designs to characterise reactive programs. Whereas sequential imperative programs are expected to run until termination, reactive programs pause at instances to allow interaction with the environment using abstract events, and often do not terminate at all. Thus, whereas a design describes the precondition and postcondition for a program, to characterise initial and final states, a reactive design also has a "pericondition", which characterises intermediate quiescent observations. This gives rise to a notion of "reactive contract", which specifies the assumptions a program makes of its environment, and the guarantees it will make of its own behaviour in both intermediate and final observations. This Isabelle/UTP document mechanises the UTP theory of reactive designs, including its healthiness conditions, signature, and a large library of algebraic laws of reactive programming.

# Contents

1	Intr	Introduction											
2	Rea	active Designs Healthiness Conditions	3										
	2.1	Preliminaries	3										
	2.2	Identities	4										
	2.3	RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces	4										
	2.4	R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3	6										
	2.5	RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination	9										
	2.6	Major healthiness conditions	10										
	2.7	UTP theories	13										
3	Rea	Reactive Design Specifications											
	3.1	Reactive design forms	15										
	3.2	Auxiliary healthiness conditions	17										
	3.3	Composition laws	18										
	3.4	Refinement introduction laws	24										
	3.5	Distribution laws	25										
4	Rea	Reactive Design Triples											
	4.1	Diamond notation	26										
	4.2	Export laws	27										
	4.3	Pre-, peri-, and postconditions	28										
		4.3.1 Definitions	28										
		4.3.2 Unrestriction laws	28										

		4.3.3	Substitution law			 -	-			-		 -	 -	 -	-	 
		4.3.4	Healthiness laws	3												 30
		4.3.5	Calculation laws	3												 32
	4.4	Forma	tion laws													 34
		4.4.1	Order laws													 36
	4.5	Comp	osition laws													 36
	4.6	Refine	ment introduction	n laws												 41
	4.7	Closur	e laws													 43
	4.8	Distril	oution laws													 44
	4.9	Algebi	aic laws													 45
	4.10	_	sion laws													
<b>5</b>	Nor		eactive Designs													<b>47</b>
	5.1	UTP 1	heory			 •							 •			 61
6	Syn	tax for	reactive desig	n contr	racts											62
7	Rea	ctive o	lesign tactics													63
8	Ros	etivo e	lesign parallel-	by mor	oro.											65
G	8.1		ole basic merge .													
	8.2		e parallel composi													
	0.2	Simple	paraner compos.	101011		 •	•	• • •	• •	•		 •	 •	 •	•	 01
9	$\mathbf{Pro}$		e Reactive Des	_												82
	9.1		iness condition.													
	9.2		ve design calcula													
	9.3	Closur	e laws										 •			 84
10	Gua	arded 1	Recursion													87
			with a size meas	sure							_				_	
		Guard														
			cursive fixed-poin													
	10.5	ran re	cursive fixed-poil	.it caicui	autons	 •	•	• •	• •	•	• •	 •	 •	 •	•	 32
11	Rea	ctive 1	Design Progran	as												93
	11.1	State	substitution													 93
	11.2	Assign	ment													 94
	11.3	Condi	tional													 95
	11.4	Assum	ptions													 96
	11.5	Guard	ed commands .													 97
	11.6	Gener	alised Alternation	1												 98
	11.7	Choos	e													 100
	11.8	Diverg	ence Freedom .													 100
		_	Abstraction													
			ve Frames													
			Loop													
			on Construction													
			tution Laws													
			raic Laws													
		_	designs to react													
		-	Invariants	_	-											
	****	JOURNA .								•		 •	 •	 •	•	 

117

### 13 Meta-theory for Reactive Designs

# 1 Introduction

This document contains a mechanisation in Isabelle/UTP [2] of our theory of reactive designs. Reactive designs form an important semantic foundation for reactive modelling languages such as Circus [3]. For more details of this work, please see our recent paper [1].

# 2 Reactive Designs Healthiness Conditions

```
theory utp-rdes-healths
imports UTP-Reactive.utp-reactive
begin
```

### 2.1 Preliminaries

```
named-theorems rdes and rdes-def and RD-elim
type-synonym ('s,'t) rdes = ('s,'t,unit) hrel-rsp
translations
 (type) ('s,'t) rdes <= (type) ('s, 't, unit) hrel-rsp
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits del]
declare rp-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
declare des-vars.splits [alpha-splits]
lemma R2-st-ex: R2 (\exists \$st \cdot P) = (\exists \$st \cdot R2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-st'-eq-st:
  R2s(\$st' =_u \$st) = (\$st' =_u \$st)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-st'-eq-st:
  R2c(\$st' =_u \$st) = (\$st' =_u \$st)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-des-lift-skip: R1(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2-des-lift-skip:
 R2(\lceil II \rceil_D) = \lceil II \rceil_D
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma R1-R2c-ex-st: R1 (R2c (\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1)) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R1 (R2c Q_1))
 by (rel-auto)
```

### 2.2 Identities

We define two identities fro reactive designs, which correspond to the regular and state-sensitive versions of reactive designs, respectively. The former is the one used in the UTP book and related publications for CSP.

```
definition skip\text{-}rea :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rp \ (II_C) where
skip\text{-}rea\text{-}def [urel\text{-}defs]: II_C = (II \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$tr'))
definition skip-srea :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (II_R) where
skip\text{-}srea\text{-}def \ [urel\text{-}defs]: II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II_C)
lemma skip-rea-R1-lemma: II_C = R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-rea-form: II_C = (II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-form: II_R = ((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-skip-rea: R1(II_C) = II_C
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-skip-rea: R2c II_C = II_C
  by (simp add: skip-rea-def R2c-and R2c-disj R2c-skip-r R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-tr-le-tr')
lemma R2-skip-rea: R2(II_C) = II_C
 by (metis R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-skip-rea R2c-skip-rea)
lemma R2c-skip-srea: R2c(II_R) = II_R
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma skip-srea-R1 [closure]: II_R is R1
  by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-R2c [closure]: II_R is R2c
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-skip-srea)
lemma skip-srea-R2 [closure]: II_R is R2
  by (metis Healthy-def' R1-R2c-is-R2 R2c-skip-srea skip-srea-R1)
```

# 2.3 RD1: Divergence yields arbitrary traces

```
definition RD1 :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp where [upred-defs]: RD1(P) = (P \lor (\neg \$ok \land \$tr \le_u \$tr'))
```

RD1 is essentially H1 from the theory of designs, but viewed through the prism of reactive processes.

```
by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ RD1\text{-}Idempotent: \ Idempotent \ RD1
\mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ Idempotent\text{-}def \ RD1\text{-}idem)
\mathbf{lemma} \ RD1\text{-}mono: \ P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD1(P) \sqsubseteq RD1(Q)
```

**lemma** RD1-idem: RD1(RD1(P)) = RD1(P)

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-Monotonic: Monotonic RD1
 using mono-def RD1-mono by blast
lemma RD1-Continuous: Continuous RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-true-RD1-closed [closure]: R1(true) is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P[false/$wait] is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-wait'-false [closure]: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow P \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-seq: RD1(RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)) = RD1(P) ;; RD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-seq-closure [closure]: [P \text{ is RD1}; Q \text{ is RD1}] \implies P ;; Q \text{ is RD1}
 by (metis Healthy-def' RD1-seq)
lemma RD1-R1-commute: RD1(R1(P)) = R1(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R2c-commute: RD1(R2c(P)) = R2c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-via-R1: R1(H1(P)) = RD1(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-R1-cases: RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-rea-RD1-skip: II_C = RD1(II)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma skip-srea-RD1 [closure]: II_R is RD1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-algebraic-intro:
 assumes
   P \text{ is } R1 \text{ } (R1(true_h) \text{ } ;; P) = R1(true_h) \text{ } (II_C \text{ } ;; P) = P
 shows P is RD1
proof -
 have P = (II_C ;; P)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 also have ... = (R1(\$ok \Rightarrow II) :: P)
   by (simp add: skip-rea-R1-lemma)
 also have ... = (((\neg \$ok \land R1(true)) ;; P) \lor P)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl skip-rea-R1-lemma skip-rea-def utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left
utp-pred-laws.sup-commute)
 also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; (R1(true_h) ;; P)) \lor P)
   using dual-order.trans by (rel-blast)
```

```
also have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok) ;; R1(true_h)) \lor P)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2))
 also have ... = (R1(\neg \$ok) \lor P)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have \dots = RD1(P)
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
theorem RD1-left-zero:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (R1(true) ;; P) = R1(true)
proof -
 have (R1(true) ;; R1(RD1(P))) = R1(true)
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
qed
theorem RD1-left-unit:
 assumes P is R1 P is RD1
 shows (II_C ;; P) = P
proof -
 have (II_C :: R1(RD1(P))) = R1(RD1(P))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add: Healthy-if\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
lemma RD1-alt-def:
 assumes P is R1
 shows RD1(P) = (P \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
proof -
 have RD1(R1(P)) = (R1(P) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true))
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
theorem RD1-algebraic:
 assumes P is R1
 shows P is RD1 \longleftrightarrow (R1(true_h) ;; P) = R1(true_h) \land (II_C ;; P) = P
 using RD1-algebraic-intro RD1-left-unit RD1-left-zero assms by blast
2.4
       R3c and R3h: Reactive design versions of R3
definition R3c :: ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ where
[upred-defs]: R3c(P) = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition R3h :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
R3h\text{-}def \ [upred\text{-}defs]: R3h(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c\text{-}idem: R3c(R3c(P)) = R3c(P)
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma R3c-Idempotent: Idempotent R3c
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3c-idem)
lemma R3c-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3c(P) \sqsubseteq R3c(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-Monotonic: Monotonic R3c
 by (simp add: mono-def R3c-mono)
lemma R3c-Continuous: Continuous R3c
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-idem: R3h(R3h(P)) = R3h(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Idempotent: Idempotent R3h
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def R3h-idem)
lemma R3h-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow R3h(P) \sqsubseteq R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-Monotonic: Monotonic R3h
 by (simp add: mono-def R3h-mono)
lemma R3h-Continuous: Continuous R3h
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-inf: R3h(P \sqcap Q) = R3h(P) \sqcap R3h(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-UINF:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow R3h(\prod i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\prod i \in A \cdot R3h(P(i)))
lemma R3h-cond: R3h(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (R3h(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright R3h(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-via-RD1-R3: RD1(R3(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-RD1-def: P is RD1 \Longrightarrow R3c(P) = RD1(R3(P))
 by (simp add: Healthy-if R3c-via-RD1-R3)
lemma RD1-R3c-commute: RD1(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-R3c-commute: R1(R3c(P)) = R3c(R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-R3c-commute: R2c(R3c(P)) = R3c(R2c(P))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma R1-R3h-commute: <math>R1(R3h(P)) = R3h(R1(P))
```

**by** (rel-auto)

```
lemma R2c-R3h-commute: R2c(R3h(P)) = R3h(R2c(P))
   apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma RD1-R3h-commute: RD1(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD1(P))
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-cancels-R3: R3c(R3(P)) = R3c(P)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3-cancels-R3c: R3(R3c(P)) = R3(P)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cancels-R3c: R3h(R3c(P)) = R3h(P)
   by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-semir-form:
    (R3c(P) ;; R3c(R1(Q))) = R3c(P ;; R3c(R1(Q)))
   by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans)
lemma R3h-semir-form:
    (R3h(P) ;; R3h(R1(Q))) = R3h(P ;; R3h(R1(Q)))
   by (rel-simp, safe, auto intro: order-trans, blast+)
lemma R3c-seq-closure:
   assumes P is R3c Q is R3c Q is R1
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
   by (metis Healthy-def' R3c-semir-form assms)
lemma R3h-seq-closure [closure]:
   assumes P is R3h Q is R3h Q is R1
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3h
   by (metis Healthy-def' R3h-semir-form assms)
lemma R3c-R3-left-seq-closure:
   assumes P is R3 Q is R3c
   shows (P ;; Q) is R3c
   have (P :; Q) = ((P :; Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P :; Q))
      by (metis cond-var-split cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = (((II \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P) ;; Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
      by (metis\ Healthy-def'\ R3-def\ assms(1))
   also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait];; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P;; Q))
      by (subst-tac)
   also have ... = (((II \land \$wait') ;; Q) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-pos-var-subst seqr-pre-var-out skip-var utp-pred-laws.inf-left-idem
wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait'] ;; Q[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point\ true-alt-def\ uovar-convr\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ utp-rel\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ true-alt-def\ uovar-convr\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ utp-rel\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ true-alt-def\ uovar-convr\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ utp-rel\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ upred-eq\text{-}true\ .unrest-ouvar-point\ .unrest-ouvar-
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
   also have ... = ((H \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (H_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright Q) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
      by (metis Healthy-def' R3c-def assms(2))
    also have ... = ((II[true/\$wait'] ;; II_C[true/\$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
      by (subst-tac)
    also have ... = (((II \land \$wait') ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis seqr-pre-transfer seqr-right-one-point true-alt-def uovar-convrupred-eq-true \ utp-rel. unrest-ouvar}
```

```
vwb-lens-mwb wait-vwb-lens)
 also have ... = ((II ;; II_C) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
   by (simp add: cond-def seqr-pre-transfer utp-rel.unrest-ouvar)
 also have ... = (II_C \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P ;; Q))
   by simp
 also have ... = R3c(P ;; Q)
   by (simp \ add: R3c\text{-}def)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
lemma R3c\text{-}cases: R3c(P) = ((II \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-cases: R3h(P) = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-form: R3h(P) = II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-subst-wait: R3c(P) = R3c(P_f)
 by (simp\ add:\ R3c\text{-}def\ cond\text{-}var\text{-}subst\text{-}right)
lemma R3h-subst-wait: R3h(P) = R3h(P_f)
 by (simp add: R3h-cases cond-var-subst-right)
lemma skip-srea-R3h [closure]: II_R is R3h
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-wait-true:
 assumes P is R3h
 shows P_t = II_{R_t}
proof -
 have P_t = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)_t
   by (metis Healthy-if R3h-form assms)
 also have ... = II_{R} t
   by (simp add: usubst)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
2.5
       RD2: A reactive specification cannot require non-termination
definition RD2 where
[upred-defs]: RD2(P) = H2(P)
RD2 is just H2 since the type system will automatically have J identifying the reactive variables
as required.
lemma RD2-idem: RD2(RD2(P)) = RD2(P)
 by (simp add: H2-idem RD2-def)
lemma RD2-Idempotent: Idempotent RD2
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD2-idem)
lemma RD2-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow RD2(P) \sqsubseteq RD2(Q)
 by (simp add: H2-def RD2-def segr-mono)
```

```
lemma RD2-Monotonic: Monotonic RD2
 using mono-def RD2-mono by blast
lemma RD2-Continuous: Continuous RD2
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-RD2-commute: RD1(RD2(P)) = RD2(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3c-commute: RD2(R3c(P)) = R3c(RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-R3h-commute: RD2(R3h(P)) = R3h(RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
2.6
      Major healthiness conditions
definition RH :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \ (\mathbf{R})
where [upred-defs]: RH(P) = R1(R2c(R3c(P)))
definition RHS :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (\mathbf{R}_s)
where [upred-defs]: RHS(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(P)))
definition RD :: ('t::trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp
where [upred-defs]: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(RP(P)))
definition SRD :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: SRD(P) = RD1(RD2(RHS(P)))
lemma RH-comp: RH = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3c
 by (auto simp add: RH-def)
lemma RHS-comp: RHS = R1 \circ R2c \circ R3h
 by (auto simp add: RHS-def)
lemma RD-comp: RD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RP
 by (auto simp add: RD-def)
lemma SRD-comp: SRD = RD1 \circ RD2 \circ RHS
 by (auto simp add: SRD-def)
lemma RH-idem: \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(P)
  by (simp add: R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem R2c-R3c-commute R2c-idem R3c-idem
RH-def)
lemma RH-Idempotent: Idempotent \mathbf R
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RH-idem)
lemma RH-Monotonic: Monotonic \mathbf R
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-Monotonic R2c-Monotonic R3c-mono RH-def mono-def)
lemma RH-Continuous: Continuous R
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3c-Continuous RH-comp)
lemma RHS-idem: \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P)
```

```
by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-idem R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RHS-def)
lemma RHS-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RHS-idem)
lemma RHS-Monotonic: Monotonic \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RHS-def)
lemma RHS-mono: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 using mono-def RHS-Monotonic by blast
lemma RHS-Continuous [closure]: Continuous \mathbf{R}_s
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp R1-Continuous R2c-Continuous R3h-Continuous RHS-comp)
lemma RHS-inf: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 using Continuous-Disjunctous Disjunctuous-def RHS-Continuous by auto
lemma RHS-INF:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-UINF R2c-USUP R1-USUP)
lemma RHS-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P \sqcup Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-SUP:
 A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_s(\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigsqcup i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-cond: \mathbf{R}_s(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(P) \triangleleft R2c \ b \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(Q))
 by (simp add: RHS-def R3h-cond R2c-condr R1-cond)
lemma RD-alt-def: RD(P) = RD1(RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)))
 by (simp add: R3c-via-RD1-R3 RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-RD2-commute
RH-def RD-def RP-def)
lemma RD1-RH-commute: RD1(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RH-def)
lemma RD2-RH-commute: RD2(\mathbf{R}(P)) = \mathbf{R}(RD2(P))
 by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def RH-def)
lemma RD-idem: RD(RD(P)) = RD(P)
 by (simp add: RD-alt-def RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem RD1-idem
RH-idem)
lemma RD-Monotonic: Monotonic RD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RD-comp RP-Monotonic)
lemma RD-Continuous: Continuous RD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RD-comp RP-Continuous)
lemma R3-RD-RP: R3(RD(P)) = RP(RD1(RD2(P)))
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-R3-commute R3-cancels-R3c RD1-RH-commute RD2-RH-commute
RD-alt-def RH-def RP-def)
```

```
lemma RD1-RHS-commute: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD1(P))
 by (simp add: RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RHS-def)
lemma RD2-RHS-commute: RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(RD2(P))
 by (metis R1-H2-commute R2c-H2-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def)
lemma SRD-idem: SRD(SRD(P)) = SRD(P)
 by (simp add: RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RHS-commute RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RHS-idem
SRD-def)
lemma SRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent SRD
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def SRD-idem)
lemma SRD-Monotonic: Monotonic SRD
 by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic RHS-Monotonic SRD-comp)
lemma SRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous SRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp RD1-Continuous RD2-Continuous RHS-Continuous SRD-comp)
lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma SRD-healths [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
 apply (metis Healthy-def R1-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def SRD-def assms)
 apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-idem RD1-RHS-commute RD2-RHS-commute RHS-def
SRD-def assms)
 apply (metis Healthy-def R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R3h-idem RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute
RHS-def SRD-def assms)
 apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-idem SRD-def assms)
 apply (metis Healthy-def' RD1-RD2-commute RD2-idem SRD-def assms)
done
lemma SRD-intro:
 assumes P is R1 P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD2
 shows P is SRD
 by (metis Healthy-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def SRD-def assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5))
lemma SRD-ok-false [usubst]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P[false/\$ok] = R1(true)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H1-H2-eq-design Healthy-def R1-ok-false RD1-R1-commute RD1-via-R1
RD2-def SRD-def SRD-healths(1) design-ok-false)
lemma SRD-ok-true-wait-true [usubst]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows P[true, true/\$ok, \$wait] = (\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
proof -
 have P = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1 \ true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P
   by (metis Healthy-def R3h-cases SRD-healths(3) assms)
  \mathbf{moreover\ have}\ ((\exists\ \$st\ \cdot\ II)\ \triangleleft\ \$ok\ \triangleright\ R1\ true\ \triangleleft\ \$wait\ \triangleright\ P)\llbracket true, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket\ =\ (\exists\ \$st\ \cdot\ R1\ true)
II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]
   by (simp add: usubst)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp)
qed
```

```
lemma SRD-left-zero-1: P is SRD \Longrightarrow R1(true);; P = R1(true) by (simp\ add:\ RD1-left-zero SRD-healths(1)\ SRD-healths(4))

lemma SRD-left-zero-2: assumes P is SRD shows (\exists\ \$st \cdot II)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait];; P = (\exists\ \$st \cdot II)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait] proof - have (\exists\ \$st \cdot II)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait];; R3h(P) = (\exists\ \$st \cdot II)[true,true/\$ok,\$wait] by (rel-auto) thus ?thesis by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-if\ SRD-healths(3)\ assms) qed
```

### 2.7 UTP theories

We create two theory objects: one for reactive designs and one for stateful reactive designs.

```
interpretation rdes-theory: utp-theory-continuous RD
 rewrites P \in carrier\ rdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P\ is\ RD
 and carrier rdes-theory thy-order \rightarrow carrier rdes-theory thy-order \equiv [RD]_H \rightarrow [RD]_H
 and le rdes-theory.thy-order = (\sqsubseteq)
 and eq rdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
proof -
 show utp-theory-continuous RD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: RD-idem RD-Continuous)
qed (simp-all)
interpretation srdes-theory: utp-theory-continuous SRD
 rewrites P \in carrier\ srdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P is SRD
 and carrier sides-theory.thy-order \rightarrow carrier sides-theory.thy-order \equiv [SRD]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
 and le srdes-theory.thy-order = (\Box)
 and eq srdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
proof -
 show utp-theory-continuous SRD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: SRD-idem SRD-Continuous)
qed (simp-all)
interpretation rdes-rea-galois:
  qalois-connection (RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP)
proof (simp add: mk-conn-def, rule galois-connectionI', simp-all add: utp-partial-order)
 show R3 \in [\![RD]\!]_H \to [\![RP]\!]_H
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ (\textit{no-types},\ \textit{lifting})\ \textit{Healthy-def'}\ \textit{Pi-I}\ \textit{R3-RD-RP}\ \textit{RP-idem}\ \textit{mem-Collect-eq})
 show RD1 \circ RD2 \in \llbracket RP \rrbracket_H \to \llbracket RD \rrbracket_H
   by (simp add: Pi-iff Healthy-def, metis RD-def RD-idem)
 show isotone (utp-order RD) (utp-order RP) R3
   by (simp add: R3-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
 show isotone (utp-order RP) (utp-order RD) (RD1 \circ RD2)
   by (simp add: Monotonic-comp RD1-Monotonic RD2-Monotonic isotone-utp-orderI)
 fix P :: ('a, 'b) hrel-rp
 assume P is RD
 thus P \sqsubseteq RD1 \ (RD2 \ (R3 \ P))
   by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-iff)
 fix P :: ('a, 'b) \ hrel-rp
 assume a: P is RP
```

```
thus R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) <math>\sqsubseteq P
  proof -
   have R3 (RD1 (RD2 P)) = RP (RD1 (RD2(P)))
     by (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def a)
   moreover have RD1(RD2(P)) \sqsubseteq P
     by (rel-auto)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-if RP-mono a)
 qed
qed
{\bf interpretation}\ \textit{rdes-rea-retract}:
  retract\ (RD \Leftarrow \langle RD1 \circ RD2, R3 \rangle \Rightarrow RP)
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: mk-conn-def utp-partial-order)
    (metis Healthy-if R3-RD-RP RD-def RP-idem eq-refl)
abbreviation Chaos :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
Chaos \equiv srdes-theory.utp-bottom
abbreviation Miracle :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ where
Miracle \equiv srdes-theory.utp-top
thm srdes-theory.weak.bottom-lower
{f thm}\ srdes	ext{-}theory.weak.top	ext{-}higher
thm srdes-theory.meet-bottom
thm srdes-theory.meet-top
abbreviation srd-lfp (\mu_R) where \mu_R F \equiv srdes-theory.utp-lfp F
abbreviation srd-gfp (\nu_R) where \nu_R F \equiv srdes-theory.utp-gfp F
syntax
  -srd-mu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (\mu_R - \cdot - [0, 10] 10)
  -srd-nu :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (<math>\nu_R - \cdot - [0, 10] \ 10)
translations
 \mu_R X \cdot P == \mu_R (\lambda X. P)
 \nu_R \ X \cdot P == \mu_R \ (\lambda \ X. \ P)
The reactive design weakest fixed-point can be defined in terms of relational calculus one.
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{srd}	ext{-}\mathit{mu}	ext{-}\mathit{equiv}:
 assumes Monotonic F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows (\mu_R \ X \cdot F(X)) = (\mu \ X \cdot F(SRD(X)))
 by (metis assms srdes-theory.utp-lfp-def)
```

# 3 Reactive Design Specifications

theory utp-rdes-designs imports utp-rdes-healths begin

end

### 3.1 Reactive design forms

```
lemma srdes-skip-def: II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma Chaos-def: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
proof -
 have Chaos = SRD(true)
   by (metis srdes-theory.healthy-bottom)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(true))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (metis H1-design H2-true design-false-pre)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Miracle-def: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
proof
 have Miracle = SRD(false)
   by (metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(false))
   by (simp add: SRD-RHS-H1-H2)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design p-imp-p subst-impl subst-not utp-pred-laws.compl-bot-eq
utp-pred-laws.compl-top-eq)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD1-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RH-commute RD2-def)
lemma RD1-st-reactive-design: RD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-st-reactive-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD2(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 using assms
 by (metis H2-design RD2-RHS-commute RD2-def)
lemma wait-false-design:
 (P \vdash Q)_f = ((P_f) \vdash (Q_f))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD-RH-design-form:
  RD(P) = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have RD(P) = RD1(RD2(R1(R2c(R3c(P)))))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon RD\text{-}alt\text{-}def\ RH\text{-}def)
 also have ... = RD1(H2(R1(R2s(R3c(P)))))
```

```
by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RD2-def)
 also have ... = RD1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute)
 also have ... = R1(H1(R1(H2(R2s(R3c(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-idem RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(H1(H2(R2s(R3c(R1(P))))))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(H2(R3c(R1(P))))))
   by (simp add: R2s-H1-commute R2s-H2-commute)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis RD2-R3c-commute RD2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R1(H1(R3c(H2(R1(P))))))
   by (metis R1-R2-commute R1-idem R2-def)
 also have ... = R2(R3c(R1(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))))
   by (simp add: R1-R3c-commute RD1-R3c-commute RD1-via-R1)
 also have ... = RH(\mathbf{H}(R1(P)))
   by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2-R1-form RH-def)
 also have ... = RH(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3c-commute R1-idem RD1-via-R1 RH-def)
 also have ... = RH((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3c-subst-wait RH-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is RD
 shows \mathbf{R}((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P
 by (metis RD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma RD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows RD(\mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-reactive-design RD2-reactive-design RD-alt-def RH-idem assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RH-design-is-RD:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) is RD
 by (simp add: RD-RH-design Healthy-def' assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RH-design-form:
 SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
proof -
 have SRD(P) = R1(R2c(R3h(RD1(RD2(R1(P))))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-commute R1-R3h-commute R1-idem R2c-H2-commute
RD1-R1-commute RD1-R2c-commute RD1-R3h-commute RD2-R3h-commute RD2-def RHS-def SRD-def)
 also have ... = R1(R2s(R3h(\mathbf{H}(P))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-H2-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R3h-commute R2-R1-form RD1-via-R1
RD2-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f) \vdash P^t)
   by (simp add: H1-H2-eq-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f)
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) R3h-subst-wait RHS-def subst-not wait-false-design)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-reactive-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = P
 by (metis SRD-RH-design-form Healthy-def' assms)
lemma SRD-RH-design:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows SRD(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (simp add: RD1-st-reactive-design RD2-st-reactive-design RHS-idem SRD-def assms(1) assms(2))
lemma RHS-design-is-SRD:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' SRD-RH-design assms(1) assms(2))
lemma SRD-RHS-H1-H2: SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\mathbf{H}(P))
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) H1-H2-eq-design R3h-subst-wait RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form subst-not
wait-false-design)
3.2
       Auxiliary healthiness conditions
definition [upred-defs]: R3c\text{-}pre(P) = (true \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition [upred-defs]: R3c\text{-post}(P) = ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
definition [upred-defs]: R3h-post(P) = ((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright P)
lemma R3c-pre-conj: R3c-pre(P \land Q) = (R3c-pre(P) \land R3c-pre(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-pre-seq:
  (true :; Q) = true \Longrightarrow R3c\text{-}pre(P :; Q) = (R3c\text{-}pre(P) :; Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-pre [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3c\text{-pre}(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok'-R3c-pre\ [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3c-pre(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-pre-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3c\text{-post}(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3c-post' [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3c-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3c-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post [unrest]: \$ok \ \sharp \ P \Longrightarrow \$ok \ \sharp \ R3h-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
lemma unrest-ok-R3h-post' [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp P \Longrightarrow \$ok' \sharp R3h-post(P)
 by (simp add: R3h-post-def cond-def unrest)
```

### 3.3 Composition laws

```
theorem R1-design-composition:
  fixes P Q :: ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) \ rel-rp
 and R S :: ('t, '\beta, '\gamma) \text{ rel-rp}
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
  shows
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) =
  R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
 have (R1(P \vdash Q) :: R1(R \vdash S)) = ((R1(P \vdash Q))^t :: R1(R \vdash S)[true/\$ok]] \lor (R1(P \vdash Q))^f :: R1
(R \vdash S)[false/\$ok])
   by (rule segr-bool-split[of ok], simp)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (true \land Q)) ;; R1((true \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S)))
                            \vee (R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow (false \land Q)) ;; R1((false \land R) \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S))))
   by (simp add: design-def usubst R1-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1((\$ok \land P) \Rightarrow Q) ;; R1(R \Rightarrow (\$ok' \land S)))
                            \vee (R1(\neg (\$ok \land P)) ;; R1(true)))
   by simp
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P \lor Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok' \land S)))
                            \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = (((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) \lor R1(Q)) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                             \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) :: R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok \land S)))
                              \vee (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \vee (\$ok' \wedge S)))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: segr-or-distl utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R \lor (\$ok' \land S)))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
  also from assms have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                              \vee (R1(\neg \$ok \lor \neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-extend-conj utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                 \vee ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \ rel-rp) ;; R1(true))
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj seqr-or-distl)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor R1(S) \land \$ok'))
                 \vee (R1(\neg \$ok))
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) :: R1(true)))
  proof -
   have ((R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\beta) \ rel-rp) :: R1(true)) =
          (R1(\neg \$ok) :: ('t, '\alpha, '\gamma) \ rel-rp)
     by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
     by simp
  also have ... = ((R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R) \lor (R1(S \land \$ok')))))
                 \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
                 \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-extend-conj)
  also have ... = ((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))
                  \vee R1(\neg \$ok)
```

```
\vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: seqr-or-distr utp-pred-laws.sup.assoc)
 also have ... = R1((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee (R1(Q) ;; (R1(S \wedge \$ok')))
                  \vee (\neg \$ok)
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (simp add: R1-disj R1-seqr)
 also have ... = R1((R1(Q); (R1(\neg R)))
                  \vee ((R1(Q) ;; R1(S)) \wedge \$ok')
                  \vee (\neg \$ok)
                  \vee (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)))
   by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = R1(\neg(\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                  \vee ((R1(Q); R1(S)) \wedge \$ok'))
   by (rel-blast)
 also have ... = R1((\$ok \land \neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; (R1(\neg R))))
                   \Rightarrow ($ok' \wedge (R1(Q) ;; R1(S))))
   by (simp add: impl-alt-def utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
 also have ... = R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg (R1(Q) ;; R1(\neg R))) \vdash (R1(Q) ;; R1(S)))
   by (simp add: design-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR
  (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
 apply (subst R1-design-composition)
 apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
 apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
 (R1(P \vdash Q) :: R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q :: S))
 by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
lemma R2s-design: R2s(P \vdash Q) = (R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q))
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R2s\text{-}def\ design\text{-}def\ usubst)
lemma R2c-design: R2c(P \vdash Q) = (R2c(P) \vdash R2c(Q))
 by (simp add: design-def impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-not R2c-ok R2c-and R2c-ok')
lemma R1-R3c-design:
  R1(R3c(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3c\text{-}post(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-R3h-design:
  R1(R3h(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R3c\text{-}pre(P) \vdash R3h\text{-}post(Q))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3c-R1-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R3c(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3c(R1(R \vdash S))) =
```

