

# Structure of this week's classes

BFS vs. DFS

DFS - 4 Step Process

4-Step Process: Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree

DFS BackTracing - N Queens



BFS vs. DFS

DFS - 4 Step Process

4-Step Process: Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree

DFS BackTracing - N Queens


# DFS vs. BFS



## Difference DFS vs. BFS

- ▶ BFS is more suitable when the solution is near the root (more "optimistic"), DFS is more suitable when the solution can be anywhere in the tree (more "pessimistic")
- ▶ DFS is more suitable for game/puzzle problems, i.e., exploring all paths for finding the optimal/sum/all solution
- ▶ BFS is more suitable for shortest path problems
- ▶ Time complexity:  $O(|V|+|E|)$ ,  $O(|V| + |E|)$ , space complexity:  $O(W)$ ,  $O(h)$
- ▶ For more of BFS/DFS difference please see <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/difference-between-bfs-and-dfs/>.

# BFS for Shortest Path



- ▶ Finding the shortest path from Frankfurt to any cities
- ▶ Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm (using Greedy approach)
- ▶ A well explained tutorial for Dijkstra's algorithm: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pVfj6mxhdMw> (starting 2:08)

BFS vs. DFS

DFS - 4 Step Process

4-Step Process: Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree

DFS BackTracing - N Queens

# The 4-Step Process for DFS in Binary Tree

- ▶ What information should the children return to the parent?
- ▶ What information should the parent pass on to the children?
- ▶ Handle the terminal nodes
- ▶ Update the optimal/complete/sum solution

## Last Lecture: Valid BST

```
public boolean recursive_is_bst(Node<E> root, E lower_bound,
E upper_bound) {
    if (root == null) return true;

    if (root.value.compareTo(lower_bound) <= 0 ||
        root.value.compareTo(upper_bound) >= 0) return false;

    return recursive_is_bst(root.l_child, lower_bound,
        root.value) && recursive_is_bst(root.r_child, root.value,
        upper_bound);
}
```

- ▶ In last lecture, we talked about the above algorithm for checking whether a binary tree is a valid BST
- ▶ We can rewrite the above method as the method in the next page



# The 4-Step Process: Valid BST

```
boolean is_valid_bst = true;

public void recursive_is_bst2(Node<E> root, E lower_bound
, E upper_bound) {

    if (root == null) return;

    if (root.value.compareTo(lower_bound) <= 0 ||
        root.value.compareTo(upper_bound) >= 0)
        is_valid_bst = false;

    recursive_is_bst2(root.l_child, lower_bound, root.value);
    recursive_is_bst2(root.r_child, root.value, upper_bound);
}
```

recursive\_is\_bst is more efficient than  
recursive\_is\_bst2, Why?

# The 4-Step Process: Valid BST

- ▶ What information should the parent pass on to the children?
  - ▶ lower bound and upper bound
- ▶ Handle the terminal nodes

```
if (root == null) return;
```

- ▶ Update the optimal/complete/sum solution

```
if (root.value.compareTo(lower_bound) <= 0 ||  
    root.value.compareTo(upper_bound) >= 0)  
    is_valid_bst = false;
```

BFS vs. DFS

DFS - 4 Step Process


4-Step Process: Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree

DFS BackTracing - N Queens

# Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree


- ▶ A isosceles triangle contains three nodes
- ▶ Two nodes are on the same level
- ▶ The third node is the first two node's LCA (lowest common ancestor), and
- ▶ The three nodes must form a triangle

# Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree




# Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree

$$count = \sum_{node\ n} count(n\ as\ root)$$




## How to Count *count(n as root)*

- ▶ left\_path\_len: length of path that starts from the root and keeps going left;
- ▶ right\_path\_len: length of path that starts from the root and keeps going right;



## How to Count *count(n as root)*

$$\text{count}(n \text{ as root}) = \min(n.\text{left\_path\_len}, n.\text{right\_path\_len})$$





## Ideas - 4 Steps

- ▶ Step 1: What is the output of the recursive function?
  - ▶ i.e., after we are done with the left child, what information should it return to the parent?
- ▶ Step 2: What information should the parent pass to the children?
- ▶ Step 3: How to handle the terminal cases?
- ▶ Step 4: Updating the optimal solution at each node

## Step 1: What to return to parent

How to update left\_path\_len and right\_path\_len?

- ▶  $n.\text{left\_path\_len} = 1 + n.l\_child.\text{left\_path\_len}$
- ▶ Therefore, set left\_path\_len as the output

```
public Integer count_iso_triangle(parent)
    ...
    child_left_path_len = count_iso_triangle(parent.l_child);
    ...
    return child_left_path_len + 1;
}
```

## Step 1: What to return to parent


How to update left\_path\_len and right\_path\_len?

