0.1. **Construction of the spectral sequence.** In the sections that follow, let (E, μ, e) be a monoid object and X and Y be objects in $\mathcal{SH}$.

Definition 0.1 (The Adams Spectral Sequence)**.** Let $\overline{E}$ be the fiber of the unit map $e : S \to E$ (**??**). Let $Y_0 := Y$ and $W_0 := E \otimes Y$. Then for $s > 0$, define

$$Y_s := \overline{E}^s \otimes Y, \qquad W_s := E \otimes Y_s = E \otimes \overline{E}^s \otimes Y,$$

where $\overline{E}^s$ denotes the s-fold tensor product $\overline{E} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{E}$. Then we get fiber sequences

$$Y_{s+1} \xrightarrow{i_s} Y_s \xrightarrow{j_s} W_s \xrightarrow{k_s} \Sigma Y_{s+1}$$

obtained by applying $- \otimes Y_s$ to the fiber sequence

$$\overline{E} \to S \xrightarrow{e} E \to \Sigma \overline{E}.$$

We can splice these sequences together to get the *(canonical) Adams filtration of Y*:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Y_3 \xrightarrow{i_2} Y_2 \xrightarrow{i_1} Y_1 \xrightarrow{i_0} Y_0 = Y$$

(with vertical maps j_3, j_2, j_1, j_0 down to W_3, W_2, W_1, W_0 and dashed maps k_2, k_1, k_0)

where here each k_s is of degree $-\mathbf{1}$ (in particular, the above diagram does not commute in any sense), and each i_s and j_s have degree 0. We can extend this diagram to the right by setting $Y_s = Y$, $W_s = 0$, and $i_s = \mathrm{id}_Y$ for $s < 0$. Then we may apply the functor $[X, -]_*$, and by **??**, we obtain the following A-graded unrolled exact couple (**??**):

$$\cdots \longrightarrow [X, Y_{s+2}]_* \xrightarrow{i_{s+1}} [X, Y_{s+1}]_* \xrightarrow{i_s} [X, Y_s]_* \xrightarrow{i_{s-1}} [X, Y_{s-1}]_* \longrightarrow \cdots$$

(with vertical maps $j_{s+2}, j_{s+1}, j_s, j_{s-1}$, diagonal maps $\partial_{s+1}, \partial_s, \partial_{s-1}$, down to $[X, W_{s+2}]_*$, $[X, W_{s+1}]_*$, $[X, W_s]_*$, $[X, W_{s-1}]_*$)

where here we are being abusive and writing $i_s : [X, Y_{s+1}]_* \to [X, Y_s]_*$ and $j_s : [X, Y_s]_* \to [X, W_s]_*$ to denote the pushforward maps induced by $i_s : Y_{s+1} \to Y_s$ and $j_s : Y_s \to W_s$, respectively. Each i_s, j_s, and ∂_s are A-graded homomorphisms of degrees 0, 0, and $-\mathbf{1}$, respectively.

By **??**, we may associate a $\mathbb{Z} \times A$-graded spectral sequence $r \mapsto (E_r^{*,*}(X, Y), d_r)$ to the above A-graded unrolled exact couple, where d_r has $\mathbb{Z} \times A$-degree $(r, -\mathbf{1})$. We call this spectral sequence the *E-Adams spectral sequence for the computation of $[X, Y]_*$*.

For those who would rather not lose themselves in the appendix, we give a brief unravelling of how **??** applies to the present situation. Given some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some $r \geq 1$, we may define the following A-graded subgroups of $[X, W_s]$:

$$Z_r^s := \partial_s^{-1}(\mathrm{im}[i^{(r-1)} : [X, Y_{s+r}]_* \to [X, Y_{s+1}]_*])$$

and

$$B_r^s := j_s(\ker[i^{(r-1)} : [X, Y_s]_* \to [X, Y_{s-r+1}]_*]),$$

where we adopt the convention that $i^{(0)}$ is simply the identity. This yields an infinite sequence of inclusions

$$0 = B_1^s \subseteq B_2^s \subseteq B_3^s \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{im}\, j_s = \ker \partial_s \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_3^s \subseteq Z_2^s \subseteq Z_1^s = [X, W_s]_*.$$

Then for $r \geq 1$, we define E_r^s to be the A-graded quotient group

$$E_r^s := Z_r^s / B_r^s.$$

1

Thus taking the direct sum of all the E_r^s's yields the rth page of the spectral sequence

$$E_r := \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} E_r^s,$$

which is a $\mathbb{Z} \times A$-graded abelian group.

