

In ??, we showed that given a monoid object (E, μ, e) in \mathcal{SH} , that $E_*(E)$ is canonically an A -graded bimodule over the ring $\pi_*(E)$. In this subsection, we will outline some additional structure carried by the pair $(E_*(E), \pi_*(E))$. In particular, we will show that if (E, μ, e) is a flat (Definition 0.5) commutative monoid object, then this pair, called the *dual E -Steenrod algebra*, is canonically an *A -graded anticommutative Hopf algebroid* over the stable homotopy ring $\pi_*(S)$ (?). To start with, we outline some structure maps relating $E_*(E)$ and $\pi_*(E)$.

First, recall that given a monoid object (E, μ, e) in \mathcal{SH} , $\pi_*(E)$ is canonically an A -graded ring by ??, and so is $E_*(E) = \pi_*(E \otimes E)$ and $E_*(E \otimes E) = \pi_*(E \otimes E \otimes E)$, since the tensor product of monoid objects in a symmetric monoidal category is again a monoid object (?).

Proposition 0.1. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} . Then the maps*

- (1) $E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes e} E \otimes E$,
- (2) $E \xrightarrow{\cong} S \otimes E \xrightarrow{e \otimes E} E \otimes E$,
- (3) $E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \otimes S \otimes E \xrightarrow{E \otimes e \otimes E} E \otimes E \otimes E$,
- (4) $E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\mu} E$, and
- (5) $E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tau_{E,E}} E \otimes E$

are homomorphisms of monoid objects in \mathcal{SH} (where here $E \otimes E$ and $E \otimes E \otimes E$ are considered as monoid objects in \mathcal{SH} by ?? and ??, respectively), so that by ??, under π_ they induce morphisms in $\pi_*(S)$ -GCA^A:*

- (1) $\eta_L : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$,
- (2) $\eta_R : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$,
- (3) $h : E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E \otimes E)$,
- (4) $\epsilon : E_*(E) \rightarrow \pi_*(E)$, and
- (5) $c : E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$.

Proof. It is a general fact that the unit and multiplication maps $e : S \rightarrow E$ and $\mu : E \otimes E \rightarrow E$ for a monoid are monoid homomorphisms when (E, μ, e) is a commutative monoid object (?), so that the maps $E \otimes e$, and $e \otimes E$ from E to $E \otimes E$ are monoid homomorphisms, by ?. Similarly, $E \otimes e \otimes E : E \otimes E \rightarrow E \otimes E \otimes E$ is a monoid homomorphism. Thus, it remains to show that $\tau_{E,E} : E \otimes E \rightarrow E \otimes E$ is a monoid homomorphism. First, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_4 & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \tau} & E_2 \otimes E_1 \otimes E_4 \otimes E_3 \\
\downarrow E \otimes \tau \otimes E & & \downarrow E \otimes \tau \otimes E \\
E_1 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_4 & \xrightarrow{\tau_{E \otimes E, E} \otimes E} & E_2 \otimes E_4 \otimes E_1 \otimes E_3 \\
\downarrow \mu \otimes \mu & & \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu \\
E_{1,3} \otimes E_{2,4} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & E_{2,4} \otimes E_{1,3}
\end{array}$$

(Here we've labelled the E 's to make the action of the braidings clearer). The top region commutes by coherence for the symmetries in a symmetric monoidal category, while the bottom region

commutes by naturality of τ . Now, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & S & & \\
 & \swarrow \cong & & \searrow \cong & \\
 & S \otimes S & \xrightarrow{\tau} & S \otimes S & \\
 \swarrow e \otimes e & & & & \searrow e \otimes e \\
 E \otimes E & \xrightarrow{\tau} & E \otimes E & &
 \end{array}$$

The top triangle commutes by coherence for a symmetric monoidal category, while the bottom region commutes by naturality of τ . Thus, we have shown $\tau_{E,E}$ is a homomorphism of monoid objects, as desired. \square

Recall that given a homomorphism of rings $f : R \rightarrow R'$, R' canonically becomes an R -bimodule with left action $r \cdot x := f(r)x$ and right action $x \cdot r := xf(r)$. In particular, the ring homomorphisms $\eta_L : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$ and $\eta_R : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$ endow $E_*(E)$ with the structure of a bimodule over $\pi_*(E)$. Naturally, one may ask in what sense these bimodule structures coincide with the canonical one (from ??). The following lemma tells us that the canonical $\pi_*(E)$ -bimodule structure on $E_*(E)$ is that with left action induced by η_L and right action induced by η_R :

