



- 1 Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $V \subset \mathbb{H}^k$ be open neighborhoods of 0. Suppose there was a diffeomorphism $\theta: U \rightarrow V$. We can assume that 0 is sent to a boundary point of V . In fact, we can assume that $\theta(0) = 0$. Otherwise we just another pick point $u \in U$ with $\theta(u) \in \partial V$. Then $d\theta_0: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is an isomorphism. By the Inverse Function Theorem, there are subsets W_1 and W_2 in \mathbb{R}^k containing 0 which are both **open in \mathbb{R}^k** such that θ maps W_1 diffeomorphically onto W_2 . Since W_2 is open in \mathbb{R}^k and contained in the image of θ , we get that V must be **open in \mathbb{R}^k** . But since V contains 0, it satisfies $V \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k \neq \emptyset$ and cannot be open **in \mathbb{R}^k** .

- 2 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a diffeomorphism of manifolds with boundary. Let $\phi: U \rightarrow X$ and $\psi: V \rightarrow Y$ be local parametrizations, where U and V are open subsets of \mathbb{H}^k (check that you know why the dimensions of X and Y must be equal). Let $\theta: U \rightarrow V$ be the induced map. By shrinking U and V if necessary, we can assume that θ is a diffeomorphism with

$$f \circ \phi = \psi \circ \theta.$$

Boundary points of X are those which are in the image $\phi(\partial U) = \phi(U \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k)$. Similarly, boundary points of Y are those which are in the image $\psi(\partial V) = \psi(V \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^k)$. Hence we need to show $\theta(\partial U) \subset \partial V$, for then

$$f(\phi(\partial U)) = \psi(\theta(\partial U)) \subset \psi(\partial V) \subset \partial Y.$$

The argument is again based on the Inverse Function Theorem. Suppose there is a point $u \in \partial U$ which is mapped to an interior point $v = \theta(u)$ in V . Since θ is a diffeomorphism, the derivative $d(\theta^{-1})_v: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ of its inverse is an isomorphism. But, since $v \in \text{Int}(V)$, V contains a neighborhood W of v that is open in \mathbb{R}^k . Thus the Inverse Function Theorem implies that $\theta^{-1}(W)$ contains a neighborhood of u that is open in \mathbb{R}^k . Hence u is also an interior point in U which contradicts the assumption $u \in \partial U$.

- 3 We define the smooth maps

$$F: \mathbb{R} \times [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, (t, s) \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t, s), \text{ and}$$

$$G: \mathbb{R} \times [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, (t, s) \mapsto ((1 + s \cos(t/2)) \cos(t), (1 + s \cos(t/2)) \sin(t), s \sin(t/2)).$$

We define X to be the image of F in \mathbb{R}^3 and Y to be the image of G in \mathbb{R}^3 .

- a) The image of F is the product $S^1 \times [-1/2, 1/2]$. This is a product of a manifold without a boundary S^1 and the manifold $[-1/2, 1/2]$ with boundary. The boundary of $[-1/2, 1/2]$ consists of the disjoint union of $\{-1/2\}$ and $\{1/2\}$. By the result of the lecture, we get

$$\partial X = \partial(S^1 \times [-1/2, 1/2]) = S^1 \times \{-1/2\} \cup S^1 \times \{1/2\}.$$

- b) We define the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+ &: (-\pi, \pi) \times [0, 3/4) \rightarrow Y, \\ (t, s) &\mapsto ((1 + (-1/2 + s) \cos(t/2)) \cos t, (1 + (-1/2 + s) \cos(t/2)) \sin t, (-1/2 + s) \sin(t/2)) \\ \phi_- &: (-\pi, \pi) \times [0, 3/4) \rightarrow Y, \\ (t, s) &\mapsto ((1 + (1/2 - s) \cos(t/2)) \cos t, (1 + (1/2 - s) \cos(t/2)) \sin t, (1/2 - s) \sin(t/2)) \\ \psi_+ &: (0, 2\pi) \times [0, 3/4) \rightarrow Y, \\ (t, s) &\mapsto ((1 + (-1/2 + s) \cos(t/2)) \cos t, (1 + (-1/2 + s) \cos(t/2)) \sin t, (-1/2 + s) \sin(t/2)) \\ \psi_- &: (0, 2\pi) \times [0, 3/4) \rightarrow Y, \\ (t, s) &\mapsto ((1 + (1/2 - s) \cos(t/2)) \cos t, (1 + (1/2 - s) \cos(t/2)) \sin t, (1/2 - s) \sin(t/2)). \end{aligned}$$

As one can check by calculating the partial derivatives, each of these maps are diffeomorphisms, and the union of their images covers Y . Hence we can use these four maps as local parametrizations of Y .