The differential $d_r : E_r \to E_r$ is a map of $\mathbb{Z} \times A$-degree $(r, \mathbf{1})$, and is constructed as follows: an element of $E_r^s = Z_r^s / B_r^s$ is a coset represented by some $x \in Z_r^s$, so that $\partial_s(x) = i^{(r-1)}(y)$ for some $y \in [X, Y_{s+r}]_*$. Then we define $d_r([x])$ to be the coset $[j_{s+r}(y)]$ in Z_r^{s+r} / B_r^{s+r}.

In the case $r = 1$, since $B_1^s = 0$ and $Z_1^s = [X, W_s]_*$, we have that $E_1^s = [X, W_s]_*$, and given some $x \in E_1^s = [X, W_s]_*$, the differential d_1 is given by $d_1(x) = j_{s+1}(\partial_s(x))$, so that $d_1 = j \circ \partial$. Furthermore, since the unrolled exact couple which yields the spectral sequence vanishes on its negative terms, we hav that $E_r^{s,a}(X, Y) = 0$ for $s < 0$.

In **??**, it is shown in explicit detail that all of these definitions make sense and are well-defined. In particular, it is shown that the differentials are well-defined A-graded homomorphisms, that $d_r \circ d_r = 0$, and that

$$\ker d_r^s / \operatorname{im} d_r^s = \frac{Z_{r+1}^s / B_r^s}{B_{r+1}^s / B_r^s} \cong Z_{r+1}^s / B_{r+1}^s = E_{r+1}^s.$$

0.2. **Homological (co)algebra.** We have constructed the spectral sequence, but we have not yet actually shown why it is useful in any sense. The goal of this subsection will be to review some homological (co)algebra, which will be necessary for the characterization we give of the E_2 page of the spectral sequence in the subsequent section. In this section, we do not provide any proofs, rather we simply outline the basic theory. The primary reference for this section will be the nLab page on derived functors in homological algebra ([1]).

Recall that given abelian categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, given an additive functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, if F is left exact and $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, we may form the *right derived functors* $R^n F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ of F, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given an object A in $\mathcal{A}$, we may compute $R^n F(A)$ to be the object (defined only up to isomorphism) which is obtained as follows: First, fix an injective resolution $i : A \to I^*$ of A, i.e., the data of a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} I^3 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where each I^n is an injective object in $\mathcal{A}$. Such a sequence is guaranteed to exist since $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives. Then we define $R^n F(A)$ to be the nth cohomology group $H^n(F(I^*))$ of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F(I^0) \xrightarrow{F(d^0)} F(I^1) \xrightarrow{F(d^1)} F(I^2) \xrightarrow{F(d^2)} F(I^3) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

It is a standard result that this definition of $R^n F(A)$ does not depend on the choice of injective resolution $i : A \to I^*$.

Definition 0.2. Given an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ with enough injectives and an object A in $\mathcal{A}$, we denote the right derived functors of the left exact functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, -) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ by

$$R^n \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, -) := \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, -).$$

Remark 0.3. It is not uncommon to instead define $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(-, A)$ to be the right derived functor of the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, A) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \to \mathbf{Ab}$, in which case we may compute $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(B, A)$ by means of *projective* resolutions of A in $\mathcal{A}$. It is a standard result that these definitions of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$ coincide.

