

## xLR(k): deterministic bottom-up parsing

Parsing  
ISCL-BA-06

Çağrı Çöltekin  
ccoltekin@fs.uni-tuebingen.de

University of Tübingen  
Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft

Winter Semester 2020/21

version: 001/2021-01-02 20

## Recap: bottom-up parsing

- Start from the input symbols, try to *reduce* the input to the start symbol
- Unlike top-down parsing where *productions* drive the parsing, in bottom-up parsing *reduction* is the main operation
- Reduction matches RHS of a grammar rule, and replaces it with its LHS
- A typical bottom-up parser has two basic operations
  - reduce*: replace one more move symbols in the sentential form with their LHS non-terminal
  - shift*: move the next unprocessed symbol from the input to the sentential form

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
	dmvan	shift

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dn	van	shift

shift/reduce conflict

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnva	n	shift

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnva AN		$r. AN \rightarrow a AN$
dnva AN		$r. NP \rightarrow AN$

reduce/reduce conflict

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnv AN		$r. NP \rightarrow a AN$

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
d	mvan	shift

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnv	an	shift

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnvan		$r. AN \rightarrow a AN$

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnva AN		$r. AN \rightarrow a AN$
dnva NP		reject

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

### Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$   $NP \rightarrow d AN$   $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$   $AN \rightarrow a AN$   $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
dn	van	$r. AN \rightarrow n$
dnv AN		$r. NP \rightarrow a AN$

Ç. Çöltekin, ISL | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21 2 / 19



## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP	van	shift

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP v	an	shift

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP va	n	shift

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP van		$r: AN \rightarrow n$

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP va AN		$r: AN \rightarrow a AN$
NP va AN		$r: NP \rightarrow AN$

reduce/reduce conflict

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP va AN		$r: AN \rightarrow a AN$
NP va NP		reject

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP v AN		$r: NP \rightarrow AN$

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP v NP		$r: VP \rightarrow v NP$

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
NP VP		$r: S \rightarrow NP VP$

## Bottom-up (shift-reduce) parsing: an example

$S \rightarrow NP VP$     $NP \rightarrow d AN$     $NP \rightarrow a AN$   
 $VP \rightarrow v NP$     $AN \rightarrow a AN$     $AN \rightarrow n$

SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
S		accept

## Two issues with a backtracking shift-reduce parser

- Obvious one: reduce/reduce and shift/reduce conflicts mean non-determinism
- Not-so-obvious one: recognizing 'handles':
  - The rule that we locate at the right edge of the active sentential form is called a *handle*.
  - For variable RHEs, we need to search the grammar to determine which rule applies (if any)
- In an efficient parser we want to avoid both

## Table driven bottom-up parsing

- The extra work done by a backtracking shift-reduce parser can be eliminated for a large class of grammars
- The general idea is the same with LL(k) grammars: preprocess the grammar to construct a table
- The class of LR(k) (scanning from *Left-to-right*, producing a *Rightmost derivation*) grammars can be parsed deterministically using k lookahead symbols
- $k = 1$  is most common, LR(0) parser are also useful in some cases, larger k allows expressive grammars
- LL(k) grammars are a subset of LR(k) grammars
- Most practical programming language compilers are LR(1) parsers
- LR(k) parsers are difficult to build manually, but tools that take a CF grammar and construct and LR(1) parser are in common use (e.g., yacc)

## LR(0) automaton

- [illegible]

Bottom-up parsing: *recap*    **Table driven bottom up parsing**

- Bottom-up parsing: recap Table-driven bottom-up parsing

Wiley-Blackwell 2020/21 8 / 19Bottom-up parsing: *recup*    *Table driven bottom up parsing*

### Example

Bottom-up parsing: *rev up* Table drives bottom-up parsing

state		GOTO							
	ACTION	a	d	n	v	S	NF	VP	AN
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ S								
2	reduce NF $\rightarrow$ AN								
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4	
4	reduce S $\rightarrow$ NP VP								
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ a AN								
7	shift	5	8	9	e	10			2
8	shift	5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ n								
10	reduce VP $\rightarrow$ v NP								
11	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ d AN								