Lemma 0.2. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} . Then the left (resp. right) $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure induced on $E_*(E)$ by the ring homomorphism η_L (resp. η_R) coincides with the canonical left (resp. right) $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure on $E_*(E)$ given in ??.*

Proof. What's going on here is a bit subtle, so we're going to be really explicit. In ??, it was shown that $E_*(E)$ is a left $\pi_*(E)$ -module via the assignment

$$\pi_*(E) \times E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$$

which sends homogeneous elements $r : S^a \rightarrow E$ and $x : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes E$ to the composition

$$S^{a+b} \xrightarrow{\cong} S^a \otimes S^b \xrightarrow{r \otimes x} E \otimes E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes E} E \otimes E.$$

We'd like to show that this is the same thing as the assignment $\pi_*(E) \times E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$ sending $(r, x) \mapsto \eta_L(r)x$, where $\eta_L(r)x$ denotes the product of $\eta_L(r)$ and x taken in the ring $E_*(E)$. Explicitly, the product structure on $E_*(E) = \pi_*(E \otimes E)$ is that induced by the fact that $E \otimes E$ is a monoid object in \mathcal{SH} (by ??), with product

$$E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \xrightarrow{E \otimes \tau \otimes E} E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} E \otimes E$$

(note the middle two factors are swapped). By linearity of module actions, in order to show the canonical left $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure on $E_*(E)$ agrees with that induced by η_L , it suffices to show the module actions agree on homogeneous elements. Now, suppose we have homogeneous elements $r : S^a \rightarrow E$ in $\pi_*(E)$ and $x : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes E$ in $E_*(E)$, and consider the following diagram,

where we've passed to a symmetric strict monoidal category:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{a+b} & & \\
\downarrow \phi_{a,b} & & \\
S^a \otimes S^b & & \\
\downarrow r \otimes x & & \\
E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes E} & E_{1,2} \otimes E_3 \\
\downarrow E \otimes e \otimes E & \searrow & \parallel \\
& E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 = E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 = E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 & \\
& \swarrow E \otimes \mu \otimes E \quad \downarrow E \otimes E \otimes e \otimes E \quad \searrow E \otimes E \otimes \mu & \\
E_1 \otimes E \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 & \xrightarrow{E \otimes \tau \otimes E} & E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E \otimes E_3 \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} E_{1,2} \otimes E_3
\end{array}$$

Here we've numbered the E 's to make it clear what's going on. The bottom composition is $\eta_L(r)x$, while the top composition is the canonical left action of r on x given in ???. The leftmost triangle commutes by unitality of μ . The triangle to the right of that commutes by commutativity of μ . The triangle to the right of that commutes by unitality of μ , as does the next triangle. The remaining triangle on the right commutes by functoriality of $- \otimes -$. Finally, the top region commutes by definition. Thus, we've shown that the left $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure induced on $E_*(E)$ by η_L is in fact the canonical one. On the other hand, showing that the right $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure induced on $E_*(E)$ by η_R is the canonical one is entirely analagous, and we leave it as an exercise for the reader. \square

Recall (??) that the pushout of two morphisms $f : B \rightarrow C$ and $g : B \rightarrow D$ in $R\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$ is obtained by taking the tensor product of B -modules $C \otimes_B D$, where C has right B -module action induced by f , and D has left B -module action induced by g , and giving it an anticommutative product which makes $C \otimes_B D$ a ring. Thus, by the above lemma, we may view the tensor product of bimodules $E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E)$ (where $E_*(E)$ is considered with its canonical $\pi_*(E)$ -bimodule structure from ??) as not just an A -graded abelian group or a $\pi_*(E)$ -bimodule, but as an A -graded anticommutative $\pi_*(S)$ -algebra:

Corollary 0.3. *Given a commutative monoid object (E, μ, e) in $S\mathcal{H}$, the domain of the homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{E,E} : E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E \otimes E)$$

constructed in ?? is canonically an A -graded $\pi_(S)$ -ring, and sits in the following pushout diagram in $\pi_*(S)\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_*(E) & \xrightarrow{\eta_L} & E_*(E) \\
\eta_R \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto 1 \otimes x \\
E_*(E) & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x \otimes 1} & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E)
\end{array}$$

