Header

Title: Managing Risk During Sustainability-Driven Material Transitions
Product Line: Cross-Portfolio
Related Project: Plastic to Paper Packaging Transition
Primary Teams: R&D, Supplier Quality, Quality
Document Type: Lessons Learned
Keywords: sustainable design change, material substitution risk, transport validation, humidity exposure, supplier qualification, cosmetic tolerance criteria

---

Context

A sustainability initiative replacing plastic packaging with paper-based materials highlighted risks associated with material substitution, environmental exposure, and regional supplier variability. While the objective was environmental impact reduction, unintended cosmetic and surface damage issues emerged during transport validation.

Observed Patterns

- Material substitutions introduced abrasion characteristics not fully characterised during early design phases.
- Environmental stress factors (humidity, long-duration vibration) significantly affected packaging integrity.
- Regional supplier material consistency varied despite similar specifications.
- Cosmetic damage thresholds were not formally defined at the start of the project.
- Verification protocols initially reflected baseline standards rather than worst-case geographic conditions.

Root Causes Identified

- Sustainability-driven changes were initially treated as low functional risk.
- Abrasion resistance testing was insufficient during early material screening.
- Supplier qualification focused on cost and availability before durability benchmarking.
- Acceptance criteria for cosmetic damage were not standardised before verification planning.

Effective Interventions

- Expanded vibration and humidity simulation to represent extended transport durations.
- Defined cosmetic damage tolerance thresholds prior to final design freeze.
- Implemented supplier durability benchmarking during onboarding.
- Required cross-functional design reviews when sustainability-driven material substitutions occur.
- Established phased rollout with post-market monitoring before global deployment.

Generalised Lessons

1. Sustainability initiatives must undergo the same verification rigour as performance-driven design changes.
2. Material substitutions should trigger enhanced environmental and abrasion testing.
3. Supplier capability should be validated regionally, not assumed globally equivalent.
4. Cosmetic risk criteria must be explicitly defined before verification begins.
5. Phased rollout reduces systemic risk when introducing non-traditional materials.

Applicability to Future Projects

Applicable to:

- Sustainable material transitions
- Packaging redesign initiatives
- Supplier onboarding processes
- Transport validation updates
- Any design change involving external materials or structural protection