The boundary of Y is then given by the union of the points

$$\partial Y = \phi_+((-\pi, \pi) \times \{0\}) \cup \phi_-((-\pi, \pi) \times \{0\}) \cup \psi_+((0, 2\pi) \times \{0\}) \cup \psi_-((0, 2\pi) \times \{0\}).$$

Setting $s = 0$ in the formulae for those maps gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial Y &= \left\{ \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \cos t, \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \sin t, -\frac{1}{2} \sin(t/2) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \cos t, \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \sin t, \frac{1}{2} \sin(t/2) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But, in fact, the two sets describing ∂Y are the same which we see when we replace t with $t + 2\pi$ and use some simple trigonometric identities:

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{t+2\pi}{2}\right)\right) \cos(t+2\pi) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \cos t, \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{t+2\pi}{2}\right)\right) \sin(t+2\pi) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \sin t \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{t+2\pi}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sin(t/2). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\partial Y = \left\{ \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \cos t, \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)\right) \sin t, \frac{1}{2} \sin(t/2) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Now we would like to show that ∂Y is diffeomorphic to S^1 . Remembering the trigonometric identities

$$\sin t = 2 \sin(t/2) \cos(t/2) \text{ and } \cos t = \cos^2(t/2) - \sin^2(t/2)$$

we see that the map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y) \mapsto \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x\right)(x^2 - y^2), \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x\right)2xy, \frac{1}{2}y \right)$$

restricts to a bijection from S^1 onto ∂Y (for injectivity, note that the last coordinate determines y uniquely, then the circle equation determines x up to sign, and the first and/or second coordinate determine the sign of x).

It remains to check that $\varphi|_{S^1}$ is a local diffeomorphism. Since $\varphi|_{S^1}$ is a bijection onto its image, this will show that it is a diffeomorphism.

First we observe that φ is smooth, since the three functions in each coordinate are just polynomials and hence smooth. To see that $\varphi|_{S^1}$ is a local diffeomorphism, we use the maps

$$\phi: U \rightarrow S^1, t \mapsto (\cos(t/2), \sin(t/2))$$

and

$$\psi: U \rightarrow \partial Y, t \mapsto ((1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)) \cos t, (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(t/2)) \sin t, \frac{1}{2} \sin(t/2))$$

where $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ is some sufficiently small open subset. Then ϕ and ψ serve as local parametrizations of S^1 and ∂Y , respectively, for suitable choices of U . But the induced map $\theta: U \rightarrow U$ which arises as the composite $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi|_{S^1} \circ \phi$ is just the identity $t \mapsto t$. Hence $\varphi|_{S^1}$ is a local diffeomorphism.

- 4 Suppose that X is a manifold with boundary and $x \in \partial X$. Let $\phi: U \rightarrow X$ be a local parametrization with $\phi(0) = x$, where U is an open subset of \mathbb{H}^k . Then $d\phi_0: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow T_x(X)$ is an isomorphism. Define the upper halfspace $H_x(X)$ in $T_x(X)$ to be the image of \mathbb{H}^k under $d\phi_0$, $H_x(X) := d\phi_0(\mathbb{H}^k)$.

- a) We proceed as in the lecture when we showed that tangent spaces are well-defined.

Let $\psi: V \rightarrow X$ be another local parametrization around x with $\psi(0) = x$, where V is an open subset of \mathbb{H}^k . By shrinking both U and V , we can assume $\phi(U) = \psi(V)$ (replace U by $\phi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V)) \subset U$ and V by $\psi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V)) \subset V$). Then the map

$$\theta := \psi^{-1} \circ \phi: U \rightarrow V$$

is a diffeomorphism (its the composite of two diffeomorphisms). By definition of θ , we have $\phi = \psi \circ \theta$. Differentiating yields

$$d\phi_0 = d\psi_0 \circ d\theta_0$$

(where we have used the chain rule). This implies that the image of $d\phi_0$ is contained in the image of $d\psi_0$:

$$d\phi_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \subseteq d\psi_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By switching the roles of ϕ and ψ in the argument, we also get:

$$d\psi_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \subseteq d\phi_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence $T_x(X) = d\phi_0(\mathbb{R}^k) = d\psi_0(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is well-defined in \mathbb{R}^N .

In particular, the image of the upper halfplane $\mathbb{H}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is well-defined:

$$H_x(X) = d\phi_0(\mathbb{H}^k) = d\psi_0(\mathbb{H}^k) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

- b) The codimension of $T_x(\partial X)$ in $T_x(X)$ is one. Thus the orthogonal complement of $T_x(\partial X)$ is one-dimensional and is spanned by one vector. By definition of ∂X as the image of the points in ∂H^k under local parametrizations, we know that $d\phi_0(e_k)$ spans the complement of $T_x(\partial X)$ in $T_x(X)$, since $d\phi_0$ is an isomorphism and $e_k = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is nonzero and not contained in $d\phi_0(\mathbb{H}^k)$. We also know by the definition of $H_x(X)$ that $d\phi_0(e_k) \in H_x(X)$, and therefore $d\phi_0(-e_k) \notin H_x(X)$. But we do not know whether $d\phi_0(-e_k)$ is orthogonal to $T_x(\partial X)$ in $T_x(X)$. To make $d\phi_0(-e_k)$ into a vector which is orthogonal to $T_x(\partial X)$, we apply the Gram-Schmidt process. It produces a unit vector which is orthogonal to $T_x(\partial X)$. We denote this vector $n(x)$, this is the outward unit normal vector to ∂X . Note that $-n(x)$ is a unit vector contained in $H_x(X)$ and orthogonal to $T_x(\partial X)$, this is the inward unit normal vector to ∂X .
- c) From what we have learned in the previous point, we can construct $n(x)$ by applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process to $d\phi_0(-e_k)$. This process depends smoothly on the coefficients in the matrix representing $d\phi_0$. Since the derivative $d\phi_u$ depends smoothly on u , $d\phi_u(-e_k)$ depends smoothly on u . By the independence of the choice of local parametrization, we see that $n(y) = d\phi_u(-e_k)$ for all $y \in \phi(\partial U)$ which is an open neighborhood of x in ∂X , where $\phi(u) = y$. Thus, in total we see that $n(x)$ depends smoothly on x in ∂X .