```
R3c(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
   by (rel-auto)
  have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ Q) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-pre}\ R))) = R3c\text{-pre}(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait') \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
  have 3:(R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ Q) \ ;;\ R1 \ (R3c\text{-post}\ S)) = R3c\text{-post}\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3c-semir-form R1-R3c-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3c-design unrest)
   apply (subst\ R1-design-composition)
       apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
   done
qed
lemma R3h-R1-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R3h(R1(P \vdash Q)) ;; R3h(R1(R \vdash S))) =
       R3h(R1((\neg (R1(\neg P) ;; R1(true)) \land \neg ((R1(Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1(\neg R))))
      \vdash (R1(Q) ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1(S)))))
proof -
  have 1:(\neg (R1 (\neg R3c\text{-}pre P) ;; R1 true)) = (R3c\text{-}pre (\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true)))
  by (rel-auto)
 have 2:(\neg (R1 \ (R3h\text{-}post \ Q) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R3c\text{-}pre \ R))) = R3c\text{-}pre(\neg ((R1 \ Q \land \neg \$wait') \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R)))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
 have 3:(R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ Q)\ ;;\ R1\ (R3h\text{-post}\ S)) = R3h\text{-post}\ (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists \$st\cdot \lceil H\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S))
   by (rel-auto, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: R3h-semir-form R1-R3h-commute[THEN sym] R1-R3h-design unrest)
   apply (subst R1-design-composition)
   apply (simp-all add: unrest assms R3c-pre-conj 1 2 3)
  done
qed
lemma R2-design-composition:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (R2(P \vdash Q) ;; R2(R \vdash S)) =
        R2((\neg (R1 (\neg R2c P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1 (\neg R2c R))) \vdash (R1 (R2c Q) ;; R1)
(R2c\ S)))
 apply (simp add: R2-R2c-def R2c-design R1-design-composition assms unrest R2c-not R2c-and R2c-disj
R1-R2c-commute [THEN sym] R2c-idem R2c-R1-seq)
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) R2c-R1-seq R2c-not R2c-true)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ RH-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
 shows (RH(P \vdash Q) :: RH(R \vdash S)) =
       RH((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) :: R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land (\neg \$wait')) :: R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                      (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))
proof -
  have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
  proof -
   have 1:(R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
      by (rel-auto)
```

```
have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
           using R2c-not by blast
       also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
           by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
       also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
           by (simp add: R2-segr-distribute)
       also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
           by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
       finally show ?thesis
           by (simp add: 1)
   qed
  have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R))
   proof -
       have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R2 \ (\neg R))
           by (rel-auto)
       hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait') :; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = (R2 (Q \land \neg $wait') :; R2 (\neg R))
           by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-segr-distribute)
       also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))
           by (rel-auto)
       finally show ?thesis.
    qed
   have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S)))) = (R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1
(R2s S)))
   proof -
       have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait'];;([H]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait]))
                   = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket)
       proof -
           \mathbf{have} \ R2c(((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))[true/\$wait']); ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))[true/\$wait])) =
                       R2c(R1\ (R2s\ (Q[[true/\$wait']]))\ ;;\ \lceil II\rceil_D[[true/\$wait]])
              by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
           also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait']) ;; R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
              by (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true)
           also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait']) ;; R2([II]_D[true/\$wait]))
              using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
           also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket))
              apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
              apply (metis R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait'-true R2-subst-wait-true)
              done
          finally show ?thesis.
       qed
       moreover have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q)))[false/\$wait']]; ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[false/\$wait]))
                   = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))[false/\$wait'] \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))[false/\$wait])
           by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-F)
            (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide\text{-}lams)\ R1\text{-}wait\text{'-}false\ R2\text{-}wait\text{-}false\ R2\text{-}subst\text{-}wait\text{'-}false\ R2\text{-}subst\text{-}wait\text{'-}false\ R2\text{-}subst\text{-}wait\text{'-}false\ R2\text{-}subst\text{-}wait\text{-}false\ R2\text{-}subs
R2c\text{-}seq)
       ultimately show ?thesis
       proof -
           have [II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S) = R2 \ ([II]_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright S)
              by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2s-wait)
           then show ?thesis
              by (simp add: R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2c-seq)
       qed
```

```
qed
```

```
have (R1(R2s(R3c(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3c(R \vdash S)))) =
               ((R3c(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3c(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
       by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute R2s-design)
   also have ... = R3c (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s
R))) \vdash
                                          (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
       by (simp add: R3c-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
   also have ... = R3c(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R1s P) \land \neg (R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg R1s P) ;; R1 (\neg R1s P) \land \neg (R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg R1s P) ;; R1 (\neg R1s P) ;; R1s P) ;; R1 (\neg R1s P) ;; R1s P) ;; R1s P) ;; R1 (\neg R1s P) ;; 
R2s R))) \vdash
                                                      (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))))
       by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
   finally show ?thesis
       by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3c-commute R2c-R3c-commute RH-def)
qed
lemma RHS-design-composition:
   assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
   shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
            \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \land \neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land (\neg \$wait')) \ ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) \vdash
                                         (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))
proof -
   have 1: R2c (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
   proof -
       have 1:(R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) = (R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
          by (rel-auto, blast)
       have R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)) = R2c(R1(R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true))
          using R2c-not by blast
       also have ... = R2(R2 (\neg P) :: R2 true)
          by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2)
       also have ... = (R2 (\neg P) ;; R2 true)
          by (simp add: R2-seqr-distribute)
       also have ... = (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true)
          by (simp add: R2-def R2s-not R2s-true)
       finally show ?thesis
          by (simp add: 1)
   qed
   have 2:R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R))
R))
   proof -
       have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)) = R1 \ (R2 \ (Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R2 \ (\neg R))
          by (rel-auto, blast+)
       hence R2c ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R)) = (R2 (Q \land \neg $wait');; R2 (\neg R))
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-seqr-distribute)
       also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))
          by (rel-auto, blast+)
       finally show ?thesis.
    qed
   have 3:R2c((R1\ (R2s\ Q)\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S)))) =
                  (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))
   proof -
       \mathbf{have} \ R2c(((R1 \ (R2s \ Q))[[true/\$wait']]; ((\exists \ \$st \cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S))[[true/\$wait]]))
```

```
= ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket))
    proof -
      have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q))[true/\$wait'];;((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[true/\$wait])) =
            R2c(R1 \ (R2s \ (Q[true/\$wait'])) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait])
        by (simp add: usubst cond-unit-T R1-def R2s-def)
      also have ... = R2c(R2(Q[true/\$wait']) ;; R2((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait]))
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2-def R2-des-lift-skip R2-subst-wait-true R2-st-ex)
      also have ... = (R2(Q[true/\$wait']); R2((\exists \$st \cdot [II]_D)[true/\$wait]))
        using R2c\text{-seq} by blast
     also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket true / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket true / \$wait \rrbracket)
        apply (simp add: usubst R2-des-lift-skip)
        \mathbf{apply} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}) \ \textit{R2-def} \ \textit{R2-des-lift-skip} \ \textit{R2-st-ex} \ \textit{R2-subst-wait'-true} \ \textit{R2-subst-wait-true})
      done
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
  moreover have R2c(((R1\ (R2s\ Q)))[false/\$wait']];((\exists\ \$st\cdot [II]_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ (R2s\ S))[false/\$wait]))
          = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket \ ;; ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
      by (simp add: usubst)
      (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-wait'-false R1-wait-false R2-R1-form R2-subst-wait'-false R2-subst-wait-false
R2c\text{-}seq)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (smt R2-R1-form R2-condr' R2-des-lift-skip R2-st-ex R2c-seq R2s-wait)
  qed
  have (R1(R2s(R3h(P \vdash Q))) ;; R1(R2s(R3h(R \vdash S)))) =
        ((R3h(R1(R2s(P) \vdash R2s(Q)))) ;; R3h(R1(R2s(R) \vdash R2s(S))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute R2s-design)
  also have ... = R3h (R1 ((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg $wait') ;; R1 (\neg
R2s(R))) \vdash
                        (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S)))))
    by (simp add: R3h-R1-design-composition assms unrest)
  also have ... = R3h(R1(R2c((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true))))
R2s R))) \vdash
                               (R1 \ (R2s \ Q) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S))))))
    by (simp add: R2c-design R2c-and R2c-not 1 2 3)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c R1-R3h-commute R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
qed
lemma RHS-R2s-design-composition:
  assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S
    P is R2s Q is R2s R is R2s S is R2s
  shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) :: \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S)) =
       \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 Q \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R))) \vdash
                        (R1\ Q\ ;;\ ((\exists\ \$st\cdot \lceil II\rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1\ S)))
proof -
  have f1: R2s P = P
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(5))
  have f2: R2s Q = Q
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(6))
  have f3: R2s R = R
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(7))
  have R2s S = S
    by (meson\ Healthy-def\ assms(8))
```

```
then show ?thesis
             using f3 f2 f1 by (simp add: RHS-design-composition assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4))
lemma RH-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RH-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q))
       by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-export-R2: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2(Q))
      by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-design-R1-pre:
      \mathbf{R}_s(R1(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-ok-wait: \mathbf{R}_s(P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \vdash Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-neg-R1-pre:
      \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 P) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg P) \vdash R)
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre:
      \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg R1 \ P) \land Q) \vdash R) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg P) \land Q) \vdash R)
      by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-pre-lemma: (\mathbf{R}_s \ P)^f_f = R1(R2c(P^f_f))
       by (rel-auto)
lemma RHS-design-R2c-pre:
      \mathbf{R}_s(R2c(P) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
      by (rel-auto)
                             Refinement introduction laws
lemma R1-design-refine:
      assumes
             P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
             \$ok \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ P_2 \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ P_2
             \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_1 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$ok \ \sharp \ Q_2
      shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_
```

proof -

```
have R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1((\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot Q_1) \vdash (\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot Q_2))
                                      \longleftrightarrow `R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok` \cdot P_1) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok` \cdot Q_1)` \land `R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok` \cdot P_1) \land R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok`
 \cdot Q_2) \Rightarrow R1(\exists \$ok;\$ok' \cdot P_2)'
                      by (rel-auto, meson+)
            thus ?thesis
                      by (simp-all add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma R1-design-refine-RR:
          assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
           shows R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \land P_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_2 \Leftrightarrow P_2 \land P_
           \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon R1\text{-}design\text{-}refine\ assms\ unrest\ closure})
lemma RHS-design-refine:
           assumes
                       P_1 is R1 P_2 is R1 Q_1 is R1 Q_2 is R1
                      P_1 is R2c P_2 is R2c Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R2c
                      \$ok \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok \sharp P_2 \$ok' \sharp P_2
                      \$ok \sharp Q_1 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_1 \$ok \sharp Q_2 \$ok \acute{\sharp} Q_2
                      \$wait \sharp P_1 \$wait \sharp P_2 \$wait \sharp Q_1 \$wait \sharp Q_2
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \land 
proof -
           have \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2) \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(R2c(P_1 \vdash P_2))) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(R2c(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)))
                      by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute RHS-def)
           also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2)) \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))
                    by (simp add: Healthy-if R2c-design assms)
           also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(R3h(P_1 \vdash P_2))[false/\$wait] \sqsubseteq R1(R3h(Q_1 \vdash Q_2))[false/\$wait]
                    by (rel-auto, metis+)
           also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2)[[false/\$wait]] \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)[[false/\$wait]]
                      by (rel-auto)
            also have ... \longleftrightarrow R1(P_1 \vdash P_2) \sqsubseteq R1(Q_1 \vdash Q_2)
                      by (simp add: usubst assms closure unrest)
            also have ... \longleftrightarrow P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land P_1 \land Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2'
                      by (simp add: R1-design-refine assms)
          finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma srdes-refine-intro:
           assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2)
          by (simp add: RHS-mono assms design-refine-intro)
3.5
                                              Distribution laws
lemma RHS-design-choice: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \lor Q_2))
          by (metis RHS-inf design-choice)
lemma RHS-design-sup: \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \land (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
          by (metis RHS-sup design-inf)
lemma RHS-design-USUP:
           assumes A \neq \{\}
           by (subst RHS-INF OF assms, THEN sym), simp add: design-UINF-mem assms)
```

end

# 4 Reactive Design Triples

theory utp-rdes-triples imports utp-rdes-designs begin

### 4.1 Diamond notation

```
definition wait'-cond ::
  ('t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rp (infixr \diamond 60) where
[upred-defs]: P \diamond Q = (P \triangleleft \$wait' \triangleright Q)
\mathbf{lemma}\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}unrest\ [unrest]:
  \llbracket out\text{-}var \ wait \bowtie x; \ x \ \sharp \ P; \ x \ \sharp \ Q \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \sharp \ (P \diamond Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-subst [usubst]:
  \$wait' \sharp_s \sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (P \diamond Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \diamond (\sigma \dagger Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest)
lemma wait'-cond-left-false: false \diamond P = (\neg \$wait' \land P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-seq: ((P \diamond Q) ;; R) = ((P ;; (\$wait \land R)) \lor (Q ;; (\neg \$wait \land R)))
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-def seqr-or-distl, rel-blast)
lemma wait'-cond-true: (P \diamond Q \land \$wait') = (P \land \$wait')
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-false: (P \diamond Q \land (\neg\$wait')) = (Q \land (\neg\$wait'))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-idem: P \diamond P = P
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-conj-exchange:
  ((P \diamond Q) \land (R \diamond S)) = (P \land R) \diamond (Q \land S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-cond-true\ [usubst]:\ (P \diamond Q)[true/\$wait']] = P[true/\$wait']
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-cond-false [usubst]: (P \diamond Q) [false/$wait'] = Q [false/$wait']
  by (rel-auto)
lemma subst-wait'-left-subst: (P[true/\$wait'] \diamond Q) = (P \diamond Q)
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{subst-wait'-right-subst} \colon (P \diamond Q \llbracket \mathit{false} / \$\mathit{wait'} \rrbracket) = (P \diamond Q)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma wait'-cond-split: P[true/\$wait'] \diamond P[false/\$wait'] = P
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-var-split)
lemma wait-cond'-assoc [simp]: P \diamond Q \diamond R = P \diamond R
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma wait-cond'-shadow: (P \diamond Q) \diamond R = P \diamond Q \diamond R
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wait-cond'-conj [simp]: P \diamond (Q \wedge (R \diamond S)) = P \diamond (Q \wedge S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-wait'-cond: R1(P \diamond Q) = R1(P) \diamond R1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2s-wait'-cond: R2s(P \diamond Q) = R2s(P) \diamond R2s(Q)
  by (simp add: wait'-cond-def R2s-def R2s-def usubst)
lemma R2-wait'-cond: R2(P \diamond Q) = R2(P) \diamond R2(Q)
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R1-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } R1; Q \text{ is } R1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \diamond Q \text{ is } R1
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-wait'-cond)
lemma wait'-cond-R2c-closed [closure]: \llbracket P \text{ is } R2c; Q \text{ is } R2c \rrbracket \implies P \diamond Q \text{ is } R2c
  by (simp add: R2c-condr wait'-cond-def Healthy-def, rel-auto)
4.2
        Export laws
lemma RH-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1)
lemma RH-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R1 RH-design-peri-R1)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-post-R2s: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RH-design-export-R2s)
lemma RH-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R1(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-idem R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1)
lemma RHS-design-post-R1: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis R1-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-peri-R1)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2s(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R2s-idem R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-post-R2s: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R2s(R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R2s-wait'-cond RHS-design-export-R2s RHS-design-peri-R2s)
lemma RHS-design-peri-R2c: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash R2c(Q) \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)
  by (metis R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)
```

**lemma** RH-design-lemma1:

```
RH(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RH(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)

by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RH-design-peri-R1 RH-design-peri-R2s)
```

lemma RHS-design-lemma1:

```
RHS(P \vdash (R1(R2c(Q)) \lor R) \diamond S) = RHS(P \vdash (Q \lor R) \diamond S)
```

**by** (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-R2c R2-R1-form R2-disj R2c-idem RHS-design-peri-R1 RHS-design-peri-R2s)

### 4.3 Pre-, peri-, and postconditions

### 4.3.1 Definitions

```
abbreviation pre_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s false, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation cmt_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation peri_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s true]
abbreviation post_s \equiv [\$ok \mapsto_s true, \$ok' \mapsto_s true, \$wait \mapsto_s false, \$wait' \mapsto_s false]
abbreviation pre_R(P) \equiv pre_s \dagger P
```

```
definition [upred-defs]: pre_R(P) = (\neg_r \ npre_R(P))

definition [upred-defs]: cmt_R(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)

definition [upred-defs]: peri_R(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)

definition [upred-defs]: post_R(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)
```

**no-utp-lift**  $pre_R$   $cmt_R$   $peri_R$   $post_R$   $npre_R$ 

#### 4.3.2 Unrestriction laws

```
lemma ok-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp pre_R P by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp peri_R P by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok-post-unrest [unrest]: \$ok \sharp post_R P
by (simp \ add: post_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$ ok \sharp cmt<sub>R</sub> P by (simp add: cmt<sub>R</sub>-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok'-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp pre_R P
by (simp add: pre_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok'-peri-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok'-post-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp post_R P
by (simp add: post_R-def unrest usubst)
```

```
lemma ok'-cmt-unrest [unrest]: \$ok' \sharp cmt_R P
by (simp\ add: cmt_R-def\ unrest\ usubst)
```

**lemma** wait-pre-unrest [unrest]: \$wait \mathre{\pi} pre\_R P **by** (simp add: pre\_R-def unrest usubst)

```
lemma wait-peri-unrest [unrest]: wait \sharp peri_R P
by (simp add: peri_R-def unrest usubst)
```

**lemma** wait-post-unrest [unrest]: 
$$wait \sharp post_R P$$
  
**by** (simp add: post\_R-def unrest usubst)

**lemma** wait-cmt-unrest [unrest]: 
$$wait \sharp cmt_R P$$
 **by** (simp add:  $cmt_R$ -def unrest usubst)

**lemma** 
$$wait'$$
- $post$ - $unrest$  [ $unrest$ ]:  $$wait' \ \pm post_R P$ 
**by** ( $simp\ add$ :  $post_R$ - $def\ unrest\ usubst$ )

### 4.3.3 Substitution laws

**lemma** 
$$pre_s$$
- $design: pre_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = (\neg pre_s \dagger P)$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ design-def\ pre_R$ - $def\ usubst)$ 

**lemma** 
$$peri_s$$
- $design$ :  $peri_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ design$ - $def\ usubst\ wait'$ - $cond$ - $def)$ 

**lemma** 
$$post_s$$
-design:  $post_s \dagger (P \vdash Q \diamond R) = post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow R)$   
**by** (simp add: design-def usubst wait'-cond-def)

**lemma** 
$$cmt_s$$
- $design: cmt_s \dagger (P \vdash Q) = cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)$   
**by**  $(simp\ add:\ design-def\ usubst\ wait'-cond-def)$ 

**lemma** 
$$pre_s$$
- $R1$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $pre_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(pre_s \dagger P)$   
**by** ( $simp\ add$ :  $R1$ - $def\ usubst$ )

lemma 
$$pre_s$$
- $R2c$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $pre_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(pre_s \dagger P)$   
by ( $simp\ add$ :  $R2c$ - $def\ R2s$ - $def\ usubst$ )

lemma 
$$peri_s$$
-R1 [ $usubst$ ]:  $peri_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(peri_s \dagger P)$   
by ( $simp\ add$ :  $R1$ - $def\ usubst$ )

lemma 
$$peri_s$$
- $R2c$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $peri_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(peri_s \dagger P)$  by ( $simp\ add$ :  $R2c$ - $def\ R2s$ - $def\ usubst$ )

lemma 
$$post_s$$
-R1 [ $usubst$ ]:  $post_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(post_s \dagger P)$   
by ( $simp\ add$ :  $R1$ - $def\ usubst$ )

lemma 
$$post_s$$
- $R2c$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $post_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(post_s \dagger P)$   
by ( $simp\ add$ :  $R2c$ - $def\ R2s$ - $def\ usubst$ )

**lemma** 
$$cmt_s$$
- $R1$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $cmt_s \dagger R1(P) = R1(cmt_s \dagger P)$   
**by** ( $simp\ add$ :  $R1$ - $def\ usubst$ )

**lemma** 
$$cmt_s$$
- $R2c$  [ $usubst$ ]:  $cmt_s \dagger R2c(P) = R2c(cmt_s \dagger P)$   
**by** ( $simp$   $add$ :  $R2c$ - $def$   $R2s$ - $def$   $usubst$ )

**lemma** 
$$pre\text{-}wait\text{-}false$$
:  
 $pre_R(P[false/\$wait]) = pre_R(P)$   
**by**  $(rel\text{-}auto)$ 

```
lemma cmt-wait-false:
  cmt_R(P[false/\$wait]) = cmt_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rea-pre-RHS-design: pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(pre_s \dagger P))
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre<sub>R</sub>-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design R1-negate-R1 R2c-not rea-not-def)
lemma rea-cmt-RHS-design: cmt_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)) = R1(R2c(cmt_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow Q)))
 by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def cmt_R-def cmt_s-design R1-idem)
lemma rea-peri-RHS-design: peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(peri_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r Q)))
 by (simp\ add:RHS-def\ usubst\ peri_R-def\ R3h-def\ peri_s-design,\ rel-auto)
lemma rea-post-RHS-design: post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = R1(R2c(post_s \dagger (P \Rightarrow_r R)))
 by (simp\ add:RHS-def\ usubst\ post_R-def\ R3h-def\ post_s-design,\ rel-auto)
lemma peri\text{-}cmt\text{-}def: peri_R(P) = (cmt_R(P))[true/\$wait']
 by (rel-auto)
lemma post-cmt-def: post_R(P) = (cmt_R(P)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-cmt: \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash cmt_s \dagger Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma rdes-export-pre: \mathbf{R}_s((P[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]) \vdash Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q)
 by (rel-auto)
         Healthiness laws
4.3.4
lemma wait'-unrest-pre-SRD [unrest]:
 wait' \sharp pre_R(P) \Longrightarrow wait' \sharp pre_R (SRD P)
 apply (rel-auto)
 using least-zero apply blast+
done
lemma R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(cmt_R(P))) = cmt_R(P)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design
assms rea-cmt-RHS-design)
lemma R1-R2s-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form
assms R1-idem peri<sub>R</sub>-def peri<sub>s</sub>-R1 peri<sub>s</sub>-R2c)
lemma R1-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(peri_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(peri_R(P))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 also have ... = peri_R(P)
```

```
by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma periR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-peri-SRD)
lemma R1-R2c-peri-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(peri_R(P))) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-R2s-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2s(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1-R2s-R2c R1-idem R2-def R2-idem RHS-def SRD-RH-design-form
assms\ post_R-def post_s-R1 post_s-R2c)
lemma R2c-peri-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-peri-RHS R1-peri-SRD assms)
lemma R1-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
 have R1(post_R(P)) = R1(R1(R2s(post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 also have ... = post_R(P)
   by (simp add: R1-idem, simp add: R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R2c-post-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
lemma postR-SRD-R1 [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is R1
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-post-SRD)
lemma R1-R2c-post-RHS:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows R1(R2c(post_R(P))) = post_R(P)
 by (metis R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-post-SRD assms)
lemma R2-cmt-conj-wait':
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2(cmt_R P \land \neg \$wait') = (cmt_R P \land \neg \$wait')
 by (simp add: R2-def R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' R1-extend-conj R1-R2s-cmt-SRD)
lemma R2c-preR:
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(pre_R(P)) = pre_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R2c-idem SRD-reactive-design rea-pre-RHS-design)
```

```
lemma preR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R2c-preR)
lemma R2c-periR:
  P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2s-R2c R1-R2s-peri-SRD R2c-idem)
lemma periR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-peri-SRD)
lemma R2c-postR:
 P \text{ is } SRD \Longrightarrow R2c(post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-R2s-post-SRD R2-def R2s-idem)
lemma postR-R2c-closed [closure]: P is SRD \implies post_R(P) is R2c
 by (simp add: Healthy-def R2c-post-SRD)
lemma periR-RR [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma postR-RR [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma wpR-trace-ident-pre [wp]:
 (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P = pre_R \ P
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R1-preR [closure]:
 pre_R(P) is R1
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-periR:
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) ;; peri_R(P) = peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-left-postR:
  (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R) ;; post_R(P) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma trace-ident-right-postR:
 post_R(P) ; (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R) = post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: R2-comp-def Healthy-comp closure)
lemma periR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-peri-RHS R2-R2c-def)
lemma postR-R2-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow post_R(P) is R2
 by (simp add: Healthy-def' R1-R2c-post-RHS R2-R2c-def)
4.3.5
         Calculation laws
```

**lemma** wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt [rdes]:  $cmt_R$   $P = peri_R$   $P \diamond post_R$  P

```
by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR
 shows pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = P
 by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c RR-implies-R1)
lemma periR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR
 shows peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r Q)
 by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma postR-rdes [rdes]:
 assumes P is RR R is RR
 shows post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = (P \Rightarrow_r R)
 by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms Healthy-if RR-implies-R2c closure)
lemma preR-Chaos [rdes]: pre_R(Chaos) = false
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma periR-Chaos [rdes]: peri_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma postR-Chaos [rdes]: post_R(Chaos) = true_r
 by (simp add: Chaos-def, rel-simp)
lemma preR-Miracle [rdes]: pre_R(Miracle) = true_r
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-Miracle [rdes]: peri_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma postR-Miracle [rdes]: post_R(Miracle) = false
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma preR-srdes-skip [rdes]: pre_R(II_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-srdes-skip [rdes]: peri_R(II_R) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srdes-skip [rdes]: post_R(II_R) = (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land [II]_R)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-INF [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R( \square A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-INF [rdes]: peri_R(   A) = (  VP \in A \cdot peri_R(P) )
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-INF [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap A) = (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF [rdes]: pre_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcup i \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
 by (rel-auto)
```

```
lemma periR-UINF [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR\text{-}UINF \ [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \cdot post_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcup i \in A \cdot pre_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcup (i,j) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \ i \ j))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow pre_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (| \ | \ (i,j,k) \in A \cdot pre_R(P \ | \ k))
i j k)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member [rdes]: peri_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot peri_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: <math>peri_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j))
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ periR\text{-}UINF\text{-}member\text{-}3 \ [rdes]: \ peri_R(\bigcap \ (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap \ (i,j,k) \in A \cdot peri_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap i \in A \cdot P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in A \cdot post_R(P(i)))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-2 [rdes]: <math>post_R(\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j) = (\bigcap (i,j) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-UINF-member-3 [rdes]: post_R(\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot P \ i \ j \ k) = (\bigcap (i,j,k) \in A \cdot post_R(P \ i \ j \ k))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-inf [rdes]: pre_R(P \sqcap Q) = (pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-inf [rdes]: peri_R(P \sqcap Q) = (peri_R(P) \lor peri_R(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-inf [rdes]: post_R(P \sqcap Q) = (post_R(P) \lor post_R(Q))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma preR-SUP [rdes]: pre_R(   A) = (  P \in A \cdot pre_R(P) )
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-SUP [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow peri_R(| | A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot peri_R(P))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR\text{-}SUP \ [rdes]: A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow post_R(\bigsqcup A) = (\bigwedge P \in A \cdot post_R(P))
  by (rel-auto)
```

### 4.4 Formation laws

**lemma** srdes-skip-tri-design [rdes-def]:  $II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond II_r)$ 

```
by (simp add: srdes-skip-def, rel-auto)
lemma Chaos-tri-def [rdes-def]: Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash false \diamond false)
    by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
lemma Miracle-tri-def [rdes-def]: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond false)
    by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre wait'-cond-idem)
lemma RHS-tri-design-form:
    assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
    shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land P_1) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok' \land (P_2 \diamond P_3))))
    \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_3)) = (II_R \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright ((\$ok \land RR(P_1)) \Rightarrow_r (\$ok \land (RR(P_2) \diamond RR(P_2)))) + (\mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1)) \land \mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1)))) + (\mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1))))) + (\mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(RR(P_1)))) + (\mathsf{R}_s(R
RR(P_3)))))
        apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
    thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma RHS-design-pre-post-form:
    \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
    have \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f)[true/\$ok]] \vdash P^t_f[true/\$ok])
        by (simp add: design-subst-ok)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
        by (simp add: pre_R-def cmt_R-def usubst, rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-as-reactive-design:
    SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
    by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form SRD-RH-design-form)
lemma SRD-reactive-design-alt:
    assumes P is SRD
    shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = P
    have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f)
        by (simp add: RHS-design-pre-post-form)
    thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: SRD-reactive-design assms)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\text{-}lemma:
    SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P_f) \vdash P_f[[true/\$wait']] \diamond P_f[[false/\$wait']])
    by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
lemma SRD-as-reactive-tri-design:
     SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
    have SRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg P^f_f) \vdash P^t_f[[true/\$wait']] \diamond P^t_f[[false/\$wait']])
        by (simp add: SRD-RH-design-form wait'-cond-split)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
        apply (simp add: usubst)
        apply (subst design-subst-ok-ok'[THEN sym])
```

```
apply (simp add: pre_R-def peri_R-def post_R-def usubst unrest)
   apply (rel-auto)
 done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-reactive-tri-design:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = P
 by (metis Healthy-if SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma SRD-elim [RD-elim]: [P \text{ is } SRD; Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))] \implies Q(P)
 by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design)
lemma RHS-tri-design-is-SRD [closure]:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest assms)
lemma SRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
 by (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: unrest closure assms)
lemma USUP-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 by (rule USUP-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
lemma UINF-R1-R2s-cmt-SRD:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H
 shows (\bigcap P \in A \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot cmt_R \ P)
 by (rule UINF-cong[of A], metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect R1-R2s-cmt-SRD assms)
4.4.1
         Order laws
lemma preR-antitone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow pre_R(Q) \sqsubseteq pre_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq peri_R(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-monotone: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow post_R(P) \sqsubseteq post_R(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
4.5
       Composition laws
theorem RH-tri-design-composition:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
          wait' \sharp Q_2  wait \sharp S_1  wait \sharp S_2
 shows (RH(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; RH(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
      RH((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg ((R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                     ((Q_1 \vee (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))))
 have 1:(\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) =
       (\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)))
```