Furthermore, with respect to this ring structure, $\Phi_{E,E}$ is a homomorphism of rings:

Lemma 0.4. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object in $S\mathcal{H}$. Then the homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{E,E} : E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E \otimes E)$$

constructed in ?? is a homomorphism of A -graded anticommutative $\pi_(S)$ -algebras.*

Proof. Consider the maps

$$f : E \otimes E \xrightarrow{e \otimes E \otimes E} E \otimes E \otimes E$$

and

$$g : E \otimes E \xrightarrow{E \otimes E \otimes e} E \otimes E \otimes E.$$

We know that the maps

$$E \xrightarrow{e \otimes E} E \otimes E \quad \text{and} \quad E \xrightarrow{E \otimes e} E \otimes E$$

are monoid homomorphisms by [Proposition 0.1](#), so that f and g are monoid homomorphisms by [??](#). Furthermore, by [??](#), they are monoid homomorphisms between the same monoid objects in \mathcal{SH} (up to associativity). Finally, note that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{E \otimes e} & E \otimes E \\ e \otimes E \downarrow & \searrow e \otimes E \otimes e & \downarrow e \otimes E \otimes E \\ E \otimes E & \xrightarrow{E \otimes E \otimes e} & E \otimes E \otimes E \end{array}$$

where the outer arrows are monoid object homomorphisms, thus, we may apply π_* , which yields the following commutative diagram in $\pi_*(S)\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$ ([??](#)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(E) & \xrightarrow{\eta_L} & E_*(E) \\ \eta_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_*(f) \\ E_*(E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*(g)} & E_*(E \otimes E) \end{array}$$

Hence by [Lemma 0.4](#) and the universal property of the pushout, there exists some unique morphism $\ell : E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E \otimes E)$ in $\pi_*(S)\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$ which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(E) & \xrightarrow{\eta_L} & E_*(E) \\ \eta_R \downarrow & \searrow x \mapsto 1 \otimes x & \downarrow \\ E_*(E) & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x \otimes 1} & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E) \\ & \searrow \pi_*(g) & \downarrow \ell \\ & & E_*(E \otimes E) \end{array}$$

Thus in order to show Φ_E is a morphism in $\pi_*(S)\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$, it suffices to show that Φ_E and ℓ are the same map, since we know ℓ is a homomorphism of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings. Since Φ_E and ℓ are both abelian group homomorphisms, it further suffices to show they agree on homogeneous pure tensors, which generate $E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E)$ as an abelian group. Given homogeneous elements $x : S^a \rightarrow E \otimes E$ and $y : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes E$ in $E_*(E)$, unravelling how pushouts in $\pi_*(S)\text{-}\mathbf{GCA}^A$ are defined ([??](#)), ℓ sends the pure homogeneous tensor $x \otimes y$ to the element $\pi_*(g)(x) \cdot \pi_*(f)(y)$, where here \cdot denotes the product taken in $E_*(E \otimes E) = \pi_*(E \otimes E \otimes E)$. Now,

consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{a+b} & & \\
\downarrow \phi_{a,b} & & \\
S^a \otimes S^b & & \\
\downarrow x \otimes y & & \\
E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_4 & \xrightarrow{g \otimes f = E \otimes E \otimes e \otimes e \otimes E \otimes E} & E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_a \otimes E_b \otimes E_3 \otimes E_4 \\
& \searrow E \otimes e \otimes E \otimes e \otimes E \otimes E & \downarrow E \otimes \tau_{E \otimes E, E} \otimes E \otimes E \\
& & E_1 \otimes E_b \otimes E_2 \otimes E_a \otimes E_3 \otimes E_4 \\
& & \downarrow \mu \otimes E \otimes \tau \otimes E \\
& & E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_a \otimes E_4 \\
& & \downarrow E \otimes \mu \otimes \mu \\
& & E_1 \otimes E_{2,3} \otimes E_4 \\
& \swarrow E \otimes \mu \otimes E & \\
& E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_4 & \xrightarrow{E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes e \otimes E} E_1 \otimes E_2 \otimes E_3 \otimes E_a \otimes E_4 \\
& \downarrow E \otimes \mu \otimes E & \\
& E_1 \otimes E_{2,3} \otimes E_4 & \\
& \xlongequal{\hspace{10em}} & E_1 \otimes E_{2,3} \otimes E_4
\end{array}$$

