

One very important class of objects in \mathcal{SH} are the *cellular* objects. Intuitively, these are the objects that can be built out of spheres via taking coproducts and (co)fibrations.

Definition 0.1. Define the class of *cellular* objects in \mathcal{SH} to be the smallest class of objects such that:

- (1) For all $a \in A$, the a -sphere S^a is cellular.
- (2) If we have a distinguished triangle

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$$

such that two of the three objects X , Y , and Z are cellular, then the third object is also cellular.

- (3) Given a collection of cellular objects X_i indexed by some (small) set I , the object $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ is cellular (recall we have chosen \mathcal{SH} to have arbitrary coproducts).

We write $\mathcal{SH}\text{-}\mathbf{Cell}$ to denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{SH} on the cellular objects.

This definition is adapted from that given on pg. 21 in the paper [2] by Dugger and Isaksen. By the same reasoning given therewithin, in the motivic stable homotopy category, our definition is equivalent to the original definition of cellularity given in [1]. More generally, given an object E in \mathcal{SH} , Dugger and Isaksen consider the class of *E-cellular objects* in \mathcal{SH} . This is defined to be the smallest class of objects in \mathcal{SH} satisfying the above three conditions, and in addition the requirement that if X is *E-cellular* then so is $E \otimes X$. Note that by Lemma 0.4, the class of cellular objects in \mathcal{SH} is equivalently the class of *S-cellular* objects in \mathcal{SH} . For our purposes, we will only be concerned with standard cellular objects, so we will not pursue any of the theory of *E-cellular* objects in \mathcal{SH} for a general E . For an extensive review of cellularity in the motivic stable homotopy category, as well as a treatment of its construction, we refer the reader to the delightful paper [3] written by Joseph Hlavinka for the 2021 UChicago mathematics REU.

We devote the rest of the section to proving some important facts about cellular objects. These should be familiar to anyone acquainted with the usual notion of cellular spaces (CW complexes).

Lemma 0.2. *Let X and Y be two isomorphic objects in \mathcal{SH} . Then X is cellular iff Y is cellular.*

Proof. Assume we have an isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$ and that X is cellular. Then consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f^{-1} & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \end{array}$$

The bottom row is distinguished by axiom TR1 for a triangulated category. Hence since X is cellular, 0 is also cellular, since the class of cellular objects satisfies two-of-three for distinguished triangles. Furthermore, since the vertical arrows are all isomorphisms, the top row is distinguished as well, by axiom TR0. Thus again by two-of-three, since X and 0 are cellular, so is Y , as desired. \square

Example 0.3. Every object in the classical stable homotopy category is cellular.

Proof. By [nlab:introduction to stable homotopy theory - 1-1], every object in \mathbf{hoSp} is isomorphic to a CW spectrum, which are spectra that can be constructed by gluing copies of

spheres together, and are thus clearly cellular (since the cofiber of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{hoSp} is precisely the spectrum obtained by “gluing” a disk to Y along $f(X)$). \square

Lemma 0.4. *Let X and Y be cellular objects in \mathcal{SH} . Then $X \otimes Y$ is cellular.*

Proof. Let E be a cellular object in \mathcal{SH} , and let \mathcal{E} be the collection of objects X in \mathcal{SH} such that $E \otimes X$ is cellular. First of all, suppose we have a distinguished triangle

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$$

such that two of three of X , Y , and Z belong to \mathcal{E} . Then since \mathcal{SH} is tensor triangulated, we have a distinguished triangle

$$E \otimes X \rightarrow E \otimes Y \rightarrow E \otimes Z \rightarrow \Sigma(E \otimes X).$$

Per our assumptions, two of three of $E \otimes X$, $E \otimes Y$, and $E \otimes Z$ are cellular, so that the third is by definition. Thus, all three of X , Y , and Z belong to \mathcal{E} if two of them do.

Second of all, suppose we have a family X_i of objects in \mathcal{E} indexed by some (small) set I , and set $X := \bigoplus_i X_i$. Then we'd like to show X belongs to \mathcal{E} , i.e., that $E \otimes X$ is cellular. Indeed,

$$E \otimes X = E \otimes \left(\bigoplus_i X_i \right) \cong \bigoplus_i (E \otimes X_i),$$

where the isomorphism is given by the fact that \mathcal{SH} is monoidal closed, so $E \otimes -$ preserves arbitrary colimits as it is a left adjoint. Per our assumption, since each $E \otimes X_i$ is cellular, the rightmost object is cellular, since the class of cellular objects is closed under taking arbitrary coproducts, by definition. Hence $E \otimes X$ is cellular by [Lemma 0.2](#).

