Numerical Maths

isakhammer

A20

Contents

1	Lec	ture 1 3
	1.1	Practical Information
	1.2	M2 Basic Linear Algebra
		1.2.1 Background summary
		1.2.2 Linear Independence
		1.2.3 Inverse of an matrix
		1.2.4 Permutation Matrix
		1.2.5 Types of Matrices
2	Lec	ture 3 - August 25 - 2020 5
	2.1	Continuation of previous lecture
	2.2	Conjugate Gradient Method Algorithm 6
	2.3	Simplification
	2.4	Modified algorithm
	2.5	Convergence of the Conjugate Gradient Algorithm
	2.6	Next Lecture Hint
3	Lec	ture $01/09/20$
	3.1	Flow of a vector field
	3.2	Numerical Integration of ODE
		3.2.1 The Simplest Schemes
		3.2.2 The most important classes of one-step schemes 10
		3.2.3 Runga kutta methods
	3.3	Analysis of one-step methods
4	Lec	ture 2020-09-14 12
	4.1	Order of linear multistep methods
	4.2	Difference equations and (zero) stability
5	Lec	ture 2020-09-15 17
	5.1	Convergence of multistep methods
	5.2	Backwards difference formulas (BDF)
	5.3	Absolute stability of multistep methods

6 References 21

1 Lecture 1

1.1 Practical Information

- Brynjulf Owren, room 1350, Sentralbygg 2, brynjulf.owren@ntnu.no
- Alvar Lindell, room 1201, Sentralbygg 2, alvar.lindell@ntnu.no

There will be a total of 6 assignment where 4 should be approved. It should be delivered in blackboard as a jupyter notebook file including some control questions.

- **Project 1** It counts 10 procent on the final grade, relatively small work, but somewhat large assignment. Every student submits her own separate .ipynb file. Discuss problem if you like, but make your own write-up. Likely to be a topic of algebra. Deadline. 10-15 September.
- **Project 2** Counts 20 procent on the final grade. Group project 1-3 students. Numerical ODE and may some optimization.

Lecture contents of the course

- Introduction 3.6%
- Numerical linear algebra 21.4%
- Numerical ODE 28.6%
- Nonlinear Systems and Numerical Optization 7.1%

May be jupyter programming on the exam.

1.2 M2 Basic Linear Algebra

1.2.1 Background summary

Vectors. Most of the time we think of vectors as n-plets of real numbers.

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Vecotrs are columns vectors if row vectors are needed use.

$$v^T = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear Transformations are given by $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. These are represented ass $m \times n$ matrices. $A = ((a_{ij}))$ such that $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$. Notation $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$(Av)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}v_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

If $A = ((a_{ij}))$, B $((b_{ij}))$ then A + B = C, $C = ((c_{ij}))$, $c_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$. Given to matrices, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$

$$\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$(A \cdot B)_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^k a_{ir} b_{ri}$$

1.2.2 Linear Independence

Let assume that we have v_1, \ldots, v_k be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$ be scalar if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i v_i = 0 \quad \text{then is} \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = 0$$

Then v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k is linear independent.

1.2.3 Inverse of an matrix

If there is a matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I$$

Then B is the inverse of A. B is denoted $B = A^{-1}$ Basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Any set of n linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is called a basis.

1.2.4 Permutation Matrix

Permuation Matrix. Let $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the identity matrix. I has columns e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n where e_i is the i-th canonical unit vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots 1 \dots 0 \end{bmatrix} = e^T$$

Let $p = \begin{bmatrix} i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ Be a permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The permutation matrix.

Implement example snippet

The inverse of a permutation matrix in $P^{-1} = P^T$ and $(P^{-1})_{ij} = P_{ji}$.

1.2.5 Types of Matrices

• Symmetric: $A^T = A$

• Skew symmetric: $A^T = -A$

• Orthogonal. $A^T A = I$

Fix a way to have notation on top of arrow and a better snippet for the summation. Might also train making quick vector notations.