```
by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
  have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                 ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
  proof -
    have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                        = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait')
    proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
           = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land \lceil II \rceil_D))
        by (rel-auto)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; [II]_D) \land \$wait')
        by (rel-auto)
      also from assms(2) have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait')
        by (simp add: lift-des-skip-dr-unit-unrest unrest)
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    moreover have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                   = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
    proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (\lceil II \rceil_D \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
            = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
     \textbf{by} \ (metis \ (no\text{-}types, \ lifting) \ cond\text{-}def \ conj\text{-}disj\text{-}not\text{-}abs \ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws. double\text{-}compl \ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws. inf. } left\text{-}idem
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
        by (metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
      also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
        by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    moreover
    have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait') \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
          = (R1 (R2s Q_1) \lor (R1 (R2s Q_2) :: R1 (R2s S_1))) \diamond ((R1 (R2s Q_2) :: R1 (R2s S_2)))
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq)
  qed
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst RH-design-composition)
    apply (simp-all add: assms)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (simp\ add:\ assms\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}def\ unrest)
    apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
    apply (simp add: 12)
    apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RH-design-lemma1)
  done
qed
theorem R1-design-composition-RR:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR S is RR
```

```
shows
    (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
   apply (subst R1-design-composition)
   apply (simp-all add: assms unrest wp-rea-def Healthy-if closure)
   apply (rel-auto)
done
theorem R1-design-composition-RC:
   assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR S is RR
   shows
   (R1(P \vdash Q) ;; R1(R \vdash S)) = R1((P \land Q wp_r R) \vdash (Q ;; S))
   by (simp add: R1-design-composition-RR assms unrest Healthy-if closure wp)
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition:
   assumes \$ok ´ \sharp P \$ok ´ \sharp Q_1 \$ok ´ \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
                 \$wait \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_1 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_2
   shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) :: \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)) =
            \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \land \neg (R1(R2s Q_2) ;; R1 (\neg R2s R))) \vdash
                                    (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))))
proof -
   have 1:(\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R))) =
              (\neg ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ (\neg R2s \ R)))
      by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-extend-conj R2s-conj R2s-not R2s-wait' wait'-cond-false)
   have 2: (R1 \ (R2s \ (Q_1 \diamond Q_2)) \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (S_1 \diamond S_2)))) =
                             (((\exists \$st' \cdot R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; \ R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;
S_2)))
   proof -
      have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                                        = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait'))
      proof -
          have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                   = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; \ (\$wait \land (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)))
             by (rel-auto, blast+)
          also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \ ;; (\exists \ \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D)) \land \$wait')
             by (rel-auto)
          also from assms(2) have ... = (\exists \$st' \cdot ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1)) \land \$wait'))
             by (rel-auto, blast)
          finally show ?thesis.
      qed
       moreover have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil H \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
(S_2))))
                               = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
      proof -
          have (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \rhd R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                     = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; (\neg \$wait \land (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) cond-def conj-disj-not-abs utp-pred-laws.double-compl utp-pred-laws.inf.left-idem
utp-pred-laws.sup-assoc utp-pred-laws.sup-inf-absorb)
          also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket)
             by (metis false-alt-def seqr-right-one-point upred-eq-false wait-vwb-lens)
          also have ... = ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) \ ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
             by (simp add: wait'-cond-def usubst unrest assms)
```

```
finally show ?thesis.
      qed
      moreover
      have ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \land \$wait') \lor ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2)) ;; (R1 \ (R2s \ S_1) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ S_2))))
                 = (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_1) \lor (R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_1))) \diamond ((R1 \ (R2s \ Q_2) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ S_2)))
          by (simp add: wait'-cond-def cond-seq-right-distr cond-and-T-integrate unrest)
      ultimately show ?thesis
          by (simp add: R2s-wait'-cond R1-wait'-cond wait'-cond-seq ex-conj-contr-right unrest)
               (simp add: cond-and-T-integrate cond-seq-right-distr unrest-var wait'-cond-def)
   qed
   from assms(7,8) have 3: (R1 (R2s Q_2) \land \neg \$wait');; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);; R1 (\neg R2s R) = R1 (R2s Q_2);
      by (rel-auto, blast, meson)
   show ?thesis
      apply (subst RHS-design-composition)
      apply (simp-all add: assms)
      \mathbf{apply}\ (simp\ add\colon assms\ wait'\text{-}cond\text{-}def\ unrest)
      apply (simp add: assms wait'-cond-def unrest)
      apply (simp add: 1 2 3)
      apply (simp add: R1-R2s-R2c RHS-design-lemma1)
      apply (metis R1-R2c-ex-st RHS-design-lemma1)
   done
\mathbf{qed}
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-wp:
   assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
                 \$wait \sharp R \$wait \' \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
                 P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
                 R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
                 \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))) (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
proof -
   have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true \land \neg Q_2 ;; R1 (\neg R)) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2)) \land (Q_3 ;; S_3 ;; S_4 ;; S_5 ;; 
;; S_2))
      by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms Healthy-if R2c-healthy-R2s disj-upred-def)
            (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-negate-R1 R2c-healthy-R2s assms(11,16))
   also have \dots = ?rhs
      by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem RHS-tri-design-composition-RR-wp:
   assumes P is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR
                 R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
   shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
                 \mathbf{R}_s(((\neg_r P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash (((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))) (is ?lhs =
 ?rhs)
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp add: closure assms unrest RR-implies-R2c)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{RHS-tri-normal-design-composition}:$ 

```
assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
    \$wait \sharp R \$wait' \sharp Q_2 \$wait \sharp S_1 \$wait \sharp S_2
    P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
    R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
    R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \sharp Q_1
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
         = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
        \mathbf{R}_s ((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
    by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
    by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st' \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
         = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
  have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1(\neg P)
    using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
       (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
\mathbf{lemma}\ RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait' \sharp R
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
    by (simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 (\neg R2s P) ;; R1 true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' = u))
tr \wedge [II]_R))))
    by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ R))
    from assms(3,4) have (R1 (R2s R) ;; R1 (R2s (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))) = R1 (R2s R)
      by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma R1-R2s-R2c R2c-not RHS-design-R2c-pre RHS-design-neg-R1-pre
RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ SRD\text{-}composition\text{-}wp$ :

```
assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
           shows (P :; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                                                                                                                              ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
           (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
            have (P :: Q) = (\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
                     by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1)\ assms(2))
           also from assms
          \mathbf{have}\ ...\ =\ ?rhs
                     by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp disj-upred-def unrest assms closure)
          finally show ?thesis.
qed
                                              Refinement introduction laws
4.6
{f lemma} RHS-tri-design-refine:
          assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
         shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow `P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_3 \Rightarrow P_2` \wedge `P_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge Q_3 \wedge
P_3
           (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
           have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow 'P<sub>1</sub> \Rightarrow Q<sub>1</sub>' \land 'P<sub>1</sub> \land Q<sub>2</sub> \diamond Q<sub>3</sub> \Rightarrow P<sub>2</sub> \diamond P<sub>3</sub>'
                     by (simp add: RHS-design-refine assms closure RR-implies-R2c unrest ex-unrest)
           also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '(P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3'
                     by (rel-auto)
          also have ... \longleftrightarrow 'P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3)[[true/$wait']]' \land '((P_1 \land Q_2)
\diamond (P_1 \land Q_3) \Rightarrow P_2 \diamond P_3) \llbracket false / \$wait' \rrbracket
                     by (rel-auto, metis)
           also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
                     by (simp add: usubst unrest assms)
          finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-refine':
          assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1) \land (P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2)) \land (P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \lor Q_2)) \land (P_3 \lor Q_2)) \land
          by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms, rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} srdes-tri-refine-intro:
           assumes P_1 \Rightarrow P_2 \cdot P_1 \wedge Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \cdot P_1 \wedge R_2 \Rightarrow R_1 \wedge R_2 \wedge R_
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
           using assms
           by (rule-tac srdes-refine-intro, simp-all, rel-auto)
lemma srdes-tri-refine-intro':
           assumes P_2 \sqsubseteq P_1 \ Q_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \ R_1 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land R_2)
           shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2)
          using assms
          by (rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-peri-under-pre:
          assumes P is SRD wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(P)
          shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r peri_R(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
```

have  $peri_R(P) =$ 

```
peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
                by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
        also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
                by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-peri-RHS-design assms
                                   unrest usubst R1-peri-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-periR)
        finally show ?thesis ...
qed
lemma SRD-post-under-pre:
        assumes P is SRD wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(P)
        shows (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P)) = post_R(P)
proof -
        have post_R(P) =
                                 post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
                by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
        also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P)
                by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design assms
                                  unrest usubst R1-post-SRD R2c-preR R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-postR)
        finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma SRD-refine-intro:
        assumes
                 P is SRD Q is SRD
                  pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q), pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P), pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)
        shows P \sqsubseteq Q
      by (metis\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)\ assms(5)\ srdes\text{-}tri\text{-}refine\text{-}intro)
lemma SRD-refine-intro':
        assumes
                 P is SRD Q is SRD
                  pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q) \cdot peri_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q)) \ post_R(P) \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q))
        shows P \sqsubseteq Q
        using assms by (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro, simp-all add: refBy-order)
lemma SRD-eq-intro:
         assumes
                  P 	ext{ is } SRD 	ext{ } Q 	ext{ is } SRD 	ext{ } pre_R(P) = pre_R(Q) 	ext{ } peri_R(P) = peri_R(Q) 	ext{ } post_R(P) = post_R(Q)
        shows P = Q
        by (metis SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
lemma srdes-tri-eq-iff:
        assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RR Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
        shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow (P_1 = Q_1 \land (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) \land (P_1 \land Q_2)
 Q_3) = (Q_1 \wedge P_3))
proof -
        have \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \longleftrightarrow
                                 (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \land \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3))
                by fastforce
        also have ... = (Q_1 \sqsubseteq P_1 \land P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \land P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_3) \land P_1 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land P_2) \land Q_1 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_1 \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq Q_2 \sqsubseteq
 Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \wedge P_3))
                by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-refine' assms)
        \textbf{also have} \ ... = (P_1 = Q_1 \land P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_2) \land P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \land Q_3) \land Q_2 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land P_2) \land Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1 \land Q_2) \land Q_3 \sqsubseteq (Q_1
P_3))
                by fastforce
```

```
also have ... = (P_1 = Q_1 \land (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) \land (P_1 \land Q_3) = (Q_1 \land P_3))
   apply (safe, simp-all)
   apply (meson eq-iff utp-pred-laws.inf-greatest utp-pred-laws.inf-le1)+
    apply (metis utp-pred-laws.inf-le2)+
   done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma srdes-tri-eq-intro:
 assumes P_1 = Q_1 (P_1 \land Q_2) = (Q_1 \land P_2) (P_1 \land Q_3) = (Q_1 \land P_3)
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
 by (metis\ (no-types,\ hide-lams)\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ design-export-pre\ wait'-cond-conj-exchange
wait'-cond-idem)
lemma srdes-tri-eq-intro':
 assumes P_1 = Q_1 P_2 = Q_2 P_3 = Q_3
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) = \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)
 using assms by (simp)
4.7
        Closure laws
lemma SRD-srdes-skip [closure]: II_R is SRD
 by (simp add: srdes-skip-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma SRD-seqr-closure [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows (P ;; Q) is SRD
proof -
 have (P ;; Q) = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash
                    ((\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \lor (post_R P ;; peri_R Q)) \diamond (post_R P ;; post_R Q))
   by (simp \ add: SRD\text{-}composition\text{-}wp \ assms(1) \ assms(2))
 also have ... is SRD
   by (rule RHS-design-is-SRD, simp-all add: wp-rea-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
lemma SRD-power-Suc [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P^{\hat{}}(Suc \ n) is SRD
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp)
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
   using SRD-seqr-closure by (simp add: SRD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma SRD-power-comp [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P;; P^n is SRD
 by (metis SRD-power-Suc upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma uplus-SRD-closed [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow P^+ is SRD
 by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)
lemma SRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
 assumes A \subseteq [SRD]_H A \neq \{\}
 shows (   A) is SRD
```

```
proof -
        have SRD (   A) = (   (SRD 'A))
               by (simp add: ContinuousD SRD-Continuous assms(2))
        also have ... = (   A )
               by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
        finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
4.8
                                 Distribution laws
\mathbf{lemma} \ RHS\text{-}tri\text{-}design\text{-}choice} \ [rdes\text{-}def]:
        \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \lor Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3))
       apply (simp add: RHS-design-choice)
       apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s])
          apply (simp)
       apply (rel-auto)
        done
lemma RHS-tri-design-disj [rdes-def]:
         (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \vee \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land Q_1) \vdash (P_2 \lor Q_2) \diamond (P_3 \lor Q_3))
        by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice disj-upred-def)
lemma RHS-tri-design-sup [rdes-def]:
         \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqcup \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
\Rightarrow_r P_3) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
      by (simp add: RHS-design-sup, rel-auto)
lemma RHS-tri-design-conj [rdes-def]:
       (\mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \land \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3)) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \lor Q_1) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \land (Q_2 \Rightarrow_r Q_2)) \diamond ((Q_2 \Rightarrow_r
\Rightarrow_r P_3) \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3)))
       by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-sup conj-upred-def)
lemma SRD-UINF [rdes-def]:
       assumes A \neq \{\} A \subseteq [SRD]_H
       shows \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
proof -
        have \bigcap A = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(\bigcap A) \vdash peri_R(\bigcap A) \diamond post_R(\bigcap A))
               by (metis SRD-as-reactive-tri-design assms srdes-theory.healthy-inf srdes-theory.healthy-inf-def)
        also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigwedge P \in A \cdot pre_R(P)) \vdash (\bigvee P \in A \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond (\bigvee P \in A \cdot post_R(P)))
               by (simp add: preR-INF periR-INF postR-INF assms)
       finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-USUP [rdes-def]:
       assumes A \neq \{\}
       shows ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P(i) \vdash Q(i) \diamond R(i))) = \mathbf{R}_s((\bigcup i \in A \cdot P(i)) \vdash (\bigcap i \in A \cdot Q(i)) \diamond (\bigcap i \in A)
       by (subst RHS-INF[OF assms, THEN sym], simp add: design-UINF-mem assms, rel-auto)
lemma SRD-UINF-mem:
       assumes A \neq \{\} \land i. P i is SRD
       (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
        have ?lhs = ( (P `A))
               by (rel-auto)
```

```
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\bigcup Pa \in P \land A \cdot pre_R Pa) \vdash (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa) \diamond (\bigcap Pa \in P \land A \cdot peri_R Pa)
post_R Pa))
    by (subst rdes-def, simp-all add: assms image-subsetI)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-UINF-ind [rdes-def]:
  by (rel-auto)
lemma cond-srea-form [rdes-def]:
  \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
   \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) \triangleleft R2c(\lceil b \rceil_{S \lt}) \triangleright \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
    by (pred-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
    by (simp add: RHS-cond lift-cond-srea-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \diamond Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1 \diamond S_2))
    by (simp add: design-condr lift-cond-srea-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R) \vdash (Q_1 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_1) \diamond (Q_2 \triangleleft b \triangleright_R S_2))
    by (rule cong [of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
proof -
 have P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
    by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ peri_R \ Q) \diamond (post_R \ P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \ post_R
Q))
    by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
  also have ... is SRD
    by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD lift-cond-srea-def unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
         Algebraic laws
4.9
lemma SRD-left-unit:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows II_R;; P = P
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp C1 R1-negate-R1 R1-false
      rpred\ trace-ident-left-periR\ trace-ident-left-postR\ SRD-reactive-tri-design\ assms)
lemma skip-srea-self-unit [simp]:
  II_R ;; II_R = II_R
  by (simp add: SRD-left-unit closure)
lemma SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
```

```
shows P ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes wp rpred trace-ident-right-postR assms)
lemma Miracle-left-zero:
  assumes P is SRD
  shows Miracle ;; P = Miracle
proof -
  have Miracle ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Miracle-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false)
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R1-false R2s-false R2s-true)
  also have \dots = Miracle
   by (simp add: Miracle-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Chaos-left-zero:
 assumes P is SRD
  shows (Chaos ;; P) = Chaos
proof -
  have Chaos ;; P = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash cmt_R(P))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def SRD-reactive-design-alt assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ true \land \neg (R1 \ true \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (<math>\neg R2s \ (pre_R \ P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-composition unrest R2s-false R2s-true R1-false)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((false \land \neg (R1 \ true \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 (<math>\neg R2s \ (pre_R \ P))) \vdash
                      R1 \ true \ ;; \ ((\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_D) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright R1 \ (R2s \ (cmt_R \ P))))
   by (simp add: RHS-design-conj-neg-R1-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(true)
   by (simp add: design-false-pre)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(false \vdash true)
   by (simp add: design-def)
  also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows P;; Chaos = \mathbf{R}_s (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ false) \vdash (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
lemma SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:
  assumes P is SRD
 shows P :: Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond false)
  by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp closure rdes assms wp, rel-auto)
Stateful reactive designs are left unital
interpretation srdes-left-unital: utp-theory-left-unital SRD II<sub>R</sub>
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure SRD-left-unit)
4.10
          Recursion laws
lemma mono-srd-iter:
  assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows mono (\lambda X. \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F X) \vdash peri_R(F X) \diamond post_R(F X)))
```

```
apply (rule monoI)
 apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE preR-antitone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE periR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
 apply (meson assms(1) monoE postR-monotone utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
done
lemma mu-srd-SRD:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) is SRD
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
 apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (rule RHS-tri-design-is-SRD)
 apply (simp-all add: unrest)
done
lemma mu-srd-iter:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
  shows (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X))) \diamond post_R(F(X)))) = F(\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X))))
peri_R(F(X)) \diamond post_R(F(X)))
 apply (subst gfp-unfold)
  apply (simp add: mono-srd-iter assms)
 apply (subst SRD-as-reactive-tri-design[THEN sym])
 apply (simp \ add: Healthy-apply-closed \ SRD-as-reactive-design \ SRD-reactive-design-alt \ assms(1) \ assms(2)
mu-srd-SRD)
 done
lemma mu-srd-form:
 assumes mono F F \in [SRD]_H \to [SRD]_H
 shows \mu_R F = (\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(F(X)) \vdash peri_R(F(X))) \diamond post_R(F(X))))
 have 1: F(\mu X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(FX) \vdash peri_R(FX) \diamond post_R(FX))) is SRD
   by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-apply-closed\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ mu-srd-SRD)
 have 2:Mono<sub>utp-order</sub> SRD F
   by (simp add: assms(1) mono-Monotone-utp-order)
 hence \beta:\mu_R F = F (\mu_R F)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{srdes-theory}.\mathit{LFP-unfold}\lceil\mathit{THEN}\ \mathit{sym}\rceil\ \mathit{assms})
 hence \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \vdash peri_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F))) \diamond post_R \ (F \ (F \ (\mu_R \ F)))) = \mu_R \ F
   using SRD-reactive-tri-design by force
 hence (\mu \ X \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ (F \ X) \vdash peri_R(F \ X) \diamond post_R \ (F \ X))) \sqsubseteq F \ (\mu_R \ F)
   by (simp add: 2 srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lemma3 gfp-upperbound assms)
 thus ?thesis
   using assms 1 3 srdes-theory.weak.LFP-lowerbound eq-iff mu-srd-iter
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
lemma Monotonic-SRD-comp [closure]: Monotonic ((;;) P \circ SRD)
 by (simp add: mono-def R1-R2c-is-R2 R2-mono R3h-mono RD1-mono RD2-mono RHS-def SRD-def
segr-mono)
end
```

## 5 Normal Reactive Designs

theory utp-rdes-normal

```
imports
   utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}triples
   UTP-KAT.utp-kleene
begin
This additional healthiness condition is analogous to H3
definition RD3 where
[upred-defs]: RD3(P) = P;; II_R
lemma RD3-idem: RD3(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a: II_R :: II_R = II_R
   by (simp add: SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip)
 show ?thesis
   by (simp \ add: RD3-def \ seqr-assoc \ a)
qed
lemma RD3-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent RD3
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def RD3-idem)
lemma RD3-continuous: RD3(\bigcap A) = (\bigcap P \in A. RD3(P))
 by (simp add: RD3-def seq-Sup-distr)
lemma RD3-Continuous [closure]: Continuous RD3
 by (simp add: Continuous-def RD3-continuous)
lemma RD3-right-subsumes-RD2: RD2(RD3(P)) = RD3(P)
proof -
 have a: II_R :: J = II_R
   by (rel-auto)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc)
qed
lemma RD3-left-subsumes-RD2: RD3(RD2(P)) = RD3(P)
proof
 have a:J;; II_R = II_R
   by (rel\text{-}simp, safe, blast+)
 show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) H2-def RD2-def RD3-def a seqr-assoc)
qed
lemma RD3-implies-RD2: P is RD3 \implies P is RD2
 by (metis Healthy-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2)
lemma RD3-intro-pre:
 assumes P is SRD (\neg_r pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r pre_R(P)) \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
 shows P is RD3
proof -
 have RD3(P) = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P) \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: RD3-def SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma assms)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ ex-unrest)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) wp_r \ false \vdash cmt_R \ P)
   by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
```

```
also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (pre<sub>R</sub> P \vdash cmt_R P)
          by (simp add: assms(2) rpred wp-rea-def R1-preR)
     finally show ?thesis
          by (metis Healthy-def SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1))
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma:
     assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait' \sharp R
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) ;; II_R = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r (\neg_r P) ;; true_r) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
proof
     \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \ ;; \ II_R = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \ ;; \ \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))
          by (simp add: srdes-skip-tri-design, rel-auto)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u)) \diamond (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (R1s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ ;
tr \wedge [II]_R)))
          by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition assms unrest R2s-true R1-false R2s-false)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg R1 \ (\neg R2s \ P) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R1 \ (R2s \ R))
     proof -
          from assms(3,4) have (R1 \ (R2s \ R) \ ;; R1 \ (R2s \ (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil II \rceil_R))) = R1 \ (R2s \ R)
               by (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) minus-zero-eq, meson order-refl trace-class.diff-cancel)
          thus ?thesis
               by simp
     qed
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg (\neg P) ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
      \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \textit{lifting}) \ \textit{R1-R2s-R1-true-lemma} \ \textit{R1-R2s-R2c} \ \textit{R2c-not} \ \textit{RHS-design-R2c-pre} \ \textit{RHS-design-neg-R1-pre} \ 
RHS-design-post-R1 RHS-design-post-R2s)
     also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot Q) \diamond R))
          by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro:
    assumes
          \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$st' \sharp Q \$wait' \sharp R
          P \text{ is } R1 \ (\neg_r \ P) \ ;; \ true_r = (\neg_r \ P)
     shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
     apply (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def)
     apply (subst RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma)
     apply (simp-all add:assms ex-unrest rpred)
done
RD3 reactive designs are those whose assumption can be written as a conjunction of a precon-
dition on (undashed) program variables, and a negated statement about the trace. The latter
allows us to state that certain events must not occur in the trace – which are effectively safety
properties.
{f lemma} R1-right-unit-lemma:
     \llbracket out\alpha \sharp b; out\alpha \sharp e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\neg_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \hat{\ }_u \ e \leq_u \$tr') ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \ b \lor \$tr \ \hat{\ }_u \ e \leq_u \$tr')
     by (rel-auto, blast, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans)
lemma RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro-form:
     assumes
          out\alpha \ \sharp \ b \ out\alpha \ \sharp \ e \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ Q \ \$st' \ \sharp \ Q \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ R
     shows \mathbf{R}_s((b \land \neg_r \$tr \hat{\ }_u e \leq_u \$tr') \vdash Q \diamond R) is RD3
     apply (rule RHS-tri-design-RD3-intro)
                    apply (simp-all add: assms unrest closure rpred)
     apply (subst R1-right-unit-lemma)
```

```
apply (simp-all add: assms unrest)
 done
definition NSRD :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp
where [upred-defs]: NSRD = RD1 \circ RD3 \circ RHS
lemma RD1-RD3-commute: <math>RD1(RD3(P)) = RD3(RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma NSRD-is-SRD [closure]: P is NSRD \implies P is SRD
 by (simp add: Healthy-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis Healthy-def RD1-RD3-commute RD2-RHS-commute
RD3-def RD3-right-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def SRD-idem SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip)
lemma NSRD-elim [RD-elim]:
 \llbracket P \text{ is } NSRD; \ Q(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: RD-elim closure)
lemma NSRD-idem: NSRD(NSRD(P)) = NSRD(P)
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def RD1-RD2-commute RD1-RD3-commute RD1-RHS-commute
RD1-idem RD2-RHS-commute RD2-idem RD3-def RD3-idem RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 RHS-idem SRD-def
comp-apply fun.map-comp srdes-left-unital. Healthy-Sequence srdes-left-unital. Healthy-Unit)
lemma NSRD-Idempotent [closure]: Idempotent NSRD
 by (simp add: Idempotent-def NSRD-idem)
lemma NSRD-Continuous [closure]: Continuous NSRD
 by (simp add: Continuous-comp NSRD-def RD1-Continuous RD3-Continuous RHS-Continuous)
lemma NSRD-form:
 NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
proof -
 have NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-def)
 also have ... = RD3(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-as-reactive-tri-design)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) ;; II_R
   by (simp add: RD3-def)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-right-unit-lemma unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-healthy-form:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))) = P
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form assms)
lemma NSRD-Sup-closure [closure]:
 assumes A \subseteq [NSRD]_H A \neq \{\}
 shows \prod A \text{ is } NSRD
proof -
 by (simp\ add:\ ContinuousD\ NSRD-Continuous\ assms(2))
 also have \dots = (\prod A)
   by (simp only: Healthy-carrier-image assms)
```

```
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma intChoice-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows P \sqcap Q is NSRD
  using NSRD-Sup-closure [of \{P, Q\}] by (simp \ add: \ assms)
lemma NRSD-SUP-closure [closure]:
  \llbracket \bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow P(i) \ is \ NSRD; \ A \neq \{\} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\bigcap i \in A. \ P(i)) \ is \ NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-Sup-closure, auto)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-unit:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
proof -
 \mathbf{have} \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s)((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c ((\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
  by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design R1-negate-R1 R1-idem R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not usubst rpred unrest
closure)
  also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) ;; R1 true
   by (simp add: R1-R2c-seqr-distribute closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: rea-not-def)
ged
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD}	ext{-}\mathit{neg}	ext{-}\mathit{pre}	ext{-}\mathit{left}	ext{-}\mathit{zero}:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is R1 Q is RD1
 shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) :: Q = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
 by (metis\ (no-types,\ hide-lams)\ NSRD-neg-pre-unit\ RD1-left-zero\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ seqr-assoc)
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-peri [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
proof -
  have peri_R(P) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) :: R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
  also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true \Rightarrow_r (\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R \ P)))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have $st' \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
lemma NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$wait' \sharp pre_B(P)
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
  also have ... = (R1 \ (R2c \ (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true)))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
  also have \$wait' \sharp ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
```

```
finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-st'-unrest-pre [unrest]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows \$st' \sharp pre_R(P)
proof -
 have pre_R(P) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; \ R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P))))
   by (simp add: NSRD-healthy-form assms)
 also have ... = R1 (R2c (\neg_r (\neg_r pre_R P) ;; R1 true))
   by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest)
 also have $st' \mu ...
   by (simp add: R1-def R2c-def unrest)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma NSRD-peri-under-pre [rpred]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P) = peri_R P
 by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre unrest closure)
lemma NSRD-post-under-pre [rpred]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P) = post_R P
 by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre unrest closure)
lemma NSRD-peri-seq-under-pre:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q) = (peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R Q)
 by (metis NSRD-peri-under-pre assms(1) rea-impl-def utp-pred-laws.disj-assoc)
lemma NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) = (post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)
 by (metis NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-peri-under-pre assms postR-RR wpR-impl-post-spec)
lemma NSRD-postR-seq-postR-impl:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q)) = (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q)
 by (metis NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-post-under-pre assms postR-RR wpR-impl-post-spec)
lemma NSRD-peri-under-assms:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 \mathbf{shows}\ (\mathit{pre}_R\ P\ \land\ \mathit{post}_R\ P\ \mathit{wp}_r\ \mathit{pre}_R\ Q \Rightarrow_r \mathit{peri}_R\ P\ \lor\ \mathit{post}_R\ P\ ;;\ \mathit{peri}_R\ Q) = (\mathit{peri}_R\ P\ \lor\ \mathit{post}_R\ P\ ;;
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-peri-seq-under-pre assms NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl rea-impl-conj
rea-impl-disj)
lemma NSRD-peri-under-assms':
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q) = (peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)
 by (simp add: NSRD-postR-seq-periR-impl assms rea-impl-disj)
lemma NSRD-post-under-assms:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q) = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q))
 by (metis\ NSRD-postR-seq-postR-impl\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ rea-impl-conj)
```

```
lemma NSRD-alt-def: NSRD(P) = RD3(SRD(P))
 by (metis NSRD-def RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2 SRD-def comp-eq-dest-lhs)
lemma preR-RR [closure]: P is NSRD \implies pre_R(P) is RR
 by (rule RR-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-neg-pre-RC [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows pre_R(P) is RC
 by (rule RC-intro, simp-all add: closure assms NSRD-neg-pre-unit rpred)
lemma NSRD-intro:
 assumes P is SRD (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) ;; true_r = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ \$st' \ \sharp \ peri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
 have NSRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ ;; R1 \ true) \vdash ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot peri_R(P)) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-form)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P)
   by (simp add: assms ex-unrest rpred closure)
 also have \dots = P
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-reactive-tri-design}\ assms(1))
 finally show ?thesis
   using Healthy-def by blast
qed
lemma NSRD-intro':
 assumes P is R2 P is R3h P is RD1 P is RD3
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def NSRD-def R1-R2c-is-R2 RHS-def assms comp-apply)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD}\text{-}\mathit{RC}\text{-}\mathit{intro}\text{:}
 assumes P is SRD pre_R(P) is RC \$st' \sharp peri_R(P)
 shows P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-form SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
     ex-unrest rea-not-false wp-rea-RC-false wp-rea-def)
lemma NSRD-rdes-intro [closure]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' \sharp Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure assms unrest)
lemma SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; P \text{ is } RD3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \text{ is } NSRD
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def NSRD-def RHS-idem SRD-healths(4) SRD-reactive-design
comp-apply)
lemma NSRD-iff:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \longleftrightarrow ((P \text{ is } SRD) \land (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \text{ } ;; R1(true) = (\neg_r \text{ } pre_R(P)) \land (\$st' \sharp peri_R(P)))
 by (meson NSRD-intro NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri)
lemma NSRD-is-RD3 [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P is RD3
```

