## TMA4183

## Optimisation II

Spring 2020

Norwegian University of Science and Technology Department of Mathematical Sciences

Exercise set 5

1 Assume that  $\vec{b} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a continuously differentiable, divergence free vector field on  $\Omega$  (that is, div  $\vec{b} = 0$  in  $\Omega$ ) and that  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Consider the PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u) - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$
(1)

a) Provide a weak formulation of this PDE and show that it has a unique solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Hint: Recall the Poincaré inequality  $||u||_{H^1} \leq C_{\Omega} ||\nabla u||_{L^2}$  for  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

- b) Assume that  $f_k \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and denote by  $u_k$  the solution of (1) with right hand side  $f_k$ , and by u the solution of (1) with right hand side f. Show that  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .
- Possible solution:
  - a) For the weak formulation of the PDE, we multiply with a test function  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  (since we have Dirichlet boundary conditions on the whole of  $\Gamma$ , the test functions need to be zero on the whole boundary) and integrate, obtaining the equation

$$\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u) - \Delta u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx.$$

Now we integrate the second term by parts, which gives us

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} (\partial_{\nu} u) v \, dx.$$

However, the boundary integral is zero, because the test function v is zero on  $\Gamma$ . Thus we obtain the weak formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For existence and uniqueness, we use the Lax–Milgram theorem. To that end, we write

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx,$$
$$\ell(v) = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx.$$

Since

$$\ell(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \le \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)},$$

it follows that  $\ell$  is a bounded linear form on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Because div  $\vec{b}=0$  we have that

$$\operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u) = \operatorname{div}(\vec{b})u + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla u = \vec{b} \cdot \nabla u.$$

Thus we have

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} (\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx \le ||\vec{b}||_{L^{\infty}} ||\nabla u||_{L^{2}} ||v||_{L^{2}} + ||\nabla u||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla v||_{L^{2}}$$

$$\le (||\vec{b}||_{L^{\infty}} + 1) ||u||_{H^{1}} ||v||_{H^{1}},$$

which shows that a is a bounded bilinear form on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It thus remains to show that a is coercive, that is, that

$$a(u, u) \ge C \|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

for some C>0 and all  $u\in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . In order to show such an estimate, we note first that

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)u \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} (\vec{b}u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} (\vec{b}u) \cdot \nu u \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} (\vec{b}u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)u \, dx$$

for all  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Here we have again used the assumption that  $\operatorname{div}(\vec{b}) = 0$ . This shows that, actually,

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)u \, dx = 0,$$

and therefore

$$a(u, u) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)u + \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx = \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

By the Poincaré inequality, we can estimate this further by

$$a(u, u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \ge C_{\Omega}^2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2,$$

which shows the coercivity of a.

As a consequence, a is bounded and coercive, and  $\ell$  is bounded linear, and thus the Lax–Milgram theorem implies that the PDE has a unique solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In addition, the solution u satisfies the stability estimate

$$C_{\Omega}^{2} \|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} = a(u, u) = \ell(u) \le \|f\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}} \le \|f\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{H^{1}}$$

and therefore

$$C_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \|u\|_{H^1} < \|f\|_{L^2}.$$
 (2)

b) Since we have a linear PDE, the solution mapping  $f \mapsto u$  is linear as well. Moreover, because of (2) it is bounded and thus weakly continuous, that is, if  $f_k \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  then the corresponding solutions  $u_k$  converge weakly to the solution u of (1).

Alternatively, one can verify the convergence  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  by hand: Because of the bound (1), the sequence  $u_k$  is bounded, and thus has a weakly convergent subsequence. Now let  $\{u_{k'}\}$  be any convergent subsequence and denote its weak limit by  $\tilde{u}$ . We have to show that  $\tilde{u}$  solves the PDE. However, if  $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  is any test function, then the weak convergence of  $u_{k'}$  to  $\tilde{u}$  implies that

$$a(u_{k'}, v) = \int_{\Omega} v\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u_{k'} + \nabla u_{k'} \cdot \nabla v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} v\vec{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = a(\tilde{u}, v)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{k'} v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx.$$

Since

$$a(u_k, v) = \int_{\Omega} f_k v \, dx$$

for all k, this shows that

$$a(\tilde{u}, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$$

and thus  $\tilde{u}$  solves (1), that is,  $\tilde{u} = u$  (since the solution is unique). Because this holds for any convergent subsequence, the whole sequence  $u_k$  converges weakly to u.

We now consider the same basic PDE (1) but add a non-linear sink term: We assume that  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $g(x) \geq 0$  for a.e. x and consider the PDE

$$g \arctan u + \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u) - \Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
 $u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$  (3)

- a) Provide a weak formulation of this PDE and show that it has a unique solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .
- b) Assume that  $g_k(x) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and that  $g_k \rightharpoonup g$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Denote by  $u_k$  the solution of (3) with sink term  $g_k \arctan u$ , and by u the solution of (3) with sink term  $g \arctan u$ . Show that  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

Hint: At some point it might help to verify that the convergence  $u_k \to u$  weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$  implies that  $\arctan u_k \to \arctan u$  strongly in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \le q < \infty$ .

- Possible solution:
  - a) The weak formulation for this PDE can be obtained in the same way as for the previous problem, the only difference being the additional term  $g \arctan u$ . We obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g \arctan(u)v + \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For proving existence and uniqueness, we can no longer make use of the Lax–Milgram theorem, as we are now dealing with a non-linear PDE. Instead, we have to use the Browder–Minty theorem. The right hand side of the PDE is, as above, a bounded linear form. Thus we "only" have to show that the operator  $A: H_0^1(\Omega) \to H_0^1(\Omega)^*$ ,

$$u\mapsto A(u)=\left[v\mapsto \int_{\Omega}g\arctan(u)v+\operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)v+\nabla u\cdot\nabla v\,dx\right]$$

is coercive, strictly monotone and demi-continuous (or hemi-continuous).

