

Project 1 - TMA4215

11/09/2020

Contents

1	Problem 1	2
1.1	Problem Description	2
1.2	Answer 1a	2
2	Problem 2	3
2.1	Problem Description	3
2.2	Answer 2a	3
2.3	Answer 2b	4
2.4	Answer 2c	6
3	Problem X	7
3.1	Problem Description	7
3.2	Answer	7
4	References	8

1 Problem 1

1.1 Problem Description

Let normal matrices, those with diagonalization be on the form

$$A = U\Lambda U^H$$

Where Λ is a diagonal complex $n \times n$ matrix and U a unitary (complex) matrix such that $U^H U = I$ (recall that U^H is the complex conjugate of U^T).

Show that for any such matrix, one has $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the spectral radius of A .

1.2 Answer 1a

Proof. Starting with the definition of a subordinate matrix norm given in Mayers [2] can we let

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, Ax \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

Indeed, by using the assumption that $U^H U = I$ and substituting $Uy = x$ can we show that

$$\|A\|^2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, Ax \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle AUy, AUy \rangle}{\langle Uy, Uy \rangle} = \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle U^H A^H AUy, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle}$$

Recall the property $A = U\Lambda U^H$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} A^H A &= U\Lambda^H U^H U\Lambda U^H \\ &= U\Lambda^H \Lambda U^H. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence do we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle U^H A^H AUy, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} &= \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle U^H U \Lambda^H \Lambda U^H U y, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\langle \Lambda^H \Lambda y, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 |y_i|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2} = \max_i (|\lambda_i|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Given from Sacco[1], the definition of a spectral radius is characterized by

$$\rho(A) = \max_i |\lambda_i|.$$

Which completes the proof of $\|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$.

□

2 Problem 2

2.1 Problem Description

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix A whose nonzero elements are located on its unit subdiagonal, i.e. $A_{i+1,i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- What are the eigenvalues of A ? What would the Gershgorin theorem tell us about the location of the eigenvalues of A .
- Now construct the matrix \hat{A} by adding a small number ϵ in the $(1, n)$ -element of A (so that $\hat{A} = A + \epsilon e_1 e_n^T$). Show that

$$\rho(\hat{A}) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

And find an expression for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \hat{A} .

- Derive an exact expression for the condition number

$$K_2(\hat{A}) = \|\hat{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|_2.$$

2.2 Answer 2a

The eigenvalues can be computed such that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda) &= \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & & & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & \dots & & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\lambda & \dots & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & \dots & & & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -\lambda \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & \dots & & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^n \lambda^n = 0 \implies \lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which concludes that all eigenvalues are zero. Recall the Gershgorin Theorem, given in Mayers [2].

Definition 2.1 (Gerschgorin Discs). Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The **Gerschgorin Discs** $D_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ of the matrix A are defined as the closed circular regions

$$D_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{ii}| \leq R_i\}$$

In the complex plane, where

$$R_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|$$

is the radius of D_i .

Theorem 2.1 (Gerschgorin Theorem). Let $n \geq 2$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. All eigenvalues of the matrix A lie in the region $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$, where $D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are discs defined by in the definition 2.1.

Using the Gerschgorin Theorem 2.1 on the matrix A , can we establish that every eigenvalue must be inside the union of gerschgorin discs. Note that in this example is all discs centered around the origin with a radius of 0 or 1. It is worth commenting that even though this is true, because all eigenvalues is zero, can it is worth mentioning that $r = 1$ is a fairly inaccurate estimate even though the theorem has powerful statements.