```
by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri RD3-intro-pre assms)
lemma NSRD-refine-elim:
   assumes
      P \sqsubseteq Q P \text{ is NSRD } Q \text{ is NSRD}
       \llbracket \text{ '}pre_R(P) \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)\text{ '}; \text{ '}pre_R(P) \land peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow peri_R(P)\text{ '}; \text{ '}pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \Rightarrow post_R(P)\text{ '} \rrbracket
   shows R
proof -
   have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
      by (simp\ add:\ NSRD\text{-}is\text{-}SRD\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3))
   hence 1: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow pre_R Q' and 2: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land peri_R Q \Rightarrow peri_R P' and 3: 'pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R Q \Rightarrow
      by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-refine assms closure)
   with assms(4) show ?thesis
      by simp
qed
lemma NSRD-right-unit: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P ;; II_R = P
   by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-is-RD3 RD3-def)
lemma NSRD-composition-wp:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
   shows P :: Q =
               \mathbf{R}_s \; ((pre_R \; P \; \land \; post_R \; P \; wp_r \; pre_R \; Q) \vdash (peri_R \; P \; \lor \; (post_R \; P \; ;; \; peri_R \; Q)) \diamond (post_R \; P \; ;; \; post_R \; P \; ;; \; post_
Q))
 by (simp add: SRD-composition-wp assms NSRD-is-SRD wp-rea-def NSRD-neg-pre-unit NSRD-st'-unrest-peri
R1-negate-R1 R1-preR ex-unrest rpred)
lemma preR-NSRD-seg-lemma:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
   shows R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))) = post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q))
   have post_R P :: (\neg_r pre_R Q) = R1(R2c(post_R P)) :: R1(R2c(\neg_r pre_R Q))
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-post-RHS R1-rea-not R2c-preR R2c-rea-not assms(1) assms(2))
   also have ... = R1 (R2c (post_R P ;; (\neg_r pre_R Q)))
      by (simp add: R1-seqr R2c-R1-seq calculation)
   finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma preR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD
   shows pre_R(P ;; Q) = (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q)
   by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def R2c-disj
        R1-disj R2c-and R2c-preR R1-R2c-commute [THEN sym] R1-extend-conj' R1-idem R2c-not closure)
        (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD R1-R2c-commute
          R1-R2c-segr-distribute R1-segr-closure assms(1) assms(2) postR-R2c-closed postR-SRD-R1
          preR-R2c-closed rea-not-R1 rea-not-R2c)
lemma periR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
   shows peri_R(P;; Q) = ((pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R P \lor (post_R P;; peri_R Q)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
```

R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'

R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not R1-peri-SRD)

```
lemma postR-NSRD-seq [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows post_R(P :; Q) = ((pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \Rightarrow_r (post_R P :; post_R Q))
  by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms closure rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest wp-rea-def
     R1-extend-conj' R1-disj R1-R2c-seqr-distribute R2c-disj R2c-and R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl'
     R2c-preR R2c-periR R1-rea-not' R2c-rea-not)
lemma NSRD-seqr-closure [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows (P ;; Q) is NSRD
proof -
  have (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q);; true_r = (\neg_r \ post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q)
   by (simp add: wp-rea-def rpred assms closure seqr-assoc NSRD-neg-pre-unit)
  moreover have st' \not\equiv pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor post_R P ;; peri_R Q
   by (simp add: unrest assms wp-rea-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by (rule-tac NSRD-intro, simp-all add: segr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms closure rdes unrest)
qed
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition:
  assumes
   \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q_1 \$ok' \sharp Q_2 \$ok \sharp R \$ok \sharp S_1 \$ok \sharp S_2
   \$wait \ \sharp \ R \ \$wait \ \sharp \ Q_2 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_1 \ \$wait \ \sharp \ S_2
    P is R2c Q_1 is R1 Q_1 is R2c Q_2 is R1 Q_2 is R2c
    R is R2c S_1 is R1 S_1 is R2c S_2 is R1 S_2 is R2c
    R1 (\neg P) ;; R1(true) = R1(\neg P) \$st' \sharp Q_1
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2) =
       \mathbf{R}_s ((R1 (\neg P) wp_r false \land Q_2 wp_r R) \vdash ((\exists \$st' \cdot Q_1) \sqcap (Q_2 ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 ;; S_2))
   by (simp-all add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rea-not-def assms unrest)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; S_2))
   by (simp add: assms wp-rea-def ex-unrest, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q_1 is RR \$st' \sharp Q_1 Q_2 is RR R is RR S_1 is RR S_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q_1 \diamond Q_2) ;; \mathbf{R}_s(R \vdash S_1 \diamond S_2)
        = \mathbf{R}_s((P \land Q_2 \ wp_r \ R) \vdash (Q_1 \lor (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_1)) \diamond (Q_2 \ ;; \ S_2))
proof -
  have R1 (\neg P) ;; R1 true = R1 (\neg P)
   using RC-implies-RC1[OF\ assms(1)]
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RC1-def rea-not-def)
      (metis R1-negate-R1 R1-seqr utp-pred-laws.double-compl)
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition assms closure unrest RR-implies-R2c)
If a normal reactive design has postcondition false, then it is a left zero for sequential composi-
tion.
lemma NSRD-seq-post-false:
```

assumes P is NSRD Q is SRD  $post_R(P) = false$ 

```
shows P ;; Q = P
 apply (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp assms wp rpred closure)
 using NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1,3) apply fastforce
done
lemma NSRD-srd-skip [closure]: II_R is NSRD
 by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
lemma NSRD-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes unrest)
Post-composing a miracle filters out the non-terminating behaviours
{\bf lemma} NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P ;; Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond false)
 by (simp add: NSRD-composition-wp closure assms rdes wp rpred)
lemma Miracle-right-anhil-iff:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P :: Miracle = Miracle \longleftrightarrow pre_R P = true_r \land (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) = false
 by (simp add: SRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def srdes-tri-eq-iff closure
assms wp rpred, fastforce)
The set of non-terminating behaviours is a subset
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NSRD-right-Miracle-refines}\colon
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P \sqsubseteq P ;; Miracle
proof -
 have \mathbf{R}_s (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond post_R \ P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ P \vdash peri_R \ P \diamond false)
   by (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro, rel-auto+)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: NSRD-elim NSRD-right-Miracle-tri-lemma assms)
Chaos is a right zero of P precisely when the conjunction of the precondition of P with the weak-
est precondition under which post_R P yields U(false) reduces to U(false). This is effectively
a feasibility check for reactive designs.
lemma Chaos-right-anhil-iff:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows P :: Chaos = Chaos \longleftrightarrow (pre_R P \land post_R P wp_r false) = false
  by (simp add: SRD-right-Chaos-tri-lemma assms closure, simp add: rdes-def srdes-tri-eq-iff closure
lemma upower-Suc-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow P \hat{\ } Suc \text{ } n \text{ } is \text{ } NSRD
proof (induct n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp)
next
  case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
```

```
by (simp add: NSRD-seqr-closure upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed
lemma NSRD-power-Suc [closure]:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow P \text{ ;; } P \hat{\ } n \text{ is } NSRD
 by (metis upower-Suc-NSRD-closed upred-semiring.power-Suc)
lemma uplus-NSRD-closed [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow P^+ is NSRD
  by (simp add: uplus-power-def closure)
lemma preR-power:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows pre_R(P :; P \hat{\ } n) = ( \bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R(P) \hat{\ } i) wp_r (pre_R(P)) )
proof (induct \ n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: wp closure)
  case (Suc\ n) note hyp = this
  have pre_R (P \hat{\ } (Suc \ n+1)) = pre_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
    by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ (Suc \ n)))
    \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{NSRD-iff}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{preR-NSRD-seq}\ \mathit{upower-Suc-NSRD-closed}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ ( | i \in \{0..n\}, post_R \ P \ \hat{} i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P ))
    by (simp add: hyp upred-semiring.power-Suc)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (|\ | i \in \{0..n\}, post_R \ P \ wp_r \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
    by (simp \ add: wp)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \land (| | i \in \{0..n\}, (post_R P \land (i+1) wp_r pre_R P)))
  proof -
    have \bigwedge i. R1 \ (post_R \ P \hat{\ } i \ ;; \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)) = (post_R \ P \hat{\ } i \ ;; \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P))
      by (induct-tac i, simp-all add: closure Healthy-if assms)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: wp-rea-def upred-semiring.power-Suc seqr-assoc rpred closure assms)
  qed
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
    by (simp add: wp assms closure)
  also have ... = (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ 0 \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P \land ( \bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)))
  proof -
    have (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\}. (post_R \ P \ \hat{} (i+1) \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P)) = (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. (post_R \ P \ \hat{} i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P))
      by (rule cong[of Inf], simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
         (metis (no-types, lifting) image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-cong image-image)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i \ wp_r \ pre_R \ P))
   by (simp add: conj-upred-def)
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ post_R\ P \hat{\ } i\ wp_r\ pre_R\ P)
    by (simp add: atLeast0-atMost-Suc-eq-insert-0)
  finally show ?case by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc)
qed
lemma preR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows pre_R(P ;; P \hat{\ } n) = ( \bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (post_R(P) \hat{\ } i) \ wp_r \ (pre_R(P)) )
  by (simp add: preR-power assms USUP-as-Inf[THEN sym], simp add: USUP-as-Inf-image)
```

```
lemma preR-power-Suc [rdes]:
    assumes P is NSRD
    shows pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n)) = ( [] i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (post_R(P) \hat{\ } i) wp_r (pre_R(P)) )
    by (simp add: upred-semiring.power-Suc rdes assms)
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]
lemma periR-power:
   assumes P is NSRD
   shows peri_R(P; P^n) = (pre_R(P^n(Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}, post_R(P)^n i) ;; peri_R(P))
proof (induct n)
    case \theta
    then show ?case
       by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-peri-under-pre assms)
    case (Suc\ n) note hyp = this
    have peri_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) = peri_R(P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
    also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc \ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R P \vee post_R P ;; peri_R (P ;; P \cap n)))
       by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \cap (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (peri_R\ P \vee post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \cap (Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P)))
       by (simp \ only: hyp)
    also
   have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n}) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n})) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n}))
\Rightarrow_r ( \prod i \in \{0..n\}, post_R P \hat{i}) ;; peri_R P)))
       by (simp add: rdes closure assms, rel-blast)
   have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P \lor (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P ;; P \hat{n}) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (( \mid i \in \{0..n\}. post_R p \mid i \in \{0..n\}. post_R 
P \hat{i} ;; peri_R P)))
    proof -
       have (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}). post<sub>R</sub> P \cap i is R1
        by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-Continuous R1-power Sup-Continuous-closed assms postR-SRD-R1)
       hence 1:((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}, post_R P \hat{i});; peri_R P) is R1
           by (simp add: closure assms)
       hence (pre_R (P ;; P \hat{} n) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\}, post_R P \hat{} i) ;; peri_R P) is R1
           by (simp add: closure)
       hence (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \ ;; \ (pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;)
\hat{i} ;; peri_R P)
                   = (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P) \ ;; R1(pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}.
post_R P \hat{i} ; peri_R P)
           by (simp add: Healthy-if R1-post-SRD assms closure)
       thus ?thesis
           by (simp only: wp-rea-impl-lemma, simp add: Healthy-if 1, simp add: R1-post-SRD assms closure)
    qed
    also
   have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ;))
P \hat{i} ;; peri_R P)
       by (pred-auto)
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ ^n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor ((\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. \ post_R \ P \ ^n) \land (Succession)
       by (simp add: seq-Sup-distl seqr-assoc[THEN sym])
    have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \ \hat{} \ n) \Rightarrow_r peri_R \ P \lor (( [ i \in \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ post_R \ P \ \hat{} \ i)))
```

```
;; peri_R P))
  proof -
   have (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. post_R P \cap Suc i) = (\bigcap i \in \{1..Suc n\}. post_R P \cap i)
     apply (rule cong[of Sup], auto)
    apply (metis\ at Least OAt Most\ at Most-iff\ image-Suc-at Least At Most\ rev-image-eq I\ upred-semiring.power-Suc)
     using Suc-le-D apply fastforce
   done
   thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ ;; \ P \hat{\ } n) \Rightarrow_r ((\bigcap i \in \{0..Suc \ n\}. \ post_R \ P \hat{\ } i)) \ ;; \ peri_R \ P)
   by (simp add: SUP-atLeastAtMost-first uinf-or seqr-or-distl seqr-or-distr)
  also
  have ... = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ (Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r ((\prod i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ post_R\ P^{\hat{}}\ i) ;;\ peri_R\ P))
   by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
 finally show ?case by (simp)
qed
lemma periR-power' [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows peri_R(P :: P^n) = (pre_R(P^n(Suc n)) \Rightarrow_r (   i \in \{0..n\} \cdot post_R(P) \hat{i}) :: peri_R(P))
 by (simp add: periR-power assms UINF-as-Sup[THEN sym], simp add: UINF-as-Sup-image)
lemma periR-power-Suc [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows peri_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)) = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot post_R(P) \hat{} i) :; peri_R(P))
  by (simp add: rdes assms)
lemma postR-power [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows post_R(P ;; P \hat{\ } n) = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc \ n)) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \hat{\ } Suc \ n)
proof (induct n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-wait'-unrest-pre SRD-post-under-pre assms)
next
  case (Suc n) note hyp = this
  have post_R (P \hat{\ } (Suc \ n+1)) = post_R (P ;; P \hat{\ } (n+1))
   by (simp)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R\ P ;; post_R\ (P ;; P \hat{\ } n)))
   by (simp add: closure assms rdes)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P \hat{\ } (Suc\ n+1)) \Rightarrow_r (post_R\ P ;; (pre_R\ (P \hat{\ } Suc\ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R\ P \hat{\ } Suc\ n)))
   by (simp \ only: hyp)
  also
 have ... = (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r (post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \ \hat{\ } Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; (pre_R \ (P \ \hat{\ } Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R
P \cap Suc(n))
   by (simp add: rdes closure assms, pred-auto)
 have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r (post_R P wp_r pre_R (P \hat{suc} n)) \Rightarrow_r post_R P ;; post_R P \hat{suc} n)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc R1-power assms hyp postR-SRD-R1
upred-semiring.power-Suc wp-rea-impl-lemma)
  have ... = (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ (P \land Suc \ n) \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \land Suc \ (Suc \ n))
   by (pred-auto)
  also have ... = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ (Suc\ n))) \Rightarrow_r post_R P^{\hat{}} Suc\ (Suc\ n))
```

```
by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
 finally show ?case by (simp)
qed
lemma postR-power-Suc [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows post_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)) = (pre_R(P^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \hat{} Suc\ n)
 by (simp add: rdes assms)
lemma power-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' \sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)
       =\mathbf{R}_s((\bigsqcup i\in \{0..n\}\cdot (R \hat{\ }i) \ wp_r \ P) \vdash ((\bigcap i\in \{0..n\}\cdot R \hat{\ }i) \ ;; \ Q) \diamond (R \hat{\ }Suc \ n))
proof (induct \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: wp assms closure)
 case (Suc \ n)
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 proof -
   have ?lhs = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{0..n\} \cdot (R \land Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
     by (simp add: wp closure assms)
   also have ... = (P \land (| | i \in \{0..n\}, (R \land Suc \ i \ wp_r \ P)))
    by (simp only: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
   also have ... = (P \land (\bigsqcup i \in \{1..Suc\ n\}. (R \hat{i} wp_r\ P)))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) INF-cong One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
   also have ... = (| i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ (R \ i \ wp_r \ P))
     by (simp add: wp assms closure conj-upred-def)
   by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp add: USUP-as-Inf-collect)
 qed
 have 2: (Q \lor R ;; (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ }i) ;; Q) = (\prod i \in \{0..Suc\ n\} \cdot R \hat{\ }i) ;; Q)
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 proof -
   have ?lhs = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{\ } Suc i) ;; Q)
     by (simp add: seqr-assoc[THEN sym] seq-UINF-distl)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\bigcap i \in \{0..n\}. R \land Suc i) ;; Q)
    by (simp only: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   by (metis One-nat-def image-Suc-atLeastAtMost image-image)
   also have ... = (( \mid i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ n\}. \ R \hat{i}) ;; \ Q)
     by (simp add: disj-upred-def[THEN sym] seqr-or-distl)
   also have ... = (( | i \in \{0..Suc\ n\}.\ R \hat{i}) :; Q)
     by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
 qed
 have \beta: ( \bigcap i \in \{0..n\} \cdot R \hat{i}) ;; Q is RR
```

```
proof -
   by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   by (simp add: atLeastAtMost-insertL)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\prod i \in \{1..n\}. R \hat{i}) ;; Q)
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-insert disj-upred-def seqr-left-unit seqr-or-distl upred-semiring.power-0)
   \textbf{by} \ (metis\ One-nat-def\ at Least Less Than Suc-at Least At Most\ image-Suc-at Least Less Than\ image-image)
   also have ... = (Q \lor (\bigcap i \in \{0... < n\} \cdot R \land Suc i) ;; Q)
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
   also have \dots is RR
    by (simp-all add: closure assms)
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
 from 1 2 3 Suc show ?case
   by (simp add: Suc RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms wp)
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]
theorem uplus-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' \sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^+ = \mathbf{R}_s(R^{\star r} w p_r P \vdash (R^{\star r} ;; Q) \diamond R^+)
proof -
 have 1:(\prod i \cdot R \hat{\ } i) ;; Q = R^{\star r} ;; Q
   by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ RA1\ assms(2)\ rea-skip-unit(2)\ rrel-theory. Star-def\ ustar-alt-def)
 show ?thesis
   by (simp add: uplus-power-def seq-UINF-distr wp closure assms rdes-def)
     (metis 1 seq-UINF-distr')
qed
5.1
       UTP theory
lemma NSRD-false: NSRD false = Miracle
 by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-Miracle NSRD-alt-def NSRD-is-RD3 srdes-theory.healthy-top)
lemma NSRD-true: NSRD true = Chaos
 by (metis Healthy-if NSRD-Chaos NSRD-alt-def NSRD-is-RD3 srdes-theory.healthy-bottom)
{\bf interpretation}\ \textit{nsrdes-theory:}\ \textit{utp-theory-kleene}\ \textit{NSRD}\ \textit{II}_{R}
 rewrites P \in carrier \ nsrdes-theory.thy-order \longleftrightarrow P \ is \ NSRD
 and carrier nsrdes-theory.thy-order \rightarrow carrier nsrdes-theory.thy-order \equiv [NSRD]_H \rightarrow [NSRD]_H
 and le nsrdes-theory.thy-order = (\sqsubseteq)
 and eq nsrdes-theory.thy-order = (=)
 and nsrdes-top: nsrdes-theory.utp-top = Miracle
 and nsrdes-bottom: nsrdes-theory.utp-bottom = Chaos
proof -
 have utp-theory-continuous NSRD
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: NSRD-idem NSRD-Continuous)
 then interpret utp-theory-continuous NSRD
 show t: utp-top = Miracle and b: utp-bottom = Chaos
   by (simp-all add: healthy-top healthy-bottom NSRD-false NSRD-true)
 show utp-theory-kleene NSRD II R
   by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: closure srdes-left-unital. Unit-Left NSRD-right-unit Miracle-left-zero
```

```
t)
qed (simp-all)
abbreviation TestR (test_R) where
test_R P \equiv nsrdes\text{-}theory.utp\text{-}test P
definition StarR :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (-\star^R [999] \ 999) \ where
StarR \equiv nsrdes-theory.utp-star
We also show how to calculate the Kleene closure of a reactive design.
thm rdes-def
lemma StarR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st' <math>\sharp Q
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\star R} = \mathbf{R}_s((R^{\star r} wp_r P) \vdash (R^{\star r} ;; Q) \diamond R^{\star r})
 by (simp add: StarR-def rrel-theory.Star-alt-def nsrdes-theory.Star-alt-def closure assms)
    (simp add: rrel-theory.Star-alt-def assms closure rdes-def unrest rpred disj-upred-def)
end
6
      Syntax for reactive design contracts
theory utp-rdes-contracts
```

```
theory utp-rdes-contracts
imports utp-rdes-normal
begin
```

We give an experimental syntax for reactive design contracts  $[P \vdash Q|R]_R$ , where P is a precondition on undashed state variables only, Q is a pericondition that can refer to the trace and before state but not the after state, and R is a postcondition. Both Q and R can refer only to the trace contribution through a HOL variable trace which is bound to U(&tt).

```
definition mk-RD :: 's upred \Rightarrow ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 's \ hrel) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp where <math>mk-RD P Q R = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil P \rceil_{S <} \vdash \lceil Q(x) \rceil_{S <} \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket \diamond \lceil R(x) \rceil_S \llbracket x \rightarrow \& tt \rrbracket)
```

```
definition trace-pred :: ('t::trace \Rightarrow 's upred) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where [upred-defs]: trace-pred P = [(P \ x)]_{S < [\![x \to \&tt]\!]}
```

```
syntax
```

```
-trace-var :: logic

-mk-RD :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Leftrightarrow logic ([-/ \vdash -/ \mid -]<sub>R</sub>)

-trace-pred :: logic \Rightarrow logic ([-]<sub>t</sub>)
```

## ${\bf parse\text{-}translation} \ \, \langle$

```
 \begin{array}{l} let \\ fun\ trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr\ [] = Syntax.free\ trace \\ \mid trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr\ - = raise\ Match; \\ in \\ [(@\{syntax\text{-}const\ \text{-}trace\text{-}var\},\ K\ trace\text{-}var\text{-}tr)] \\ end \\ \end{array}
```

## translations

```
[P \vdash Q \mid R]_R => CONST \ mk\text{-}RD \ P \ (\lambda \ \text{-}trace\text{-}var. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ \text{-}trace\text{-}var. \ R)

[P \vdash Q \mid R]_R <= CONST \ mk\text{-}RD \ P \ (\lambda \ x. \ Q) \ (\lambda \ y. \ R)

[P]_t => CONST \ trace\text{-}pred \ (\lambda \ \text{-}trace\text{-}var. \ P)
```

```
[P]_t \leftarrow CONST trace-pred (\lambda t. P)
lemma SRD-mk-RD [closure]: [P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R is SRD
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-mk-RD [rdes]: pre_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \mid_R) = R1([P]_{S<})
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre)
lemma trace-pred-RR-closed [closure]:
  [P \ trace]_t \ is \ RR
 by (rel-auto)
lemma unrest-trace-pred-st' [unrest]:
 st' \ddagger [P \ trace]_t
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-msubst-tt: R2c (msubst (\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) \& tt) = (msubst <math>(\lambda x. \lceil Q x \rceil_S) \& tt)
  by (rel-auto)
\mathbf{lemma} \ periR - mk - RD \ [rdes]: peri_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace) \mid_R) = ([P]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r R1(([Q(trace)]_{S<})[trace \rightarrow \&tt]])
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R1-disj R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
lemma postR-mk-RD [rdes]: post_R([P \vdash Q(trace) \mid R(trace)]_R) = ([P]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r R1(([R(trace)]_S)[trace \rightarrow \&tt]))
  by (simp add: mk-RD-def rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-lift-state-pre
      impl-alt-def R2c-disj R2c-msubst-tt R2c-rea-impl R1-rea-impl)
Refinement introduction law for contracts
lemma RD-contract-refine:
  assumes
    Q \text{ is } SRD \text{ `} \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R Q \text{`}
    \lceil P_1 \rceil_{S<} \land peri_R \ Q \Rightarrow \lceil P_2 \ x \rceil_{S<} \llbracket x \rightarrow \&tt \rrbracket
    [P_1]_{S<} \land post_R \ Q \Rightarrow [P_3 \ x]_S[x \rightarrow \&tt]
  shows [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq Q
proof
  have [P_1 \vdash P_2(trace) \mid P_3(trace)]_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
   by (simp add: mk-RD-def, rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro, simp-all)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ SRD\text{-}reactive\text{-}tri\text{-}design\ assms}(1))
qed
end
7
      Reactive design tactics
theory utp-rdes-tactics
 imports utp-rdes-triples
begin
Theorems for normalisation
```

lemmas rdes-rel-norms =

prod.case-eq-if conj-assoc

```
disj-assoc
 utp-pred-laws.distrib(3,4)
 conj-UINF-dist
 conj-UINF-ind-dist
 segr-or-distl
 segr-or-distr
 seq-UINF-distl
 seq-UINF-distl'
 seq-UINF-distr
 seq-UINF-distr'
The following tactic can be used to simply and evaluate reactive predicates.
method rpred-simp = (uexpr-simp simps: rpred usubst closure unrest)
Tactic to expand out healthy reactive design predicates into the syntactic triple form.
method rdes-expand uses cls = (insert cls, (erule RD-elim)+)
Tactic to simplify the definition of a reactive design
method rdes-simp uses cls cong simps =
 ((rdes-expand cls: cls)?, (simp add: closure)?, (simp add: rdes-def rdes-rel-norms rdes rpred cls closure
alpha frame usubst unrest wp simps cong: cong))
Tactic to split a refinement conjecture into three POs
method rdes-refine-split uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro')
Tactics to split an equality conjecture into three POs
method rdes-eq-split uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (rule-tac srdes-tri-eq-intro))
method rdes-eq-split' uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (rule-tac srdes-tri-eq-intro'))
Tactic to prove a refinement
method rdes-refine uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-refine-split cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (insert cls; rel-auto))
Tactics to prove an equality
method rdes-eq uses cls cong simps =
 (rdes-eq-split cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; rel-auto)
method rdes-eq' uses cls conq simps =
 (rdes-eq-split' cls: cls conq: conq simps: simps; rel-auto)
Via antisymmetry
{f method}\ {\it rdes-eq-anti}\ {f uses}\ {\it cls}\ {\it cong}\ {\it simps}=
 (rdes-simp cls: cls cong: cong simps: simps; (rule-tac antisym; (rule-tac srdes-tri-refine-intro; rel-auto)))
Tactic to calculate pre/peri/postconditions from reactive designs
method rdes-calc = (simp add: rdes rpred closure alpha usubst unrest wp prod.case-eq-if)
```

The following tactic attempts to prove a reactive design refinement by calculation of the pre-, peri-, and postconditions and then showing three implications between them using rel-blast.

```
method rdspl-refine =
  (rule-tac SRD-refine-intro; (simp add: closure rdes unrest usubst; rel-blast?))
The following tactic combines antisymmetry with the previous tactic to prove an equality.
method rdspl-eq =
  (rule-tac antisym, rdes-refine, rdes-refine)
end
      Reactive design parallel-by-merge
8
theory utp-rdes-parallel
 imports
    utp-rdes-normal
    utp-rdes-tactics
begin
R3h implicitly depends on RD1, and therefore it requires that both sides be RD1. We also
require that both sides are R3c, and that wait_m is a quasi-unit, and div_m yields divergence.
lemma st-U0-alpha: [\exists \$st \cdot II]_0 = (\exists \$st \cdot [II]_0)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma st-U1-alpha: \lceil \exists \$st \cdot II \rceil_1 = (\exists \$st \cdot \lceil II \rceil_1)
  by (rel-auto)
definition skip-rm :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp merge (II_{RM}) where
  [upred-defs]: II_{RM} = (\exists \ \$<:st \cdot skip_m \lor (\neg \ \$<:ok \land \ \$<:tr \le_u \ \$tr'))
definition [upred-defs]: R3hm(M) = (II_{RM} \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright M)
lemma R3hm-idem: R3hm(R3hm(P)) = R3hm(P)
 by (rel-auto)
abbreviation copytype :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (CPTYPE'(-, -')) where CPTYPE(A, B) \equiv B
lemma R3h-par-by-merge [closure]:
  assumes P is R3h Q is R3h M is R3hm
 shows (P \parallel_M Q) is R3h
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ (P \parallel_M Q) = (((P \parallel_M Q)\llbracket true /\$ok \rrbracket \triangleleft \$ok \rhd (P \parallel_M Q)\llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket) \llbracket true /\$wait \rrbracket \triangleleft \$wait \rhd (P \sqcup_M Q) \llbracket false /\$ok \rrbracket) \rrbracket
```

 $\textbf{also have} \ \dots = (((P \parallel_M Q)[[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \rhd (P \parallel_M Q)[[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]) \triangleleft \$wait) \land \texttt{wait} \land \texttt{$ 

by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)

 $\mathbf{have} \ (P \parallel_M Q)[\mathit{true}, \mathit{true}/\$ok, \$\mathit{wait}] = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$< : \mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ R\beta \mathit{hm}(M))[[\mathit{true}, \mathit{true}/\$ok, \$\mathit{wait}]]$ 

also have ... =  $((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$<' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$<:st \cdot CPTYPE(M,\$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<)))[[true, true/\$ok,\$wait]]$ 

also have ... =  $((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$<' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$<:st \cdot CPTYPE(M, \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}))$ 

**by** (simp add: cond-var-subst-left cond-var-subst-right)

 $\triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))$  **by** (rel-auto)

 $ho (P \parallel_M Q))$  **proof** -

**by** (rel-blast)

(s<))

```
by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
    also have ... = (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
      by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis by (simp add: closure assms unrest)
  also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II)[true, true/\$ok, \$wait]] \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright (R1(true))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]) \triangleleft \$wait
\triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
  proof -
  \mathbf{have}\;(P\parallel_{M}Q)\llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket = ((\lceil P \rceil_{0} \land \lceil Q \rceil_{1} \land \$<: \mathbf{v'} =_{u} \$\mathbf{v})\; ;;\; R3hm(M))\llbracket false, true/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
      by (simp add: par-by-merge-def U0-as-alpha U1-as-alpha assms Healthy-if)
  also have \dots = ((\lceil P \rceil_0 \land \lceil Q \rceil_1 \land \$<:\mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}); (CPTYPE(M, \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr')))[false, true/\$ok, \$wait]]
      by (rel-blast)
  \textbf{also have} \ ... = ((\lceil R3h(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil R3h(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (CPTYPE(M, \$ < : tr \leq_u \$ tr'))) \llbracket false, true/\$ ok, \$ wait \rrbracket
      by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
    also have ... = (R1(true)) [false, true/$ok, $wait]
      by (rel-blast)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (((\exists \$st \cdot II) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)) \triangleleft \$wait \triangleright (P \parallel_M Q))
    by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = R3h(P \parallel_M Q)
    by (simp add: R3h-cases)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-def)
definition [upred-defs]: RD1m(M) = (M \lor \neg \$ <: ok \land \$ <: tr \le_u \$tr')
lemma RD1-par-by-merge [closure]:
  assumes P is R1 Q is R1 M is R1m P is RD1 Q is RD1 M is RD1m
  shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD1
proof -
  have 1: (RD1(R1(P)) \parallel_{RD1m(R1m(M))} RD1(R1(Q))) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket = R1(true)
    by (rel-blast)
  \mathbf{have}\ (P\parallel_M Q) = (P\parallel_M Q) \llbracket true/\$ok \rrbracket \, \triangleleft \, \$ok \rhd (P\parallel_M Q) \llbracket false/\$ok \rrbracket
    by (simp add: cond-var-split)
  also have ... = R1(P \parallel_M Q) \triangleleft \$ok \triangleright R1(true)
    by (metis 1 Healthy-if R1-par-by-merge assms calculation
               cond-idem cond-var-subst-right in-var-uvar ok-vwb-lens)
  also have ... = RD1(P \parallel_M Q)
    by (simp\ add:\ Healthy-if\ R1-par-by-merge\ RD1-alt-def\ assms(3))
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma RD2-par-by-merge [closure]:
  assumes M is RD2
  shows (P \parallel_M Q) is RD2
proof -
  have (P \parallel_M Q) = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; (M ;; J))
    by (simp add: Healthy-def' RD2-def H2-def)
  also from assms have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) ;; J)
    by (simp add: seqr-assoc)
```

