In what follows, we fix an abelian group A. We will freely use the theory and results of ??

**Definition 0.1.** An A-graded spectral sequence  $(E_r, d_r)_{r>r_0}$  is the data of:

- A collection of A-graded abelian groups  $\{E_r^*\}_{r\geq r_0}$
- A collection of A-graded homomorphisms  $d_r: E_r \to E_r$  for  $r \ge r_0$  (of possibly nonzero degree) such that  $d_r \circ d_r = 0$
- For each  $r \geq r_0$ , an A-graded isomorphism  $E_{r+1} \cong \ker d_r / \operatorname{im} d_r$  of degree 0 (where  $\ker d_r$  and  $\operatorname{im} d_r$  are canonically A-graded by ??, and their quotient is canonically A-graded by ??).

Typically we call a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded spectral sequence a bigraded spectral sequence, and a  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -graded spectral sequence is a trigraded spectral sequence.

0.1. Unrolled exact couples and their associated spectral sequences. For our purposes, we will only care about spectral sequences which arise from A-graded unrolled exact couples. In what follows, we follow [1], with minor modifications for our setting, in which everything is A-graded.

**Definition 0.2.** An A-graded unrolled exact couple (D, E; i, j, k) is a diagram of A-graded abelian groups and A-graded homomorphisms (of possibly non-zero degree)

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D^{s+2} \xrightarrow{i} D^{s+1} \xrightarrow{i} D^{s} \xrightarrow{i} D^{s-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^{j} \nwarrow_{k} \downarrow^{j} \nwarrow_{k} \downarrow^{j} \downarrow^{j}$$

$$E^{s+2} E^{s+1} E^{s} E^{s-1}$$

in which each triangle  $D^{s+1} \xrightarrow{i} D^s \xrightarrow{j} E_s \xrightarrow{k} D^{s+1}$  is an exact sequence. We require that each occurrence of i (resp. j, k) is of the same degree. In other words, an unrolled exact couple can be described as a tuple (D, E; i, j, k) of  $\mathbb{Z} \times A$ -graded abelian groups and  $\mathbb{Z} \times A$ -graded maps  $i: D \to D, j: D \to E$ , and  $k: E \to D$ , such that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -degrees of i, j, and k are -1, 0, and 1, respectively. Usually i and one of j or k will be of A-degree 0.

Given an A-graded unrolled exact couple (D, E; i, j, k), we may define an associated  $\mathbb{Z} \times A$ -graded spectral sequence as follows: Given some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some  $r \geq 1$ , we first define the following subgroups of  $E_s$ :

$$Z_r^s := k^{-1}(\operatorname{im}[i^{r-1}: D^{s+r} \to D^{s+1}])$$
 and  $B_r^s := j(\ker[i^{r-1}: D^s \to D^{s-r+1}])$ 

where we adopt the convention that  $i^0$  is simply the identity. These are furthermore A-graded subgrous of  $E_s$  (by ?? and ??). In this way, for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get an infinite sequence of A-graded subgroups:

$$0 = B_1^s \subseteq B_2^s \subseteq B_3^s \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \operatorname{im} j = \ker k \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_3^s \subseteq Z_2^s \subseteq Z_1^s = E^s.$$

Now, for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \geq 1$ , we define the A-graded abelian group

$$E_r^s := Z_r^s / B_r^s,$$

so that in particular  $E_1^s=E^s$  for all  $s\in\mathbb{Z}$ , as  $Z_1^s=k^{-1}(D^{s+1})=E^s$  and  $B_1^s=j(\ker\operatorname{id}_{D^s})=j(0)=0$ . Now we can define differentials  $d_r^s:E_r^s\to E_r^{s+r}$  to be the composition

$$E_r^s = Z_r^s/B_r^s \xrightarrow{k} \text{im}[i^{r-1}: D^{s+r} \to D^{s+1}] \xrightarrow{j \circ i^{-(r-1)}} Z_r^{s+r}/B_r^{s+r} = E_r^{s+r},$$

where given some  $e \in Z_r^s = k^{-1}(\operatorname{im} i^{r-1})$ , the first arrow takes a class  $[e] \in E_r^s$  represented by some  $e \in Z_r^s$  to the element k(e), which lives in  $\operatorname{im} i^{r-1}$  by definition, and the second arrow takes

 $i^{r-1}(d)$  to the class [j(d)]. Note the first map is well-defined, as given  $b \in B_r^s = j(\ker[i^{r-1}])$ , we have k(b) = 0, as  $b \in \operatorname{im} j = \ker k$ . To see the second map is well-defined, first note that given  $d \in D^{s+r}$ , that

$$k(j(d)) = 0 \in \text{im}[i^{r-1}: D^{s+2r} \to D^{s+r+1}],$$

so that

$$j(d) \in k^{-1}(\text{im}[i^{r-1}: D^{s+2r} \to D^{s+r+1}]) = Z_r^{s+r},$$

as desired, so that given  $d \in D^{s+r}$ ,  $j(d)inZ_r^{s+r}$ , so it makes sense to discuss the class  $[j(d)] \in Z_r^{s+r}/B_r^{s+r} = E_r^{s+r}$ . Secondly, if  $i^{r-1}(d) = i^{r-1}(d')$  for some  $d, d' \in D^{s+r}$ , then

$$j(d) - j(d') = j(d - d') \in j(\ker[i^{r-1}: D^{s+r} \to D^{s+1}]) = B_r^{s+r},$$

so that [j(d)] = [j(d')] in  $E_r^{s+r}$ , as desired. It is straightforward to check that these maps are also A-graded homomorphisms, so that by unravelling definitions  $d_r^s$  is an A-graded homomorphism of degree  $\deg k - (r-1) \cdot \deg i + \deg j$  (so that in the standard case  $\deg i = 0$ ,  $d_r^s$  simply has degree  $\deg k + \deg j$ ).