In **??**, we will show that the category of A-graded left comodules over a Hopf algebroid (Γ, B) is abelian and has enough injectives if the structure map $\eta_R : B \to \Gamma$ is a flat ring map. In particular, given a flat and cellular commutative monoid object (E, μ, e) in $\mathcal{SH}$, this means that we may define the Ext functors in the category $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$. In particular, given N and M in $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$, we may extend the Ext groups $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^n(M, N)$ to A-graded abelian groups $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^{n,*}(M, N)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^{n,a}(M_*, N_*) := R^n\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma^a(M_*, N_*) = R^n\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(M_{*-a}, N_*) = R^n\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(M_*, N_{*+a}).$$

Now, the first result we will state is that in order to compute the values of the right derived functors $R^n F(A)$, we do not need to consider strictly injective resolutions of A, rather, we may consider more generally "F-acyclic resolutions". First, we define F-acyclic objects:

Definition 0.4 ([1, Definition 3.8]). Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a left or right exact additive functor between abelian categories, and suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives. An object A in $\mathcal{A}$ is called an *F-acyclic object* if $R^n F(A) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

In **??**, we will show given a Hopf algebroid (Γ, B) such that the structure map $\eta_R : B \to \Gamma$ is a flat ring homomorphism, that co-free left Γ-comodules (**??**) are F-acyclic objects for $F = \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(N, -)$ when N's underlying A-graded B-module is graded projective.

Definition 0.5. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact additive functor between abelian categories, and suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives. Then given an object A in $\mathcal{A}$, an *F-acyclic resolution* $i : A \to I_F^*$ is the data of a long exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} I_F^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I_F^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I_F^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} I_F^3 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

such that each I_F^n is an F-acyclic object in $\mathcal{A}$.

The reasons that F-acyclic objects are useful is that they allow you to compute the right derived functors of F without having to use strictly injective resolutions:

Proposition 0.6 ([1, Theorem 3.15]). *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact additive functor between abelian categories. Then for each object A in $\mathcal{A}$, given an F-acyclic resolution $i : A \to I_F^*$ of A, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$R^n F(A) \cong H^n(F(I_F^*))$$

between the nth right derived functor of F evaluated on A and the cohomology of the sequence obtained by applying F to I_F^*.

0.3. **The E_2 page.** Now, we can finally characterize the E_2 page of the spectral sequence. The goal of this subsection will be to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 0.7. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object, and X and Y objects in $\mathcal{SH}$. Suppose further that:*

- *E is flat (**??**) and cellular (**??**),*
- *X is cellular and $E_*(X)$ is a graded projective left $\pi_*(E)$-module (via **??**),*
- *Y is cellular.*

Then the non-vanishing entries of the second page of the E-Adams spectral sequence for the computation of $[X, Y]_*$ (Definition 0.1) are the Ext groups of A-graded left comodules over the

anticommutative Hopf algebroid structure on the dual E-Steenrod algebra (??), i.e., we have the following isomorphisms for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$:

$$E_2^{s,a}(X,Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{E_*(E)}^{s,a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(Y)) := \mathrm{Ext}_{E_*(E)}^s(E_*(X), E_{*+a+\mathbf{s}}(Y)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 0.12 below, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$, $E_2^{s,a}(X,Y)$ is the sth cohomology group of the cochain complex obtained by applying $F := \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), -)$ to the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow E_*(W_0) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_0)} E_*(\Sigma W_1) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_1)} E_*(\Sigma^2 W_2) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_2)} E_*(\Sigma^3 W_3) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 0.10, this complex is an F-acyclic resolution of $E_*(Y)$. Thus, since the category of $E_*(E)$-comodules is an abelian category with enough injectives (??), we have by Proposition 0.6 that

$$E_2^{s,a}(X,Y) \cong R^s \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(Y)) = \mathrm{Ext}^{s,a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(Y)),$$

as desired. $\qquad\square$

We leave it to the reader to unravel what the differential d_2 corresponds to under this identification.

