## Exercise session 13: Edge-colourings, Ramsey theory, and the probabilistic method · 1MA020

Vilhelm Agdur<sup>1</sup>

27 November 2023

We consider the notion of an edge-colouring, and some results of Ramsey theory. We see how the probabilistic method can be used to prove various results in graph theory.

## Edge-colourings

In our last lecture, we looked at the notion of a vertex colouring of a graph, and derived a few results about it. Of course, vertices are not the only thing we could be colouring – we could also look at colouring the *edges* of a graph.

**Definition 1.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A *proper*<sup>2</sup> *k-edge-colouring* is a function  $c : E \to [k]$  such that no two edges which are incident to each other (i.e. share an endpoint) are assigned the same colour. If we do not have this restriction on incident edges, we call it just an (improper) edge colouring.

The *edge-chromatic number* of G, denoted  $\chi_1(G)$ ,<sup>3</sup> is the smallest integer k such that G has a proper k-edge-colouring.

**Exercise 2.** We observed for vertex colourings that there are trivial bounds for it in terms of the clique number and the independence number, and that each colour class is an independent set.

Now, observe that a proper edge-colouring of G is just a vertex colouring on the line graph of G. Use this observation to derive bounds on  $\chi_1(G)$ . What are the colour classes of a proper edge-colouring, using a term we've already defined?

In our study of vertex colourings, we proved that all planar graphs can be coloured with five colours, using a trick known as Kempe changes.<sup>4</sup> You can do something similar for proper edge-colourings, considering edge-induced components of edges with two colours and swapping those.

**Exercise 3.** Use a trick like this to prove the following theorem by König:<sup>5</sup>

**Theorem 2** (König, 1916). *For every bipartite graph G with maximal degree*  $\Delta$ , we have  $\chi_1(G) = \Delta$ .

¹ vilhelm.agdur@math.uu.se

- <sup>2</sup> Unlike for vertex colourings, we will actually be interested in improper edge colourings more often than proper ones, so we choose the opposite convention of including the word proper and omitting the word improper for them.
- $^3$  This is sometimes also called the *chromatic index* of G. The 1 in the notation indicates that edges are one-dimensional if we ever need to refer to both the chromatic number and the edge-chromatic number at the same time, we may thus denote the chromatic number by  $\chi_0(G)$ , since vertices are zero-dimensional. In some texts the edge-chromatic number is denoted by  $\chi'(G)$ , but  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  look way too similar in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{T}} X$  and on a blackboard, so let us avoid that notation.

**Exercise 1.** Given this little discussion, can you offer a definition of what  $\chi_2(G)$  might refer to for a plane graph?

- <sup>4</sup> We defined exactly what these are in the previous exercise session.
- <sup>5</sup> This exercise is probably a little bit tough, but should be doable if you give it some time. It definitely isn't as quick as the other ones could be, though.