2 Lecture 3 - August 25 - 2020

2.1 Continuation of previous lecture

Lets find a practical computation of $p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \ldots$ Always start with $p^{(0)} = r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$. Suppose that $p^{(0)}, \ldots, p^{(k)}$ have been found. Set $p^{(k+1)} = r^{(k+1)} - b_k p^{(k)}$. Require that

$$0 = \left\langle p^{(k)}, p^{(k+1)} \right\rangle_A = \left\langle p^{(k)}, r^{(k+1)} \right\rangle - \beta_k \left\langle p^{(k)}, p^{(k)} \right\rangle$$
so
$$\beta_k = \frac{\left\langle p^{(k)}, r^{(k+1)} \right\rangle_A}{\left\langle p^{(k)}, p^{(k)} \right\rangle_A}$$

Note that $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k p^{(k)}$ and

$$b - Ax^{(k+1)} = b - Ax^{(k)} - \alpha_k Ap^{(k)}$$

$$\underbrace{r^{(k+1)} = r^{(k)} - \alpha_k Ap^{(k)}}_{\text{essential}}$$

Let $V_k = span\{p^{(0)},\ldots,p^{(k)}\}$ and since $r^{(0)} = p^{(s)}, \quad r^{(k+1)} = p^{(k+1)} - \alpha_k A p^{(k)}$, it happens that $Ap^{(k)} \in V_{k+1}$, we have

$$V_k = span\left\{r^{(0)}, \dots, r^{(k)}\right\}$$

We want to prove that $\langle p^{(k+1)}, p^{(j)} \rangle = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$

$$\left\langle r^{(k+1)} - \beta_k p^{(k)}, p^{(j)} \right\rangle_A = \left\langle r^{(k+1)}, p^{(j)} \right\rangle - \beta_k \left\langle p^{(k)}, p^{(j)} \right\rangle_A$$

We know that

$$Ap^{(j)} \in V_{j+1}, \quad Ap^{(j)} = \sum_{e=0}^{j+1} c_e p^{(e)}$$

$$\left\langle r^{(k+1)}, p^{(j)} \right\rangle_A = \sum_{e=0}^{j=1} \left\langle r^{(k+1)}, c_e p^{(e)} \right\rangle$$

Chosing the search directions like this is corresponding to the Conjugate gradient method.

2.2 Conjugate Gradient Method Algorithm

$$x^{(0)} \text{ is given}$$

$$r^{(0)} = b - A \cdot x^{(0)}$$

$$p^{(s)} = r^{(s)}$$
For $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$

$$\begin{cases}
\alpha &= \frac{p^{(k)T}r^{(k)}}{p^{(k)T}Ap^{(k)}} \\
x^{(k+1)} &= x^{(k)} + \alpha p^{(k)} \\
r^{(k+1)} &= r^{(k)} - \alpha_k Ap^{(k)} \\
\beta_k &= \frac{\left(Ap^{(k)}\right)^T r^{(k+1)}}{\left(Ap^{(k)}\right)^T p^{(k)}} \\
p^{(k+1)} &= r^{(k+1)} - \beta_k p^{(k)}
\end{cases}$$

2.3 Simplification

We want to simplify the expression for α_k and β_k

$$p^{(k+1)} = r^{(k+1)} - \beta_k p^{(k)}$$

$$p^{(k)} = r^{(k)} - \beta_{k-1} p^{(k-1)} \implies \text{multiply} \quad r^{(k)T}$$

$$r^{(k)T} p^{(k)} = ||r^{(k)}||_2^2 - \beta_{k-1} r^{(k)T} p^{(k-1)}$$
So $\alpha_k = \frac{||r^{(k)}||_2^2}{p^{(k)} A p^{(k)}}$

$$r^{(k+1)T} p^{(k+1)} = ||r^{(k+1)}||^2 - \beta_k r^{(k+1)T} p^{(k)}$$

$$r^{(k)T} p^{(k+1)} = -\beta_k r^{(k)T} p^{(k)} = -\beta_k ||r^{(k)}||^2 = ||r^{(k+1)}||^2$$

In the end is the results

$$eta_k = -rac{\|r^{(k)}\|^2}{\|r^{(k+1)}\|^2} \quad ext{and} \quad lpha_k = rac{\|r^{(k)}\|_2^2}{p^{(k)}Ap^{(k)}}$$