These differentials square to zero, in the sense that for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \geq 1$  we have that  $d_r^{s+r} \circ d_r^s : E_r^s \to E_r^{s+2r}$  is the zero map. Indeed, suppose we are given some class  $[e] \in E_r^s$  represented by an element  $e \in E^s$ , so  $k(e) = i^{r-1}(d)$  for some  $d \in D^{s+r}$ . Then

$$d_r^{s+r}(d_r^s([e])) = d_r^{s+r}([j(d)]) = [j(i^{-(r-1)}(k(j(d))))] = [j(i^{-(r-1)}(0))] = 0,$$

where the second-to-last equality follows by the fact that  $k \circ j = 0$ . Note that by unravelling definitions,  $d_1^s = j \circ k$ .

We claim that  $\ker d_r^s = Z_{r+1}^s/B_r^s$ . First of all, let  $[e] \in E_r^s = Z_r^s/B_r^s$ , so that [e] is represented by some  $e \in E^s$  with  $k(e) = i^{r-1}(d)$  for some  $d \in D^{s+r}$ . Then if  $[e] \in \ker d_r^s$ , by definition this means  $j(d) \in B_r^{s+r} = j(\ker[i^{r-1}:D^{s+r}\to D^{s+1}])$ , so j(d) = j(d') for some  $d' \in D^{s+r}$  with  $i^{r-1}(d') = 0$ . Thus  $d-d' \in \ker j = \operatorname{im} i$ , so there exists some  $d'' \in D^{s+r+1}$  such that i(d'') = d-d'. Then

$$k(e) = i^{r-1}(d) = i^{r-1}(i(d'') + d') = i^r(d'') + i^{r-1}(d'),$$

but since  $i^{r-1}(d')=0$ , we have  $k(e)\in \operatorname{im}[i^r:D^{s+r+1}\to D^{s+1}]$ , so that  $e\in Z^s_{r+1}$ , meaning  $[e]\in Z^s_{r+1}/B^s_r$ , as desired. On the other hand, suppose we are given some class  $[e]\in Z^s_{r+1}/B^s_r$ , represented by  $e\in Z^s_{r+1}$  with  $k(e)\in \operatorname{im}[i^r:D^{s+r+1}\to D^{s+1}]$ . Then if we write  $k(e)=i^r(d)=i^{r-1}(i(d))$ , then  $d^s_r([e])=[j(i(d))]=0$  (since  $j\circ i=0$ ), as asserted.

Finally, we claim that the image of  $d_r^{s-r}: E_r^{s-r} \to E_r^s$  is  $B_{r+1}^s/B_r^s$ . First, let  $e \in Z_r^{s-r}$ , so  $k(e) = i^{r-1}(d)$  for some  $d \in D^s$ . Then we'd like to show that  $d_r^s([e]) = [j(d)]$  belongs to  $B_{r+1}^s/B_r^s$ . It suffices to show that  $d \in \ker[i^r: D^s \to D^{s-r}]$ . To see this, note that

$$i^{r}(d) = i(i^{r-1}(d)) = i(k(e)) = 0,$$

since  $i \circ k = 0$ . Hence we've shown im  $d_r^{s-r} \subseteq B_{r+1}^s/B_r^s$ . Conversely, let  $j(d) \in B_{r+1}^s$ , so  $d \in D^s$  and  $i^r(d) = 0$ . Then we'd like to show that  $[j(d)] \in B_{r+1}^s/B_r^s$  is in the image of  $d_r^{s-r}$ . To see this, note that

$$i^r(d) = 0 \implies i^{r-1}(d) \in \ker i = \operatorname{im} k,$$

so there exists some  $e \in E^{s-r}$  such that  $k(e) = i^{r-1}(d)$ , so  $e \in Z_r^{s-r}$ . Unravelling definitions, it follows that  $d_r^{s-r}([e]) = [j(d)]$ , so [j(d)] is indeed in the image of  $d_r^{s-r}$ , as desired.

To recap, we have constructed for each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \geq 1$  an A-graded abelian group  $E_r^s$  along with differentials  $d_r^s : E_r^s \to E_r^{s+r}$ . Furthermore, if we take homology in the middle term of the following sequence

$$E_r^{s-r} \xrightarrow{d_r^{s-r}} E_r^s \xrightarrow{d_r^s} E_r^{s+r},$$

we get

$$\ker d_r^s/\operatorname{im} d_r^{s-r} = \frac{Z_{r+1}^s/B_r^s}{B_{r+1}^s/B_r^s} \cong Z_{r+1}^s/B_{r+1}^s = E_{r+1}^s.$$

Thus, we get a spectral sequence:

**Proposition 0.3.** We may associate a  $\mathbb{Z} \times A$ -graded spectral sequence  $r \mapsto (E_r, d_r)$  to the A-graded unrolled exact couple (D, E; i, j, k) by defining  $E_r := \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} E_r^s$  and the differentials

$$d_r: E_r \to E_r$$

are those constructed above, which have  $\mathbb{Z} \times A$ -degree  $(r, \deg j - (r-1) \cdot \deg i + \deg k)$ .

## 0.2. Convergence of spectral sequences.