Homework 4 (due 4/11 at 2pm) Predicate logic Isha Chaturvedi - ic1018

- 1. **[3.5 points]** Find the predicate logic denotations for sentences (a)-(h). You will be using symbols for conjunction (Λ), disjunction (V), existential quantification (\exists), universal quantification (\forall), implication (\rightarrow), and negation (\neg). You can ignore inflection on the verb.
 - a. [[Something barks]] = $\exists x \text{ barks}(x)$
 - b. [[Something that is a dog barks]] = barks(dog) or $\exists x(dog(x) \rightarrow barks(x)]$ or $\exists x[dog(x) \land barks(x)]$
 - c. [[Some dog barks]] = $\exists x [dog(x) \land barks(x)]$
 - d. [[Some dog barks or growls]] = $\exists x [dog(x) \land barks(x) \lor growls(x)]$]
 - e. [[Some dog does not bark]] = $\neg \forall x [dog(x) \land barks(x)]$
 - f. [[No dog barks]] = $\neg \exists x [dog(x) \land barks(x)]$
 - g. [[Every dog barks]] = $\forall x[dog(x) \land barks(x)]$
- 2. **[3 points]** Derive the meaning of "John or Mary talks" from the meaning of its parts, i.e. provide denotations for (a)-(f) using lambda predicate logic. For each denotation, give its type.

```
a. [[John]] = John, the indivudal called John type: e
```

- b. $[[or]] = \lambda P.\lambda x.\lambda y.[P(x)vP(y)]$ type: <<e,t>,<e,t>,<e,t>>>>
- c. [[Mary]] = Mary, the individual called John type: e
- d. $[[talks]] = \lambda x.[talks(x)]$
- type: $\langle e, t \rangle$ e. [[John or Mary]] = John v Mary = $\lambda P.\lambda x.\lambda y.[P(x)vP(y)][John, Mary]$
- type: <e,t>
 f [[John or Mary talks]] = [talks(John) v talks(Mary)]
- f. [[John or Mary talks]] = [talks(John) v talks(Mary)] type: t

Predicate logic:

```
John or Mary talks = [talks(John) \ v \ talks(Mary)] talks or talks = \lambda x.[talks(x)] \ v \ \lambda y.[talks(y)] or = \lambda P.\lambda x.\lambda y.[P(x)vP(y)]
```

- 3. **[2 points]** Provide Neo-Davidsonian event semantic denotations for the following sentences. Make sure you use thematic functions *agent* and *theme*.
 - a. $[[Susan ate]] = \exists e.ate(e) \land agent(e,Susan)$
 - b. [[Susan ate the apple]] = $\exists e.ate(e) \land agent(e,Susan) \land theme(e,apple)$
 - c. [[The apple was eaten by Susan]] = $\exists e.ate(e) \land theme(e,apple) \land agent(e,Susan)$
 - d. [[The apple was eaten]] = $\exists e.ate(e) \land theme(e,apple)$
- 4. **[1.5 points]** Consider the sentence "In this neighborhood, every dog loves some cat." This sentence is ambiguous. Give the predicate logic denotations for each of the two meanings of the sentence (you can ignore "in this neighborhood").
 - a. [[Every dog loves some cat]]₁ = $\forall x[dog(x) \rightarrow \exists y[cat(y) \land loves(x, y)]]$ or $\forall x[dog(x) \land \exists y[cat(y) \land loves(x, y)]]$
 - b. [[Every dog loves some cat]]₂ = $\exists y[cat(y) \land \forall x[dog(x) \land loves(x, y)]]$ or $\exists y[cat(y) \land \forall x[dog(x) -> loves(x, y)]]$

Explain in one sentence the difference between these two meanings.

In first case every has wider scope than some, that is for each dog x, there is a cat y, such that x love y. In the second case, some has wider scope, that is there is a particular cat (single cat), such that all dogs love that cat.