2.4 Modified algorithm

$$p^{(0)} = r^{(0)}$$

$$r_{l} = ||r^{(0)}||^{2}$$
For $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$

$$\begin{cases} v = Ap^{(k)} \\ t = p^{(k)T}v & \to \text{saxpy} \\ \alpha_{k} = \frac{r_{l}}{t} & \to \text{saxpy} \\ x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_{k}p^{(k)} & \to \text{inner product} \\ r_{c} = ||r^{(k+1)}||^{2} & \to \text{saxpy} \\ p^{(k+1)} = r^{(k+1)} + \frac{r_{c}}{r_{l}}p^{(k)} & \to \text{saxpy} \\ r_{l} & \leftarrow r_{c} \end{cases}$$

Operations done in the numerical method

$$A \times \text{vector} \quad [B(h^2) \quad \text{for full matrices}]$$

2.5 Convergence of the Conjugate Gradient Algorithm

Since all search directions are mutually A- orthogonal. theu are linearly indepedent. After n iterations, they span all of \mathbb{R}^n . Since the residuals $r^{(n)}$ is orthogonal to all of $r^{(0)}, \ldots, r^{(n-1)}$ must be 0 and therefore

$$0 = r^{(0)n} = b - Ax^{(n)} \to Ax^{(n)} = b$$

But then the algorithm is only competitive when it terminates in $k \ll n$ iterations with a sufficient accurate solution.

Theorem 2.1. Let A be SPD. The error after k iterations is bounded as

$$||e^{(j)}||_A \le \frac{2c^k}{1+c^{2k}}, \quad c = \frac{\sqrt{K_2(A)}-1}{\sqrt{K_2(A)}+1}$$

Remark. $||v||_A = \sqrt{v^T A v}$

2.6 Next Lecture Hint

Next lecture will be about precondition. We solve Ax=b . An equivalent formulation is to pick an invertible P and solve

$$P^{-1}Ax = xP^{-1}$$
$$\hat{A}x = \hat{b}$$

Criteria

- 1. Let P approximate A
- 2. Should be cheap to solve systems

$$P^{-1}y = c$$

3 Lecture 01/09/20

Well posedness of the inital value problem.

$$\dot{y} = f(t, y), \quad y(0) = y_0$$

Is stable on [0,T] if for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ m there are $(\delta_0, \delta(t))$ s.t.

$$\|\delta_0\| < \varepsilon$$
, $\|\delta(t)\| < \varepsilon$, $t \in [0, T]$

Such that $\|y\left(t\right)-z\left(t\right)\| < C \cdot \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in [0,T]$ for some constant $C.\ z\left(t\right)$ solves the IVP

$$\dot{z}(t) = f(t, z(t)) + \delta(t), \quad z_0 = y_0 + \delta_0$$

One can prove that if f is Lipschitz (constant L), then the solution is stable $C=(1+T)e^{tL}$.

3.1 Flow of a vector field

For us a vector field is a continuous map

$$f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

For a fixed value of t consider the map $\phi_{t,f}(y_0) = y(t)$ is called the t-flow of the vector field f. Its domain of definition may depend on t and f.

Suppose that

$$\phi_{t_1,f}(\phi_{t_2,f}(y_0)) = \phi_{t_1,t_2,f}(y_0)$$

and

$$\phi_{-t_1,f}(\phi_{t_1,f}(y_0)) = \phi_{0,f}(y_0) = y_0 \implies (\phi_{t,f})^{-1} = \phi_{-t,f}$$

Typical notation:

$$\phi_{t,f}\left(y_{0}\right) = e^{tf}y_{0}$$

3.2 Numerical Integration of ODE

Always assume a finite time interval [0, T].