```
also from assms have ... = RD2(P \parallel_M Q)
   by (simp add: RD2-def H2-def par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def')
qed
lemma SRD-par-by-merge:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is R1m M is R2m M is R3hm M is RD1m M is RD2
 shows (P \parallel_M Q) is SRD
 by (rule SRD-intro, simp-all add: assms closure SRD-healths)
definition nmerge-rd\theta (N_0) where
[upred-defs]: N_0(M) = (\$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land \$<:tr \leq_u \$tr'
                     \land (\exists \$0:ok;\$1:ok;\$<:ok;\$ok';\$0:wait;\$1:wait;\$<:wait;\$wait' \cdot M))
definition nmerge-rd1 (N_1) where
[upred-defs]: N_1(M) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:ok) \land N_0(M))
definition nmerge-rd (N_R) where
[upred-defs]: N_R(M) = ((\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v'} =_u \ \$<:v) \ \triangleleft \ \$<:wait \ \triangleright N_1(M)) \ \triangleleft \ \$<:ok \ \triangleright \ (\$<:tr \le_u \ \$tr')
definition merge-rd1 (M_1) where
[upred-defs]: M_1(M) = (N_1(M) ;; II_R)
definition merge-rd (M_R) where
[upred-defs]: M_R(M) = N_R(M);; II_R
abbreviation rdes-par (- \parallel_{R-} - [85,0,86] 85) where
P \parallel_{RM} Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q
Healthiness condition for reactive design merge predicates
definition [upred-defs]: RDM(M) = R2m(\exists \$0:ok;\$1:ok;\$<:ok;\$ok';\$0:wait;\$1:wait;\$<:wait;\$wait'
· M)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R1m [closure]:
 N_R(M) is R1m
 by (rel-blast)
lemma R2m-nmerge-rd: R2m(N_R(R2m(M))) = N_R(R2m(M))
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R2m [closure]:
  M \text{ is } R2m \Longrightarrow N_R(M) \text{ is } R2m
 by (metis Healthy-def' R2m-nmerge-rd)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-R3hm [closure]: N_R(M) is R3hm
 by (rel-blast)
lemma nmerge-rd-is-RD1m [closure]: N_R(M) is RD1m
 by (rel-blast)
lemma merge-rd-is-RD3: M_R(M) is RD3
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis Healthy-Idempotent}\ RD3\text{-}\textit{Idempotent}\ RD3\text{-}\textit{def merge-rd-def})
lemma merge-rd-is-RD2: M_R(M) is RD2
```

```
by (simp add: RD3-implies-RD2 merge-rd-is-RD3)
lemma par-rdes-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 shows P \parallel_{RM} Q is NSRD
proof -
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{have} \ (P \parallel_{N_R \ M} Q \ ;; \ II_R) \ \textit{is NSRD} \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule NSRD-intro'}, \ \textit{simp-all add: SRD-healths closure assms}) \end{array} 
       (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R2-par-by-merge R2-seqr-closure R2m-nmerge-rd RDM-def
SRD-healths(2) assms skip-srea-R2
      , metis Healthy-Idempotent RD3-Idempotent RD3-def)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: merge-rd-def par-by-merge-def seqr-assoc)
lemma RDM-intro:
 assumes M is R2m \$0:ok \sharp M \$1:ok \sharp M \$<:ok \sharp M \$ok ' \sharp M
        shows M is RDM
 using assms
 by (simp add: Healthy-def RDM-def ex-unrest unrest)
lemma RDM-unrests [unrest]:
 assumes M is RDM
 shows \$0:ok \sharp M \$1:ok \sharp M \$<:ok \sharp M \$ok' \sharp M
       by (subst Healthy-if [OF assms, THEN sym], simp-all add: RDM-def unrest, rel-auto)+
lemma RDM-R1m [closure]: M is RDM \implies M is R1m
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def)
lemma RDM-R2m [closure]: M is RDM \implies M is R2m
 by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-def R2m-idem RDM-def)
lemma ex-st'-R2m-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is R2m
 shows (\exists \$st' \cdot P) is R2m
proof -
 have R2m(\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P) = (\exists \$st' \cdot R2m P)
   by (rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Healthy-def'}\ \mathit{assms})
qed
lemma parallel-RR-closed:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR M is R2m
        <:ok \ \sharp \ M \ <:wait \ \sharp \ M \ \$ok' \ \sharp \ M \ \$wait' \ \sharp \ M
 shows P \parallel_M Q is RR
 by (rule RR-R2-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms RR-implies-R2 closure)
lemma parallel-ok-cases:
((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (
 ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
 ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[false,true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
 ((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[true,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
```

```
((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[false,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])))
  have ((P \parallel_s Q) ;; M) = (\exists ok_0 \cdot (P \parallel_s Q) [(aok_0)/\$0 : ok'] ;; M[(aok_0)/\$0 : ok])
    by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ ok\ ;_L\ mrg-left],\ simp-all)
 also have ... = (\exists ok_0 \cdot \exists ok_1 \cdot ((P \parallel_s Q) \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg /\$0 : ok' \rrbracket \llbracket \ll ok_1 \gg /\$1 : ok' \rrbracket) ;; (M \llbracket \ll ok_0 \gg /\$0 : ok \rrbracket \llbracket \ll ok_1 \gg /\$1 : ok \rrbracket))
    by (subst\ seqr-middle[of\ ok\ ;_L\ mrg-right],\ simp-all)
 \textbf{also have} \ ... = (\exists \ ok_0 \cdot \exists \ ok_1 \cdot (P[(aok_0) / (bok')]) \|_s \ Q[(aok_1) / (bok')]) ;; (M[(aok_0) , (aok_1) / (bok_0)])
    by (rel-auto robust)
  also have \dots = (
      ((P^t \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
      ((P^f \parallel_s Q^t) ;; (M[false, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
      ((P^t \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[true,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])) \vee
      ((P^f \parallel_s Q^f) ;; (M[false,false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok])))
    by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym] false-alt-def[THEN sym] disj-assoc
      utp-pred-laws.sup.left-commute utp-pred-laws.sup-commute usubst)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma skip-srea-ok-f [usubst]:
  II_R^f = R1(\neg\$ok)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rel-auto})
lemma nmerge0-rd-unrest [unrest]:
  \$0:ok \sharp N_0 M \$1:ok \sharp N_0 M
  by (pred-auto)+
lemma parallel-assm-lemma:
  assumes P is RD2
  shows pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q))
                                    \bigvee^{\prime} \left( \left( cmt_s \dagger P \right) \parallel_{N_0(M)} ;; R1(true) \left( pre_s \dagger Q \right) \right) \right)
proof -
  \mathbf{have}\ pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = pre_s \dagger ((P \parallel_s Q) \ ;; \ M_R(M))
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also have ... = ((P \parallel_s Q)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait];; N_R M ;; R1(\neg \$ok))
    by (simp add: merge-rd-def usubst, rel-auto)
  also have ... = ((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; N_1(M);; R_1(\neg \$ok))
    by (rel-auto\ robust,\ (metis)+)
  also have \dots = ((
       (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t \parallel_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t);;((N_1 M)[true,true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok]];;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
      (((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^f|_s (Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])^t);;((N_1|M)[false,true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok];;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
      (((P\llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^t \parallel_s (Q\llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f) ;; ((N_1 M)\llbracket true, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket ;;
R1(\neg \$ok))) \lor
      (((P\llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f \parallel_s (Q\llbracket true, false/\$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)^f);; ((N_1 M)\llbracket false, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok \rrbracket;;
R1(\neg \$ok)))))
    (\mathbf{is} - = (?C1 \vee_p ?C2 \vee_p ?C3 \vee_p ?C4))
    by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
  also have ... = (?C2 \lor ?C3)
  proof -
    have ?C1 = false
      by (rel-auto)
    moreover have ?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3 (is (?A ;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C ;; ?D))
    proof -
      from assms have P^f \Rightarrow P^t.
```

```
by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
     hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
       by (rel-auto)
     have ?A \Rightarrow ?C
       using P by (rel-auto)
     moreover have ?B \Rightarrow ?D
       by (rel-auto)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by (simp \ add: subsumption2)
  qed
  also have \dots = (
     (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))) \lor
     (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((N_0 M ;; R1(true)))))
   by (rel-auto, metis+)
  also have \dots = (
     ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
     ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1(true)} (pre_s \dagger Q)))
   by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma pre_s-SRD:
 assumes P is SRD
  shows pre_s \dagger P = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P))
proof -
  have pre_s \dagger P = pre_s \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R P \vdash peri_R P \diamond post_R P)
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_s \dagger pre_R P))
   by (simp add: RHS-def usubst R3h-def pre<sub>s</sub>-design)
  also have ... = R1(R2c(\neg pre_R P))
   by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
   by (simp add: R2c-not R2c-preR assms rea-not-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-assm:
 assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
 shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M)} :: R1(true) cmt_R(Q)) \land 
                                 \neg_r \left( cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q))) \right)
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (\neg_r (pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M} ;; R1 \ true \ (cmt_s \dagger Q) \land
                            \neg_r (cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0 M ;; R1 \ true} (pre_s \dagger Q))
   by (simp add: pre<sub>R</sub>-def parallel-assm-lemma assms SRD-healths R1-conj rea-not-def [THEN sym])
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (simp add: pre_s-SRD assms cmt_R-def Healthy-if closure unrest)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
lemma parallel-assm-unrest-wait' [unrest]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; Q \text{ is } SRD \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q)
  by (simp add: parallel-assm, simp add: par-by-merge-def unrest)
lemma JL1: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, true/\$0:ok, \$1:ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL2: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL3: (M_1 \ M)^t [false, false/\$0:ok, \$1:ok] = N_0(M) ;; R1(true)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma JL_4: (M_1 \ M)^t [true, true/\$0:ok,\$1:ok] = (\$ok' \land N_0 \ M) ;; II_R^t
  by (simp add: merge-rd1-def usubst nmerge-rd1-def unrest)
\mathbf{lemma} parallel-commitment-lemma-1:
  assumes P is RD2
  shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) :: II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor
  ((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (pre_s \dagger Q)))
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (P[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \parallel_{(M_1(M))^t} Q[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
    by (simp add: usubst, rel-auto)
  also have ... = ((P[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]) \parallel_s Q[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]);; (M_1 M)^t)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-def)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, true/\$0 : ok,\$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, false/\$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \vee \\
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket false, false/\$0:ok,\$1:ok \rrbracket)))
    by (subst parallel-ok-cases, subst-tac)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1 M)^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \lor
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor \\
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \vee
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
      (is - = (?C1 \lor_p ?C2 \lor_p ?C3 \lor_p ?C4))
    by (simp add: JL1 JL2 JL3)
  also have \dots = (
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; ((M_1(M))^t \llbracket true, true / \$0 : ok, \$1 : ok \rrbracket)) \vee \\
      (((pre_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (cmt_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))) \lor
      (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_s (pre_s \dagger Q)) ;; (N_0(M) ;; R1(true))))
  proof -
    from assms have P^f \Rightarrow P^t
      by (metis RD2-def H2-equivalence Healthy-def')
    hence P: P^f_f \Rightarrow P^t_f
      by (rel-auto)
    have '?C4 \Rightarrow ?C3' (is '(?A;; ?B) \Rightarrow (?C;; ?D)')
    proof -
      have '?A \Rightarrow ?C'
        using P by (rel-auto)
      thus ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: impl-seqr-mono)
   qed
   thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: subsumption2)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: par-by-merge-def JL4)
qed
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-2:
  assumes P is RD2
 shows cmt_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
        (((cmt_s \dagger P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} (cmt_s \dagger Q)) \lor pre_s \dagger (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
  by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-1 assms parallel-assm-lemma)
lemma parallel-commitment-lemma-3:
  M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow (\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t \text{ is } R1m
 by (rel\text{-}simp, safe, metis+)
{f lemma}\ parallel{-commitment}:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 \mathbf{shows}\ cmt_R(P\parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R(P\parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R(P)\parallel_{(\$ok^{'}\wedge\ N_0\ M)\ ;;\ II_R}{}^t\ cmt_R(Q))
 by (simp add: parallel-commitment-lemma-2 parallel-commitment-lemma-3 Healthy-if assms cmt B-def
pre<sub>s</sub>-SRD closure rea-impl-def disj-comm unrest)
theorem parallel-reactive-design:
  assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
 shows (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(
   (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R(P))) \parallel_{N_0(M) ;; R1(true)} cmt_R(Q)) \land
     \neg_r (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (\neg_r pre_R(Q)))) \vdash
   (cmt_R(P) \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 M) ;; II_R^t} cmt_R(Q))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \vdash cmt_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q))
  by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-as-reactive-design assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-rdes-NSRD)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (simp add: parallel-assm parallel-commitment design-export-spec assms, rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma1:
  (\$ok' \land P) ;; II_R[[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] = (\exists \$st' \cdot P)[[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] = (\exists \$st' \cdot P)[[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] = (\exists \$st' \cdot P)[[true, true]]
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = (\$ok' \land P) ;; (\exists \$st \cdot II) \llbracket true, true/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket
   by (rel-blast)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (rel-auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma2:
  assumes M is RDM
  shows (\exists \$st' \cdot N_0(M))[true, true/\$ok', \$wait'] = ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
proof -
```

```
\mathbf{have} \ (\exists \ \$st' \cdot N_0(M))[true, true/\$ok', \ \$wait'] = (\exists \ \$st' \cdot (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \geq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land \$tr' \leq_u \ \$<: tr \land (\$\theta: wait \lor \$1: wait) \land (\$tr) \land (
 M
                    by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
          also have ... = (\exists \$st' \cdot (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land M)
              by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Healthy-if R1m-def R1m-idem R2m-def RDM-def assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
           also have ... = ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
                    by (rel-auto)
         finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-pericondition-lemma3:
        ((\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) = ((\$0:wait \land \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \lor (\neg \$0:wait \land \$1:wait)
 \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)) \lor (\$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))
         by (rel-auto)
lemma parallel-pericondition [rdes]:
          fixes M :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
          assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
         \mathbf{shows} \ \operatorname{peri}_R(P \parallel_{\operatorname{M}_R(M)} Q) = (\operatorname{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{\operatorname{M}_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r \operatorname{peri}_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st'} \cdot _{\operatorname{M}} \operatorname{peri}_R(Q)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \vee \ post_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st' \ . \ M} \ peri_R(Q)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \vee \ peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st'} . \ M \ post_R(Q))
proof -
          have peri_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
                                         (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 \ M)};; \ H_R[[true, true/\$ok', \$wait']] \ cmt_R \ Q)
                    by (simp add: peri-cmt-def parallel-commitment SRD-healths assms usubst unrest assms)
           \textbf{also have} \ ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\exists \ \$st' \cdot N_0 \ M)[[true, true/\$ok', \ \$wait']]} cmt_R \ Q)
                    by (simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma1)
         also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$0:wait \ \lor \ \$1:wait) \ \land \ (\exists \ \$st' \cdot M)} \ cmt_R \ Q)
                    by (simp add: parallel-pericondition-lemma2 assms)
          \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (\textit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{\textit{M}_R \ \textit{M}} \ \textit{Q}) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil \textit{cmt}_R \ \textit{P} \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil \textit{cmt}_R \ \textit{Q} \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$<: \mathbf{v'} =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\$\theta: \textit{wait} \ \land \ \texttt{P} \mid_0 \ \land \ \texttt{V} \mid_0 \ \land \ \ \texttt{V} \mid_0 \ \land \ \texttt{V} \mid_0 \ \land \ \texttt{V} \mid_0 \ \land \ \ \texttt{V} \mid_0 
 \$1:wait \land (\exists \$st' \cdot M))
                                                                                                                                                                                                    \lor (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{v}' =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\neg \$ \theta : wait \land \$ 1 : wait \land \$
(\exists \$st' \cdot M))
                                                                                                                                                                                                   \vee (\lceil cmt_R \ P \rceil_0 \wedge \lceil cmt_R \ Q \rceil_1 \wedge \$ < : \mathbf{v}' =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\$ \theta : wait \wedge \neg \$ 1 : wait \wedge
(\exists \$st' \cdot M)))
                    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def parallel-pericondition-lemma3 seqr-or-distr)
           \textbf{also have} \ ... = (\textit{pre}_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ \underline{M}_{\_}} Q) \Rightarrow_r ((\lceil \textit{peri}_R \ P \rceil_0 \ \land \ \lceil \textit{peri}_R \ Q \rceil_1 \ \land \ \$<' =_u \ \$\mathbf{v}) \ ;; \ (\exists \ \$\textit{st}' \cdot M)
                                                                                                                                                                                                         \bigvee^{R} (\lceil post_{R} \ P \rceil_{0} \land \lceil peri_{R} \ Q \rceil_{1} \land \$<\acute{}=_{u} \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \ \$st' \cdot M)
                                                                                                                                                                                                        \vee (\lceil peri_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\exists \$st' \cdot M)))
                  \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: seqr-right-one-point-true \ seqr-right-one-point-false \ cmt_R-def \ post_R-def \ peri_R-def \ usubst
 unrest assms)
         \vee peri_R(P) \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} post_R(Q))
                    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
         finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma1:
           (\$ok' \land P) :: II_R[[true,false/\$ok',\$wait']] = P[[true,false/\$ok',\$wait']]
          (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
          have ?lhs = (\$ok' \land P) ; II \llbracket true, false/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket
                    by (rel-blast)
          also have \dots = ?rhs
```

```
by (rel-auto)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-postcondition-lemma2:
    assumes M is RDM
    shows (N_0(M)) [true,false/$ok',$wait'] = ((\neg \$\theta:wait \land \neg \$1:wait) \land M)
proof
    \mathbf{have} \ (N_0(M))[\mathit{true}, \mathit{false}/\$\mathit{ok}', \$\mathit{wait}'] = ((\neg \$\mathit{0}:\mathit{wait} \land \neg \$\mathit{1}:\mathit{wait}) \land \$\mathit{tr}' \geq_u \$<:\mathit{tr} \land M)
        by (simp add: usubst unrest nmerge-rd0-def ex-unrest Healthy-if R1m-def assms)
   also have ... = ((\neg \$0:wait \land \neg \$1:wait) \land M)
        by (metis Healthy-if R1m-def RDM-R1m assms utp-pred-laws.inf-commute)
   finally show ?thesis.
lemma parallel-postcondition [rdes]:
    fixes M:('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) rsp merge
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD M is RDM
    shows post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \parallel_M post_R(Q))
    have post_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
                (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R} M \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\$ok' \land N_0 \ M)};; II_R \llbracket true, false/\$ok', \$wait' \rrbracket \ cmt_R \ Q)
        by (simp add: post-cmt-def parallel-commitment assms usubst unrest SRD-healths)
    also have ... = (pre_R \ (P \parallel_{M_R \ M} \ Q) \Rightarrow_r cmt_R \ P \parallel_{(\neg \$\theta:wait \ \land \ \neg \$1:wait \ \land \ M)} cmt_R \ Q)
        by (simp add: parallel-postcondition-lemma1 parallel-postcondition-lemma2 assms,
                 simp add: utp-pred-laws.inf-commute utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute)
    also have ... = (pre_R (P \parallel_{M_R M} Q) \Rightarrow_r post_R P \parallel_M post_R Q)
     by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def seqr-right-one-point-false usubst unrest cmt_R-def post_R-def assms)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma parallel-precondition-lemma:
    fixes M :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ rsp \ merge
    assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
    shows (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q) =
                   ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M} :: R1(true) \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M} :: R1(true) \ post_R \ Q)
proof -
    have ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q)) =
                ((\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) :: R1(true)} (peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q)))
        by (simp add: wait'-cond-peri-post-cmt)
    also have \dots = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M) ;; R1(true))
        by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
   also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \rceil_1 \triangleleft \$1:wait' \triangleright \lceil post_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$<:\mathbf{v}' =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0(M)
R1(true)
        by (simp add: wait'-cond-def alpha)
     also have \dots = (((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R(Q) \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$ 1 : wait' \triangleright (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R(P) \rceil_0 \land r) \wedge (\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \land r) \wedge (\neg_r \ p
[post_R(Q)]_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ; N_0(M) ; R1(true)
        (is (?P ;; -) = (?Q ;; -))
    proof -
        have ?P = ?Q
            by (rel-auto)
        thus ?thesis by simp
     also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \mathbf{v}' =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) \llbracket true / \$ 1: wait' \rrbracket ;; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1) \rrbracket
```

```
true)[true/\$1:wait] \vee
                             (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<:\mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) \llbracket false/\$1:wait' \rrbracket ;; (N_0 \ M \ ;; R1) \rrbracket
true)[false/$1:wait])
    by (simp add: cond-inter-var-split)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v}' =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 \ M \llbracket true / \$ 1 : wait \rrbracket ;; R1 \ true \lor 
                      (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; N_0 \ M \llbracket false/\$1:wait \rrbracket ;; R1 \ true)
    by (simp add: usubst unrest)
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (\$ wait' \land M) ;; R1 \ true \lor
                      (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$<: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$\mathbf{v}) ;; (\$wait' =_u \$0:wait \land M) ;; R1 \ true)
  proof -
    have (\$tr' \ge_u \$ <: tr \land M) = M
      using RDM-R1m[OF\ assms(3)]
      by (simp add: Healthy-def R1m-def conj-comm)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: nmerge-rd0-def unrest assms closure ex-unrest usubst)
  qed
  also have ... = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true \lor
                      (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; R1 \ true)
    (is (?P_1 \lor_p ?P_2) = (?Q_1 \lor ?Q_2))
  proof -
    have ?P_1 = (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil peri_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$ wait') ;; R1 \ true
      by (simp add: conj-comm)
    hence 1: ?P_1 = ?Q_1
      by (simp add: seqr-left-one-point-true seqr-left-one-point-false add: unrest usubst closure assms)
    have ?P_2 = ((\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ <: \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \$ wait') ;; R1 \ true \lor
                   (\lceil \neg_r \ pre_R \ P \rceil_0 \land \lceil post_R \ Q \rceil_1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; (M \land \neg \$wait') ;; R1 \ true)
      by (subst seqr-bool-split of wait; L mrg-left, simp-all add: usubst unrest assms closure conj-comm)
    hence 2: ?P_2 = ?Q_2
      by (simp add: segr-left-one-point-true segr-left-one-point-false unrest usubst closure assms)
    from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
  also have ... = ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M :: R1(true)} peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M :: R1(true)} post_R \ Q)
    by (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma swap-nmerge-rd0:
  swap_m ;; N_0(M) = N_0(swap_m ;; M)
  by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma SymMerge-nmerge-rd0 [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \implies N_0(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (rel-auto, meson+)
lemma swap-merge-rd':
  swap_m :: N_B(M) = N_B(swap_m :: M)
  by (rel-blast)
lemma swap-merge-rd:
  swap_m ;; M_R(M) = M_R(swap_m ;; M)
  by (simp add: merge-rd-def seqr-assoc[THEN sym] swap-merge-rd')
lemma SymMerge-merge-rd [closure]:
  M \text{ is } SymMerge \Longrightarrow M_R(M) \text{ is } SymMerge
  by (simp add: Healthy-def swap-merge-rd)
```

```
lemma nmerge-rd1-merge3:
    assumes M is RDM
    shows \mathbf{M}3(N_1(M)) = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land
                                               \$wait' =_u (\$0{:}wait \lor \$1{:}0{:}wait \lor \$1{:}1{:}wait) \land\\
                                               \mathbf{M}3(M)
proof -
    have \mathbf{M}\mathcal{J}(N_1(M)) = \mathbf{M}\mathcal{J}(\$ok' =_u (\$\theta:ok \land \$1:ok) \land
                                                 \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land
                                                 \$<:tr \le_u \$tr' \land
                                                 (\exists \{\$0:ok, \$1:ok, \$<:ok, \$ok', \$0:wait, \$1:wait, \$<:wait, \$wait'\} \cdot RDM(M)))
        by (simp add: nmerge-rd1-def nmerge-rd0-def assms Healthy-if)
    also have ... = \mathbf{M} \Im(\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:wait) \land RDM(M))
        by (rel-blast)
   also have ... = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait)
\wedge \mathbf{M}3(RDM(M))
        by (rel-blast)
   also have ... = (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait)
\wedge \mathbf{M}3(M)
        by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma nmerge-rd-merge3:
    \mathbf{M}3(N_R(M)) = (\exists \ \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \ \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright \mathbf{M}3(N_1 M) \triangleleft \$<:ok \triangleright (\$<:tr \le_u \$tr')
    by (rel-blast)
\mathbf{lemma}\ AssocMerge-nmerge-rd:
    assumes M is RDM AssocMerge M
    shows AssocMerge(N_R(M))
proof -
    have 1:\mathbf{M}3(M) = rotate_m ;; \mathbf{M}3(M)
        using assms by (simp add: AssocMerge-def)
    have rotate_m;; (\mathbf{M} \mathcal{I}(N_R(M))) =
                 rotate_m;
                 ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
                             (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$ok)
\mathbf{M}\beta(M)) \triangleleft \$ <: ok \triangleright
                          (\$<:tr \le_u \$tr')
        by (simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms)
    also have \dots =
                 ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
                            (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:0:wai
(rotate_m ;; \mathbf{M}\beta(M))) \triangleleft \$ <: ok \triangleright
                          (\$<:tr \le_u \$tr')
        by (rel-blast)
    also have ... =
                 ((\exists \$<:st \cdot \$\mathbf{v}' =_u \$<:\mathbf{v}) \triangleleft \$<:wait \triangleright
                            (\$ok' =_u (\$0:ok \land \$1:0:ok \land \$1:1:ok) \land \$wait' =_u (\$0:wait \lor \$1:0:wait \lor \$1:1:wait) \land (\$ok)
\mathbf{M}\mathcal{J}(M)) \triangleleft \$ <: ok \triangleright
                          (\$ <: tr \le_u \$tr')
        using 1 by auto
    also have ... = \mathbf{M}3(N_R(M))
        by (simp add: AssocMerge-def nmerge-rd-merge3 nmerge-rd1-merge3 assms)
    finally show ?thesis
```

```
using AssocMerge-def by blast
qed
lemma swap-merge-RDM-closed [closure]:
  assumes M is RDM
  shows swap_m;; M is RDM
proof -
  have RDM(swap_m ;; RDM(M)) = (swap_m ;; RDM(M))
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def' assms)
lemma parallel-precondition:
  fixes M :: ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) rsp merge
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
 shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) =
          (\neg_r ((\neg_r pre_R P) \mid_{M ;; R1(true)} peri_R Q) \land
           \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M :: R1(true)} post_R \ Q) \land
           \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ peri_R \ P) \land
           \neg_r ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ :: \ M) \ :: \ R1(true) \ post_R \ P))
proof -
  have a: (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \parallel_{N_0(M) \ ;; \ R1(true)} cmt_R(Q) =
           ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ :: \ R1(true)} \ peri_R \ Q \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \parallel_{M \ :: \ R1(true)} \ post_R \ Q)
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon parallel\text{-}precondition\text{-}lemma\ assms})
 have b: (\neg_r \ cmt_R \ P \parallel_{N_0 \ M} ;; R1 \ true \ (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)) =
           (\neg_r \ (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q))) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ cmt_R(P))
    by (simp add: swap-nmerge-rd0[THEN sym] seqr-assoc[THEN sym] par-by-merge-def par-sep-swap)
  have c: (\neg_r \ pre_R(Q)) \parallel_{N_0(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ cmt_R(P) =
          ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ peri_R \ P \lor (\neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \parallel_{(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ ;; \ R1(true) \ post_R)}
    by (simp add: parallel-precondition-lemma closure assms)
 show ?thesis
    by (simp add: parallel-assm closure assms a b c, rel-auto)
Weakest Parallel Precondition
definition wrR ::
  ('t::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow
   ('t :: trace, '\alpha) \ rp \ merge \Rightarrow
   ('t, '\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow
   ('t, '\alpha) hrel-rp (-wr_R'(-') - [60,0,61] 61)
where [upred-defs]: Q \ wr_R(M) \ P = (\neg_r \ ((\neg_r \ P) \parallel_{M \ ;; \ R1(true)} \ Q))
lemma wrR-R1 [closure]:
  M \text{ is } R1m \Longrightarrow Q \text{ } wr_R(M) \text{ } P \text{ is } R1
 by (simp add: wrR-def closure)
lemma R2-rea-not: R2(\neg_r P) = (\neg_r R2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma wrR-R2-lemma:
```

```
assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
    shows ((\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q) ;; R1(true_h) is R2
proof -
    have (\neg_r \ P) \parallel_M Q \text{ is } R2
        by (simp add: closure assms)
     thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: closure)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma wrR-R2 [closure]:
    assumes P is R2 Q is R2 M is R2m
    shows Q wr_R(M) P is R2
proof -
    have ((\neg_r P) \parallel_M Q);; R1(true_h) is R2
        by (simp add: wrR-R2-lemma assms)
    thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: wrR-def wrR-R2-lemma par-by-merge-seq-add closure)
qed
lemma wrR-RR [closure]:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
    shows Q wr_R(M) P is RR
    apply (rule RR-intro)
    apply (simp-all add: unrest assms closure wrR-def rpred)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def' R1-R2c-commute R1-R2c-is-R2 R1-rea-not RDM-R2m
                                 RR-implies-R2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) par-by-merge-seq-add rea-not-R2-closed
                                 wrR-R2-lemma)
done
lemma wrR-RC [closure]:
    assumes P is RR Q is RR M is RDM
    shows (Q wr_R(M) P) is RC
    apply (rule RC-intro)
    apply (simp add: closure assms)
    apply (simp add: wrR-def rpred closure assms )
    apply (simp add: par-by-merge-def segr-assoc)
done
lemma wppR-choice [wp]: (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
proof -
    have (P \vee Q) wr_R(M) R =
                  (\neg_r ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land (P ;; U1 \lor Q ;; U1) \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r)
        by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def seqr-or-distl)
    \mathbf{also\ have}\ ... = (\lnot_r\ ((\lnot_r\ R)\ ;;\ U0\ \land\ P\ ;;\ U1\ \land\ \$<: \mathbf{v'}=_u\ \$\mathbf{v}\ \lor\ (\lnot_r\ R)\ ;;\ U0\ \land\ Q\ ;;\ U1\ \land\ \$<: \mathbf{v'}=_u\ \$\mathbf{v}\ \lor\ (\lnot_r\ R)\ ;;\ U0\ \land\ Q\ ;;\ U1\ \land\ \$<: \mathbf{v'}=_u\ \mathsf{v'}=_u\ \mathsf{v'}=_u\
\mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r)
        by (simp add: conj-disj-distr utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
    also have ... = (\neg_r (((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land P ;; U1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r \lor
                                                    ((\neg_r R) ;; U0 \land Q ;; U1 \land \$ < : \mathbf{v'} =_u \$ \mathbf{v}) ;; M ;; true_r))
        by (simp add: segr-or-distl)
    also have ... = (P wr_R(M) R \wedge Q wr_R(M) R)
        by (simp add: wrR-def par-by-merge-def)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma wppR-impl [wp]: (P \Rightarrow_r Q) wr_R(M) R = ((\neg_r P) wr_R(M) R \land Q wr_R(M) R)
```

