M 361K: Real Analysis

Ishan Shah

 $\operatorname{Fall}\ 2022$ 

# Contents

# August 25

### 1.1 Algebraic Axioms

 $\forall a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

- (A1) a + b = b + a.
- (A2) (a + b) + c = a + (b + c).
- (A3)  $\exists$  an element  $o \in \mathbb{R}$  such that a + o = o + a = a.
- (A4) For each element  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists$  an element  $(-a) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that a + (-a) = 0.
- (M1) ab = ba.
- (M2) (ab)c = a(bc).
- (M3)  $\exists$  an element  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that a \* 1 = 1 \* a = a.
- (M4) For each element  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ ,  $\exists$  an element  $\frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a * \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{a} * a = 1$ .
- (D) a \* (b + c) = a \* b + a \* c.

#### Note

If a = b and c = d, then a + c = b + d and a \* c = b \* d.

 $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ :

#### Theorem 1

If x + z = y + z then x = y.

Proof.

$$x + z = y + z \quad (A4)$$

$$(x + z) + (-z) = (y + z) + (-z) \quad (A2)$$

$$x + (z + (-z)) = y + (z + (-z)) \quad (A4)$$

$$x + 0 = y + 0 \quad (A3)$$

$$x = y$$

Theorem 2

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , x \* 0 = 0.

Proof.

$$x * 0 = x * (0 + 0)$$

$$x * 0 = x * 0 + x * 0$$

$$x * 0 + (-x * 0) = (x * 0 + x * 0) + (-x * 0)$$

$$0 = x * 0 + (x * 0 + (-x * 0))$$

$$= x * 0 + 0$$

$$= x * 0$$

Theorem 3

$$-1 * x = -x$$
 i.e.  $x + (-1) * x = 0$ .

Proof.

$$x + (-1) * x = x + x * (-1)$$

$$= x * 1 + x * (-1)$$

$$= x * (1 + (-1))$$

$$= x * 0$$

$$= 0$$

Theorem 4 Zero-product property

 $\forall x,y \in \mathbb{R}, \, x * y = 0 \iff x = 0 \lor y = 0.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , if x = 0 or y = 0, then x \* y = 0. Suppose  $x \neq 0$ , then we must show y = 0. Since  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{x}$  exists. Thus, if:

$$xy = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{x} * (xy) = \frac{1}{x} * 0$$

$$(\frac{1}{x} * (xy)) * y = 0$$

$$1 * y = 0$$

$$y = 0$$

### 1.2 Order Axioms

 $\forall x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (O1) One of x < y, x > y or x = y is true.
- (O2) If x < y and y < z, then x < z.
- (O3) If x < y then x + z < y + z.
- (O4) If x < y and z > 0 then xz < yz.

#### Theorem 5

If x < y then -y < -x.

Proof.

$$x < y$$

$$x + (-x + -y) < y + (-x + -y)$$

$$(x + -x) + -y < (y + -y) + -x$$

$$0 + -y < 0 + -x$$

$$-y < -x$$

#### Theorem 6

If x < y and z > 0 then xz > yz.

*Proof.* If x < y and z > 0 then -z < 0. Thus, x(-z) < y(-z). But,

$$x(-z) = x(-1 * z)$$
=  $(x * -1) * z$   
=  $(-1 * x) * z$   
=  $-1(x * z)$   
=  $-x * z$ 

Similarly, y(-z) = -y \* z. Thus, -x \* z < -y \* z, so xz > yz.

Note

 $\mathbb R$  is an ordered field.  $\mathbb R$  is complete, while  $\mathbb Q$  is not complete.

# August 30

#### Theorem 7

 $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

*Proof.* Suppose not. Suppose that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}, n \neq 0$  and m and n share no common factors. Then,

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$$
$$2n^2 = m^2$$

Thus,  $m^2$  is even and m is even. Then, m=2k for some  $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ . But, by substituting m=2k into the above equation, we get

$$2n^2 = (2k)^2$$
$$2n^2 = 4k^2$$
$$n^2 = 2k^2$$

Thus,  $n^2$  is even, so n is even. So, n is a perfect square, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

## 2.1 Upper and Lower Bounds

**Theorem:** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If there exists a real number m such that  $m \ge s \forall s \in S$ , m is called an **upper** bound for S. If  $m \le s \forall s \in S$ , m is called a **lower bound** for S. Minimums and maximums must exist in the set to be valid.

$$T = \{ q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 0 \le q \le \sqrt{2} \}$$

• Lower bound: -420, -1

 $\bullet$  Upper bound: 100, 5, 2

• Minimum: 0

• Maximum: No max

Because rationals are not complete, there is no upper bound for T.

