

# Lecture 14: The probabilistic method and the Erdős-Rényi random graph · 1MA020

Vilhelm Agdur<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> vilhelm.agdur@math.uu.se

28 November 2023

We introduce a new tool to the course, the probabilistic method, and use it to prove some new results. We also introduce the Erdős-Rényi random graph, which is interesting in its own right as well as being a tool in the probabilistic method.

## The minimum bisection problem

Suppose we are given a graph  $G$ , which is too large to fit on a single computer – so we wish to distribute the computation we want to do on the graph between two machines. We imagine the computation we want to do is in some sense possible to do “locally” – so if the graph had two equally sized connected components, the two computers wouldn’t have to talk to each other at all.

Of course, most graphs do not split up that nicely, but we can still try to divide it into two equally sized parts that have as few edges between them as possible. How well can we do this?

**Proposition 1.** For any graph  $G = (V, E)$  on  $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$  vertices with maximum degree  $\Delta < \frac{n}{2}$ , there exists a partition  $A \sqcup B$  of  $V$ , such that  $|A| = |B| = \frac{n}{2}$ , and

$$e(A, B) \leq \frac{|E|}{2},$$

where  $e(A, B)$  is the number of edges between  $A$  and  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G^c$  be the complement graph of  $G$  – that is,  $G^c$  has the same vertices as  $G$ , and there is an edge  $x \sim y$  in  $G^c$  if and only if  $x \sim y$  is not an edge of  $G$ .

Since we assumed  $\Delta < \frac{n}{2}$ , it is clear that the *minimum* degree of  $G^c$  is at least  $\frac{n}{2}$ , and so by Dirac’s theorem<sup>2</sup> there exists a Hamilton cycle in  $G^c$ . Picking every second edge of this Hamilton cycle, we get a perfect matching  $M$  on  $G^c$ .

<sup>2</sup> Which we proved earlier in the course.

Now, we apply the probabilistic method, picking a random partition  $A \sqcup B$  of  $V$ : For each edge of  $M$ , we randomly place one of its endpoints in  $A$  and one in  $B$ , independently between different edges. We can then compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|e(A, B)|] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{x \sim y \in E} \mathbb{1}_{\{x \sim y \in E(A, B)\}}\right] \\ &= \sum_{x \sim y \in E} \mathbb{P}(x \text{ and } y \text{ are in different sets}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, whenever  $x$  and  $y$  are not connected by an edge in  $M$ , their assignment to  $A$  or  $B$  is independent, and so the probability that they are in different sets is precisely  $\frac{1}{2}$ . However, we picked our matching  $M$  so that it is a perfect matching on  $G^c$  – in particular this means that  $M \cap E = \emptyset$ , and so all of the probabilities that we are summing must in fact be  $\frac{1}{2}$  by our previous argument.

So the sum is just a sum of  $|E|$  many  $\frac{1}{2}$ 's, and so what we have seen is that  $\mathbb{E}[|e(A, B)|] = \frac{|E|}{2}$ . Now, we know that the expectation is never greater than every specific outcome, so there must exist some choice of  $A$  and  $B$  with no more than  $\frac{|E|}{2}$  edges between them, and we have shown the proposition.  $\square$

### The Erdős-Rényi graph

As we saw in the previous exercise session, the simplest and most common random graph model is the Erdős-Rényi graph, where all edges appear independently with the same probability. Let us restate the definition here, for completeness.

**Definition 2.** For any integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ , the Erdős-Rényi graph  $G(n, p)$  is a random graph on  $n$  vertices,<sup>3</sup> where each of the  $\binom{n}{2}$  potential edges is present independently at random with probability  $p$ .

<sup>3</sup> We will normally assume its vertex set is  $[n]$ , unless otherwise stated.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\hat{G} = (V, E)$  be a labelled graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $G = G(n, p)$  be an Erdős-Rényi graph on the same number of vertices. The probability that  $G = \hat{G}$  is precisely

$$\mathbb{P}(G = \hat{G}) = p^{|E|}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-|E|},$$

and so in particular if  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , the Erdős-Rényi graph is in fact a uniformly random graph.

We proved two things about the Erdős-Rényi graph during the exercises, but let us restate those results and their proofs here.

**Lemma 4.** If  $G = G(n, p)$  is an Erdős-Rényi graph, the probability that it has an independent set of size at least  $k$  is bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}(\alpha(G) \geq k) \leq \binom{n}{k}(1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* There are a total of  $\binom{n}{k}$  subsets of  $[n]$  of size  $k$ , so for each such set  $X \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ , let  $A_X$  be the event that  $X$  is an independent set in  $G$ .