Finally, we would like to show that each S^a belongs to \mathcal{E} , i.e., that $S^a \otimes E$ is cellular for all $a \in A$. When $E = S^b$ for some $b \in A$, this is clearly true, since $S^b \otimes S^a \cong S^{a+b}$, which is cellular by definition, so that $S^b \otimes S^a$ is cellular by [Lemma 0.2](#). Thus by what we have shown, the class of objects X for which $S^a \otimes X$ is cellular contains every cellular object. Hence in particular $E \otimes S^a \cong S^a \otimes E$ is cellular for all $a \in A$, as desired. \square

Lemma 0.5. *Let W be a cellular object in \mathcal{SH} such that $\pi_*(W) = 0$. Then $W \cong 0$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be the collection of all X in \mathcal{SH} such that $[\Sigma^n X, W] = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where for $n > 0$ we define $\Sigma^{-n} := \Omega^n = (S^{-1} \otimes -)^n$). We claim \mathcal{E} contains every cellular object in \mathcal{SH} . First of all, each S^a belongs to \mathcal{E} , as

$$[\Sigma^n S^a, W] \cong [S^n \otimes S^a, W] \cong [S^{a+n}, W] \leq \pi_*(W) = 0.$$

Furthermore, suppose we are given a distinguished triangle

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$$

such that two of three of X , Y , and Z belong to \mathcal{E} . By [??](#), for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$[\Sigma^{n+1} X, W] \rightarrow [\Sigma^n Z, W] \rightarrow [\Sigma^n Y, W] \rightarrow [\Sigma^n X, W] \rightarrow [\Sigma^{n-1} Z, W].$$

Clearly if any two of three of X , Y , and Z belong to \mathcal{E} , then by exactness of the above sequence all three of the middle terms will be zero, so that the third object will belong to \mathcal{E} as well. Finally, suppose we have a collection of objects X_i in \mathcal{E} indexed by some small set I . Then

$$\left[\Sigma^n \bigoplus_i X_i, W \right] \cong \left[\bigoplus_i \Sigma^n X_i, W \right] \cong \prod_i [\Sigma^n X_i, W] = \prod_i 0 = 0,$$

where the first isomorphism follows by the fact that Σ^n is apart of an adjoint equivalence (??), so it preserves arbitrary colimits.

Thus, by definition of cellularity, \mathcal{E} contains every cellular object. In particular, \mathcal{E} contains W , so that $[W, W] = 0$, meaning $\text{id}_W = 0$, so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \\ \nearrow & \text{---} & \searrow \\ W & & W \end{array}$$

Hence the diagonals exhibit isomorphisms between 0 and W , as desired. \square

Theorem 0.6. *Let X and Y be cellular objects in \mathcal{SH} , and suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that $f_* : \pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By axiom TR2 for a triangulated category, we have a distinguished triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} C_f \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma X.$$

First of all, note that by definition since X and Y are cellular, so is C_f . We claim $\pi_*(C_f) = 0$. Indeed, given $a \in A$, by axiom TR4 for a triangulated category and the fact that distinguished triangles are exact, the following sequence of abelian groups is exact:

$$[S^a, X] \xrightarrow{f_*} [S^a, Y] \xrightarrow{g_*} [S^a, C_f] \xrightarrow{h_*} [S^a, \Sigma X] \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_*} [S^a, \Sigma Y].$$

where the first arrow is and last arrows are isomorphisms, per our assumption that f is an isomorphism. Then by exactness we have $\text{im } h_* = \ker(\Sigma f_*) = 0$. Yet we also have $\ker g_* = \text{im } f_* = [S^a, Y]$, so that $\ker h_* = \text{im } g_* = 0$. It is only possible that $\ker h_* = \text{im } h_* = 0$ if $[S^a, C_f] = 0$. Thus, we have shown $\pi_*(C_f) = 0$, and C_f is cellular, so by [Lemma 0.5](#) there is an isomorphism $C_f \cong 0$. Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \longrightarrow & C_f & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \\ \downarrow f & & \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \Sigma f \\ Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma Y \end{array}$$

The middle square commutes since 0 is terminal, while the right square commutes since $C_f \cong 0$ is initial. The top row is distinguished by assumption. The bottom row is distinguished by axiom TR2. Then since the middle two vertical arrows are isomorphisms, by ??, f is an isomorphism as well, as desired. \square

Lemma 0.7. *Let $e : X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent morphism in \mathcal{SH} , i.e., $e \circ e = e$. Then this idempotent splits, meaning e factors as*

$$X \xrightarrow{r} Y \xrightarrow{\iota} X$$

for some object Y and morphisms r and ι with $r \circ \iota = \text{id}_Y$. Furthermore, if X is cellular then so is Y .

Proof. In [4, Proposition 1.6.8], it is shown that idempotents split in triangulated categories with countable coproducts, and in particular, the object Y through which the splitting factors may be taken as the homotopy colimit of the sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{e} X \xrightarrow{e} X \xrightarrow{e} X \xrightarrow{e} X \rightarrow \dots$$

Thus since \mathcal{SH} is triangulated and has arbitrary coproducts, given an idempotent $e : X \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{SH} , e splits as desired. Furthermore, the splitting factors through the homotopy limit Y of the above sequence, so we have a distinguished triangle in \mathcal{SH}

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \Sigma(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} X).$$

Then if X is cellular, by definition $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} X$ is as well. Thus by 2-of-3 for distinguished triangles for cellular objects, we would have that Y is cellular as desired. \square