```
by (simp add: rea-impl-def wp)
lemma wppR-miracle [wp]: false wr_R(M) P = true_r
  by (simp add: wrR-def)
lemma wppR-true [wp]: P wr_R(M) true_r = true_r
 by (simp\ add:\ wrR\text{-}def)
lemma parallel-precondition-wr [rdes]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD M is RDM
  shows pre_R(P \parallel_{M_R(M)} Q) = (peri_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \land post_R(Q) \ wr_R(M) \ pre_R(P) \land
                             peri_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q) \land post_R(P) \ wr_R(swap_m \ ;; \ M) \ pre_R(Q))
  by (simp add: assms parallel-precondition wrR-def)
lemma rea-impl-merge-left: (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \parallel_M R = (((\neg_r P) \parallel_M R) \lor (Q \parallel_M R))
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon rea\text{-}impl\text{-}def\ par\text{-}by\text{-}merge\text{-}or\text{-}left)
lemma rea-impl-merge-right: P \parallel_M (Q \Rightarrow_r R) = (P \parallel_M (\neg_r \ Q) \lor P \parallel_M R)
  by (simp add: rea-impl-def par-by-merge-or-right)
{f lemma} parallel-pre-lemma:
  ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \ wr_R(M) \ P_1 \land (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) \parallel_{\exists \ \$st' \ . \ M} (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
       = ((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \wedge P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2))
  apply (simp add: par-by-merge-alt-def)
  apply (rel-auto)
 apply (meson order-refl)
 apply (meson order-refl)
 apply blast
 apply blast
  apply blast
  apply blast
  done
lemma parallel-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes P_1 is RC P_2 is RR P_3 is RR Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR
          \$st' \sharp P_2 \$st' \sharp Q_2
          M is RDM
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \parallel_{M_R(M)} \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) =
         \mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) wr_R(M) P_1 \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) wr_R(M) P_1 \land
             (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash
          (P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} Q_2 \vee
         have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R \ ?lhs \vdash peri_R \ ?lhs \diamond post_R \ ?lhs)
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms closure)
  also have ... =
        \mathbf{R}_s(((Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_2) \ wr_R(M) \ P_1 \land (Q_1 \Rightarrow_r Q_3) \ wr_R(M) \ P_1 \land \\
              (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_2) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1 \wedge (P_1 \Rightarrow_r P_3) wr_R(swap_m ;; M) Q_1) \vdash
         (is - = \mathbf{R}_s( ?X
              \vdash (?Y_1 \lor ?Y_2 \lor ?Y_3)
              \diamond ?Z))
```

```
by (simp add: rdes closure unrest assms, rel-auto)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \land ?Y_1) \lor (?X \land ?Y_2) \lor (?X \land ?Y_3)) \diamond (?X \land ?Z))
      by (rel-auto)
    also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(?X \vdash ((?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st' . M} Q_2) \lor (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists \$st' . M} Q_2) \lor (?X \land P_2 )
\parallel_{\exists \$st'. M} Q_3)) \diamond (?X \wedge P_3 \parallel_M Q_3))
proof –
      have 1:(?X \land ?Y_1) = (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists} \$st' \cdot M Q_2)
          \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rel-auto}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{blast} +)
      have 2:(?X \land ?Y_2) = (?X \land P_3 \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} Q_2)
          \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rel-auto}, \ \mathit{meson} \ \mathit{order-refl}, \ \mathit{meson} \ \mathit{order-refl}, \ \mathit{meson} \ \mathit{order-refl}, \ \mathit{blast} +)
      have \mathcal{G}:(?X \land ?Y_3) = (?X \land P_2 \parallel_{\exists \$st' \cdot M} Q_3)
          \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rel-auto}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{meson} \ \textit{order-refl}, \ \textit{blast} +)
      have 4:(?X \land ?Z) = (?X \land P_3 \parallel_M Q_3)
          by (rel-auto, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, meson order-refl, blast+)
      show ?thesis
          by (simp add: 1 2 3 4)
   qed
   also have \dots = ?rhs
      by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Miracle-parallel-left-zero}\colon
   assumes P is SRD M is RDM
   shows Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = Miracle
proof -
   have pre_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = true_r
      by (simp add: parallel-assm wait'-cond-idem rdes closure assms)
   moreover hence cmt_R(Miracle \parallel_{RM} P) = false
      by (simp add: rdes closure wait'-cond-idem SRD-healths assms)
    ultimately have Miracle \parallel_{RM} P = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
      by (metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory.weak.top-closed)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed
lemma Miracle-parallel-right-zero:
   assumes P is SRD M is RDM
   shows P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = Miracle
proof
   have pre_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = true_r
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem parallel-assm rdes closure assms)
    moreover hence cmt_R(P \parallel_{RM} Miracle) = false
      by (simp add: wait'-cond-idem rdes closure SRD-healths assms)
    ultimately have P \parallel_{RM} Miracle = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false)
      by (metis NSRD-iff SRD-reactive-design-alt assms par-rdes-NSRD srdes-theory.weak.top-closed)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: Miracle-def R1-design-R1-pre)
qed
8.1
             Example basic merge
definition BasicMerge :: (('s, 't::trace, unit) rsp) merge (N_B) where
[upred-defs]: BasicMerge = (\$<:tr \leq_u \$tr' \land \$tr' - \$<:tr =_u \$0:tr - \$<:tr \land \$tr' - \$<:tr =_u \$1:tr \land \$tr' - \$
- \$ <: tr \land \$st' =_u \$ <: st)
```

```
abbreviation rbasic-par (- \parallel_B - [85,86] 85) where
P \parallel_B Q \equiv P \parallel_{M_B(N_B)} Q
lemma BasicMerge-RDM [closure]: N_B is RDM
  by (rule\ RDM-intro,\ (rel-auto)+)
lemma BasicMerge-SymMerge [closure]:
  N_B is SymMerge
  by (rel-auto)
lemma BasicMerge'-calc:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$wait' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$wait' \sharp Q P is R2 Q is R2
  \mathbf{shows}\ P\ \|_{N_B}\ Q = ((\exists\ \$st'\cdot P)\ \land\ (\exists\ \$st'\cdot Q)\ \land\ \$st' =_u\ \$st)
  using assms
proof -
  have P:(\exists \{\$ok',\$wait'\} \cdot R2(P)) = P \text{ (is } ?P' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  have Q:(\exists \{\$ok',\$wait'\} \cdot R2(Q)) = Q \text{ (is } ?Q' = -)
    by (simp add: ex-unrest ex-plus Healthy-if assms)
  \mathbf{have} \ ?P' \parallel_{N_B} ?Q' = ((\exists \ \$st' \cdot ?P') \land (\exists \ \$st' \cdot ?Q') \land \$st' =_u \$st)
    by (simp\ add:\ par-by-merge-alt-def,\ rel-auto,\ blast+)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp \ add: P \ Q)
qed
         Simple parallel composition
8.2
definition rea-design-par ::
  ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (infixr \parallel_R 85)
where [upred-defs]: P \parallel_R Q = \mathbf{R}_s((pre_R(P) \land pre_R(Q)) \vdash (cmt_R(P) \land cmt_R(Q)))
lemma RHS-design-par:
  assumes
    \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp P_2
  shows \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2}) = \mathbf{R}_{s}((P_{1} \land P_{2}) \vdash (Q_{1} \land Q_{2}))
  have \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2}) =
           \mathbf{R}_s(P_1[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash Q_1[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash
Q_2[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]])
    by (simp add: RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also from assms
  have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R1\ (R2c\ (P_1)) \land R1\ (R2c\ (P_2)))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \vdash
           (R1 \ (R2c \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 \ (R2c \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
      apply (simp add: rea-design-par-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-cmt-RHS-design usubst unrest assms)
      apply (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp)
      using assms apply (rel-auto)
  done
  also have ... =
        \mathbf{R}_s((R2c(P_1) \wedge R2c(P_2)) \vdash
           (R1 \ (R2s \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \land R1 \ (R2s \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) R1-R2s-R2c R1-conj R1-design-R1-pre RHS-design-ok-wait)
  also have \dots =
        \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (R1 \ (R2s \ (P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1)) \wedge R1 \ (R2s \ (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2))))
```

```
by (simp add: R2c-R3h-commute R2c-and R2c-design R2c-idem R2c-not RHS-def)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash ((P_1 \Rightarrow Q_1) \land (P_2 \Rightarrow Q_2)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-conj R2s-conj RHS-design-export-R1 RHS-design-export-R2s)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \wedge P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))
    by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \ \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma RHS-tri-design-par:
  assumes \$ok' \sharp P_1 \$ok' \sharp P_2
  shows \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{1} \vdash Q_{1} \diamond R_{1}) \parallel_{R} \mathbf{R}_{s}(P_{2} \vdash Q_{2} \diamond R_{2}) = \mathbf{R}_{s}((P_{1} \land P_{2}) \vdash (Q_{1} \land Q_{2}) \diamond (R_{1} \land R_{2}))
  by (simp add: RHS-design-par assms unrest wait'-cond-conj-exchange)
lemma RHS-tri-design-par-RR [rdes-def]:
  assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash Q_1 \diamond R_1) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(P_2 \vdash Q_2 \diamond R_2) = \mathbf{R}_s((P_1 \land P_2) \vdash (Q_1 \land Q_2) \diamond (R_1 \land R_2))
  by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par unrest assms)
lemma RHS-comp-assoc:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD R is NSRD
  shows (P \parallel_R Q) \parallel_R R = P \parallel_R Q \parallel_R R
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
```

# 9 Productive Reactive Designs

```
theory utp-rdes-productive imports utp-rdes-parallel begin
```

end

## 9.1 Healthiness condition

A reactive design is productive if it strictly increases the trace, whenever it terminates. If it does not terminate, it is also classed as productive.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ \textit{Productive} :: ('s, \ 't::trace, \ '\alpha) \ \textit{hrel-rsp} \Rightarrow ('s, \ 't, \ '\alpha) \ \textit{hrel-rsp} \ \textbf{where} \\ [\textit{upred-defs}] : \ \textit{Productive}(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\textit{true} \vdash \textit{true} \diamond (\$\textit{tr} <_u \$\textit{tr}')) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Productive-RHS-design-form:} \\ \textbf{assumes} \ \$\textit{ok} \ ' \ \sharp \ P \ \$\textit{ok} \ ' \ \sharp \ Q \ \$\textit{ok} \ ' \ \sharp \ R \\ \textbf{shows} \ \textit{Productive}(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond (R \land \$\textit{tr} <_u \$\textit{tr}')) \\ \textbf{using} \ \textit{assms} \ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{simp} \ \textit{add} : \textit{Productive-def} \ \textit{RHS-tri-design-par} \ \textit{unrest}) \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Productive-form:} \\ \textit{Productive}(\textit{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\textit{pre}_R(P) \vdash \textit{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\textit{post}_R(P) \land \$\textit{tr} <_u \$\textit{tr}')) \\ \textbf{proof} \ - \\ \textbf{have} \ \textit{Productive}(\textit{SRD}(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(\textit{pre}_R(P) \vdash \textit{peri}_R(P) \diamond \textit{post}_R(P)) \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(\textit{true} \vdash \textit{true} \diamond (\$\textit{tr} <_u \$\textit{tr}')) \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{simp} \ \textit{add} : \ \textit{Productive-def} \ \textit{SRD-as-reactive-tri-design}) \\ \textbf{also} \ \textbf{have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s(\textit{pre}_R(P) \vdash \textit{peri}_R(P) \diamond (\textit{post}_R(P) \land \$\textit{tr} <_u \$\textit{tr}')) \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{simp} \ \textit{add} : \ \textit{RHS-tri-design-par} \ \textit{unrest}) \\ \textbf{finally} \ \textbf{show} \ ?\textit{thesis} \ . \\ \textbf{qed} \\ \end{aligned}
```

A reactive design is productive provided that the postcondition, under the precondition, strictly increases the trace.

```
lemma Productive-intro:
    assumes P is SRD (tr <_u tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P))  tr' \not\equiv pre_R(P)
    shows P is Productive
proof -
    have P: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) = P
        have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P)) \diamond
post_R(P)))
           by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash (pre_R(P) \land peri_R(P)) \diamond (pre_R(P) \land (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
           by (metis assms(2) utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1 utp-pred-laws.inf.assoc)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))
           by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) design-export-pre wait'-cond-conj-exchange wait'-cond-idem)
       finally show ?thesis
           by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1))
    qed
    thus ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-def RHS-tri-design-par Productive-def ok'-pre-unrest unrest-true utp-pred-laws.inf-right-idem
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-right)
qed
lemma Productive-post-refines-tr-increase:
    assumes P is SRD P is Productive wait' <math>\sharp pre_R(P)
    shows (tr <_u tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land post_R(P))
proof -
    have post_R(P) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (metis\ Healthy-def\ Productive-form\ assms(1)\ assms(2))
    also have ... = R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design unrest usubst assms, rel-auto)
    also have ... = R1((pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (simp add: R2c-impl R2c-preR R2c-postR R2c-and R2c-tr-less-tr' assms)
    also have (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq (pre_R(P) \land ...)
       by (rel-auto)
   finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Continuous-Productive [closure]: Continuous Productive
   by (simp add: Continuous-def Productive-def, rel-auto)
9.2
                Reactive design calculations
lemma preR-Productive [rdes]:
   assumes P is SRD
   shows pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(P)
    have pre_R(Productive(P)) = pre_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
       by (metis Healthy-def Productive-form assms)
    thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not R2c-preR R1-preR Healthy-if assms)
qed
lemma periR-Productive [rdes]:
   assumes P is NSRD
   shows peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(P)
proof -
    \mathbf{have} \ peri_R(Productive(P)) = peri_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
```

```
by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
  also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P))
   by (simp add: rea-peri-RHS-design usubst unrest R2c-not assms closure)
  also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r peri_R P)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-peri-SRD)
                R1-peri-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-peri-under-pre assms unrest closure)
qed
lemma postR-Productive [rdes]:
 assumes P is NSRD
 shows post_R(Productive(P)) = (pre_R(P) \Rightarrow_r post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')
proof -
 have post_R(Productive(P)) = post_R(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))
   by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD Productive-form assms)
  also have ... = R1 (R2c (pre<sub>R</sub> P \Rightarrow_r post<sub>R</sub> P \land $tr' >_u $tr))
   by (simp add: rea-post-RHS-design usubst unrest assms closure)
 also have ... = (pre_R P \Rightarrow_r post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr)
   by (simp add: R1-rea-impl R2c-rea-impl R2c-preR R2c-and R1-extend-conj' R2c-post-SRD
            R1-post-SRD assms closure R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma preR-frame-seq-export:
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD
 shows (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q) = (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q))
proof -
 have (pre_R \ P \land (post_R \ P \ ;; \ Q)) = (pre_R \ P \land ((pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q))
   by (simp add: SRD-post-under-pre assms closure unrest)
  also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \Rightarrow_r R1(post_R \ P)) \ ;; \ Q)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms(1) rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl R1-preR Healthy-if)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
 proof -
   have (pre_R \ P \lor \neg_r \ pre_R \ P) = R1 \ true
     by (simp add: R1-preR rea-not-or)
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) R1-def conj-comm disj-comm disj-conj-distr rea-impl-def seqr-or-distl
utp-pred-laws.inf-top-left utp-pred-laws.sup.left-idem)
 qed
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \lor (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) \ ;; \ Q)))
   by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero assms closure SRD-healths)
 also have ... = (pre_R \ P \land (pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P) ;; \ Q)
   by (rel-blast)
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
9.3
        Closure laws
lemma Productive-rdes-intro:
 assumes (\$tr <_u \$tr') \sqsubseteq R \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R \$wait \sharp P \$wait' \sharp P
 shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
proof (rule Productive-intro)
  show \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R) is SRD
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-is-SRD assms)
```

```
from assms(1) show (\$tr'>_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq (pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R)) \land post_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R)))
             apply (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst assms unrest)
             using assms(1) apply (rel-auto)
             apply fastforce
             done
       show \$wait' \sharp pre_R (\mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R))
            by (simp add: rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design usubst R1-def R2c-def R2s-def assms unrest)
qed
We use the R4 healthiness condition to characterise that the postcondition must extend the
trace for a reactive design to be productive.
lemma Productive-rdes-RR-intro [closure]:
       assumes P is RR Q is RR R is RR R is R4
       shows (\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) is Productive
       using assms by (simp add: Productive-rdes-intro R4-iff-refine unrest)
lemma Productive-Miracle [closure]: Miracle is Productive
       unfolding Miracle-tri-def Healthy-def
       by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest)
lemma Productive-Chaos [closure]: Chaos is Productive
       unfolding Chaos-tri-def Healthy-def
       by (subst Productive-RHS-design-form, simp-all add: unrest)
lemma Productive-intChoice [closure]:
       assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
       shows P \sqcap Q is Productive
proof -
      have P \sqcap Q =
                          \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P) \land \mathsf{R}_s(P) \vdash \mathsf{R}_s(P)
tr <_u tr'
             by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
     Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr)))
             by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-choice)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor peri_R \ Q) \diamond (((post_R \ P) \lor (post_R \ Q)) \land \$tr'
>_u \$tr))
             by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
       also have ... is Productive
             by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
      finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-cond-rea [closure]:
       assumes P is SRD P is Productive Q is SRD Q is Productive
       shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is Productive
proof -
       have P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q =
                       \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \land \Pt)) \wedge \Pt = \mathsf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \land \Pt) \wedge \mathsf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \land \P t) \wedge \mathsf{R}_s(pre_
\wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')
             by (metis Healthy-if Productive-form assms)
       also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \leq b \rangle_R pre<sub>R</sub> Q) \rangle (peri<sub>R</sub> P \leq b \rangle_R peri<sub>R</sub> Q) \leq ((post<sub>R</sub> P \langle \$tr' >_u
\$tr) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (post_R Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr)))
             by (simp add: cond-srea-form)
```

```
\textbf{also have} \ ... = \mathbf{R}_s \ ((pre_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ peri_R \ Q) \mathrel{\diamond} (((post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \ (post_R \ P) \mathrel{\triangleright}_R 
  Q)) \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))
            by (rule cong[of \mathbf{R}_s \mathbf{R}_s], simp, rel-auto)
      also have ... is Productive
            by (simp add: Healthy-def Productive-RHS-design-form unrest)
      finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-1 [closure]:
      assumes P is NSRD P is Productive Q is NSRD
      shows P;; Q is Productive
proof -
       have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \wedge \$tr <_u \$tr')) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond
(post_R(Q))
               by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms(1) assms(2)
assms(3)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land (post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) <math>\vdash
                                                                               (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;;
post_R Q))
            by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R P \land (post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) wp_r pre_R Q) \vdash
                                                                                (peri_R \ P \lor ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)) \diamond ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;;
post_R \ Q \wedge \$tr' >_u \$tr))
      proof -
           have ((post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q)) = ((post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; R1(post_R Q) \land \$tr'
>_u \$tr)
                  by (rel-auto)
            thus ?thesis
                   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
      also have ... is Productive
            by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
      finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Productive-seq-2 [closure]:
      assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD Q is Productive
      shows P ;; Q is Productive
proof -
      have P :: Q = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P))) :: \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond (post_R(Q) \wedge \$tr
<_u \$tr'))
            by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design Productive-form assms)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \vdash (peri_R \ P \lor (post_R \ P \ ;; peri_R \ Q)) \diamond (post_R \ P \ ;; peri_R \ Q)
P :: (post_R \ Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr)))
            by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-composition-wp rpred unrest closure assms wp NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero
SRD-healths ex-unrest wp-rea-def disj-upred-def)
      also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post<sub>R</sub> P wp<sub>r</sub> pre<sub>R</sub> Q) \vdash (peri<sub>R</sub> P \lor (post<sub>R</sub> P ;; peri<sub>R</sub> Q)) \diamond (post<sub>R</sub>
P :: (post_R \ Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$tr' >_u \$tr))
            have (R1(post_R P);;(post_R Q \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P);;(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R P);(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr') >_u \$tr) = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr') >_u \$tr') = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr') >_u \$tr') = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr') >_u \$tr') >_u \$tr') = (R1(post_R Q \land \$tr') >_u \$tr'
>_u \$tr))
                  by (rel-auto)
            thus ?thesis
                   by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD R1-post-SRD assms)
```

```
qed
also have ... is Productive
by (rule Productive-rdes-intro, simp-all add: unrest assms closure wp-rea-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
end
```

# 10 Guarded Recursion

```
theory utp-rdes-guarded imports utp-rdes-productive begin
```

### 10.1 Traces with a size measure

Guarded recursion relies on our ability to measure the trace's size, in order to see if it is decreasing on each iteration. Thus, we here equip the trace algebra with the *size* function that provides this.

```
class \ size-trace = trace + size +
   assumes
        size-zero: size \theta = \theta and
        size-nzero: s > 0 \implies size(s) > 0 and
        size-plus: size(s + t) = size(s) + size(t)
    — These axioms may be stronger than necessary. In particular, (\theta::'a) < ?s \implies \theta < size ?s requires
that a non-empty trace have a positive size. But this may not be the case with all trace models and is
possibly more restrictive than necessary. In future we will explore weakening.
begin
lemma size-mono: s \le t \Longrightarrow size(s) \le size(t)
    by (metis le-add1 local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus)
lemma size-strict-mono: s < t \Longrightarrow size(s) < size(t)
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-diff-cancel-left' local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff lo-left' local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff local.diff-add-cancel-left' loca
cal.minus-gr-zero-iff local.size-nzero local.size-plus zero-less-diff)
lemma trace-strict-prefixE: xs < ys \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge zs. \ [ ys = xs + zs; \ size(zs) > 0 \ ] \Longrightarrow thesis) \Longrightarrow thesis
    by (metis local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.less-iff local.minus-gr-zero-iff local.size-nzero)
lemma size-minus-trace: y \le x \Longrightarrow size(x-y) = size(x) - size(y)
    by (metis diff-add-inverse local.diff-add-cancel-left' local.size-plus)
end
Both natural numbers and lists are measurable trace algebras.
\mathbf{instance}\ \mathit{nat} :: \mathit{size-trace}
   by (intro-classes, simp-all)
instance list :: (type) size-trace
    by (intro-classes, simp-all add: zero-list-def less-list-def ' plus-list-def prefix-length-less)
syntax
                              :: logic \Rightarrow logic (size_u'(-'))
    -usize
```

```
translations
```

```
size_u(t) == CONST \ uop \ CONST \ size \ t
```

#### 10.2 Guardedness

```
definition gvrt :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ rp \times ('t,'\alpha) \ rp) chain where
[upred-defs]: gvrt(n) \equiv (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \land size_u(\&tt) <_u \ll n \gg)
lemma gvrt-chain: chain gvrt
 apply (simp add: chain-def, safe)
 apply (rel-simp)
 apply (rel-simp) +
lemma gvrt-limit: \prod (range gvrt) = (\$tr \le_u \$tr')
 by (rel-auto)
definition Guarded :: (('t::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp \Rightarrow ('t,'\alpha) \ hrel-rp) \Rightarrow bool where
[upred-defs]: Guarded(F) = (\forall X \ n. \ (F(X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
lemma GuardedI: \llbracket \bigwedge X \ n. \ (F(X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = (F(X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Guarded F
 by (simp add: Guarded-def)
Guarded reactive designs yield unique fixed-points.
theorem guarded-fp-uniq:
 assumes mono F F \in [id]_H \to [SRD]_H Guarded F
 shows \mu F = \nu F
proof -
 have constr F gvrt
   using assms
   by (auto simp add: constr-def gvrt-chain Guarded-def tcontr-alt-def')
 hence (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \mu F) = (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \wedge \nu F)
   apply (rule constr-fp-uniq)
    apply (simp add: assms)
   using gvrt-limit apply blast
   done
 moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \land \mu F) = \mu F
 proof -
   have \mu F is R1
     by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-mu, simp-all add: assms)
   thus ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
 qed
 moreover have (\$tr \leq_u \$tr' \land \nu \ F) = \nu \ F
 proof -
   have \nu F is R1
     by (rule SRD-healths(1), rule Healthy-nu, simp-all add: assms)
   thus ?thesis
     by (metis Healthy-def R1-def conj-comm)
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp)
qed
lemma Guarded-const [closure]: Guarded (\lambda X. P)
 by (simp add: Guarded-def)
```

```
lemma UINF-Guarded [closure]:
   assumes \bigwedge P. P \in A \Longrightarrow Guarded P
   shows Guarded (\lambda X. \prod P \in A \cdot P(X))
proof (rule GuardedI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ X \ n
   have \bigwedge Y. ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
   proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ Y
      let ?lhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P \ Y) \land gvrt(n+1)) and ?rhs = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \ Y \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1))
       have a:?lhs[false/\$ok] = ?rhs[false/\$ok]
          by (rel-auto)
       \mathbf{have}\ b:?lhs[[true/\$ok]][[true/\$wait]] = ?rhs[[true/\$ok]][[true/\$wait]]
          by (rel-auto)
       have c:?lhs[true/\$ok][false/\$wait] = ?rhs[true/\$ok][false/$wait]
           by (rel-auto)
       show ?lhs = ?rhs
           using a \ b \ c
           by (rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var ok], simp, rule-tac bool-eq-split[of in-var wait], simp-all)
    moreover have ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \times X \land gvrt(n+1)))) \land gvrt(n+1)
gvrt(n+1)) \land gvrt(n+1)
   proof -
       have (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid X \land gvrt(n+1))) = (\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P \mid (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1)))
       proof (rule UINF-cong)
           fix P assume P \in A
           thus (P X \land gvrt(n+1)) = (P (X \land gvrt(n)) \land gvrt(n+1))
              using Guarded-def assms by blast
      qed
       thus ?thesis by simp
   qed
   ultimately show ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot P X) \land gvrt(n+1)) = ((\bigcap P \in A \cdot (P (X \land gvrt(n)))) \land gvrt(n+1))
qed
lemma intChoice-Guarded [closure]:
   assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
   shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \sqcap Q(X))
proof -
   have Guarded (\lambda X. \prod F \in \{P,Q\} \cdot F(X))
       by (rule UINF-Guarded, auto simp add: assms)
   thus ?thesis
       by (simp)
qed
lemma cond-srea-Guarded [closure]:
   assumes Guarded P Guarded Q
   shows Guarded (\lambda X. P(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q(X))
   using assms by (rel-auto)
A tail recursive reactive design with a productive body is guarded.
lemma Guarded-if-Productive [closure]:
   fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
   assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
   shows Guarded (\lambda X. P :: SRD(X))
```

```
proof (clarsimp simp add: Guarded-def)
     — We split the proof into three cases corresponding to valuations for ok, wait, and wait' respectively.
   have a:(P :: SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[false/$ok]] =
             (P :: SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n)) \llbracket false / \$ok \rrbracket
      by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-1 assms)
   have b:((P ;; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]] =
               ((P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[true/\$ok])[true/\$wait]
      by (simp add: usubst closure SRD-left-zero-2 assms)
   have c:((P :; SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true/\$ok])[false/\$wait]] =
               ((P ;; SRD(X \land gvrt \ n) \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[true/\$ok])[false/\$wait]
   proof -
      \mathbf{have} \ 1: (P[[true/\$wait']]; (SRD\ X)[[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = (SRD\ X)[[true/\$wait']] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] = (SRD\ X)[[true/\$wait']] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n)
               (P[true/\$wait']; (SRD(X \land gvrt n))[true/\$wait] \land gvrt(Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-def R3h-wait-true SRD-healths(3) SRD-idem)
      have 2:(P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ X)[false/\$wait] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait] =
               (P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[false/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
      \mathbf{have}\ exp: \land\ Y :: ('s, 't, '\alpha)\ hrel-rsp.\ (P\llbracket false/\$wait'\rrbracket\ ;;\ (SRD\ Y)\llbracket false/\$wait\rrbracket \land gvrt\ (Suc\ n))\llbracket true, false/\$ok,\$wait\rrbracket
                                       ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket 
Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                                 \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
         proof -
            fix Y :: ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
            have (P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ Y)[false/\$wait]] \land qvrt\ (Suc\ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] =
                 ((\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr')))[[false/\$wait']];; (SRD\ Y)[[false/\$wait]]
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
               by (metis (no-types) Healthy-def Productive-form assms(1) assms(2) NSRD-is-SRD)
            also have ... =
              ((R1(R2c(pre_R(P) \Rightarrow (\$ok \ ' \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr \ '))))[\mathit{false}/\$wait \ ']\ ;; (SRD\ Y)[\mathit{false}/\$wait]]
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
               by (simp add: RHS-def R1-def R2c-def R2s-def R3h-def RD1-def RD2-def usubst unrest assms
closure design-def)
            also have ... =
                     (((\neg_r \ pre_R(P) \lor (\$ok' \land post_R(P) \land \$tr <_u \$tr'))) \llbracket false/\$wait' \rrbracket ;; (SRD Y) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
               by (simp add: impl-alt-def R2c-disj R1-disj R2c-not assms closure R2c-and
                      R2c-preR rea-not-def R1-extend-conj' R2c-pk' R2c-post-SRD R1-tr-less-tr' R2c-tr-less-tr')
            also have \dots =
                          ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false/\$wait \rrbracket \ \lor \ (\$ok' \ \land \ post_R \ P \ \land \ \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) \rrbracket ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) = (((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) = (((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) ) = (((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y)) ) ) ) ) = (((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y) ) ) ) ) ) ) = ((((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) = (((((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) = ((((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) 
Y)[false/\$wait])) \land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
               by (simp add: usubst unrest assms closure seqr-or-distl NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero)
            also have ... =
              ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; (SRD(Y)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; (SRD(Y) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket)))
\land gvrt (Suc n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]
            proof -
               have (\$ok' \land post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr);; (SRD Y)[false/\$wait] =
                         ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \land \$ok' =_u true) ;; (SRD \ Y)[[false/\$wait]]
                  by (rel-blast)
               \textbf{also have} \ \dots = (post_R \ P \ \land \ \$tr' \ >_u \ \$tr) \llbracket true / \$ok' \rrbracket \ ;; \ (SRD \ Y) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket \llbracket true / \$ok \rrbracket \rrbracket
                   using seqr-left-one-point[of ok (post<sub>R</sub> P \land \$tr' >_{u} \$tr) True (SRD Y)[false/\$wait]]
                   by (simp add: true-alt-def[THEN sym])
               finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst unrest)
```

```
\mathbf{qed}
          finally
          \mathbf{show}\ (P[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}']\ ;;\ (\mathit{SRD}\ Y)[\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{wait}]\ \land\ \mathit{gvrt}\ (\mathit{Suc}\ n))[\mathit{true},\mathit{false}/\$\mathit{ok},\$\mathit{wait}]] =
                                  ((((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;; \ (SRD(Y))[[false/\$wait]] \lor (post_R \ P \land \$tr') \ ;
Y)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]))
                       \land gvrt (Suc n) [true, false/$ok, $wait]].
       ged
       have 1:((post_R P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD X)[[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \land gvrt (Suc n)) =
                   ((post_R \ P \land \$tr' >_u \$tr) ;; (SRD \ (X \land gvrt \ n))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \land gvrt \ (Suc \ n))
          apply (rel-auto)
           apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr_0 st_0 more_0 ok')
           apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
           apply (simp)
           apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
           apply (rename-tac tr st ref ok wait tr' st' ref' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> ref<sub>0</sub> ok' zs)
           apply (rule-tac x=False in exI)
           apply (simp add: size-minus-trace)
           apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
             apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
          using size-strict-mono apply blast
          apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok')
          apply (rule-tac x=tr_0 in exI, rule-tac x=st_0 in exI, rule-tac x=more_0 in exI)
          apply (simp)
          apply (erule trace-strict-prefixE)
          apply (rename-tac tr st more ok wait tr' st' more' tr<sub>0</sub> st<sub>0</sub> more<sub>0</sub> ok' zs)
          apply (auto simp add: size-minus-trace)
          apply (subgoal-tac\ size(tr) < size(tr_0))
           apply (simp add: less-diff-conv2 size-mono)
          using size-strict-mono apply blast
          done
       \mathbf{have} \ 2: (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD \ X) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket = (\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ (SRD (X \land gvrt \ n)) \llbracket false / \$wait \rrbracket
          by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-left-zero closure assms SRD-healths)
       show ?thesis
          by (simp add: exp 1 2 utp-pred-laws.inf-sup-distrib2)
    qed
    show ?thesis
    proof -
       have (P :: (SRD X) \land gvrt (n+1))[true,false/$ok,$wait] =
             ((P[true/\$wait'];;(SRD\ X)[true/\$wait]] \land qvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]] \lor
             (P[false/\$wait']; (SRD\ X)[false/\$wait] \land gvrt\ (n+1)[true,false/\$ok,\$wait])
          by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
     \mathbf{have} \ ... = ((P[true/\$wait']; (SRD\ (X \land gvrt\ n))[true/\$wait]] \land gvrt\ (n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
                     (P \lceil false / \$wait' \rceil) :: (SRD (X \land gvrt n)) \lceil false / \$wait \rceil \land gvrt (n+1)) \lceil true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rceil)
          by (simp add: 1 2)
       also
       have ... = ((P[true/\$wait']; (SRD (X \land gvrt n))[true/\$wait]] \lor
                       P[false/\$wait']; (SRD(X \land gvrt n))[false/\$wait]) \land gvrt(n+1))[true,false/\$ok,\$wait]]
          by (simp add: usubst utp-pred-laws.distrib(4))
```

```
also have ... = (P :: (SRD (X \land gvrt n)) \land gvrt (n+1)) \llbracket true, false / \$ok, \$wait \rrbracket
      by (subst seqr-bool-split[of wait], simp-all add: usubst)
     finally show ?thesis by (simp add: usubst)
   qed
 qed
 show (P :: SRD(X) \land gvrt (Suc n)) = (P :: SRD(X \land gvrt n) \land gvrt (Suc n))
   apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var ok])
     apply (simp-all add: a)
   apply (rule-tac bool-eq-splitI[of in-var wait])
     apply (simp-all \ add: \ b \ c)
 done
qed
10.3
         Tail recursive fixed-point calculations
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp]
lemma mu-csp-form-1 [rdes]:
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\prod i \cdot P \ \hat{} \ (i+1)) \ ;; Miracle
proof -
 have 1:Continuous (\lambda X.\ P;; SRD X)
   using SRD-Continuous
   by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
 have 2: (\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \in [id]_H \rightarrow [SRD]_H
   by (blast intro: funcsetI closure assms)
 with 1 2 have (\mu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X)) = (\nu X \cdot P ;; SRD(X))
   by (simp add: guarded-fp-uniq Guarded-if-Productive[OF assms] funcsetI closure)
 also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{i}) false)
   by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 1 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{} 0) false \sqcap (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{} (i+1)) false)
   by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X) \hat{(i+1)}) false)
   by (simp)
  also have ... = (\prod i. P \hat{\ } (i+1) ;; Miracle)
  proof (rule SUP-cong, simp-all)
   show P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X) \hat{i}) false) = (P :: P \hat{i}) :: Miracle
   proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     then show ?case
      by (simp, metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
   next
     case (Suc\ i)
     then show ?case
    by (simp add: Healthy-if NSRD-is-SRD SRD-power-comp SRD-seqr-closure assms(1) seqr-assoc[THEN
sym | srdes-theory.top-closed)
   qed
 qed
 also have ... = (\prod i. P \hat{} (i+1)) ;; Miracle
   by (simp add: seq-Sup-distr)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect)
```