Clearly,  $A_X$  happens whenever none of the  $\binom{k}{2}$  possible edges inside  $X$  are present, which happens with probability  $(1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}}$ . So

we can calculate, using a union bound, that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\alpha(G) \geq k) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{X \in \binom{[n]}{k}} A_X\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{X \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbb{P}(A_X) \\ &= \sum_{X \in \binom{[n]}{k}} (1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}} = \binom{n}{k} (1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last equality that we had  $\binom{n}{k}$  summands, giving the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** For any  $p \in (0, 1)$ , if  $G_n = G(n, p)$  is an Erdős-Rényi graph for each  $n$ , it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{diam}(G_n) = 2) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is somewhat cumbersome to explicitly write out the sequence of random graphs and the limit every time we want to say something about an asymptotic property of the Erdős-Rényi graph, so we will generally use the following way of phrasing such results instead:

**Proposition 6.** For each fixed  $p \in (0, 1)$ , it holds that  $\text{diam}(G(n, p)) = 2$  with high probability.<sup>4</sup>

*Proof.* The only graphs with diameter one are the complete graphs, and it is easy to see<sup>5</sup> that the Erdős-Rényi graph is not complete w.h.p. for any  $p < 1$ . So all we need to do is to show that  $\text{diam } G(n, p) \leq 2$  w.h.p.

So, for any pair of two distinct vertices  $i$  and  $j$ , let  $B_{ij}$  be the event that there is no edge between  $i$  and  $j$  and they additionally have no common neighbour. We call such a pair of vertices a *bad* pair – it is clear that a graph has diameter at most two precisely when it has no bad pairs.

Now we can compute, using the fact that edges appear independently, that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(B_{ij}) &= \mathbb{P}\left((i \sim j \notin E) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\}} (i \sim k \notin E) \vee (k \sim j \notin E)\right)\right) \\ &= (1-p) \prod_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\}} \mathbb{P}((i \sim k \notin E) \vee (k \sim j \notin E)) \\ &= (1-p) \prod_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\}} (1-p^2) = (1-p)(1-p^2)^{n-2},\end{aligned}$$

<sup>4</sup> We also synonymously say that a property holds “asymptotically almost surely” – these two phrases are then abbreviated to “w.h.p.” or “a.a.s.” when convenient. In both cases, what we mean is that the property holds with probability tending to one as we increase the number of vertices of the graph.

<sup>5</sup>

**Exercise 1.** See this.

and so letting  $X$  be the number of bad pairs, we compute using linearity of expectation that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\{i,j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\{B_{ij}\}}\right] \\ &= \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}} \mathbb{P}(B_{ij}) \\ &= \binom{n}{2} (1-p)(1-p^2)^{n-2}\end{aligned}$$

which we easily see goes to zero for fixed  $p \in (0, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The result now follows by following the recipe for the first-moment method, applying Markov's inequality to see that we also have  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

### *Triangles in the Erdős-Rényi graph*

Having seen these two results from the exercises, let us do a slightly more involved calculation, that will make use of the second-moment method. In order to see interesting things happening in the  $G(n, p)$ , we will almost always have to choose a probability  $p$  that shrinks as  $n$  grows, to control the sparsity of the graph.

**Proposition 7.** *If  $p = o(n^{-1})$ ,  $G(n, p)$  a.a.s. contains no triangle. If  $p = \omega(n^{-1})$ ,  $G(n, p)$  contains a triangle w.h.p.<sup>6</sup>*

*Proof.* For each set  $\tau$  of three vertices of  $G$ , i.e. three integers from  $[n]$ , let  $T_\tau$  be the event that they form a triangle, and for convenience let us write  $Y_\tau = \mathbb{1}_{\{T_\tau\}}$  for the indicator variable of this event. It is clear that  $\mathbb{P}(T_\tau) = \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau] = p^3$ , and so if we let the total number of triangles in  $G$  be  $X$ , we compute by linearity of expectation that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\tau \in \binom{[n]}{3}} Y_\tau\right] = \sum_{\tau \in \binom{[n]}{3}} \mathbb{P}(T_\tau) = \binom{n}{3} p^3 \sim (np)^3$$

and so if  $np \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0$ , and so by a first-moment method argument there are w.h.p. no triangles in  $G$ .

Now, to prove that there are triangles if  $p = \omega(n^{-1})$ , it does not suffice to see that the expected number of triangles is high,<sup>7</sup> and so we need to also compute the variance in the number of triangles.

<sup>6</sup> As a recap on the  $O$  and  $\Omega$  family of notations, recall that  $f = o(g)$  means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$ , while  $f = \omega(g)$  means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \infty$ .

We will also use the notations  $f \sim g$ , which means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$ , and  $f = O(g)$ , which means that  $f$  is asymptotically not of higher order than  $g$ , i.e.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < \infty$ .

Finally, we use the notation  $f = \Theta(g)$ , which means that  $f = O(g)$  and  $g = O(f)$ , and use the fact that  $f \sim g$  implies  $f = \Theta(g)$  without comment.

<sup>7</sup> As we discussed in the exercise sheet about this – see them for an explanation of this.