Vector field (f) does not contain parameters. Split the finite interval into subintervals

$$t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t^N = T$$

Often we assume $t_n=t_0+nh$, where h is the step size. Also we may have $h=\frac{T-t_0}{N}$. For $t=t_j$, let $u_j\approx y\left(t_j\right)=y_j$ and $f_jf\left(t_j,y_j\right)$

Two classes of methods

- 1. One-step method, $u_{n+1} = \chi_{n+1}(u_n)$
- 2. Multistep methods, u_{n+1} depends on $u_n, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_{nk+1}$ and also $f_{n+1}, f_n, \ldots, f_{n-k+1}$

3.2.1 The Simplest Schemes

- 1. **Euler**, $u_{n+1} = u_n + hf(t_n, u_n)$
- 2. Backward Euler (Implicit Euler)

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hf(t_{n+1}, u_{n+1})$$

3. Trapezoid rule.

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} \left(f \left(\right) \right)$$

4. Midpoint rule

$$u_{n+1} = u_n 0 h f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, \frac{y_n + y_{n+1}}{2}\right)$$

3.2.2 The most important classes of one-step schemes

Taylor Series methods.

$$\dot{y} = f(t, y), \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

If y(t) is sufficiently smooth then we can compute the taylor expansion such that

$$y(t+h) = y(t)h\dot{y}(t) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\ddot{y}(t) + \dots + \frac{1}{q!}h^qy^{(q)} + R_{q+1}.$$

where

$$R_{q+1} = \frac{y^{(q+1)}(\zeta)}{(q+1)!}, \quad \zeta \in (t, t+h)$$

Compute $y^{(k)}(t)$, k > 1 from diff eq.

$$\ddot{y}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}f(t, y(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t, y(t)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y(t))\dot{y}(t)$$
$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, y(t)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y(t)) \cdot f(t, y(t))$$

Similary we can compute

$$y^{(3)}(t), y^{(4)}(t), \dots$$

and plug this into the taylor expansion and ignore the remainder term.

Example. Let $\dot{y} = y^2$ and $y(0) = y_0$ such that

$$\ddot{y} = 2y\dot{y} = 2y^3$$
, $y^{(3)} = 6y^2\dot{y} = 6y^4$, $y^{(k)} = k!y^{(k+1)}$

This can be plugged into the taylor expansion such that

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + hy(t)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}h^{2}2y(t)^{2} + \dots = y(t) + hy(t)^{2} + \dots$$

which ends up with the method

$$y_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{q} h^{k-1} y_n^k$$

3.2.3 Runga kutta methods

Generalization class of methods. COntains Euler, Backwards Euler, Trapozoidal Rule, Midpoint and more. The format is described like this

$$K_i = f\left(t_n + c_i h, u_n + \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} K_j\right) \quad i = 1, \dots, s$$
$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h \sum_{j=1}^s b_j K_j$$

- Explicit RK Methods . $a_{ij} = 0, \quad j \ge i$
- Butcher Tablaeuz

3.3 Analysis of one-step methods

The exact solution does not obey the numerical formula. We write the formula as

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h\phi_{h,f}(t_n, u_n)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\phi_{h,f}(t_n, y_n) + \varepsilon_{n+1}$$

We set $\varepsilon_{n+1} = h\tau_{n+1}\left(h\right)$ where $\tau_{n+1}\left(h\right)$ is the local trunction error.

Define also

$$\tau\left(h\right) = \max_{n} \left\|\tau_{n+1}\left(h\right)\right\|$$

A method is called **consistent** if $\lim_{h\to 0} \tau(h) = 0$. If $\tau(h) = O(h^p)$ as $h\to 0$ then of has order (of consistency) p.

4 Lecture 2020-09-14

Local Error and order conditions

Multistep methods - k-step.

$$\alpha_k u_{n+k} + \alpha_{k-1} u_{n+k} + \dots + \alpha_0 u_n = h \left(\beta_k f_{n+k} + \dots + \beta_0 f_n \right)$$

$$\alpha_0 \text{ or } \beta_0 \neq 0, \alpha_k \neq 0 \text{ often } \alpha_k = 1$$
(1)

We have the local arror $y_k - u_k$. where we assume $u_j = y_j, j = 0, \dots, k-1$ (exact input values). For any multistep method define

$$L(y,t,h) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (\alpha_i y(t+ih) - h\beta_i y'(t+ih))$$

From the formula (1) we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\alpha_i y_i - h\beta_i f(t_i, y_i) + \alpha_k u_k - h\beta_k f(t_k, u_k)) = 0$$
$$L(y, t_0, h) = \alpha_k (y_k - u_k) - h\beta_k (f(t_k, y_k)) f(t_k, u_k))$$