Definition 0.8. Given some (nonnegative integer) $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define natural isomorphisms $\nu_X^n : \Sigma^{\mathbf{n}} X \to \Sigma^n X$ inductively, by setting $\nu_X^0 := \lambda_X$, $\nu_X^1 := \nu_X^{-1}$, and supposing ν_X^{n-1} has been defined for some $n > 1$, define ν_X^n to be the composition

$$\nu_X^n : \Sigma^{\mathbf{n}} X = S^{\mathbf{n}} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathbf{n-1,1}} \otimes X} S^{\mathbf{n-1}} \otimes S^{\mathbf{1}} \otimes X \xrightarrow{S^{\mathbf{n-1}} \otimes \nu_X^{-1}} S^{\mathbf{n-1}} \Sigma X \xrightarrow{\nu_{\Sigma X}^{\mathbf{n-1}}} \Sigma^n X.$$

By induction, naturality of ν, and functoriality of $- \otimes -$, these isomorphisms are clearly natural in X.

Lemma 0.9. *Let (E, μ, e) be a monoid object and X and Y objects in $\mathcal{SH}$. Further suppose E and Y are cellular. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the objects Y_s and W_s from Definition 0.1 are cellular.*

Proof. Unravelling definitions, for $s < 0$, $W_s = 0$ and $Y_s = Y$, which are both cellular.[1] For $s \geq 0$, we have $W_s = E \otimes Y_s$, so that by cellularity of E and ??, it suffices to show that Y_s is cellular for $s \geq 0$. We know $Y_0 = Y$ is cellular by definition. For $s > 0$, Y_s is the tensor product $\overline{E}^s \otimes Y$, where $\overline{E}$ fits into the distinguished triangle

$$\overline{E} \to S \xrightarrow{e} E \to \Sigma \overline{E}.$$

By the definition of cellularity, $\overline{E}$ is cellular since S and E are. Thus, by the aforementioned lemma, $\overline{E}^s \otimes Y$ is cellular by ??, as it is a tensor product of cellular objects in $\mathcal{SH}$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 0.10. *Let (E, μ, e) be a flat (??) and cellular (??) commutative monoid object and X and Y cellular objects in $\mathcal{SH}$, and define Y_s, W_s as in Definition 0.1. In particular, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have distinguished triangles*

$$Y_{s+1} \xrightarrow{i_s} Y_s \xrightarrow{j_s} W_s \xrightarrow{k_s} \Sigma Y_{s+1}.$$

Then if $E_*(X)$ is a graded projective (??) left $\pi_*(E)$-module (via ??) then the sequence

$$0 \to E_*(Y) \xrightarrow{E_*(j_0)} E_*(W_0) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_0)} E_*(\Sigma W_1) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_1)} E_*(\Sigma^2 W_2) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_2)} E_*(\Sigma^3 W_3) \to \cdots$$

---

[1] 0 is cellular because it is the cofiber of the identity on S by axiom TR1 for a triangulated category (??), i.e., there is a distinguished triangle $S \to S \to 0 \to \Sigma S$.

is an F-acyclic resolution ([Definition 0.5](#)) of $E_*(Y)$ in $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$ for

$$F = \mathrm{Hom}^a_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), -)$$

for all $a \in A$, where δ_s is the composition

$$\Sigma^s W_s \xrightarrow{\Sigma^s k_s} \Sigma^{s+1} Y_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{s+1} j_{s+1}} \Sigma^{s+1} W_{s+1}.$$