STATE	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
08	d	n v a n g	shift

Bottom-up parsing: `recup`    `Table driven bottom up parsing`

### Example

Bottom-up parsing: *recap*    **Table drives bottom-up parsing**

state	ACTION	GOTO							
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' $\rightarrow$ S								
2	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ AN								
3	shift	e	e	e	e	7	e	4	
4	reduce S $\rightarrow$ NP VP								
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ a AN								
7	shift	5	8	9	e	10		2	
8	shift	5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ n								
10	reduce VP $\rightarrow$ v NP								
11	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ d AN								

STAGE	SENTE. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0811	d AN	van g	NP → d AN

Bottom-up parsing: *recap*    **Table driven bottom up parsing**

### Example

Bottom-up parsing: recap Table drives bottom-up parsing

state	ACTION	GOTO							
		a	d	n	S	NP	VP	AN	
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' $\rightarrow$ S								
2	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ AN								
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4	
4	reduce S $\rightarrow$ NP VP								
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ a AN								
7	shift	5	8	9	e	10	2		
8	shift	5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ n								
10	reduce VP $\rightarrow$ V NP								
11	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ d AN								

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 3 7	NP v	a n \$	shift

Bottom-up parsing: *no* **Table driven bottom up parsing**

### Example

Bottom-up parsing: *rev up* Table drives bottom-up parsing

state	ACTION	GOTO							
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' $\rightarrow$ S								
2	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ AN								
3	shift	e	e	e	e	7	e	4	
4	reduce S $\rightarrow$ NP VP								
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ a AN								
7	shift	5	8	9	e		10		2
8	shift	5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN $\rightarrow$ n								
10	reduce VP $\rightarrow$ v NP								
11	reduce NP $\rightarrow$ d AN								

STATE	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
03759	NP v an	ε	shift

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 9

state	ACTION	GOTO								
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN	
0	shift		5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce $S' \rightarrow S$									
2	reduce $NP \rightarrow AN$									
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4		
4	reduce $S \rightarrow NP VP$									
5	shift	5	e	9	e					6
6	reduce $AN \rightarrow a AN$									
7	shift	5	8	9	e		10			2
8	shift	5	e	9	e					11
9	reduce $AN \rightarrow n$									
10	reduce $VP \rightarrow v NP$									
11	reduce $NP \rightarrow d AN$									

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 3 7 5 6	NP v a AN	\$ AN $\rightarrow$ n	

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 11

state	ACTION	GOTO									
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN		
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2		
1	reduce S' → S										
2	reduce NP → AN										
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4			
4	reduce S → NP VP										
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6		
6	reduce AN → a AN										
7	shift	5	8	9	e		10			2	
8	shift	5	e	9	e					11	
9	reduce AN → n										
10	reduce VP → v NP										
11	reduce NP → d AN										

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 3 7 10	NP v NP	\$ NP → AN	

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 13

state	ACTION	GOTO							
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' → S								
2	reduce NP → AN								
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4	
4	reduce S → NP VP								
5	shift	5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN → a AN								
7	shift	5	8	9	e		10		2
8	shift	5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN → n								
10	reduce VP → v NP								
11	reduce NP → d AN								

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 1	S	\$ S → NP VP	

## Limitations of LR(0)

- Assume we have an additional rule: VP → v
- This would lead to a LR(0) automaton entry



- We have a shift/reduce conflict
- A simple solution (SLR): shift if possible, otherwise reduce
- In general LR(0) parsers/grammars are limited, for most purposes we need more powerful parsers

## Why use xLR(k) parsers?

- LR(k) parsers general, efficient (non-backtracking) shift-reduce parsers
- LR(k) parsers can be constructed for (almost) any formal/programming language constructs
- In general LR(k) grammars are more expressive. LL(k) is a subset of LR(k)
- LR(k) parsers can detect syntax errors as soon as it is possible to detect them

