# Machine Learning in Complex Domains: Assignment 3

Ryan Cotterell, Daniel Deutsch, Dan Crankshaw

### 4 Blocked Gibbs Sampler

We have the following equation

$$p(z_{d,i} = k, x_{d,i} = c | \mathbf{z} - z_{d,i}, \mathbf{x} - x_{d,i}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}; \alpha, \beta, \lambda) = \frac{p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w} | \alpha, \beta, \lambda)}{p(\mathbf{z} - z_{d,i}, \mathbf{x} - x_{d,i}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}; \alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$$

The full join (numerator) is given to us in the assignment. So every term that does not involve  $z_{d,i}$  or  $x_{d,i}$  will cancel. This is basically a union of (15) and (18). This yields the following:

$$p(z_{d,i}=k,x_{d,i}=0|\mathbf{z}-z_{d,i},\mathbf{x}-x_{d,i},\mathbf{c},\mathbf{w};\alpha,\beta,\lambda) = \frac{p(x=0|\lambda)\frac{\Gamma(n_{w_{d,i}}^k+1+\beta)}{\Gamma(1+\sum_w n_w^k+\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(n_k^d+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\sum_{k'} n_k^d+\alpha)}}{\frac{\Gamma(n_{w_{d,i}}^k+\beta)}{\Gamma(\sum_w n_w^k+\beta)}\frac{\Gamma(n_k^d+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k'} n_{k'}^d+\alpha)}}$$

$$p(z_{d,i} = k, x_{d,i} = 0 | \mathbf{z} - z_{d,i}, \mathbf{x} - x_{d,i}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}; \alpha, \beta, \lambda) \propto \frac{(1 - \lambda)(n_{w_{d,i}}^k + \beta)(n_k^d + \alpha)}{(n_*^k + K\beta)(n_*^d + V\alpha)}$$

#### 4.1 Analysis Questions

## 5 Text Analysis with MCLDA

#### 5.1 Empirical Questions

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6. (a)
  - (b)
  - (c)

#### 6 Variational Inference

# Ryan's derivation of the update for $\delta$

 $\delta$  is the variational parameter for x and we need an update rule for it.

It is a generally known fact that

$$\mathcal{L}_{[\delta]} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}, x, c, \phi)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(p(x|\lambda)) \right] - \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(q(x|\lambda)) \right]$$

We can expand this to (note that  $T = \{g,l\}$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w_n^w c_d^c \, \delta_{d,t,n} \epsilon_{d,n,k} \log(\phi_{d,n,v,k,c}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \delta_{d,t,n} \log(\lambda_t) \\ - \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \delta_{d,t,n} \log(\delta_{d,t,n}) + \zeta_n (\sum_{t=1}^{T} \delta_{d,t,n} - 1)$$

Now we take the partial for the global and local  $\delta$  and  $\zeta_i$  is a Lagrance multiplier.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_{d,g,n}} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{[\delta]} \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \epsilon_{d,n,k} \log(\phi_{d,v,g,k,c}) + \log(\lambda_g) - \log(\delta_{d,g,n}) - \zeta_g$$

Setting this equal to 0 and relocating the  $\log(\delta)d$ , g, n to the left hand size and then exponentiating yields.

$$\delta_{d,g,n} \propto w_n^v \lambda_g \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \epsilon_{d,n,k} \log(\phi_{d,v,g,k,c}) \right\}$$

By analogy, we achieve the update rule for each local corpus

$$\delta_{d,l,n} \propto w_n^v c_n^c \lambda_l \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \epsilon_{d,n,k} \log(\phi_{d,v,l,k,c}) \right\}$$

## Ryan's derivation of the update for $\epsilon$

 $\epsilon$  is the variational parameter for  $\theta$ . We get

$$\mathcal{L}_{[\epsilon]} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}, x, c, \phi)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(p(\mathbf{z}|\theta)) \right] - \mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(q(\mathbf{z}|\epsilon)) \right]$$

Now since we are clever, we note that the only difference between this and the  $\mathcal{L}_{[\phi]}$  in Blei et al. (2003) is  $\mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}, x, c, \phi)) \right]$ . Thus we can simply write down adaptaion of equation (16) to include the  $\delta$  term.

$$\epsilon_{d,n,k} \propto \exp\left\{\sum_{t}^{T} w_{n}^{v} c_{n}^{c} \ \delta_{d,t,n} \log(\phi_{d,v,l,k,c}) \left(\Psi(\gamma_{k}) - \Psi(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \gamma_{k'})\right)\right\}$$

Finally to get the updates for  $\phi$  we look at A.4.1 in Blei et al. (2003). We notice that only addition will be  $\delta_{d,t,n}$  which will be constant with respect to the derivative. So we can write down by inspection the global update

$$\phi_{d,v,g,k} \propto \beta + \sum_{d=1}^{D} \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} w_{d,n}^v \, \delta_{d,g,n} \epsilon_{d,n,k}$$

Now to get the local update, we have

$$\phi_{d,v,l,k,c} \propto \beta + \sum_{d=1}^{D} \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} w_{d,n}^v c_d^c \, \delta_{d,l,n} \epsilon_{d,n,k}$$

The updates for  $\gamma$  are identical.

# 6.1 Analysis Questions

# 6.3 Empirical Questions

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.