Proof. By [Lemma 0.9](#), each W_s is cellular, so that furthermore $\Sigma^s W_s \cong S^{\mathbf{s}} \otimes W_s$ is cellular for each $s \geq 0$, by **??**. Thus, the sequence does indeed live in $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$, as desired. Next, we claim that $E_*(\Sigma^s W_s)$ is an F-acyclic object for each $s \geq 0$, i.e., that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n,a}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^s W_s)) = \mathrm{Ext}^n_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s W_s)) = 0$$

for all $n > 0$, $s \geq 0$, and $a \in A$. Note that we have an A-graded isomorphism of left $E_*(E)$-comodules:

$$E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s) \mathrel{=\!=\!=} E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s)$$

$$\downarrow{\Phi_{E, \Sigma^s Y_s}}$$

$$E_*(E \otimes \Sigma^s Y_s)$$

$$\downarrow{E_*(E \otimes (\nu^s_{Y_s})^{-1})}$$

$$E_*(E \otimes S^{\mathbf{s}} \otimes Y_s)$$

$$\downarrow{E_*(\tau \otimes Y_s)}$$

$$E_*(S^{\mathbf{s}} \otimes E \otimes Y_s)$$

$$\downarrow{E_*(\nu^s_{E \otimes Y_s})}$$

$$E_*(\Sigma^s(E \otimes Y_s)) \mathrel{=\!=\!=} E_*(\Sigma^s W_s)$$

where $\Phi_{E, \Sigma^s Y}$ is an A-graded isomorphism of abelian groups by **??**, and furthermore an isomorphism of $E_*(E)$-comodules by **??**. Every other arrow is an isomorphism of $E_*(E)$-comodules by functoriality of $E_*(-) : \mathcal{SH}\text{-}\mathbf{Cell} \to E_*(E)\text{-}\mathbf{CoMod}^A$. Thus, since $E_*(\Sigma^s W_s)$ is isomorphic to $E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s)$ in $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$, and in particular since $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), -)$ is a functor, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s W_s)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^n_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s)).$$

Yet, $E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s)$ is a co-free $E_*(E)$-comodule, in which case since $E_*(X)$ is graded projective as an object in $\pi_*(E)$-$\mathbf{Mod}^A$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n,a}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*+a}(\Sigma^s Y_s)) = 0,$$

by **??**.

Finally, it remains to show that the sequence is exact. To that end, first note that by induction on axiom TR4 for a triangulated category and the fact that distinguished triangles are exact (**??**), the following sequence in $\mathcal{SH}$ is exact (since a sequence clearly remains exact even after changing the signs of its maps):

$$\Sigma^s Y_s \xrightarrow{\Sigma^s j_s} \Sigma^s W_s \xrightarrow{\Sigma^s k_s} \Sigma^{s+1} Y_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{s+1} i_s} \Sigma^{s+1} Y_s \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{s+1} j_s} \Sigma^{s+1} W_s$$

(see **??** for the definition of an exact triangle in an additive category). Furthermore, since $\mathcal{SH}$ is tensor triangulated, the sequence remains exact after applying $E \otimes -$ (see **??** for details), so that taking E-homology yields the following exact sequence of homology groups:

$$E_*(\Sigma^s Y_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s j_s)} E_*(\Sigma^s W_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s k_s)} E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} Y_{s+1}) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} i_s)} E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} Y_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} j_s)} E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} W_s).$$

Now, we claim that $E_*(\Sigma^s j_s)$ and $E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} j_s)$ are split monomorphisms, which by exactness will imply that the first arrow is injective and $E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} i_s) = 0$, so that the above exact sequence will split as

$$0 \to E_*(\Sigma^s Y_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s j_s)} E_*(\Sigma^s W_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s k_s)} E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} Y_{s+1}) \to 0.$$

Note that for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and objects X in $\mathcal{SH}$, we have natural isomorphisms

$$E_*(\Sigma^t X) = \pi_*(E \otimes \Sigma^t(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*(E \otimes (\nu_X^t)^{-1})} \pi_*(E \otimes S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\tau \otimes X)} \pi_*(S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes E \otimes X).$$