 $\mathbf{qed}$ 

```
lemma mu-csp-form-NSRD [closure]:
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace,'\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) is NSRD
 by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure)
lemma mu-csp-form-1 ':
 fixes P :: ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (P \ ;; P^*) \ ;; Miracle
proof -
  have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) = (\bigcap \ i \in UNIV \cdot P \ ;; P \hat{\ } i) \ ;; Miracle
    by (simp add: mu-csp-form-1 assms closure ustar-def)
 also have ... = (P ;; P^*) ;; Miracle
    by (simp only: seq-UINF-distl[THEN sym], simp add: ustar-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
declare upred-semiring.power-Suc [simp del]
end
        Reactive Design Programs
11
theory utp-rdes-prog
 \mathbf{imports}
    utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}normal
    utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}tactics
    utp	ext{-}rdes	ext{-}parallel
    utp-rdes-guarded
    UTP-KAT.utp-kleene
begin
11.1
          State substitution
lemma srd-subst-RHS-tri-design [usubst]:
  [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P) \vdash ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger Q) \diamond ([\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger R))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma srd-subst-SRD-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is SRD
 shows [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P is SRD
  have SRD(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD \ P)) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger (SRD \ P)
    by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Healthy-def assms)
lemma preR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  pre_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger pre_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
```

**lemma** periR-srd-subst [rdes]:

```
peri_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger peri_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-srd-subst [rdes]:
  post_R(\lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger P) = \lceil \sigma \rceil_{S\sigma} \dagger post_R(P)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma srd-subst-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows [\sigma]_{S\sigma} \dagger P is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-RC-intro, simp-all add: closure rdes assms unrest)
11.2
           Assignment
definition assigns-srd :: 's usubst \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (\langle -\rangle_R) where
[upred-defs]: assigns-srd \sigma = \mathbf{R}_s(true \vdash (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \neg \$wait' \land \lceil \langle \sigma \rangle_a \rceil_S \land \$\Sigma_S' =_u \$\Sigma_S))
syntax
  -assign\text{-}srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic \ ('(-') :=_R '(-'))
  -assign-srd :: svids \Rightarrow uexprs \Rightarrow logic (infixr :=_R 62)
translations
  -assign-srd xs vs => CONST assigns-srd (-mk-usubst (id_s) xs vs)
  -assign-srd \ x \ v \le CONST \ assigns-srd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (id_s) \ x \ v)
  -assign-srd \ x \ v \le -assign-srd \ (-spvar \ x) \ v
  x,y :=_R u,v <= CONST \ assigns-srd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (CONST \ subst-upd \ (id_s) \ (CONST \ pr-var \ x)
u) (CONST pr-var y) v)
lemma assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des [rdes-def]:
  \langle \sigma \rangle_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond \langle \sigma \rangle_r)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma assigns-srd-NSRD-closed [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is NSRD
  by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)
lemma preR-assigns-srd [rdes]: pre_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = true_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma periR-assigns-srd [rdes]: peri_R(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = false
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure)
lemma postR-assigns-srd [rdes]: post<sub>R</sub>(\langle \sigma \rangle_R) = \langle \sigma \rangle_r
  by (simp add: rdes-def rdes closure rpred)
lemma taut-eq-impl-property:
  \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens\ x;\ \$x\ \sharp\ P\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow `(\$x=_u\ll v\gg\wedge\ Q)\Rightarrow P`=`Q\llbracket\ll v\gg/\$x\rrbracket\Rightarrow P`
  by (rel-auto, meson mwb-lens-weak vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
\mathbf{lemma}\ st\text{-}subst\text{-}taut\text{-}impl\text{:}
  assumes vwb-lens x $st:x #Q Pis RRQ is RR
  shows \{\&x \mapsto_s \ll k \} \nmid_S P \Rightarrow Q' = \{\&x =_u \ll k \}_{S < h} P \Rightarrow Q' \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}
proof -
  have ?lhs = `P[\ll k \gg /\$st:x] \Rightarrow Q`
    by (simp add: usubst-st-lift-def alpha usubst)
  also have ... = '(\$st:x =_u \ll k \gg) \land RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)'
    by (simp add: Healthy-if assms taut-eq-impl-property)
```

```
also have ... = '[&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S<} \wedge RR(P) \Rightarrow RR(Q)' by (rel-blast) finally show ?thesis by (simp add: assms Healthy-if) qed
```

The following law explains how to refine a program Q when it is first initialised by an assignment. Would be good if it could be generalised to a more general precondition.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ Assign R-init-refine-intro:
    assumes
         vwb-lens x $st:x $p_2 $st:x $p_3 
         P<sub>2</sub> is RR P<sub>3</sub> is RR Q is NSRD
         \mathbf{R}_s([\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S <} \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq Q
    shows \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq (x :=_R \ll k \gg) ;; Q
    have \mathbf{R}_s([\&x =_u \ll k \gg]_{S <} \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
         by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
    hence \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq x :=_R \ll k \gg ;; \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(Q) \vdash peri_R(Q) \diamond post_R(Q))
    proof (clarsimp simp add: rdes-def assms closure unrest rpred wp RHS-tri-design-refine, safe)
   \textbf{assume} \ a1:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \Rightarrow pre_R(Q)` \ \textbf{and} \ a2:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S<} \ \land \ peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2` \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x =_u «k»]_{S>} \ \textbf{and} \ a3:`[\&x 
=_u \ll k \gg |_{S<} \wedge post_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_3
    from a1 assms(1) show 'R1 true \Rightarrow [&x \mapsto_s \ll k \gg] \dagger_S pre<sub>R</sub>(Q)'
         by (rel-simp)
    show '[\&x \mapsto_s \ll k \gg] \dagger_S peri_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_2'
         by (simp add: a2 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
    show '[\&x \mapsto_s \ll k \gg] \dagger_S post_R(Q) \Rightarrow P_3'
         by (simp add: a3 assms st-subst-taut-impl closure)
    qed
    thus ?thesis
         by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
qed
                        Conditional
11.3
lemma preR-cond-srea [rdes]:
    pre_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S <} \land pre_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S <} \land pre_R(Q))
    by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-cond-srea [rdes]:
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
    shows peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S <} \land peri_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S <} \land peri_R(Q))
    have peri_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = peri_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
         by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
    thus ?thesis
         by (rel-auto)
qed
lemma postR-cond-srea [rdes]:
    assumes P is SRD Q is SRD
    shows post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]_{S <} \land post_R(P) \lor [\neg b]_{S <} \land post_R(Q))
    have post_R(P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = post_R(R1(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R R1(Q))
         by (simp add: Healthy-if SRD-healths assms)
    thus ?thesis
         by (rel-auto)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma NSRD-cond-srea [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is NSRD
proof (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
  show P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q is SRD
    by (simp add: closure assms)
  show pre_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) is RC
  proof -
    have 1:(\lceil \neg b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lceil \neg b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ P)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) NSRD-neg-pre-unit aext-not assms(1) seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    have 2:(\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q) \ ;; \ R1(true) = (\lceil b \rceil_{S<} \vee \neg_r \ pre_R \ Q)
      by (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-unit assms seqr-or-distl st-lift-R1-true-right)
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  show \$st' \sharp peri_R (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q)
  by (simp add: rdes assms closure unrest)
qed
11.4
          Assumptions
definition Assume R :: 's \ cond \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp ([-]^{\top}_R) where
[upred-defs]: AssumeR \ b = II_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Miracle
lemma AssumeR-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  [b]^{\top}_{R} = \mathbf{R}_{s}(true_{r} \vdash false \diamond [b]^{\top}_{r})
  unfolding AssumeR-def by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-NSRD [closure]: [b]^{\top}_{R} is NSRD
  by (simp add: AssumeR-def closure)
lemma Assume R-false: [false]^{\top}_{R} = Miracle
  by (rel-auto)
lemma AssumeR-true: [true]^{\top}_{R} = II_{R}
  by (rel-auto)
lemma AssumeR-comp: [b]^{\top}_{R};; [c]^{\top}_{R} = [b \land c]^{\top}_{R}
 by (rdes-simp)
lemma AssumeR-choice: [b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap [c]^{\top}_{R} = [b \lor c]^{\top}_{R}
  by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-refine-skip: II_R \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_R
  by (rdes-refine)
lemma AssumeR-test [closure]: test_R [b]<sup>\top</sup><sub>R</sub>
  by (simp add: AssumeR-refine-skip nsrdes-theory.utest-intro)
lemma Star-AssumeR: [b]^{\top}_{R}{}^{\star R} = II_{R}
  by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-test nsrdes-theory.Star-test)
lemma Assume R-choice-skip: II_R \sqcap [b]^{\top}_R = II_R
 by (rdes-eq)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AssumeR-seq-refines}\colon
  assumes P is NSRD
 shows P \sqsubseteq P ;; [b]^{\top}_{R}
 by (rdes-refine cls: assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ cond\text{-}srea\text{-}Assume R\text{-}form:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P) \sqcap ([\neg b]^{\top}_R ;; Q)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma cond-srea-insert-assume:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R [\neg b]^{\top}_R ;; Q)
 by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-comp NSRD-segr-closure RA1 assms cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
lemma AssumeR-cond-left:
 assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows [b]^{\top}_R :: (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([b]^{\top}_R :: P)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma AssumeR-cond-right:
  assumes P is NSRD Q is NSRD
  shows [\neg b]^{\top}_R;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q) = ([\neg b]^{\top}_R;; Q)
 by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
11.5
         Guarded commands
definition GuardedCommR:: 's cond \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp (-\rightarrow_R - [85,
86 | 85) where
gcmd-def[rdes-def]: GuardedCommR \ g \ A = A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R Miracle
lemma gcmd-false[simp]: (false \rightarrow_R A) = Miracle
  unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
lemma gcmd-true[simp]: (true \rightarrow_R A) = A
  unfolding gcmd-def by (pred-auto)
lemma gcmd-SRD:
 assumes A is SRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is SRD
 by (simp add: gcmd-def SRD-cond-srea assms srdes-theory.top-closed)
lemma gcmd-NSRD [closure]:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ A\ is\ NSRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is NSRD
 by (simp add: gcmd-def NSRD-cond-srea assms NSRD-Miracle)
lemma gcmd-Productive [closure]:
 assumes A is NSRD A is Productive
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) is Productive
 by (simp add: gcmd-def closure assms)
lemma gcmd-seq-distr:
  assumes B is NSRD
 shows (g \rightarrow_R A) ;; B = (g \rightarrow_R (A ;; B))
```

```
by (simp add: Miracle-left-zero NSRD-is-SRD assms cond-st-distr gcmd-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ gcmd-nondet-distr:
  assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (g \to_R (A \sqcap B)) = (g \to_R A) \sqcap (g \to_R B)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
lemma AssumeR-as-gcmd:
  [b]^{\perp}_R = b \rightarrow_R II_R
  by (rdes-eq)
lemma AssumeR-gcomm:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; (c \rightarrow_{R} P) = (b \land c) \rightarrow_{R} P
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
           Generalised Alternation
11.6
definition AlternateR
  ":" 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha)
hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: AlternateR I g A B = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((g \ i) \rightarrow_R (A \ i))) \cap ((\neg (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g \ i)) \rightarrow_R (A \ i))
B)
{\bf definition}\ {\it AlternateR-list}
  :: ('s upred \times ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
  Alternate R-list xs P = Alternate R \{0... < length xs\} (\lambda i. map fst xs!i) (\lambda i. map snd xs!i) P
syntax
  -altindR\text{-}els :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if R \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow -else \rightarrow f)
  -altindR
                    :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R - \in - - \rightarrow - fi)
  -altgcommR-els :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (if_R/ - else - /f_l)
  -altgcommR
                      :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (if_R/ - /fi)
translations
  if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A \ else \ B \ fi \ \rightharpoonup \ CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ B
  if_R i \in I \cdot g \rightarrow A fi \rightarrow CONST \ AlternateR \ I \ (\lambda i. \ g) \ (\lambda i. \ A) \ (CONST \ Chaos)
  if_R i \in I \cdot (g i) \rightarrow A else B fi \leftarrow CONST AlternateR I g (\lambda i. A) B
  -altgcommR \ cs \rightharpoonup CONST \ AlternateR-list \ cs \ (CONST \ Chaos)
  -altgcommR (-gcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST AlternateR-list cs (CONST Chaos)
  -altgcommR-els\ cs\ P 
ightharpoonup CONST\ AlternateR-list\ cs\ P
  -altgcommR-els (-gcomm-show cs) P \leftarrow CONST \ AlternateR-list cs P
lemma AlternateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i \text{ is NSRD } B \text{ is NSRD}
  shows (if_R i \in I \cdot g i \rightarrow A i else B fi) is NSRD
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def assms)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
```

qed

```
lemma AlternateR-empty [simp]:
  (if_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = B
  by (rdes\text{-}simp)
lemma AlternateR-Productive [closure]:
  assumes
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i is NSRD B is NSRD
   \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow A i is Productive B is Productive
 shows (if R i \in I \cdot g i \rightarrow A i else B fi) is Productive
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
 case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp\ add:\ assms(4))
  case False
 then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
lemma AlternateR-singleton:
  assumes A k is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in \{k\} \cdot g \ i \to A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R B)
 by (simp add: AlternateR-def, rdes-eq cls: assms)
Convert an alternation over disjoint guards into a cascading if-then-else
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AlternateR-insert-cascade} \colon
 assumes
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow A \ i \ is \ NSRD
    A k is NSRD B is NSRD
    (g(k) \land (\bigvee i \in I \cdot g(i))) = false
 shows (if_R \ i \in insert \ k \ I \cdot g \ i \to A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) = (A(k) \triangleleft g(k) \triangleright_R (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g(i) \to A(i) \ else \ B \ fi))
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: AlternateR-singleton assms)
next
  case False
  have 1: (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R A \ i) = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow_R \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(A \ i) \vdash peri_R(A \ i) \diamond post_R(A \ i)))
    by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-reactive-tri-design assms(1) cong: UINF-cong)
  from assms(4) show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def 1 False)
       (rdes-eq\ cls:\ assms(1-3)\ False\ cong:\ UINF-cong)
qed
lemma AlternateR-assume-branch:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ NSRD \ Q \ is \ NSRD
  shows ([[] i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{R};; AlternateR \ I \ b \ P \ Q) = ([] i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = [\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{R} ;; ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) \cap (\neg (\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i)) \rightarrow_{R} Q)
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def closure assms)
  also have ... = [\prod i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\perp}_{R};; (\prod i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_{R} P \ i) \cap Miracle
   by (simp add: seqr-inf-distr AssumeR-gcomm closure assms)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i \in I \cdot ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i) \land b \ i) \rightarrow_R P \ i) \cap Miracle
    by (simp add: seq-UINF-distl AssumeR-gcomm closure assms cong: UINF-cong)
  also have ... = (   i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i ) \cap Miracle
  proof -
```

```
have \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow ((\bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i) \land b \ i) = b \ i
     by (rel-auto)
   thus ?thesis
     by (simp cong: UINF-cong)
  qed
  also have ... = (   i \in I \cdot b \ i \rightarrow_R P \ i )
   by (simp add: closure assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
          Choose
11.7
definition choose-srd :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (choose_R) where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: choose_R = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond true_r)
lemma preR-choose [rdes]: pre_R(choose_R) = true_r
 by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-choose [rdes]: peri_R(choose_R) = false
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-choose [rdes]: post_R(choose_R) = true_r
  by (rel-auto)
lemma choose-srd-SRD [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is SRD
 by (simp add: choose-srd-def closure unrest)
lemma NSRD-choose-srd [closure]: choose<sub>R</sub> is NSRD
  by (rule NSRD-intro, simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)
11.8
          Divergence Freedom
definition ndiv\text{-}srd :: ('s, 't :: trace, '\alpha) \ hrel\text{-}rsp \ (ndiv_R)
where [rdes-def]: ndiv-srd = \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash true_r \diamond true_r)
lemma ndiv-NSRD [closure]: ndiv_R is NSRD
 by (simp add: rdes-def closure unrest)
lemma n div-srd-refines-preR-true:
 assumes P is SRD
  shows ndiv_R \sqsubseteq P \longleftrightarrow pre_R(P) = true_r \text{ (is ?lhs } \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  thus ?rhs
  by (metis\ R1\text{-}preR\ ndiv\text{-}srd\text{-}def\ preR\text{-}antitone\ preR\text{-}rdes\ rea\text{-}true\text{-}RR\ rea\text{-}true\text{-}disj(2)\ utp\text{-}pred\text{-}laws.sup.orderE})
next
  assume ?rhs
 hence ndiv_R \subseteq \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P))
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ RHS-tri-design-conj\ assms\ ndiv-srd-def\ periR-SRD-R1\ postR-SRD-R1\ rea-true-conj(1)
rea-true-impl utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb-iff2)
  thus ?lhs
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design assms)
qed
```

**lemma** *ndiv-srd-refines-rdes-pre-true*:

```
assumes P_1 is RR P_2 is RR P_3 is RR
shows ndiv_R \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \longleftrightarrow P_1 = true_r \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
by (simp add: ndiv-srd-refines-preR-true closure assms rdes unrest)
```

#### 11.9 State Abstraction

```
definition state-srea ::
  's itself \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp \Rightarrow (unit,'t,'\alpha,'\beta) rel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: state-srea t P = \langle \exists \{\$st,\$st'\} \cdot P \rangle_S
syntax
  -state-srea :: type \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (state - \cdot - [0,200] 200)
translations
  state 'a \cdot P == CONST state-srea TYPE('a) P
lemma R1-state-srea: R1(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · R1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R2c-state-srea: R2c(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R2c(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma R3h-state-srea: R3h(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot R3h(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD1-state-srea: RD1(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot RD1(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD2-state-srea: RD2(state 'a \cdot P) = (state 'a \cdot RD2(P))
 by (rel-auto)
lemma RD3-state-srea: RD3(state 'a · P) = (state 'a · RD3(P))
 by (rel-auto, blast+)
lemma SRD-state-srea [closure]: P is SRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is SRD
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R1-state-srea R2c-state-srea R3h-state-srea RD1-state-srea RD2-state-srea
RHS-def SRD-def)
lemma NSRD-state-srea [closure]: P is NSRD \Longrightarrow state 'a \cdot P is NSRD
 by (metis Healthy-def NSRD-is-RD3 NSRD-is-SRD RD3-state-srea SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD SRD-state-srea)
lemma preR-state-srea [rdes]: pre_R(state 'a \cdot P) = \langle \forall \{\$st,\$st'\} \cdot pre_R(P) \rangle_S
 by (simp add: state-srea-def, rel-auto)
lemma periR-state-srea [rdes]: peri_R(state 'a \cdot P) = state 'a \cdot peri_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-state-srea [rdes]: post_R(state 'a \cdot P) = state 'a \cdot post_R(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma state-srea-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR
 shows state a \cdot \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) = \mathbf{R}_s(\langle \forall \{\$st,\$st'\} \cdot P \rangle_S \vdash (state 'a \cdot Q) \diamond (state 'a \cdot R))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(?lhs) \vdash peri_R(?lhs) \diamond post_R(?lhs))
```

```
by (simp add: RC-implies-RR SRD-rdes-intro SRD-reactive-tri-design SRD-state-srea assms)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (\forall \{\$st, \$st'\} \cdot P_{S} \vdash state 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r Q) \diamond state 'a \cdot (P \Rightarrow_r R))
    by (simp add: rdes closure assms)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (rel-auto)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma ext-st-rdes-dist [rdes-def]:
 \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L = \mathbf{R}_s(P \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L \vdash Q \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L \diamond R \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma state-srea-refine:
  (P \oplus_p abs\text{-}st_L) \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow P \sqsubseteq (state\text{-}srea\ TYPE('s)\ Q)
 by (rel-auto)
            Reactive Frames
11.10
definition rdes-frame-ext :: ('\alpha \Longrightarrow '\beta) \Rightarrow ('\alpha, 't::trace, 'r) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('\beta, 't, 'r) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, rdes-def]: rdes-frame-ext a P = \mathbf{R}_s(rel-aext (pre_R(P)) (map-st_L, a) \vdash rel-aext (peri_R(P))
(map-st_L \ a) \diamond a:[post_R(P)]_r^+)
syntax
  -rdes-frame-ext :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (-:[-]_R^+ [99,0] 100)
translations
  -rdes-frame-ext x P => CONST rdes-frame-ext x P
  -rdes-frame-ext (-salphaset (-salphamk x)) P <= CONST rdes-frame-ext x P
lemma RC-rel-aext-st-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is RC
 shows rel-aext P (map-st<sub>L</sub> a) is RC
proof -
 have RC(rel-aext\ (RC(P))\ (map-st_L\ a)) = rel-aext\ (RC(P))\ (map-st_L\ a)
    (metis (no-types, hide-lams) diff-add-cancel-left' dual-order.trans le-add trace-class.add-diff-cancel-left
trace-class.add-left-mono)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule-tac Healthy-intro, simp add: assms Healthy-if)
qed
lemma rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } SRD; \$wait' \sharp pre_R(P) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a: [P]_R^+ \text{ is } SRD
  unfolding rdes-frame-ext-def
 apply (rule SRD-rdes-intro)
 apply (simp-all add: closure unrest)
 apply (simp add: RR-R2-intro ok'-pre-unrest ok-pre-unrest preR-R2-closed rea-aext-RR wait-pre-unrest)
 done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{preR-rdes-frame-ext} \colon
  P \text{ is } NSRD \Longrightarrow pre_R(a:[P]_R^+) = rel\text{-}aext \ (pre_R(P)) \ (map\text{-}st_L \ a)
 by (simp add: preR-RR preR-rdes rdes-frame-ext-def rea-aext-RR)
lemma unrest-rel-aext-st' [unrest]: st' \not\parallel P \Longrightarrow st' \not\parallel rel-aext P (map-st_L a)
  by (rel-auto)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ rdes\textit{-}frame\textit{-}ext\textit{-}NSRD\textit{-}closed:
  P \text{ is } NSRD \implies a:[P]_R^+ \text{ is } NSRD
 apply (rule NSRD-RC-intro)
   apply (rule rdes-frame-ext-SRD-closed)
 apply (simp-all add: closure unrest rdes)
    apply (simp add: NSRD-neg-pre-RC RC-rel-aext-st-closed preR-RR preR-rdes rdes-frame-ext-def
rea-aext-RR)
 apply (simp add: rdes-frame-ext-def)
 apply (simp add: rdes closure unrest)
 done
lemma skip-srea-frame [frame]:
  vwb-lens a \Longrightarrow a: [II_R]_R^+ = II_R
 by (rdes-eq)
lemma seq-srea-frame [frame]:
 assumes vwb-lens a P is NSRD Q is NSRD
 shows a:[P ;; Q]_R^+ = a:[P]_R^+ ;; a:[Q]_R^+ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre<sub>R</sub> P \land post_R P wp_r pre_R Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a] \vdash
                 ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \oplus_r \ map-st_L[a] \Rightarrow_r (peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; \ peri_R \ Q)
\bigoplus_r map-st_L[a]
                 a:[pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q \Rightarrow_r post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q]_r^+)
   using assms(1) by (rdes-simp\ cls:\ assms(2-3))
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((pre_R \ P \land post_R \ P \ wp_r \ pre_R \ Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a] \vdash
                 ((peri_R \ P \lor post_R \ P \ ;; peri_R \ Q) \oplus_r map-st_L[a]) \diamond
                 a:[post_R \ P \ ;; \ post_R \ Q]_r^+)
   by (rel-auto)
  also from assms(1) have ... = ?rhs
   apply (rdes-eq-split\ cls:\ assms(2-3))
   apply (rel-auto)
     apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
    apply (rel-auto)
     apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
     apply (metis vwb-lens-wb wb-lens-def weak-lens.put-get)
    apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-qet)
   apply (rel-auto)
    apply (metis mwb-lens-def vwb-lens-mwb weak-lens.put-get)
   done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma rdes-frame-ext-Productive-closed [closure]:
 assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
 shows x:[P]_R^+ is Productive
proof -
 have x:[Productive(P)]_R^+ is Productive(P)
   by (rdes-simp cls: assms, rel-auto)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-if assms)
qed
           While Loop
```

### 11.11

```
definition While R: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::size-trace, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s, 't, 'a) \ hrel-rsp \ where
While R \ b \ P = (\mu_R \ X \cdot (P \ ;; \ X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
```

```
syntax - whileR :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (while_R - do - od)
translations -while R \ b \ P == CONST \ While R \ b \ P
lemma Sup-power-false:
  fixes F :: '\alpha \ upred \Rightarrow '\alpha \ upred
  shows (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false) = (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false)
proof -
  have (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false) = (F \hat{i}) false \cap (\bigcap i. (F \hat{i}) false)
    by (subst Sup-power-expand, simp)
  also have ... = (\prod i. (F \hat{i} (i+1)) false)
    by (simp)
  finally show ?thesis.
{\bf theorem}\ {\it While R-unfold:}
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows while R b do P od = (P : while R b do P od) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
  apply (simp add: WhileR-def)
  {\bf apply} \ (subst \ srdes-theory.LFP-unfold)
   apply (simp-all add: mono-Monotone-utp-order closure assms)
  done
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{While} \textit{R-iter-expand} :
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while B 	ext{ b do } P 	ext{ od } = (\prod i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i :: (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have 1: Continuous (\lambda X.\ P;; SRD\ X)
    using SRD-Continuous
    by (clarsimp simp add: Continuous-def seq-SUP-distl[THEN sym], drule-tac x=A in spec, simp)
  have 2: Continuous (\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: 1 closure assms)
  have ?lhs = (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: WhileR-def)
  also have ... = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (auto simp add: srd-mu-equiv closure assms)
  also have ... = (\nu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{guarded}\text{-}\mathit{fp}\text{-}\mathit{uniq}\ \mathit{Guarded}\text{-}\mathit{if}\text{-}\mathit{Productive}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{assms}]\ \mathit{funcsetI}\ \mathit{closure}\ \mathit{assms})
  also have ... = (\prod i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } \hat{\ } i) false)
    by (simp add: sup-continuous-lfp 2 sup-continuous-Continuous false-upred-def)
  also have ... = (\bigcap i. ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} (i+1)) false)
    by (simp add: Sup-power-false)
  also have ... = (\prod i. (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))
  proof (rule SUP-cong, simp)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    show ((\lambda X.\ P\ ;;\ SRD\ X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \hat{\ }(i+1))\ false = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R\ II_R) \hat{\ }i\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ Miracle \triangleleft b)
\triangleright_R II_R
    proof (induct i)
       case \theta
       thm if-eq-cancel
       then show ?case
         by (simp, metis srdes-theory.healthy-top)
    next
       case (Suc \ i)
       show ?case
```

```
proof -
         have ((\lambda X. P ;; SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} (Suc i + 1)) false =
                P :: SRD (((\lambda X. P :: SRD X \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } (i+1)) false) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
           by simp
         also have ... = P;; SRD ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i};; (P;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
           using Suc.hyps by auto
        also have ... = P ;; ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } i ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R
                by (metis (no-types, lifting) Healthy-if NSRD-cond-srea NSRD-is-SRD NSRD-power-Suc
NSRD-srd-skip SRD-cond-srea SRD-segr-closure assms(1) power.power-eq-if segr-left-unit srdes-theory.top-closed)
         also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{} Suc i : (P : Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
        proof (induct i)
           case \theta
           then show ?case
              by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-seqr-closure SRD-srdes-skip
assms(1) cond-L6 cond-st-distr srdes-theory.top-closed)
         next
           case (Suc\ i)
          have 1: II_R : ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) : (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i}) = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) : (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i})
             by (simp add: NSRD-is-SRD RA1 SRD-cond-srea SRD-left-unit SRD-srdes-skip assms(1))
           then show ?case
           proof -
             have \bigwedge u. (u :; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } Suc \ i) :; (P :; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R)) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R) =
                         ((u \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) ;; (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{\ } Suc \ i) ;; (P ;; (Miracle) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R (II_R))
               by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps 1 cond-L6 cond-st-distr power.power.power.Suc)
             then show ?thesis
                by (simp add: RA1 upred-semiring.power-Suc)
           qed
         qed
        finally show ?thesis.
      qed
    qed
  qed
  also have ... = (\prod i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^i : (P : Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R))
    by (simp add: UINF-as-Sup-collect')
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem WhileR-star-expand:
  {\bf assumes}\ P\ is\ NSRD\ P\ is\ Productive
  shows while R b do P od = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R};; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  have ?lhs = (\bigcap i \cdot (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) \hat{i}) :: (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: WhileR-iter-expand seq-UINF-distr' assms)
  also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: ustar-def)
  also have ... = ((P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^* ;; II_R) ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: segr-assoc SRD-left-unit closure assms)
  also have ... = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def nsrdes-theory.Star-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma WhileR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
```

**shows**  $while_R$  b do P od is NSRD