We start by proving the coercivity. Since  $g \ge 0$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}(\arctan(t)) = \operatorname{sgn}(t)$  for all t, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} g \arctan(u) u \, dx \ge 0$$

for all u. Thus, using the results of the previous exercise,

$$A(u)(u) \ge \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)u + \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx \ge C_{\Omega}^2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \tag{4}$$

and thus

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{H^1} \to \infty} \frac{A(u)(u)}{\|u\|_{H^1}} \geq \lim_{\|u\|_{H^1} \to \infty} C_{\Omega}^2 \|u\|_{H^1} = +\infty,$$

which shows the coercivity of A.

Next we show the strict monotonicity of A. Here we have that

$$A(u)(u-v) - A(v)(u-v) = \int_{\Omega} g(\arctan(u) - \arctan(v))(u-v) dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}(u-v))(u-v) + \nabla(u-v) \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx.$$

As in the previous exercise, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}(u-v))(u-v) \, dx = 0.$$

Moreover, because  $g \geq 0$  and arctan is increasing, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} g(\arctan(u) - \arctan(v))(u - v) \, dx \ge 0.$$

Thus

$$A(u)(u-v) - A(v)(u-v) \ge \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u-v) \cdot \nabla(u-v) \, dx = \|\nabla(u-v)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \ge C_{\Omega}^{2} \|u-v\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if u = v. Thus A is strictly monotone.

Finally, we show that A is demi-continuous. To that end, we assume that  $u_k \to u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and that  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is fixed. Then we immediately see that

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u_k)v + \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx.$$

Moreover, after possibly passing to a subsequence, we have that

$$g(x) \arctan(u_k(x))v(x) \to g(x) \arctan(u(x))v(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . In addition,  $|\arctan(t)| \leq \pi/2$  for all t, and thus

$$|g \arctan(u_k)v| \le \frac{\pi}{2}|gv|.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |gv| \, dx \le ||g||_{L^2} ||v||_{L^2} < \infty,$$

it follows that |gv| is summable. Thus we can use Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence and obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} g \arctan(u_k) v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} g \arctan(u) v \, dx.$$

This proves that A is demi-continuous, and thus the Browder–Minty theorem implies that the PDE (3) has a unique solution.

**b)** Because of (4), we have that

$$C_{\Omega}^{2} \|u_{k}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leq A(u_{k})(u_{k}) = \int_{\Omega} fu_{k} \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}} \|u_{k}\|_{L^{2}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}} \|u_{k}\|_{H^{1}},$$

which implies that the sequence  $u_k$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Thus it admits a weakly convergent sub-sequence. Choose now any weakly convergent sub-sequence and denote by  $\tilde{u}$  its weak limit. We need to show that  $\tilde{u}$  solves the limiting PDE (3). Let therefore  $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  be any test function. Because of the weak convergence of  $u_k$  to  $\tilde{u}$  in  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ , we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u_k)v + \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}\tilde{u})v + \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx. \tag{5}$$

Moreover.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} g_{k} \arctan(u_{k}) v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g \arctan(\tilde{u}) v \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} g_{k} (\arctan(u_{k}) - \arctan(\tilde{u})) v \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (g_{k} - g) \arctan(\tilde{u}) v \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \|g_{k}\|_{L^{2}} \|(\arctan(u_{k}) - \arctan(\tilde{u})) v\|_{L^{2}} + \left| \int_{\Omega} (g_{k} - g) \arctan(\tilde{u}) v \, dx \right|.$$
(6)

Because  $|\arctan(t)| \leq \pi/2$  and  $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  (and thus in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ), it follows that  $\arctan(\tilde{u})v \in L^2$ . The weak convergence  $g_k \to g$  in  $L^2$  therefore implies that the last term in (6) tends to zero.

Moreover, the weak convergence  $u_k \to \tilde{u}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  implies strong convergence  $u_k \to \tilde{u}$  in  $L^2$ . After passing to a subsequence, we can thus assume that  $u_k(x) - \tilde{u}(x) \to 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . In addition,

$$(\arctan(u_k) - \arctan(\tilde{u}))^2 v^2 \le \frac{\pi^2}{4} v^2,$$

which is a summable function. Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence implies therefore that

$$\|(\arctan(u_k) - \arctan(\tilde{u}))v\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\Omega} (\arctan(u_k) - \arctan(\tilde{u}))^2 v^2 dx \to 0.$$

As a consequence, the right hand side in (6) converges to zero. Together with (5), we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} g_k \arctan(u_k)v + \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}u_k)v + \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla v \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} g \arctan(\tilde{u})v + \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}\tilde{u})v + \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx.$$
(7)

Since  $u_k$  solves the PDE with sink term  $g_k \arctan(u_k)$ , it follows that the left hand side in (7) is equal to  $\int_{\Omega} f v \, dx$  for all k. Thus we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} g \arctan(\tilde{u})v + \operatorname{div}(\vec{b}\tilde{u})v + \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx.$$

Since this holds for all test functions  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we obtain that  $\tilde{u}$  solves the PDE (3). The uniqueness of the solution of (3) now implies that  $\tilde{u} = u$  and that the whole sequence  $u_k$  converges weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$  to u.