Thus, in order to show that $E_*(\Sigma^t j_s)$ is a split monomorphism, it suffices to show that $\pi_*(S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes E \otimes j_s)$ is a split monomorphism. Furthermore, since every functor preserves split monos, it further suffices to show that $E \otimes j_s$ is a split monomorphism in order to show that $\pi_*(S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes E \otimes j_s)$ is. To that end, first recall that j_s is constructed by applying $- \otimes Y_s$ to the distinguished triangle

$$\overline{E} \to S \xrightarrow{e} E \to \Sigma\overline{E},$$

so that $j_s = e \otimes Y_s : Y_s \to E \otimes Y_s = W_s$. By unitality of μ, we have that $E \otimes j_s$ a left inverse $\mu \otimes W_s$, i.e., $\mathrm{id}_{E \otimes Y_s}$ factors as

$$\mathrm{id}_{E \otimes Y_s} : E \otimes Y_s \xrightarrow{E \otimes j_s = E \otimes e \otimes Y_s} E \otimes E \otimes Y_s \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes Y_s} E \otimes Y_s.$$

Thus, $E \otimes j_s$ is indeed a split monomorphism, so that $E_*(\Sigma^t j_s)$ is injective for all $t \geq 0$, as desired. Thus for all $s \geq 0$ we have short exact sequences

$$0 \to E_*(\Sigma^s Y_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s j_s)} E_*(\Sigma^s W_s) \xrightarrow{E_*(\Sigma^s k_s)} E_*(\Sigma^{s+1} Y_{s+1}) \to 0.$$

Finally, we may splice these short exact sequences together to yield the long exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E_*(Y) \xrightarrow{E_*(j_0)} E_*(W_0) \xrightarrow{\quad E_*(\delta_0) \quad} E_*(\Sigma W_1) \xrightarrow{\quad E_*(\delta_1) \quad} E_*(\Sigma^2 W_2) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with diagonal maps $E_*(k_0)$, $E_*(\Sigma j_1)$, $E_*(\Sigma k_1)$, $E_*(\Sigma^2 j_2)$ through $E_*(\Sigma Y_1)$ and $E_*(\Sigma^2 Y_2)$.

Hence, we have shown the sequence is exact, as desired. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 0.11. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object, and X and Y objects in $\mathcal{SH}$. Suppose further that:*

- *E is flat (??) and cellular (??),*
- *X is cellular and $E_*(X)$ is a graded projective left $\pi_*(E)$-module (via ??), and*
- *Y is cellular.*

Then the assignment

$$E_*(-) : [X, E \otimes Y] \to \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E \otimes Y)), \qquad f \mapsto E_*(f)$$

induced by the functor $E_*(-) : \mathcal{SH}\text{-}\mathbf{Cell} \to E_*(E)\text{-}\mathbf{CoMod}^A$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups.

Proof. Since X is cellular, by **??** we have that $E_*(X)$ is canonically an A-graded left $E_*(E)$-comodule. Similarly, since E and Y are cellular, we know that $E \otimes Y$ is cellular, so that $E_*(E \otimes Y)$ is also canonically an $E_*(E)$-comodule. Thus, we have a well-defined assignment

$$[X, E \otimes Y] \xrightarrow{E_*(-)} \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E \otimes Y)).$$

To see this arrow is an isomorphism, consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
[X, E \otimes Y] & \xrightarrow{\quad E_*(-)\quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E \otimes Y)) \\
{\scriptstyle \pi_*(\mu \otimes Y) \circ E_*(-)}\downarrow & {\scriptstyle \pi_*(\mu \otimes Y) \circ (-)} & \uparrow {\scriptstyle (\Phi_{E,Y})_*} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(Y)) & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathrm{adj}\quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y))
\end{array}
$$

We know the left vertical map is an isomorphism by **??**, and the bottom horizontal isomorphism is the forgetful-cofree adjunction (**??**) for A-graded left comodules over the dual E-Steenrod algebra. The right vertical arrow is a well-defined isomorphism, as $\Phi_{E,Y}$ is a homomorphism of A-graded left $E_*(E)$-comodules (**??**), and in fact it is an isomorphism by **??**, since $E_*(E)$ is flat and Y is cellular. Thus in order to see the top arrow is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that the diagram commutes. The left triangle clearly commutes; to see the right triangle commutes, recall that by how the how forgetful-cofree adjunction for left comodules over a Hopf algebroid is defined, that the bottom vertical arrow sends an A-graded homomorphism of left $E_*(E)$-comodules $\psi : E_*(X) \to E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y)$ to the composition

$$
E_*(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\mu) \otimes E_*(Y)} \pi_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_*(Y).
$$