Here we have labelled the E 's to make things clearer. The left outside composition is $\Phi_E(x \otimes y)$, while the right composition is $\pi_*(g)(x) \cdot \pi_*(f)(y)$. The top right triangle commutes by coherence for a symmetric monoidal category. The middle tright triangle commutes by unitality of μ and coherence for a symmetric monoidal category. The bottom trapezoid commutes by unitality of μ . The rest of the diagram commutes by definition. Thus we have $\Phi_E(x \otimes y) = \pi_*(g)(x) \cdot \pi_*(f)(y)$, so that $\Phi_E = \ell$ is not just an isomorphism of left $\pi_*(E)$ -modules, but an isomorphism of A -graded anticommutative $\pi_*(S)$ -algebras, as desired. \square

For the sake of conciseness, we make the following definition:

Definition 0.5. We say that a monoid object (E, μ, e) in \mathcal{SH} is *flat* if the canonical right $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure on $E_*(E)$ from ?? is that of a flat module, or equivalently by [Lemma 0.2](#), if the map $\eta_R : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$ constructed in [Proposition 0.1](#) is a flat ring homomorphism.

Finally, we can package all of this information into an object called the *dual E -Steenrod algebra*:

Definition 0.6. Let (E, μ, e) be a *commutative* monoid object in \mathcal{SH} which is flat ([Definition 0.5](#)) and cellular (??). Then the *dual E -Steenrod algebra* is the pair of A -graded abelian groups $(E_*(E), \pi_*(E))$ equipped with the following structure:

1. The A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative ring structure on $\pi_*(E)$ induced from E being a commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} (??).
2. The A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative ring structure on $E_*(E)$ induced from the fact that $E \otimes E$ is canonically a commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} (??), so that also $E_*(E) = \pi_*(E \otimes E)$ is an A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative ring (??).
3. The homomorphisms of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings

$$\eta_L : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$$

and

$$\eta_R : \pi_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$$

induced under π_* by the monoid object homomorphisms

$$E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes e} E \otimes E$$

and

$$E \xrightarrow{\cong} S \otimes E \xrightarrow{e \otimes E} E \otimes E.$$

4. The homomorphism of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings

$$\Psi_E : E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E)$$

given by the composition

$$E_*(E) \xrightarrow{h} E_*(E \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{E,E}^{-1}} E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E),$$

where h is a homomorphism of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings induced under π_* by the monoid object homomorphism

$$E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \otimes S \otimes E \xrightarrow{E \otimes e \otimes E} E \otimes E \otimes E,$$

and $\Phi_{E,E}$ is morphism constructed in ??, which is proven to be an isomorphism in ??, and furthermore an isomorphism in $\pi_*(S)$ - \mathbf{GCA}^A by Lemma 0.4.

5. The homomorphism of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings

$$\epsilon : E_*(E) \rightarrow \pi_*(E)$$

induced under π_* by the monoid object homomorphism

$$E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\mu} E.$$

6. The homomorphism of A -graded $\pi_*(S)$ -commutative rings

$$c : E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(E)$$

induced under π_* from the monoid object homomorphism

$$E \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tau} E \otimes E.$$

The curious reader may wonder why we call $(E_*(E), \pi_*(E))$ the *dual* E -Steenrod algebra. The “dual” is there because the E -Steenrod algebra refers instead to the E -self cohomology $E^*(E) \cong [E, E]_{-*}$. Classically, the Adams spectral sequence was originally constructed in such a way that the E_1 and E_2 pages could be characterized in terms of cohomology and the E -Steenrod algebra, but it turns out that our approach using homology and the dual E -Steenrod algebra is somewhat better behaved, at least when E is flat in the sense of Definition 0.5.

0.1. The dual E -Steenrod algebra is a Hopf algebroid. Above, given a flat and cellular commutative monoid object (E, μ, e) in \mathcal{SH} , we constructed an algebraic gadget $(E_*(E), \pi_*(E))$ in the category $\pi_*(S)$ - \mathbf{GCA}^A of A -graded anticommutative $\pi_*(S)$ -algebras called the *dual E -Steenrod algebra*. In this subsection, we will show this object is an example of the general notion of an *A -graded anticommutative Hopf algebroid*:

Proposition 0.7. *Let (E, μ, e) be a commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} which is flat (Definition 0.5) and cellular (?). Then the dual E -Steenrod algebra $(E_*(E), \pi_*(E))$ with the structure maps $(\eta_L, \eta_R, \Psi, \epsilon, c)$ from Definition 0.6 is an A -graded anticommutative Hopf algebroid over $\pi_*(S)$ (?), i.e., a co-groupoid object in the category $\pi_*(S)$ - \mathbf{GCA}^A .*

Proof. All that needs to be done is to show all the diagrams in ?? commute. This is nearly all entirely straightforward, the only difficulty that arises is showing the co-associativity diagram holds. The argument is sketched in the case \mathcal{SH} is the classical stable homotopy category in sufficient detail in Lecture 3 of the article [1] by Adams. The argument given there works essentially the exact same way here in our more general setting. \square

0.2. Comodules over the dual E -Steenrod algebra.

Proposition 0.8. *Let (E, μ, e) be a flat (Definition 0.5) and cellular (??) commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} . Then $E_*(-)$ is an additive functor from the full subcategory $\mathcal{SH}\text{-Cell}$ of cellular objects in \mathcal{SH} to the category $E_*(E)\text{-CoMod}^A$ of left A -graded comodules (??) over the dual E -Steenrod algebra, which is an A -graded commutative Hopf algebroid over $\pi_*(S)$, by Proposition 0.7.*

In particular, given an object X in $\mathcal{SH}\text{-Cell}$, we are viewing $E_(X)$ with its canonical left $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure (??), and the action map*

$$\Psi_X : E_*(X) \rightarrow E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X)$$

is given by the composition

$$\Psi_X : E_*(X) \xrightarrow{E_*(e \otimes X)} E_*(E \otimes X) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{E,X}^{-1}} E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X).$$

Proof. Again, we refer the reader to Lecture 3 in [1]. □

Proposition 0.9. *Let (E, μ, e) be a flat (Definition 0.5) and cellular (??) commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} . Then given an object X in \mathcal{SH} , the map*

$$\Phi_{E,X} : E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X) \rightarrow E_*(E \otimes X)$$

constructed in ?? is a homomorphism of A -graded left Γ -comodules, where here by ?? we are viewing $E_(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X)$ as the co-free $E_*(E)$ -comodule on $E_*(X)$ with its canonical A -graded left $\pi_*(E)$ -module structure (from ??), and $E_*(E \otimes X)$ with its canonical left $E_*(E)$ -comodule structure from Proposition 0.8.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{E_*(E) \otimes E_*(X)}} & & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} (E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X)) \\
 \downarrow \Phi_{E,X} & \searrow E_*(e \otimes E) & \downarrow \Phi_{E,E \otimes E_*(X)} & \nearrow \cong & \downarrow E_*(E) \otimes \Phi_{E,X} \\
 & (E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E)) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X) & \downarrow \Phi_{E,X} & & \\
 & E_*(E \otimes E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X) & \parallel & & \\
 & (E \otimes E)_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(X) & \downarrow \Phi_{E,X} & & \\
 & \pi_*(E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X) & \parallel & & \\
 & E_*(E \otimes E \otimes X) & \swarrow \Phi_{E,E \otimes X} & & \\
 E_*(E \otimes X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{E \otimes X}} & & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(E \otimes X)
 \end{array}$$

The top and bottom regions commute by definition. The left region commutes by naturality of $\Phi_{E,X}$. Thus, it remains to show the rightmost region commutes. To that end, since all the

arrows involved are homomorphisms, it suffices to chase a homogeneous pure tensor around. Let $x : S^a \rightarrow E \otimes E$, $y : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes E$, and $z : S^c \rightarrow E \otimes X$, and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{a+b+c} & & \\
\downarrow \phi & & \\
S^a \otimes S^b \otimes S^c & & \\
\downarrow x \otimes y \otimes z & & \\
E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X & \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mu \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X} & E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X \\
\downarrow E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \mu \otimes X & & \downarrow E \otimes E \otimes \mu \otimes X \\
E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X & \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mu \otimes E \otimes X} & E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X
\end{array}$$

The two compositions are the two results of chasing $(x \otimes y) \otimes z$ around the rightmost region in the above diagram. It clearly commutes by functoriality of $- \otimes -$. Hence, indeed we have that $\Phi_{E,X}$ is a homomorphism of left $E_*(E)$ -comodules, as desired. \square