```
by (simp add: StarR-def WhileR-star-expand assms closure)
```

```
theorem While R-iter-form-lemma:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows (P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)^{*R} ;; (P :: Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R) = ([b]^{\top}_R :: P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R
  \mathbf{have}\ (P \triangleleft b \rhd_R II_R)^{\star R}\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ \mathit{Miracle} \vartriangleleft b \rhd_R II_R) = (([b]^\top_R\ ;;\ P) \sqcap [\neg b]^\top_R)^{\star R}\ ;;\ (P\ ;;\ \mathit{Miracle} \vartriangleleft b)
\triangleright_R II_R)
   by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-right-unit NSRD-srd-skip assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R {}^{*R})^{*R} ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-denest assms(1))
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R})^{*R} ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def RD3-def RD3-idem Star-AssumeR nsrdes-theory.Star-def)
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{*R}) ;; (P ;; Miracle \triangleleft b \triangleright_R II_R)
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-segr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-invol assms(1))
  also have ... = (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle) \sqcap [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
    by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-Miracle NSRD-right-unit NSRD-segr-closure NSRD-srd-skip
assms(1) cond-srea-AssumeR-form)
  also have ... = ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle) \sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
    by (simp add: upred-semiring.distrib-left)
  also have ... = ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
    have (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} = (II_{R} \sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
    by (simp add: StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldr-eq assms(1))
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-as-gcmd NSRD-srd-skip Star-AssumeR
nsrdes-theory.Star-slide gcmd-seq-distr skip-srea-self-unit urel-dioid.distrib-right')
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [b \lor \neg b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
      by (simp add: AssumeR-true NSRD-right-unit assms(1))
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})

\sqcap ((([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) AssumeR-choice upred-semiring.add-assoc upred-semiring.distrib-left
upred-semiring.distrib-right)
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\top}_{R} \cap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}))

\cap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; ([\neg b]^{\top}_{R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}))
       by (simp \ add: RA1)
    also have ... = [\neg b]^{\uparrow}_{R} \sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; Miracle)

\sqcap (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R} ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R})
       \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon Assume R\text{-}comp\ Assume R\text{-}false)
    finally have ([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{\star R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R \sqsubseteq (([b]^{\top}_R ;; P)^{\star R}) ;; [b]^{\top}_R ;; P ;; Miracle
       by (simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-supI1)
    thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: semilattice-sup-class.le-iff-sup)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem WhileR-iter-form:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while R b do P od = ([b]^{\top}_{R};;P)^{*R};;[\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
  by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form-lemma WhileR-star-expand assms)
theorem WhileR-outer-refine-intro:
  assumes
    P is NSRD P is Productive
```

```
S \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; S S \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
  shows S \sqsubseteq while_R \ b \ do \ P \ od
  apply (simp add: assms StarR-def WhileR-iter-form)
  apply (rule nsrdes-theory.Star-inductl)
 apply (simp-all add: closure assms)
  done
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{While} \textit{R-outer-refine-init-intro}:
  assumes
    P is NSRD I is NSRD P is Productive
   S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
   S \sqsubseteq S ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P
S \sqsubseteq I ;; [b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P
  shows S \sqsubseteq I ;; while_R b do P od
proof -
 have S \sqsubseteq I ; (([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{*R}) ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_{R}
 proof -
   have S \sqsubseteq I ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P) ;; ([b]^{\top}_{R} ;; P)^{\star R}
       by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) StarR-def AssumeR-NSRD NSRD-seqr-closure RA1 assms(1)
assms(2) \ assms(5) \ assms(6) \ nsrdes-theory. Star-inductr \ semilattice-sup-class. le-sup-iff)
   thus ?thesis
    by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ Assume R-NSRD\ Assume R-seq-refines\ Star R-def\ assms(1)\ dual-order.trans
nsrdes-theory. Healthy-Sequence nsrdes-theory. utp-theory-kleene-axioms urel-dioid. mult-isol utp-theory-kleene. Star-Healthy
  moreover have S \sqsubseteq I :: II_R :: [\neg b]^{\top}_R
   by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD assms nsrdes-theory.Unit-Left)
  ultimately show ?thesis
   apply (simp add: assms WhileR-iter-form StarR-def)
   apply (subst nsrdes-theory.Star-unfoldl-eq[THEN sym])
    apply (auto simp add: closure assms seqr-inf-distr)
   done
qed
theorem WhileR-false:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows while R false do P od = II_R
  by (simp add: WhileR-def rpred closure srdes-theory.LFP-const)
theorem WhileR-true:
  assumes P is NSRD P is Productive
  shows while R true do P od = P^{*R};; Miracle
  by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form AssumeR-true AssumeR-false SRD-left-unit assms closure)
lemma WhileR-insert-assume:
  {\bf assumes}\ P\ is\ NSRD\ P\ is\ Productive
 shows while R b do ([b]^{\top}_{R};; P) od = while R b do P od
 by (simp add: AssumeR-NSRD AssumeR-comp NSRD-seqr-closure Productive-seq-2 RA1 WhileR-iter-form
assms)
theorem While R-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  assumes P is RC Q is RR R is RR \$st \sharp Q R is R4
 shows while_R b do \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R) od =
       \mathbf{R}_{s} \ (([b]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ wp_{r} \ ([b]_{S<} \ \Rightarrow_{r} \ P) \vdash (([b]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ ;; \ [b]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ Q) \diamond (([b]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ R)^{\star r} \ ;; \ [\neg \ b]^{\top}_{r}))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
```

```
have ?lhs = ([b]^{\top}_R ;; \mathbf{R}_s (P \vdash Q \diamond R))^{\star R} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_R
    by (simp add: WhileR-iter-form Productive-rdes-RR-intro assms closure)
  also have \dots = ?rhs
    by (simp add: rdes-def assms closure unrest rpred wp del: rea-star-wp)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
Refinement introduction law for reactive while loops
theorem WhileR-refine-intro:
  assumes
     — Closure conditions
    Q_1 is RC Q_2 is RR Q_3 is RR \$st' \sharp Q_2 Q_3 is R4
    — Refinement conditions
    ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} wp_r ([b]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1
    P_2 \sqsubseteq [b]^\top r :: Q_2
P_2 \sqsubseteq [b]^\top r :: Q_3 :: P_3
P_3 \sqsubseteq [\neg b]^\top r
    P_3 \sqsubseteq [b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3 ;; P_3
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq while_R \ b \ do \ \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1 \vdash Q_2 \diamond Q_3) \ od
proof (simp add: rdes-def assms, rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
  show ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} wp_r ([b]_{S<} \Rightarrow_r Q_1) \sqsubseteq P_1
    by (simp add: assms)
  show P_2 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [b]^\top_r ;; Q_2)
    have P_2 \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{*r} ;; [b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_2
      by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seq-RR-closed seqr-assoc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
  \mathbf{qed}
  show P_3 \sqsubseteq (P_1 \wedge ([b]^\top_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^\top_r)
    have P_3 \sqsubseteq ([b]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3)^{\star r} ;; [\neg b]^{\top}_r
      by (simp add: assms rea-assume-RR rrel-theory.Star-inductl seqr-assoc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: utp-pred-laws.le-infI2)
  qed
qed
11.12
             Iteration Construction
definition IterateR
  ":" 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ upred) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp) \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp
where IterateR A g P = while<sub>R</sub> (\bigvee i \in A \cdot g(i)) do (if<sub>R</sub> i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) ft) od
definition IterateR-list
  :: ('s upred \times ('s, 't::size-trace, '\alpha) hrel-rsp) list \Rightarrow ('s, 't, '\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs, ndes-simp]:
  IterateR-list xs = IterateR \{0... < length xs\} (\lambda i. map fst xs ! i) (\lambda i. map snd xs ! i)
  -iter-srd :: pttrn \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \ (do_R - \in -\cdot - \rightarrow -od)
  -iter-gcommR :: gcomms \Rightarrow logic (do_R/ - /od)
translations
  -iter-srd x A g P => CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x. P)
  -iter-srd x A g P \leq CONST IterateR A (\lambda x. g) (\lambda x'. P)
```

```
-iter-gcommR cs 
ightharpoonup CONST IterateR-list cs
  -iter-gcommR (-gcomm-show cs) \leftarrow CONST IterateR-list cs
lemma IterateR-NSRD-closed [closure]:
  assumes
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) \text{ is NSRD}
    \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) is Productive
  shows do_R \ i \in I \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od \ is \ NSRD
  by (simp add: IterateR-def closure assms)
lemma IterateR-empty:
  do_R \ i \in \{\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od = II_R
  by (simp add: IterateR-def srd-mu-equiv closure rpred gfp-const WhileR-false)
lemma IterateR-singleton:
  assumes P k is NSRD P k is Productive
  shows do_R i \in \{k\} \cdot g(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od = while_R g(k) \ do \ P(k) \ od \ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  have ?lhs = while_R \ g \ k \ do \ P \ k \triangleleft g \ k \triangleright_R \ Chaos \ od
    by (simp add: IterateR-def AlternateR-singleton assms closure)
  also have ... = while_R \ g \ k \ do \ [g \ k]^{\top}_R \ ;; \ (P \ k \triangleleft g \ k \triangleright_R \ Chaos) \ od
    by (simp add: WhileR-insert-assume closure assms)
  also have ... = while_R g k do P k od
    by (simp add: AssumeR-cond-left NSRD-Chaos WhileR-insert-assume assms)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
declare IterateR-list-def [rdes-def]
declare IterateR-def [rdes-def]
lemma R4-Continuous [closure]: Continuous R4
  by (rel-auto)
lemma cond-rea-R4-closed [closure]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } R4; Q \text{ is } R4 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \triangleleft b \triangleright_R Q \text{ is } R4
  by (simp add: Healthy-def R4-cond)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{IterateR-outer-refine-intro}:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ NSRD \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P \ i \ is \ Productive
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq (b \ i \to_R P \ i \ ;; \ S)
    S \sqsubseteq [\neg ( \bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i)]^{\top}_{R}
  shows S \sqsubseteq do_R \ i \in I \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) \ od
  apply (simp add: IterateR-def)
  apply (rule WhileR-outer-refine-intro)
     apply (simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distr UINF-refines)
  done
lemma IterateR-outer-refine-init-intro:
    A \neq \{\} \land i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P i \text{ is NSRD}
    \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow P i \text{ is Productive}
    I is NSRD
    S \sqsubseteq I ;; [\neg ( \bigcap i \in A \cdot b i)]^{\top}_{R}
    \bigwedge \ i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq S \ ;; \ b \ i \to_R P \ i
    \bigwedge i. \ i \in A \Longrightarrow S \sqsubseteq I ;; \ b \ i \to_R P \ i
```

```
shows S \sqsubseteq I ;; do_R i \in A \cdot b(i) \rightarrow P(i) od
  apply (simp add: IterateR-def)
  apply (rule-tac WhileR-outer-refine-init-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: assms closure AlternateR-assume-branch seq-UINF-distl UINF-refines)
  done
lemma IterateR-lemma1:
  [[ \bigcap i \in I \cdot b \ i]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ ([ \bigcap i \in I \cdot P \ i \triangleleft b \ i \triangleright_{R} \ false) = ([ \bigcap i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top}_{r} \ ;; \ P \ i)
  by (rel-auto; fastforce)
lemma IterateR-lemma2:
  assumes I \neq \{\} \land i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) \text{ is } RR
  shows ([[] i \in I \cdot b \ i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r ([] i \in I \cdot (P \ i) \triangleleft b \ i \triangleright_R R1 \ true) \land false \triangleleft (\neg ([[] i \in I \cdot b \ i)) \triangleright_R
         = ( \bigsqcup i \in I \cdot (P i) \triangleleft b i \triangleright_R R1 true )
proof -
  from assms(1)
  \mathbf{have} \; ([\![ ] \; i \in I \cdot b \; i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r ([\![ ] \; i \in I \cdot RR(P \; i) \mathrel{\triangleleft} b \; i \mathrel{\triangleright}_R \; R1 \; true) \wedge \mathit{false} \mathrel{\triangleleft} (\neg \; ([\![ ] \; i \in I \cdot b \; i)) \mathrel{\triangleright}_R
         by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: assms Healthy-if cong: USUP-cong)
qed
lemma IterateR-lemma3:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow P(i) is RR
  shows (\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot P \ i \triangleleft b \ i \triangleright_R R1 \ true) = (\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot [b \ i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r P \ i)
  have (| \mid i \in I \cdot RR(P i) \triangleleft b \mid i \triangleright_R R1 \mid true) = (| \mid i \in I \cdot [b \mid i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r RR(P \mid i))
     by (rel-auto)
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: assms Healthy-if cong: USUP-cong)
qed
theorem IterateR-refine-intro:
  assumes

    Closure conditions

     \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_1(i) \ is \ RC \ \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_2(i) \ is \ RR \ \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_3(i) \ is \ RR
     \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow \$st' \sharp Q_2(i) \bigwedge i. \ i \in I \Longrightarrow Q_3(i) \ is \ R \not = \{\}
     (\prod i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top}_{r} ;; \ Q_3 \ i)^{\star r} \ wp_r \ (\bigsqcup i \in I \cdot [b \ i]_{S <} \Rightarrow_r Q_1 \ i) \sqsubseteq P_1
     \begin{array}{l} P_2 \sqsubseteq ( \mid i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^\top_r \ ;; \ Q_2 \ i) \\ P_2 \sqsubseteq ( \mid i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^\top_r \ ;; \ Q_3 \ i) \ ;; \ P_2 \end{array}
     P_3 \sqsubseteq (\prod i \in I \cdot [b \ i]^{\top}_r ;; Q_3 \ i) ;; P_3
  shows \mathbf{R}_s(P_1 \vdash P_2 \diamond P_3) \sqsubseteq do_R \ i \in I \cdot b(i) \to \mathbf{R}_s(Q_1(i) \vdash Q_2(i) \diamond Q_3(i)) \ od
  apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest del: WhileR-rdes-def)
  apply (rule WhileR-refine-intro)
  apply (simp-all add: closure assms unrest IterateR-lemma1 IterateR-lemma2 segr-assoc[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: IterateR-lemma3 closure assms unrest)
  done
```

 $\label{eq:method} \textbf{method} \ \textit{unfold-iteration} = \textit{simp} \ \textit{add:} \ \textit{IterateR-list-def} \ \textit{IterateR-def} \ \textit{AlternateR-list-def} \ \textit{AlternateR-list-def} \ \textit{AlternateR-list-def} \ \textit{UINF-upto-expand-first}$ 

# 11.13 Substitution Laws

```
lemma srd-subst-Chaos [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma srd-subst-Miracle [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-simp)
lemma srd-subst-skip [usubst]:
  \sigma \dagger_S II_R = \langle \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{srd\text{-}subst\text{-}assigns} \ [\mathit{usubst}] :
  \sigma \dagger_S \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
11.14
              Algebraic Laws
theorem assigns-srd-id: \langle id_s \rangle_R = II_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-comp: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \varrho \circ_s \sigma \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-Miracle: \langle \sigma \rangle_R;; Miracle = Miracle
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-Chaos: \langle \sigma \rangle_R ;; Chaos = Chaos
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-cond : \langle \sigma \rangle_R \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \langle \varrho \rangle_R = \langle \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho \rangle_R
  by (rdes-eq)
theorem assigns-srd-left-seq:
  assumes P is NSRD
  shows \langle \sigma \rangle_R ; ; P = \sigma \dagger_S P
  by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ AlternateR\text{-}seq\text{-}distr:
  assumes \bigwedge i. A i is NSRD B is NSRD C is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ else \ B \ fi) \ ;; \ C = (if_R \ i \in I \cdot g \ i \rightarrow A \ i \ ;; \ C \ else \ B \ ;; \ C \ fi)
proof (cases\ I = \{\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (simp)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AlternateR-def upred-semiring.distrib-right seq-UINF-distr gcmd-seq-distr assms(3))
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AlternateR-is-cond-srea} :
  assumes A is NSRD B is NSRD
  shows (if_R \ i \in \{a\} \cdot g \to A \ else \ B \ fi) = (A \triangleleft g \triangleright_R B)
  by (rdes-eq cls: assms)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{AlternateR-Chaos} :
  if_R i \in A \cdot g(i) \rightarrow Chaos fi = Chaos
  by (cases\ A = \{\}, simp, rdes-eq)
lemma choose-srd-par:
  choose_R \parallel_R choose_R = choose_R
  by (rdes-eq)
11.15
            Lifting designs to reactive designs
definition des-rea-lift :: 's hrel-des \Rightarrow ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp (\mathbf{R}_D) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) = \mathbf{R}_s(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \vdash (false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S)))
definition des-rea-drop :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow 's hrel-des (\mathbf{D}_R) where
[upred-defs]: \mathbf{D}_R(P) = \lfloor (pre_R(P)) \llbracket \$tr/\$tr' \rrbracket \upharpoonright_v \$st \rfloor_{S<}
                     \vdash_n |(post_R(P))[\$tr/\$tr']| \upharpoonright_v \{\$st,\$st'\}|_S
lemma ndesign-rea-lift-inverse: \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{R}_D(p \vdash_n Q)) = p \vdash_n Q
  apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def des-rea-drop-def rea-pre-RHS-design rea-post-RHS-design)
  apply (simp add: R1-def R2c-def R2s-def usubst unrest)
  apply (rel-auto)
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ nde sign-rea-lift-injective:
  assumes P is \mathbf{N} Q is \mathbf{N} \mathbf{R}_D P = \mathbf{R}_D Q (is ?RP(P) = ?RQ(Q))
  shows P = Q
proof -
  have ?RP(\lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor \lt \vdash_n post_D(P)) = ?RQ(\lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor \lt \vdash_n post_D(Q))
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
  hence \lfloor pre_D(P) \rfloor < \vdash_n post_D(P) = \lfloor pre_D(Q) \rfloor < \vdash_n post_D(Q)
    by (metis ndesign-rea-lift-inverse)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: ndesign-form assms)
lemma des-rea-lift-closure [closure]: \mathbf{R}_D(P) is SRD
  by (simp add: des-rea-lift-def RHS-design-is-SRD unrest)
lemma preR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  pre_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = R1(\lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S)
  by (rel-auto)
lemma periR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  peri_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = (false \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\$tr \leq_u \$tr'))
  by (rel-auto)
lemma postR-des-rea-lift [rdes]:
  post_R(\mathbf{R}_D(P)) = ((true \triangleleft \lceil pre_D(P) \rceil_S \triangleright (\neg \$tr \leq_u \$tr')) \Rightarrow (\$tr' =_u \$tr \land \lceil post_D(P) \rceil_S))
  apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast
lemma ndes-rea-lift-closure [closure]:
  assumes P is N
  shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) is NSRD
proof -
  obtain p \ Q where P \colon P = (p \vdash_n Q)
```

```
by (metis H1-H3-commute H1-H3-is-normal-design H1-idem Healthy-def assms)
  show ?thesis
   apply (rule NSRD-intro)
     apply (simp-all add: closure rdes unrest P)
   apply (rel-auto)
   done
qed
lemma R-D-mono:
 assumes P is \mathbf{H} Q is \mathbf{H} P \sqsubseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
  apply (simp add: des-rea-lift-def)
 apply (rule srdes-tri-refine-intro')
 apply (meson\ aext-mono\ assms(3)\ design-refine-thms(1)\ refBy-order)
 apply (rel-auto)
 apply (smt \ aext-and \ aext-mono \ assms(1) \ assms(2) \ assms(3) \ rdesign-ref-monos(2) \ utp-pred-laws.inf.cobounded2
utp-pred-laws.inf.coboundedI2 utp-pred-laws.inf-left-commute utp-pred-laws.le-inf-iff)
Homomorphism laws
lemma R-D-Miracle:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\top_D) = Miracle
 by (simp add: Miracle-def, rel-auto)
lemma R-D-Chaos:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = Chaos
proof -
  have \mathbf{R}_D(\perp_D) = \mathbf{R}_D(false \vdash_r true)
   by (rel-auto)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (false \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: Chaos-def des-rea-lift-def alpha)
  also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s (true)
   by (rel-auto)
 also have \dots = Chaos
   by (simp add: Chaos-def design-false-pre)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma R-D-inf:
 \mathbf{R}_D(P \sqcap Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \sqcap \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-cond:
 \mathbf{R}_D(P \triangleleft \lceil b \rceil_{D \triangleleft} \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P) \triangleleft b \triangleright_R \mathbf{R}_D(Q)
 by (rule antisym, rel-auto+)
lemma R-D-seq-ndesign:
  \mathbf{R}_D(p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(p_2 \vdash_n Q_2) = \mathbf{R}_D((p_1 \vdash_n Q_1) ;; (p_2 \vdash_n Q_2))
  apply (rule antisym)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
      apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  using dual-order.trans apply (rel-blast)
  apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rule SRD-refine-intro)
```

```
apply (simp-all add: closure rdes ndesign-composition-wp)
   apply (rel-auto)
  apply (rel-auto)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done
lemma R-D-seg:
 assumes P is N Q is N
 shows \mathbf{R}_D(P) ;; \mathbf{R}_D(Q) = \mathbf{R}_D(P ;; Q)
 by (metis R-D-seq-ndesign \ assms \ ndesign-form)
These laws are applicable only when there is no further alphabet extension
lemma R-D-skip:
 \mathbf{R}_D(II_D) = (II_R :: ('s, 't :: trace, unit) \ hrel-rsp)
 apply (rel-auto) using minus-zero-eq by blast+
lemma R-D-assigns:
 \mathbf{R}_D(\langle \sigma \rangle_D) = (\langle \sigma \rangle_R :: ('s, 't :: trace, unit) \ hrel-rsp)
 by (simp add: assigns-d-def des-rea-lift-def alpha assigns-srd-RHS-tri-des, rel-auto)
11.16
           State Invariants
definition StateInvR :: 's \ upred \Rightarrow ('s, 't::trace, '\alpha) \ hrel-rsp \ (sinv_R'(-')) \ where
[rdes-def]: sinv_R(b) = \mathbf{R}_s([b]_{S<} \vdash true_r \diamond [b]_{S>})
lemma StateInvR-NSRD [closure]: sinv_R(b) is NSRD
 by (simp add: StateInvR-def closure unrest)
lemma StateInvR-srd-skip-refine: sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq II_R
 by (rdes-refine)
{\bf lemma}\ State InvR-seq-idem:
  sinv_R(b);; sinv_R(b) = sinv_R(b)
 by (rdes-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{StateInvR-seq-refine}:
 assumes sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq P \ sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq Q
 shows sinv_R(b) \sqsubseteq P ;; Q
 by (metis (full-types) StateInvR-seq-idem assms seqr-mono)
lemma ndiv-StateInvR: ndiv_R = sinv_R(true)
 by (rdes-eq)
end
12
        Instantaneous Reactive Designs
theory utp-rdes-instant
 imports utp-rdes-prog
begin
definition ISRD1 :: ('s,'t::trace,'\alpha) hrel-rsp \Rightarrow ('s,'t,'\alpha) hrel-rsp where
[upred-defs]: ISRD1(P) = P \parallel_R \mathbf{R}_s(true_r \vdash false \diamond (\$tr' =_u \$tr))
```

**definition** ISRD ::  $('s,'t::trace,'\alpha)$  hrel-rsp  $\Rightarrow$   $('s,'t,'\alpha)$  hrel-rsp where

```
[upred-defs]: ISRD = ISRD1 \circ NSRD
lemma ISRD1-idem: ISRD1(ISRD1(P)) = ISRD1(P)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-monotonic: P \sqsubseteq Q \Longrightarrow ISRD1(P) \sqsubseteq ISRD1(Q)
 by (rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-RHS-design-form:
 assumes \$ok' \sharp P \$ok' \sharp Q \$ok' \sharp R
 shows ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond (R \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
 using assms by (simp add: ISRD1-def choose-srd-def RHS-tri-design-par unrest, rel-auto)
lemma ISRD1-form:
  ISRD1(SRD(P)) = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
 by (simp add: ISRD1-RHS-design-form SRD-as-reactive-tri-design unrest)
lemma ISRD1-rdes-def [rdes-def]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } RR; R \text{ is } RR \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash Q \diamond R)) = \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond (R \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
 by (simp add: ISRD1-def rdes-def closure rpred)
lemma ISRD-intro:
 assumes P is NSRD peri_R(P) = (\neg_r \ pre_R(P)) \ (\$tr' =_u \ \$tr) \sqsubseteq post_R(P)
 shows P is ISRD
proof
 have \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)) is ISRD1
   apply (simp add: Healthy-def rdes-def closure assms(1-2))
   using assms(3) least-zero apply (rel-blast)
   done
 hence P is ISRD1
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design closure assms(1))
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ ISRD\text{-}def\ Healthy\text{-}comp\ assms(1))
qed
lemma ISRD1-rdes-intro:
 assumes P is RR Q is RR (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \subseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) is ISRD1
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def assms closure unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{ISRD-rdes-intro} \ [\mathit{closure}]:
 assumes P is RC Q is RR (\$tr' =_u \$tr) \sqsubseteq Q
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(P \vdash false \diamond Q) is ISRD
 unfolding Healthy-def
 by (simp add: ISRD-def closure Healthy-if ISRD1-rdes-def assms unrest utp-pred-laws.inf.absorb1)
lemma ISRD-implies-ISRD1:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is ISRD1
proof -
 have ISRD(P) is ISRD1
   by (simp add: ISRD-def Healthy-def ISRD1-idem)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: assms Healthy-if)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma ISRD-implies-SRD:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is SRD
proof -
 have 1:ISRD(P) = \mathbf{R}_s((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ ;; \ R1 \ true \land R1 \ true) \vdash false \diamond (post_R \ P \land \$tr' =_u \ \$tr))
   by (simp add: NSRD-form ISRD1-def ISRD-def RHS-tri-design-par rdes-def unrest closure)
 moreover have ... is SRD
   by (simp add: closure unrest)
 ultimately have ISRD(P) is SRD
   by (simp)
 with assms show ?thesis
   by (simp add: Healthy-def)
qed
lemma ISRD-implies-NSRD [closure]:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows P is NSRD
proof -
  have 1:ISRD(P) = ISRD1(RD3(SRD(P)))
   by (simp add: ISRD-def NSRD-def SRD-def, metis RD1-RD3-commute RD3-left-subsumes-RD2)
  also have ... = ISRD1(RD3(P))
   by (simp add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ISRD1 (\mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r pre P) wp, false_h \vdash (\exists \$st' \cdot peri_R P) \diamond post_R P))
   by (simp add: RD3-def, subst SRD-right-unit-tri-lemma, simp-all add: assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s ((\neg_r \ pre_R \ P) \ wp_r \ false_h \vdash false \diamond (post_R \ P \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: RHS-tri-design-par ISRD1-def unrest choose-srd-def rpred closure ISRD-implies-SRD
assms)
 also have ... = (...; II_R)
  by (rdes-simp, simp add: RHS-tri-normal-design-composition' closure assms unrest ISRD-implies-SRD
wp rpred wp-rea-false-RC)
 also have ... is RD3
   by (simp add: Healthy-def RD3-def seqr-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: SRD-RD3-implies-NSRD Healthy-if assms ISRD-implies-SRD)
qed
lemma ISRD-form:
 assumes P is ISRD
 shows \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr)) = P
proof -
 have P = ISRD1(P)
   by (simp add: ISRD-implies-ISRD1 assms Healthy-if)
 also have ... = ISRD1(\mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash peri_R(P) \diamond post_R(P)))
   by (simp add: SRD-reactive-tri-design ISRD-implies-SRD assms)
 also have ... = \mathbf{R}_s(pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))
   by (simp add: ISRD1-rdes-def closure assms)
 finally show ?thesis ..
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ISRD-elim [RD-elim]:
  \llbracket P \text{ is } ISRD; \ Q(\mathbf{R}_s \ (pre_R(P) \vdash false \diamond (post_R(P) \land \$tr' =_u \$tr))) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(P)
 by (simp add: ISRD-form)
```

```
lemma skip-srd-ISRD [closure]: II_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure)
lemma assigns-srd-ISRD [closure]: \langle \sigma \rangle_R is ISRD
 by (rule ISRD-intro, simp-all add: rdes closure, rel-auto)
lemma seq-ISRD-closed:
 assumes P is ISRD Q is ISRD
 shows P;; Q is ISRD
 apply (insert assms)
 apply (erule ISRD-elim)+
 apply (simp add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
 apply (rule ISRD-rdes-intro)
   apply (simp-all add: rdes-def closure assms unrest)
 apply (rel-auto)
 done
lemma ISRD-Miracle-right-zero:
 assumes P is ISRD pre_R(P) = true_r
 shows P ;; Miracle = Miracle
 by (rdes-simp cls: assms)
A recursion whose body does not extend the trace results in divergence
{f lemma} {\it ISRD-recurse-Chaos}:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ is\ \mathit{ISRD}\ \mathit{post}_R\ P\ ;;\ \mathit{true}_r = \mathit{true}_r
 shows (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X) = Chaos
 have 1: (\mu_R \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ X) = (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; \ SRD(X))
   by (auto simp add: srdes-theory.utp-lfp-def closure assms)
 have (\mu \ X \cdot P \ ;; SRD(X)) \sqsubseteq Chaos
 proof (rule gfp-upperbound)
   have P;; Chaos \sqsubseteq Chaos
     apply (rdes-refine-split cls: assms)
     using assms(2) apply (rel-auto, metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.antisym order-refl)
     apply (rel-auto)+
     done
   thus P ;; SRD Chaos \sqsubseteq Chaos
     by (simp add: Healthy-if srdes-theory.bottom-closed)
 qed
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis 1 dual-order.antisym srdes-theory.LFP-closed srdes-theory.bottom-lower)
lemma recursive-assign-Chaos:
 (\mu_R \ X \cdot \langle \sigma \rangle_R \ ;; \ X) = Chaos
 by (rule ISRD-recurse-Chaos, simp-all add: closure rdes, rel-auto)
end
       Meta-theory for Reactive Designs
13
theory utp-rea-designs
```

imports

 $utp ext{-}rdes ext{-}healths$   $utp ext{-}rdes ext{-}designs$ 

```
utp-rdes-triples
utp-rdes-normal
utp-rdes-contracts
utp-rdes-tactics
utp-rdes-parallel
utp-rdes-prog
utp-rdes-instant
utp-rdes-guarded
begin end
```

# References

- [1] S. Foster, A. Cavalcanti, S. Canham, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying theories of reactive design contracts. *Submitted to Theoretical Computer Science*, Dec 2017. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10233.
- [2] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In *ICTAC*, LNCS 9965. Springer, 2016.
- [3] M. V. M. Oliveira. Formal Derivation of State-Rich Reactive Programs using Circus. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science University of York, UK, 2006. YCST-2006-02.