Thus, in order to show that this composition equals $\pi_*(\mu \otimes Y) \circ \Phi_{E,Y} \circ \psi$, it suffices to show the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\mu) \otimes E_*(Y)} & \pi_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(Y) \\
{\scriptstyle \Phi_{E,Y}}\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \cong} \\
E_*(E \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi_*(\mu \otimes Y)\quad} & E_*(Y)
\end{array}
$$

Since all the arrows here are homomorphisms of abelian groups, in order to show the diagram commutes, it suffices to chase pure homogeneous tensors around. To that end, let $x : S^a \to E \otimes E$ and $y : S^b \to E \otimes Y$, and consider the following diagram exhibiting the two ways to chase $x \otimes y$ around:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{a+b} \xrightarrow{\phi_{a,b}} S^a \otimes S^b \xrightarrow{x \otimes y} E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes E \otimes Y} & E \otimes E \otimes Y \\
& {\scriptstyle E \otimes \mu \otimes Y}\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mu \otimes Y} \\
& E \otimes E \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes Y} & E \otimes Y
\end{array}
$$

The diagram commutes by associtiavity of μ. Thus, we have indeed show that

$$
E_*(-) : [X, E \otimes Y] \to \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(X), E_*(Y))
$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 0.12. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object, and X and Y objects in $\mathcal{SH}$. Suppose further that:*

- *E is flat (**??**) and cellular (**??**),*
- *X is cellular, and $E_*(X)$ is a graded projective left $\pi_*(E)$-module (via **??**), and*
- *Y is cellular.*

Then for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in A$, the line in the first page of the E-Adams spectral sequence for the computation of $[X, Y]_*$

$$0 \to E_1^{0,a+\mathbf{s}}(X,Y) \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{1,a+\mathbf{s}-1}(X,Y) \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{2,a+\mathbf{s}-2}(X,Y) \to \cdots \to E_1^{s,a}(X,Y) \to \cdots$$

is isomorphic to the complex obtained by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), -)$ to the complex of A-graded left $E_*(E)$-comodules

$$0 \to E_*(W_0) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_0)} E_*(\Sigma W_1) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_1)} E_*(\Sigma^2 W_2) \to \cdots \to E_*(\Sigma^s W_s) \to \cdots$$

from Lemma 0.10.

Proof. Now, note that by Lemma 0.9, since E and Y are cellular, W_t is as well for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for $t > 0$, we have isomorphisms

$$S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes W_t \xrightarrow{\nu_{W_t}^t} \Sigma^t W_t,$$

and by **??**, the object $S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes W_t$ is cellular since $S^{\mathbf{t}}$ and W_t are. Hence, by **??**, the complex

$$0 \to E_*(W_0) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_0)} E_*(\Sigma W_1) \xrightarrow{E_*(\delta_1)} E_*(\Sigma^2 W_2) \to \cdots \to E_*(\Sigma^s W_s) \to \cdots$$

actually lives in $E_*(E)$-$\mathbf{CoMod}^A$, as desired. Now, let $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the following diagram:

where here the $s_{X,Y}^a : [X, \Sigma^a Y]_* \cong [X, Y]_{*-a}$'s are the natural isomorphisms from **??**. By unravelling definitions, we have the top left object is $E_1^{t,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}}(X,Y)$ and the bottom left object is $E_1^{t+1,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}-1}$, and the vertical left composition in the above diagram is the differential d_1 between them. The first, second, and fourth rectangles from the top on the left rectangle commute by naturality of the s^a's. Furthermore, a simple diagram chase and coherence of the ϕ's (**??**) yields that the third rectangle on the left commutes. The trapezoids on the right commute by naturality of ν^t and ν^{t+1}. Finally, the middle right triangle commutes by how we defined ν^{t+1} in terms of ν^t.