Lemma 0.10. *Let (E, μ, e) be a flat (Definition 0.5) and cellular (??) commutative monoid object in \mathcal{SH} . Then the isomorphism*

$$t_X^a : E_*(\Sigma^a X) \rightarrow E_{*-a}(X)$$

from ?? is an A -graded isomorphism of left $E_(E)$ -comodules.*

Proof. We know that $t_X^a : E_*(\Sigma^a X) \rightarrow E_{*-a}(X)$ is already an A -graded isomorphism of left $\pi_*(E)$ -modules, so clearly it simply suffices to show that t_X^a is a homomorphism of left $E_*(E)$ -comodules. To that end, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
E_*(\Sigma^a X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\Sigma^a X}} & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_*(\Sigma^a X) & & \\
\downarrow t_X^a & \swarrow E_*(e \otimes \Sigma^a X) & \downarrow \Phi_{E, \Sigma^a X} & \searrow & \downarrow E_*(E) \otimes t_X^a \\
& E_*(E \otimes \Sigma^a X) & & & \\
& \downarrow E_*(\tau_{E, S^a \otimes X}) & & & \\
& E_*(\Sigma^a(E \otimes X)) & & & \\
& \downarrow t_{E \otimes X}^a & & & \\
& E_{*-a}(E \otimes X) & & & \\
\swarrow E_{*-a}(e \otimes X) & & \swarrow \Phi_{E, X} & & \downarrow \\
E_{*-a}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_X} & E_*(E) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E_{*-a}(X) & &
\end{array}
\tag{1}$$

The top and bottom regions commute by definition. To see the left and right regions commute, we'll do a diagram chase of homogeneous elements. First of all, let $x : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes S^a \otimes X$ in $E_*(\Sigma^a X)$, and consider the following diagram exhibiting the two ways to chase x around the

leftmost region:

$$\begin{array}{c}
S^{b-a} \\
\downarrow \phi_{b,-a} \\
S^b \otimes S^{-a} \\
\downarrow x \otimes S^{-a} \\
E \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes \epsilon \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S^{-a}} E \otimes E \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes \tau \otimes X \otimes S^{-a}} E \otimes S^a \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^{-a} \\
\downarrow E \otimes \tau \otimes S^{-a} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow E \otimes \tau_{S^a, E \otimes X} \otimes S^{-a} \\
E \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a} \xrightarrow{E \otimes X \otimes \phi_{a,-a}^{-1}} E \otimes X \xrightarrow{E \otimes e \otimes X} E \otimes E \otimes X \\
\quad \quad \quad \uparrow E \otimes e \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes \phi_{a,-a}^{-1} \\
\quad \quad \quad E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a} \quad \quad \quad E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a}
\end{array}$$

The top right region commutes by coherence for the symmetries, while the other two regions commute by functoriality of $- \otimes -$. Thus, it remains to show the rightmost region in diagram (1) commutes. To that end, let $x : S^b \rightarrow E \otimes E$ in $E_*(E)$ and $y : S^c \rightarrow E \otimes S^a \otimes X$ in $E_*(\Sigma^a X)$, and consider the following diagram, which exhibits the two ways to chase $x \otimes y$ around the rightmost region of diagram (1):

$$\begin{array}{c}
S^{b+c-a} \\
\downarrow \phi \\
S^b \otimes S^c \otimes S^{-a} \\
\downarrow x \otimes y \otimes S^{-a} \\
E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mu \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S^{-a}} E \otimes E \otimes S^a \otimes X \otimes S \xrightarrow{E \otimes \tau \otimes X \otimes S^{-a}} E \otimes S^a \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^{-a} \\
\downarrow E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \tau \otimes S^{-a} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow E \otimes E \otimes \tau \otimes S^{-a} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow E \otimes \tau_{S^a, E \otimes X} \otimes S^{-a} \\
E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a} \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mu \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a}} E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes S^a \otimes S^{-a} \\
\downarrow E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes \phi_{a,-a}^{-1} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow E \otimes E \otimes X \otimes \phi_{a,-a}^{-1} \\
E \otimes E \otimes E \otimes X \xrightarrow{E \otimes \mu \otimes X} E \otimes E \otimes X
\end{array}$$

The top right region commutes by coherence for the symmetries. The remaining two regions commute by functoriality of $- \otimes -$. Thus, indeed we have that diagram (1) commutes, so t_X^a is a homomorphism of left $E_*(E)$ -comodules, as desired. \square