## What about natural language parsing

- Natural languages are inherently ambiguous
- As a result, we cannot use these parsers for parsing natural languages
- Nevertheless, the techniques are useful
  - We can use LR-like parsers to reduce the non-determinism: GLR parsers (also known as Tomita parser)
  - Instead of a table-driven parser, we can predict the action with a machine learning method: transition-based dependency parsers do that

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 10

state	ACTION	GOTO								
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN	
0	shift		5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' → S									
2	reduce NP → AN									
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4		
4	reduce S → NP VP		5	e	9	e				
5	shift		5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN → a AN									
7	shift		5	8	9	e		10		2
8	shift		5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN → n									
10	reduce VP → v NP									
11	reduce NP → d AN									

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 3 7 2	NP v AN	\$ AN → a AN	

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 12

state	ACTION	GOTO									
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN		
0	shift	5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2		
1	reduce S' → S										
2	reduce NP → AN										
3	shift	e	e	e	7	e		4			
4	reduce S → NP VP										
5	shift	5	e	9	e					6	
6	reduce AN → a AN										
7	shift	5	8	9	e		10			2	
8	shift	5	e	9	e					11	
9	reduce AN → n										
10	reduce VP → v NP										
11	reduce NP → d AN										

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 3 4	NP VP	\$ VP → v NP	

## Example

Parsing with LR(0) automaton 14

state	ACTION	GOTO								
		a	d	n	v	S	NP	VP	AN	
0	shift		5	8	9	e	1	3	e	2
1	reduce S' → S									
2	reduce NP → AN									
3	shift		e	e	e	7	e		4	
4	reduce S → NP VP									
5	shift		5	e	9	e				6
6	reduce AN → a AN									
7	shift		5	8	9	e		10		2
8	shift		5	e	9	e				11
9	reduce AN → n									
10	reduce VP → v NP									
11	reduce NP → d AN									

  

STACK	SENT. FORM	INPUT	ACTION
0 1	S	\$ accept	

## LR parsers with lookahead

- LR(k): parsers augment the chart entries (items) with lookahead
- Lookahead allows LR(k) parser to parse a larger class of grammars
- The disadvantage is much larger chart sizes
- Another option is the LALR(k) parsers which use a smaller automaton
- LALR(1) parsers and parser generators are commonly used in practice

## LR grammars and ambiguity

- LR(k) parsers cannot handle ambiguity
- If a grammar is ambiguous we cannot construct an LR(k) parse table for it
- In general, determining whether a grammar is ambiguous is intractable
- This is sometimes used for a test for ambiguity:
  - If we can build a LR(k) parser for a grammar, then it is not ambiguous
  - If we cannot, it is inconclusive

## Summary

- xLR(k) parsers are powerful bottom-up deterministic parsers
- LR grammars are more general than LL grammars
- These parsers are difficult to build manually, but automatic parser generators exist
- Although they cannot handle ambiguity, the similar ideas are also used in natural language parsers to reduce the non-determinism
- Understanding the concepts here is useful for building parser generators and understanding the related natural language parsers
- Reading suggestion: Grune and Jacobs (2007, ch.9), Aho et al. (2007, Section 4.5–4.7)

Acknowledgments, references, additional reading material

*Xie, Alfred Y., Monica S. Lam, Kari Selke, and Jeffrey D. Ullman (2007). **Complexity: Principles, Techniques, & Tools**. Pearson/Addison-Wesley. <https://www.pearson.com/us/higher-education/9780131857940>.*

*Grane-Dick and Corral J.R. Jacobs (2007). **Proving Techniques: A Practical Guide**. second. Monographs in Computer Science. The book address is available at <http://dl.acm.org/BookStore?cid=9783540740516>. Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9910-5>.*

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.1

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.2

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.3

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.4

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.5

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.6

C. Gökçen, SR | University of Tübingen

Winter Semester 2020/21A.7