By taylor expansion

$$L(y, t_0, h) = \left(\alpha_k I - h\beta_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_k, \mu)\right) (y_k - u_k)$$
$$y_k - u_k = \left(\alpha_k I - h\beta_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t_k, \mu)\right)^{-1} L(y, t_0, h)$$
$$\mu = \theta u_k + (1 - \theta) y_k, \quad \theta \in (0, 1)$$

For the local error : $y_k - u_k = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} L\left(y, t_0, h\right) \left(1 + O\left(h\right)\right)$

4.1 Order of linear multistep methods.

Two equivalent definition of order

- For any sufficuent smooth function $y\left(t\right)$, we have $L\left(y,t,h\right)=O\left(h^{p+1}\right)$
- The local error is $y_k u_k = U(h^{p+1})$.

Definition 4.1. The two polynomials $\rho(z)$, $\sigma(z)$

$$\rho(z) = \alpha_k z^k + \alpha_{k+1} z^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_0$$

$$\sigma(z) = \beta_k z^k + \beta_{k-1} z^{k-1} + \dots + \beta_0$$

Theorem 4.1. The method has order p if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied.

(i)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_i = 0$$
 and $\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_i i^q = q \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_i i^{q-1}, \quad q = 1, \dots, p$

(ii)
$$\rho(e^h) - h\sigma(e^h) = O(h^{p+1})$$
 as $h \to 0$

(iii)
$$\frac{\rho\left(z\right)}{\ln z} - \sigma\left(z\right) = O\left(\left(z-1\right)^p\right) as z \to 1$$

Proof. (i)

$$L(y,t,h) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(\alpha_{i} \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{i^{q} h^{q}}{q!} y^{(q)}(t) - h \beta_{i} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{i^{r} h^{r}}{r!} y^{(r+1)}(t) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{i} y(t) + \sum_{q \geq 1} \frac{h^{q}}{q!} y^{(q)}(t) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} i^{q} - q \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_{i} i^{q-1} \right)$$

$$= O(h^{p+1}) \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{i} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{i} i^{q} - q \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_{i} i^{q-1} = 0, \quad q = 1, \dots, p$$

Example. 2-step explicit Adams

$$u_{n+2} - u_{n+1} = h\left(\frac{3}{2}f_{n+1}\frac{1}{2}f_n\right)$$

$$\alpha_2 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = -1, \alpha_0 = 0, \beta_2 = 0, \beta_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$$

• q = 0

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} \alpha_i = 0 - 1 + 1 = 0$$

• q = 1

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} i\alpha_i - 1\sum_{i=0}^{2} \beta_i i^0 = (-1+2) - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

• q = 2

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} i^{2} \alpha_{i} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2} i \beta_{i} = \left[-1 + 2^{2} \cdot 1 \right] - 2 \left[0 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) + 1 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \right] = 0$$

• q = 3

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} i^{3} \alpha_{i} - 3 \sum_{i=0}^{2} i^{2} \beta_{i} = \left[-1 + 2^{3} \cdot 1 \right] - 3 \left[1 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \right] = \frac{5}{2} \neq 0$$

The method has order p=2

Definition 4.2. Error constant. We found in the proof

$$L\left(y,t,h\right) = \sum_{q>0} Cqh^{q}y^{(q)}\left(t\right)$$

and $p \implies C_0 = C_1 = \ldots = C_p = 0$, where C_{p+1} is called **the error** constant.

Definition 4.3. Consistency. (11.6). A multistep method is consistent if $p \ge 1$. $C_0 = C_1 = 0$. Can be formulated as

$$P(1) = 0, \quad P'(1) = \sigma(1)$$

Usual assumption: P(z) and $\sigma(z)$ have no common factors

$$p(z) = \alpha_k (z - r) \dots (z - r_k), \quad \sigma(z) = \beta_k (z - s_1) \dots (z - s_k)$$

Then $r_i \neq s_j$ for all i, j.

