# CHAPTER 1 PROBLEMS – ANALYSIS

## Ishaan Ganti Principles of Mathematical Analysis

### 22 april 2024

#### Solution 1-1.

For the sake of contradiction, assume r+x is rational. Then, we can express it in *lowest terms* as some p/q, where  $p,q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since r is rational, we may express it as some m/n,  $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then

$$x=r+x-r=\frac{p}{q}-\frac{m}{n}=\frac{pn}{qn}-\frac{mq}{nq}=\frac{pn-mq}{nq}$$

Implying x is rational, a contradiction. Similarly, for the sake of contradiction, assume rx is rational. We use our previous definition of r and now define rx = p/q. We write

$$x = rx \cdot r^{-1} = \frac{p}{q} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-1} = \frac{p}{q} \cdot \frac{n}{m} = \frac{pn}{qm}$$

Implying x is rational, a contradiction.

#### Solution 1-2.

For the sake of contradiction, let  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfy  $r^2 = 12$ . Then, we may write r = p/q where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p, q \neq 0$ , and the fraction is in *lowest terms*. We write

$$r^2 = (p/q)^2 = 12$$
$$p^2 = 12q^2$$

So  $2 \mid p$  and  $3 \mid p$ , which means  $6 \mid p$ . Let p = 6h. We may write

$$(6h/q)^2 = 12 \rightarrow 36h^2 = 12q^2 \rightarrow 3h^2 = q^2$$

So  $3 \mid q$ . But  $3 \mid p$ , so p/q cannot be in lowest terms, contradiction.

#### Solution 1-4.

Consider some  $e \in E$ . Since  $\alpha$  bounds E from below,  $\alpha \leq e$ . And, since  $\beta$  bounds E from above,  $\beta \geq e$ . Then  $\alpha \leq e \leq \beta \rightarrow \alpha \leq \beta$ .

#### Solution 1-5.

Since A is bounded below, its infimum exists. Let its infimum be  $\alpha$ . -A is given by the set of numbers -x for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $\alpha \leq x$  for all  $x \in A$ ,  $-\alpha \geq -x$ . This follows from

$$\alpha < x \rightarrow \alpha - x < 0 \rightarrow -(\alpha - x) > 0 \rightarrow -\alpha - (-x) > 0 \rightarrow -\alpha > -x$$

Then,  $-\alpha$  must bound -A from above. To determine whether  $-\alpha$  is the supremum of -A, consider some  $\beta < -\alpha$ . Assume  $\beta$  is the supremum of -A. Then

 $-\beta > \alpha$ , so there exists some  $x \in A$  for which  $-\beta > x$ . But then  $\beta < -x \in -A$ , meaning that  $\beta$  cannot be the supremum of -A, contradiction. Thus

$$-\alpha = -\inf A = \sup(-A) \to \inf A = -\sup(-A)$$

#### Solution 1-6.

a. Since m/n = p/q, we have mq = np = v. Then, we may use the integer exponentiation laws to write

$$((b^m)^{1/n})^v = ((b^m)^{1/n})^{np} = (b^m)^p = b^{mp}$$
$$((b^p)^{1/q})^v = ((b^p)^{1/q})^{mq} = (b^p)^m = b^{pm} = b^{mp}$$

Then, both  $(b^m)^{1/n}$  and  $(b^p)^{1/q}$  are solutions to the equation

$$y^v = b^{mp}$$

But the theorem states that the solution y to this equation is unique, so we must have  $(b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q}$ .

b. Since r and s are rational, we may express them as some p/q and m/n. Additionally, note that r+s is then equal to (pn+mq)/(nq). Then consider

$$(b^{r+s})^{nq} = (b^{(pn+mq)/(nq)})^{nq} = b^{pn+mq}$$
  
$$(b^rb^s)^{nq} = b^{rnq}b^{snq} = b^{(p/q)nq}b^{(m/n)nq} = b^{np}b^{mq} = b^{np+mq}$$

And, like in (a), Theorem 1.21 allows us to use this fact to conclude that  $b^{r+s} = b^r b^s$ .