We had 16 responses in total to the survey. Here is an aggregation of the responses:

**1) By which method would you prefer that Section officers reach you with information about Section activities? Please select all that apply.**

* By email (16)
* Google group (2)
* Group website (2)

**2) Would you be likely to follow social media posts by the section? Please select all that apply.**

* No (8)
* Yes, Facebook (3)
* Yes, Twitter (7)

**3) If you attended a Section webinar or viewed one, how did you enjoy the webinar(s)? - Please answer on a scale from 1 (little) to 5 (very much).**

* 5 (very much) (6)
* Did not answer (10)

**4) Referring to the webinars, do you have any suggestions on how we can improve the experience?**

* Perhaps include more introductory topics to widen interest?
* Maybe there could be a nomination/voting process for the webinar topic choices.
* So far, the webinars have been as good as others I have viewed.
* Did not answer (13)

**5) If you did not attend either webinar, would you please share with us the reason you have not participated?**

* The topics were not interesting for me. (3)
* I did not have time (4)
* I did not hear about the event in time (3)
* Did not answer (6)

**6) Regarding the webinars, which topics would you like to see covered in a future webinar?**

* More clinical trial designs, analysis of trials
* Design of Experiments. Model verification/selection. Project planning and adaptive decision making under uncertainty
* Pharmaceutical industry specific talks and examples.
* There are lots of good journal articles outside of Bayesian Analysis that should be considered.
* Maybe a discussion of methods that then can be applied? For example, the use of dirichlet priors.
* I would like to see a range of topics relating to the section's name. A few topics are imaging, population pharmacokinetic modeling, microbiome, epidemiology, healthcare decision making.
* Include some areas of nonclinical biopharmaceutical statistics (e.g. CMC, assay development)
* Did not answer (9)

**7) Is the section meeting your expectations?**

* Yes (8)
* Under the circumstances, it is hard to complain. But, there should be more member engagement whether online or in-person.
* Have not been as involved as I would like!
* Did not answer (6)

**8) Which additional activities would you like the Section to organize?**

* Zoom meet and greets, mentoring opportunities (both as mentor and as mentee)
* How to find good Blogs? (It is clear that Blogs are not a replacement for quality literature.)
* More conferences and workshops
* An annual, or every other year, conference
* In the current pandemic, it is difficult to foresee the future. But, it would be great if the section hosted/co-hosted in-person meetings perhaps in conjunction with JSM and/or the ISBA World meetings, e.g., satellite meetings.
* Basics!
* More webinars in different time zones and courses
* Perhaps we can figure out a way for more junior members to meet more senior members for mentoring.
* More meeting and virtual-conferences
* Official section meeting
* Did not answer (6)

**9) Do you have any suggestions on how the Section can be improved?**

* More special issues in Bayesian Analysis Journal
* In the age of data protection, is there a possibility to see members that are physically near by?
* Organize activities to get the section members more involved.
* The membership needs to grow. There could be cross-promotions, e.g., all young investigators of j-ISBA could be given a membership (for students and new investigators during their first 5 years) to attract a new generation of members.
* Continuing on the path of the new initiatives will continue to improve the Section and bring in more members.
* Did not answer (11)