4.2 Difference equations and (zero) stability

Consider the multistep method

$$u_{n+2} + 4u_{n+1} - 5u_n = h\left(4f_{n+1} + 2f_n\right)$$

Check order

$$c_0 = \sum \alpha_i = 0$$

$$c_1 = (4+2) - (4+2) = 0$$

$$c_2 = (4+4) - 2(1 \cdot 4) = 0$$

$$c_3 = (4+8) - 3 \cdot (1 \cdot 4) = 0$$

$$c_4 = (4+16) - 4 \cdot (1 \cdot 4) = 4$$

Apply method to the problem y' = y with y(0) = 1

$$u_{n+2} + 4u_{n+1} - 5u_n = h (4u_{n+1} + 2u_n)$$

$$u_{n+2} + 4 (1 - h) u_{n+1} - (3 + 2h) u_n = 0$$
 (2)

Try to insert solution $y_j = z^j$ in the equation into (2)

$$z^{n+2} + 4(1-h)z^{n+1} - (3+2h)z^n = 0.$$

Ignore z = 0

$$z^{2} + 4(1-h)z - (5+2h) = 0$$

Two roots, z_1 and z_2 . The general solution is

$$u_n = A \cdot z_1 \left(h\right)^n + B z_2 \left(h\right)^n$$

The roots

$$z_{1,2} = -2 + 2h \pm \sqrt{4h^2 - 6h + 9}$$

Leads to
$$z_1 = 1 + h + O(h^2)$$
, $z_2 = -5 + 3h + O(h^2)$.

 z_1 reflects the true solution, but z_2 is a spurious solution (falsk løsning). Remark. $|z_2(h)|^n \to \infty$, even for small h.

This method cannot converge even though we have seen that P=3.

Consider $y'=f\left(t,y\right):=0.$ In general for a multistep method applied to y'=0 will give you

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j u_{n+j} = 0$$

Solve by setting $u_i = z^i$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j z^j = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P(z) = 0$$

First consistency condition says P(1) = 0, so $z_1 = 1$ is a root. We also get

 z_2, \ldots, z_k some may be multiple roots

$$P(z) = (z - \xi_1)^{m_1} (z - \xi_2)^{m_2} \dots (z - \xi_\mu)^{m_\mu}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} m_i = k$$

The general solution is

$$u_n = p_1(n) \xi_1^n + \ldots + P_{\mu}(n) \xi_{\mu}^n$$

where p_i is a polynomial of degree $m_i - 1$.

Definition 4.4. The method is zero-stable if P(z)

- (i) The roots ξ_i satisfied $|\xi_i| \leq 1$
- (ii) for root ξ_i such that $|\xi_i| = 1$, then $m_i = 1$

5 Lecture 2020-09-15

Example. The Adams Methods. We have

$$u_{n+k} - u_{n+k-1} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_k f_k$$

Which means

$$\rho(z) = z^{k} - z^{k-1} = z^{k-1} (z - 1)$$

$$\zeta_{1} = 1, \quad m_{1} = 1, \quad \zeta_{2} = 0, \quad m_{2} = k - 1$$

All Adams methods are zero-stable.

The first Dahlsquist barrier. We have in principle 2k+1 parameters

$$\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_k$$

For order p

$$p + 1$$
 conditions $c_0 = c_1 = \ldots = c_p = 0$

Should be able to obtain order 2k.

Curiously, this is not reconcilable with zero-stability.

Theorem 5.1. The order p of a zero-stable k- step multistep-method satisfies

$$p \le k+2$$
, k is even $p \le k+1$, k is odd $p \le k$, $\frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k} \le 0$ (explicit methods included.)

The proof is rather involved and is not included in this course.

5.1 Convergence of multistep methods.

Assume f is continuous and Lipschitz continuous.

$$y' = f\left(t, y\right)$$

on some domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t, y) : t \in [t_0, T], ||y(t) - y|| \le b\}$$

here is y(t) the exact solution. Applying a method to this problem, results in a sequence $\{u_i\}, i \geq 0$ of approximations. For a fixed $t \in [t_0, T]$ and h such that

$$\frac{t-t_0}{h} = n$$
, define

$$u_h(t) = u_n$$

Definition 5.1. Converges.