Now, consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_1^{t,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}}(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad d_1 \quad} & E_1^{t+1,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}-1}(X,Y) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (s_{X,W_t}^{\mathbf{t}})^{-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (s_{X,W_{t+1}}^{\mathbf{t+1}})^{-1}} \\
[X,\Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}W_t]_{a+\mathbf{s}} & & [X,\Sigma^{\mathbf{t+1}}W_{t+1}]_{a+\mathbf{s}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\nu_{W_t}^t)_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\nu_{W_{t+1}}^{t+1})_*} \\
[X,\Sigma^t W_t]_{a+\mathbf{s}} & \xrightarrow{\quad (\delta_t)_* \quad} & [X,\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1}]_{a+\mathbf{s}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle E_*(-)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle E_*(-)} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(\Sigma^{a+\mathbf{s}}X), E_*(\Sigma^t W_t)) & \xrightarrow{\ E_*(\delta_t)\ } & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(\Sigma^{a+\mathbf{s}}X), E_*(\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1})) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle ((t_X^{a+\mathbf{s}})^{-1})^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle ((t_X^{a+\mathbf{s}})^{-1})^*} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^t W_t)) & \xrightarrow{\ E_*(\delta_t)\ } & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1}))
\end{array}
$$

where here the maps $t_X^{a+\mathbf{s}} : E_*(\Sigma^a) \to E_{*-a}(X)$ are the $E_*(E)$-comodule isomorphisms from **??**. We have just shown the top region commutes. Furthermore, since X and $\Sigma^t W_t$ are cellular for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the arrows labelled $E_*(-)$ are well-defined, and they clearly make the middle rectangle commute (a simple diagram chase suffices). The bottom rectangle also clearly commutes, Thus, it suffices to show that the maps labelled $E_*(-)$ are isomorphisms. To that end, consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
[X,\Sigma^t W_t]_{a+\mathbf{s}} & \xrightarrow{\ E_*(-)\ } & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(\Sigma^{a+\mathbf{s}}X), E_*(\Sigma^t W_t)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle E_*(f)_*} \\
[X, E \otimes \Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}Y_t]_{a+\mathbf{s}} & \xrightarrow{\ E_*(-)\ } & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(\Sigma^{a+\mathbf{s}}X), E_*(E \otimes \Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}Y_t))
\end{array}
$$

where here $f : \Sigma^t W_t \to E \otimes \Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}Y_t$ is the isomorphism

$$
\Sigma^t W_t \xrightarrow{\nu_W^t} \Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}W_t = S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes E \otimes Y_t \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes Y_t} E \otimes S^{\mathbf{t}} \otimes Y_t = E \otimes \Sigma^{\mathbf{t}}Y_t.
$$

The bottom horizontal arrow is an isomorphism by Lemma 0.11. Thus, the top horizontal arrow is an isomorphism, as desired. Showing

$$
E_*(-) : [X,\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1}]_{a+\mathbf{s}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(\Sigma^{a+\mathbf{s}}X), E_*(\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1}))
$$

is an isomorphism is entirely analagous. Thus, for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have constructed isomorphisms

$$
E^{t,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}}(X,Y) \xrightarrow{\ \cong\ } \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^t W_t))
$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^{t,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}}(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad d_1 \qquad\qquad} & E^{t+1,a+\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}-1}(X,Y) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^t W_t)) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X),E_*(\delta_t))\ } & \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*(E)}^{a+\mathbf{s}}(E_*(X), E_*(\Sigma^{t+1}W_{t+1}))
\end{array}
$$

Hence, we have proven the desired result. $\qquad\qquad\square$

0.4. **Convergence of the spectral sequence.** Now that we have constructed the spectral sequence and characterized its E_2 pages, we would like to explain in exactly what sense the E-Adams sepctral sequence converges to the groups $[X, Y]_*$.