- ▶ set left\_path\_len and right\_path\_len as the output
- ▶ Java does not allow two outputs
- ▶ Return a Pair<Integer> object

```
protected class Pair<E>{  
    E value1;  
    E value2;  
  
    protected Pair(E value1, E value2) {  
        this.value1 = value1;  
        this.value2 = value2;  
    }  
}
```

## Step 2: What to pass to children?

Nothing, because *count*(*n as root*) does not depend on any recursive information above node *n*, e.g., depth of *n*



```
public Pair<Integer> count_iso_triangle(Node<Integer> root) {  
    ...  
}
```

## Step 3: Handling terminal cases

- ▶ If node is null, return 0, 0
- ▶ If node does not have left child, return 0 for left\_path\_len
- ▶ If node does not have right child, return 0 for right\_path\_len

## Step 4: Updating the Optimal Solution



At each node, update *count(n as root)* with *min(n.left\_path\_len, n.right\_path\_len)*

```
total_iso_triangle += Math.min(l_depth, r_depth);
```

Run test code: `count_iso_triangle`

## HW4 Part 1: Iso Triangle 2

Count the number of second type of iso triangles:



BFS vs. DFS

DFS - 4 Step Process

4-Step Process: Counting Isosceles Triangles in a Binary Tree


DFS BackTracing - N Queens



# DFS BackTracing - N Queens

- ▶ DFS beyond binary tree
- ▶ So far we have been seeing examples where the solution is based on node values in the tree
- ▶ DFS can be used for playing games, where the solution is based on a series of decisions, where one decision can depends on another
- ▶ Example: N Queens

# N Queens



- ▶ Chess, 8x8 matrix
- ▶ No two queens can be on the same row/column/diagonal.
- ▶ Print all the solutions

# N Queens

```
1 5 8 6 3 7 2 4
1 6 8 3 7 4 2 5
1 7 4 6 8 2 5 3
1 7 5 8 2 4 6 3
2 4 6 8 3 1 7 5
2 5 7 1 3 8 6 4
2 5 7 4 1 8 6 3
2 6 1 7 4 8 3 5
2 6 8 3 1 4 7 5
2 7 3 6 8 5 1 4
2 7 5 8 1 4 6 3
2 8 6 1 3 5 7 4
3 1 7 5 8 2 4 6
3 5 2 8 1 7 4 6
3 5 2 8 6 4 7 1
3 5 7 1 4 2 8 6
3 5 8 4 1 7 2 6
3 6 2 5 8 1 7 4
3 6 2 7 1 4 8 5
3 6 2 7 5 1 8 4
3 6 4 1 8 5 7 2
3 6 4 2 8 5 7 1
3 6 8 1 4 7 5 2
```

- ▶ 92 solutions
- ▶ Every solution consists of 8 numbers
- ▶ 1586...: place the following 8 queens:  
(1, 1), (2, 5), (3, 8), ...

# N Queens - DFS

```
/**
 * Recursive algorithm: for each column, try searching
 * to place the queen at each row
 * @param board
 * @param col
 */
public void try_place_queen(int board[][], int col) {
    // if reaching the terminal, it means no violation
    // therefore update the optimal solution
    if (col >= N) {
        printSolution(board);
        return;
    }
}
```

## Checking validity of partial solution

```
/* Search by col: try placing the queen at col
 * on row = i */
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    /* check the validity of the partial solution
     * if it's safe, continue the search, otherwise,
     * prune the partial solution and search the next solution
     */
    if (isSafe(board, i, col)) {
        board[i][col] = 1;
        /* for the next col, enumerate the row number */
        try_place_queen(board, col + 1);
        board[i][col] = 0; // BACKTRACK
    }
}
```

# Checking validity of partial solution


```
/** check whether the existing partial solution allow us
 * place the queen at position (row, col)
 * @param board
 * @param row
 * @param col
 * @return
 */
public boolean isSafe(int board[][], int row, int col)
{
    int i, j;
    /* Check whether there are elements on the same row
     * There will not be elements on the same col,
     * because we are enumerating on the col
     */
    for (i = 0; i < col; i++)
        if (board[row][i] == 1)
            return false;
}
```

## Checking validity of partial solution

```
/* Check whether there are elements on the same
upper diagonal */
for (i = row, j = col; i >= 0 && j >= 0; i--, j--)
    if (board[i][j] == 1)
        return false;
/* Check whether there are elements on the same
lower diagonal */
for (i = row, j = col; j >= 0 && i < N; i++, j--)
    if (board[i][j] == 1)
        return false;

return true;
}
```

# N Queens - DFS



|



## DFS - Summary

- ▶ Search within a *problem space* for the *optimal solution*: e.g., all iso triangles, all n-dimensional array that satisfy the NQueens definition

$$solution = \operatorname{argmax}_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} score(s)$$

- ▶ Exhaustive search requires exponential time
- ▶ DFS saves time by *pruning*, e.g., rejecting partial solutions for NQueens that already violates the rule, do not proceed with deeper branches, instead backtrack