So we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\tau \in \binom{[n]}{3}} Y_\tau\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3}} Y_\tau Y_\sigma\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\tau \in \binom{[n]}{3}} Y_\tau^2 + \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \neq \sigma}} Y_\tau Y_\sigma\right] \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \binom{[n]}{3}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau^2] + \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \neq \sigma}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma],\end{aligned}$$

and for the first of the two sums we can notice that  $Y_\tau = Y_\tau^2$  always, since it is always either zero or one, so that sum is in fact just  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ , and so we have seen that

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \neq \sigma}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma].$$

For the second term, we need to break it into how the two sets  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  can overlap,<sup>8</sup> and so we write that

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \neq \sigma}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] + \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ |\tau \cap \sigma| = 1}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] + \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ |\tau \cap \sigma| = 2}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma]$$

and analyse each case separately.

If they do not overlap at all, then there are six edges that need to be present, and so  $\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^6$ . There are  $\binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3}$  ways to choose two disjoint sets of size three, and so in total<sup>9</sup>

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^6 \binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3}$$

If they overlap in a single vertex, there are still six edges that need to be present, so we still have  $\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^6$ . However, there are  $n \binom{n-1}{2} \binom{n-3}{2}$  ways to choose two sets of size three that overlap in one vertex, and so we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ |\tau \cap \sigma| = 1}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^6 n \binom{n-1}{2} \binom{n-3}{2} = O(p^6 n^5).$$

Finally, if they overlap in two vertices, there are only five edges that need to be present, and so we now have  $\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^5$ . There are

<sup>8</sup> So far, this calculation has been in a sense “entirely standard” – any second-moment method argument will involve these steps, up to looking at how the things we count can overlap. The points where they differ is just in how hard it is to understand these overlaps – fortunately, how two triangles can overlap without being equal is pretty easy to see.

<sup>9</sup> We choose not to summarize this term with a big-O because we will need to compare it to a different thing of the same order later, so we do need the exact value. For the rest of the terms, we summarize them, since we don’t need more details.

$\binom{n}{2}(n-2)(n-3)$  ways to choose two sets of size three that overlap in two vertices, and so we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \binom{[n]}{3} \\ |\tau \cap \sigma| = 2}} \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau Y_\sigma] = p^5 \binom{n}{2} (n-2)(n-3) = O(p^5 n^4).$$

Assembling this calculation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^2] &= \mathbb{E}[X] + p^6 \binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} + O(p^6 n^5) + O(p^5 n^4) \\ &= O(p^3 n^3) + p^6 \binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} + O(p^6 n^5) + O(p^5 n^4) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\ &= O(p^3 n^3) + p^6 \binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} + O(p^6 n^5) + O(p^5 n^4) - \left( \binom{n}{3} p^3 \right)^2 \\ &\leq O(p^3 n^3) + O(p^6 n^5) + O(p^5 n^4) \end{aligned}$$

where we did a somewhat messy calculation to get the final inequality.<sup>10</sup>

So if we follow the recipe we gave in the exercises for the second-moment method, we now need to compare the variance to  $\mathbb{E}[X]^2$ , which we do, seeing that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} &\leq \frac{O(p^3 n^3) + O(p^6 n^5) + O(p^5 n^4)}{(\Theta(n^3 p^3))^2} \\ &= O((pn)^{-3}) + O(n^{-1}) + O((pn)^{-1} n^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which we see must go to zero with  $n$  if  $pn \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n$ . Thus our lemma for the second-moment method gives us that

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

and so  $G$  contains at least one triangle, as desired.  $\square$

### Girth and chromatic number

Already when we first introduced the chromatic number, we mentioned the obvious fact that a large clique forces a graph to have high chromatic number. One might be led by this to believe that being very sparse, without any cliques, would mean you have low chromatic number. This unfortunately turns out to be not at all true, in a pretty strong sense.

<sup>10</sup> In particular, we observe that

$$\binom{n}{3} \binom{n-3}{3} - \left( \binom{n}{3} p^3 \right)^2$$

equals

$$-\frac{1}{12}n(3n^4 - 24n^3 + 71n^2 - 90n + 40)$$

and so it is asymptotically negative, and so we get the inequality when we drop both terms.

**Definition 8.** The *girth* of a graph  $G$  is the length of the shortest cycle in the graph.

So the notion of girth generalizes the notion of being triangle-free, which is just having girth greater than three. Of course any triangle-free graph also contains no larger cliques, so this is indeed a strong notion of having no cliques.

**Theorem 9** (Erdős, 1959). *For all positive integers  $k$  there exists a graph  $G$  of girth and chromatic number at least  $k$ .*

The proof of this uses the probabilistic method, and proceeds by considering a random graph from a suitably chosen distribution, and showing that the probability that it has girth and chromatic number at least  $k$  is greater than 0. We postpone the proof of this until a later lecture, when we will have developed our probabilistic toolbox a bit more.

*Exercises*