(i) The multistep method converges if for all f as defined

$$y(t) - u_n(t) \to 0, \quad t \in [t_0, T]$$

whenever the starting values satisfy

$$y(t_0 + ih) - u_n(t_0 + ih) \to 0, \quad i \in 0, \dots, k - 1$$

(ii) The methods is convergent of order p, isf for any sufficently smooth f, there is h_0 such that the behaviour

$$||y(t) - u_h(t)|| \le ch^p, \quad 0 < h \le h_0$$

whenever

$$||y(t_0+ih)-u_n(t_0+ih)|| \le c_0h^p, \quad i=0,\ldots,k-1$$

Theorem 5.2. A multistep method converges

 \downarrow

It is zero stable and consistent.

5.2 Backwards difference formulas (BDF)

Idea. We use indices $u_{n-k+1}, \ldots, u_{n+1}$. Construct a polynomial q(t) that interpolates the

$$(t_{n-k+1}, u_{n-k+1}), \ldots, (t_{n+1}, u_{n+1}).$$

Then the set

$$q'\left(t_{n+1}\right) \approx f\left(t_{n+1}, u_{n+1}\right)$$

Keeping in mind that $y'(t_{n+1}) = f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$. This defines the BDF-methods. We can write q(t) as follows

$$q\left(t\right) = q\left(t_{n} + sh\right) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(-1\right)^{j} \begin{pmatrix} -s+1 \\ j \end{pmatrix} \nabla^{j} u_{n+j}$$

Here is

$$\nabla^{0} u_{n+1} = u_{n+1}, \quad \nabla u_{n+1} = u_{n+1} - u_{n}$$

$$\nabla^{r} u_{n+1} = \nabla \left(\nabla^{r-1} u_{n+1} \right)$$

$$\nabla^{2} u_{n+1} = \nabla \left(\nabla u_{n+1} \right) = \nabla \left(u_{n+1} - u_{n} \right)$$

$$= \nabla u_{n+1} - \nabla u_{n} = u_{n+1} - u_{n} = (u_{n} - u_{n-1})$$

$$= u_{n+1} - 2u_{n} + u_{n-1}$$

Note:

$$(-1)^{j} {-s+1 \choose j} = \frac{1}{j!} (s+1) s (s-1) \dots (s+j-2)$$

Differentiate q(t) and set s = 1, then we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j} \nabla^{j} u_{n+1} = h f_{n+1}, BDF \text{ formula}$$

Example. Find BDF formula

(i) k = 1: Backward Euler Method

(ii) k = 2:

$$\nabla u_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u_{n+1} = h f_{n+1}$$

$$\implies u_{n+1} - u_n + \frac{1}{2} (u_{n+1} - 2u_n + u_n) = h f_{n+1}$$

$$\frac{3}{2} u_{n+1} - 2u_n + \frac{1}{2} u_n = h f_{n+1}$$

BDF-methods are zero-stable only if $k \leq 6$.

5.3 Absolute stability of multistep methods

- \bullet Zero-stability is necessary for convergence. Fundamentally property and considers $h \to 0$.
- Absolute stability. For which stepsizes do we get a stable method.

Again, consider model problem

$$y' = \lambda y, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

We cen then solve it by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_j u_{n+j} = h\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j u_{n+j}, \quad z = h\lambda$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} (\lambda_j - z\beta_j) u_{n+j} = 0$$

if we let $u_i = \zeta^i$, then is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{j} \zeta^{j} = z \sum_{j=0}^{k} \implies \rho(\zeta) = z\sigma(\zeta)$$

We need to understand for wich z the root condition holds for the roots $\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\ldots,\zeta_k$

$$|\zeta_i| \leq 1 \quad \forall i, \quad \text{and if} \quad |\zeta| = 1, \quad \text{then} \quad m_j = 1$$

Example. BDF-2-step

$$\frac{3}{2}u_{n+2} - 2u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}u_n = h\lambda u_{n+2}$$
$$\left(\frac{3}{2} + z\right)u_{n+2} - 2u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}u_n = 0$$
$$u_i = \zeta^i$$
$$\left(\frac{3}{2} - z\right)\zeta^2 - 2\zeta + \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

Digression. if $\rho(\zeta) = a\zeta^2 + b\zeta + c$ one can prove that roots ζ_1 and ζ_2 satisfy $|\zeta_i| < 1$, if and only if

- (i) |a| < c
- (ii) |a+c| < |b|

6 References