2.3 Answer 2b

All eigenvalues λ of matrix \hat{A} requires that

$$\det(\hat{A} - \lambda I) = 0.$$

It is true that

$$\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon e_1 e_n^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \varepsilon \\ 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\hat{A} - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & & \dots & & \varepsilon \\ 1 & -\lambda & & & \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^n \lambda^n + (-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & \dots & & \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^n \lambda^n + (-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that the eigenvalues λ have several complex solutions depending on the value n . However, it is clear that all λ will satisfy $|\lambda| = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}$, thus

$$\rho(\hat{A}) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The general expression of the eigenvalues is

$$\lambda_k = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i2\pi k/n}, \quad k = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The procedure to compute the eigenvectors is then to find all solutions which satisfies

$$\hat{A}v_k = \lambda_k v_k.$$

Using recursion do we end up with the equation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon v_{k,n} \\ v_{k,0} \\ \vdots \\ v_{k,n-2} \\ v_{k,n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{k,0} \lambda_k \\ v_{k,1} \lambda_k \\ \vdots \\ v_{k,n-1} \lambda_k \\ v_{k,n} \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{k,n} \lambda_k^n \\ v_{k,n} \lambda_k^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{k,n} \lambda_k^2 \\ v_{k,n} \lambda_k^1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that for the n -th element is

$$\varepsilon v_{k,n} = v_{k,n} \lambda_k^n = v_{k,n} \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i2\pi k}{n}} \right)^n = v_{k,n} \varepsilon \cdot e^{i2\pi k}.$$

Choosing the scale of all eigenvectors such that the element $v_{k,n} = 1$, can we determine a general expression of the eigenvectors to be

$$v_k = \begin{bmatrix} v_{k,0} \\ v_{k,1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{k,n-1} \\ v_{k,n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_k^n \\ \lambda_k^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i2\pi k}{n}} \right)^n \\ \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i2\pi k}{n}} \right)^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{i2\pi k}{n}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

2.4 Answer 2c

We recall the theorem given in Mayers [2].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $B = A^T A$ by $\lambda_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Then,*

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_k \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that $\hat{A}^T = \hat{A}^{-1}$, so by computing the matrix

$$\hat{A}^{-1} \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & \varepsilon^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

can we determine the eigenvalues.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\hat{A}^{-1} \hat{A} - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda & \dots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & \varepsilon^2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\varepsilon^2 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda)^{n-1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $|\lambda_{\max}|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ and $|\lambda_{\min}|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \varepsilon$, since $\varepsilon < 1$. And therefore can conclude that $\|\hat{A}\|_2 = 1$. Similarly, for the inverse matrix \hat{A}^{-1} is $\|\hat{A}^{-1}\|_2 = \varepsilon^{-1}$. We therefore end up with

$$K_2(\hat{A}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

3 Problem X

3.1 Problem Description

Let A be any invertible $n \times n$ - matrix. Suppose that δA is the smallest possible matrix, measured in a subordinate (natural) matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $A + \delta A$ is singular. Show that

$$\|\delta A\| = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$$

3.2 Answer

Assume that $A + \delta A$ is singular such that

$$\det(A + \delta A) = 0.$$

Then can we find a vector x which satisfies

$$Ax + \delta Ax = 0.$$

It is then possible to rewrite such that

$$Ax = -\delta Ax.$$

$$x = -A^{-1}\delta Ax$$

$$\|x\| = \|A^{-1}\delta Ax\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta A\| \|x\|.$$

Clearly, can it be observed that

$$\|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq \|\delta A\|.$$

Let us choose a candidate for δA such that $\delta A = -\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}xy^T$ where

$$\|x\| = 1, \quad \|y\|_* := \max_{z \neq 0} \frac{|y^T z|}{\|z\|} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A^{-1}\| = y^T A^{-1} x.$$

Firstly, we need to prove that $\|\delta A\| = \|A^{-1}\|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta A\| &= \| \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} xy^T \| = \left\| \frac{xy^T}{y^T A^{-1} x} \right\| \\ &\leq \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \|x\| \|y^T\| \\ &= \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

... Did not finish proof.

4 References

References

- [1] Alfio Quarteroni, Riccardo Sacco, and Fausto Saleri. *Numerical mathematics*, volume 37. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [2] Endre Süli and David F Mayers. *An introduction to numerical analysis*. Cambridge university press, 2003.