#### **Definition 1: Supremum**

The least upper bound of a set is called the supremum of the set.

#### Definition 2: Infimum

The greatest lower bound of a set is called the infimum of the set.

### 2.2 Completeness Axiom

#### Definition 3: Completeness axiom

Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a least upper bound. That is, sup S exists and is a real number.

#### Theorem 8

The set of natural numbers  $\mathbb N$  is unbounded above.

*Proof.* Suppose not. Suppose that  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded above. If  $\mathbb{N}$  were bounded above, it must have a supremum m. Since  $\sup \mathbb{N} = m$ , m-1 is not an upper bound. Thus,  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_0 > m-1$ . But then,  $n_0 + 1 > m$ . This is a contradiction since  $n_0 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{N}$  is unbounded above.

#### Theorem 9

If A and B are nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $C = \{x + y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$ . If  $\sup A$  and  $\sup B$  exist, then  $\sup C = \sup A + \sup B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sup A = a$  and  $\sup B = b$ . Then if  $z \in C, z = x + y$  for some  $x \in A, y \in B$ . Then,

$$z = x + y \le a + b = \sup A + \sup B$$

By the completeness axiom,  $\exists$  a least upper bound of  $C, c = \sup C$ . It must be that  $c \le a + b$ , so we must show  $c \ge a + b$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $a = \sup A$ ,  $a - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound for A.  $\exists x \in A$  such that  $a - \epsilon < x$ . Likewise,  $\exists y \in B$  such that  $b - \epsilon < y$ . Then,

$$(a - \epsilon) + (b - \epsilon) = a + b - 2 * \epsilon < x + y \le c$$

Thus,  $a + b < c + 2 * \epsilon \forall \epsilon > 0$ . So,  $a + b \le c$  : c = a + b.

# September 6

### 3.1 Cardinality

#### Definition 4: Cardinality

The cardinality of a set A is the number of elements in A. We denote this as |A|. We say that two sets A and B have the same cardinality if and only if  $\exists$  a bijection  $f:A \to B$ , or |A| = |B|.

#### Note

This bijection holds true because cardinality is reflexive (via the identity function), symmetric (via the inverse function), and transitive (via composition).

#### Note

The following examples demonstrate how to prove whether two sets have the same cardinality.

- |even integers| = |odd integers|: f(2n) = 2n + 1.
- $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z}^+|$ : f(0) = 1, f(1) = 2, f(-1) = 3, f(2) = 4, ...
- $|\mathbb{Q}^+| = |\mathbb{Z}^+|$ : We can create a diagonal mapping by taking  $\frac{n}{m}$  for counting numbers on the rows and columns.
- $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{Z}^+|$ :  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \mathbb{Q}^- \cup \{0\}$ , so we can repeat the diagonal mapping for  $\mathbb{Q}^-$ . This is because any subset of a countable set is countable.
- $|\mathbb{Q}| \neq |\mathbb{R}|$ : For the real numbers, Cantor's Diagonal Argument proves the sets have different cardinality since no possible surjection exists.

In essence, if we show that there exists some one-to-one mapping between the two sets we can claim that |A| = |B|.

## 3.2 Countability

#### Definition 5: Countable

If a set is finite or has the same cardinality as  $\mathbb{N}$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ ), we say that the set is countable.

#### Theorem 10

Any subset of a countable set is countable.

#### Theorem 11

Any set that contains an uncountable set is uncountable.

#### Theorem 12

If  $[a_n, b_n] \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a nested sequence of closed bounded intervals,  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\delta \in I_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.*  $I_n \subseteq I_1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $a_n \subseteq b_1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So,  $b_n$  is an upper bound for  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $\delta$  be the supremum of  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Thus,  $a_n \leq \delta \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We have now shown that  $a_n \leq \delta \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we need to show that  $\delta \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is left as an exercise for the reader.

#### Note

A nested sequence means that successive subsets contain the previous subset. For example,  $[0,1] \subseteq [0,2] \subseteq [0,3] \subseteq \ldots$  is a nested sequence.

#### Theorem 13

[0,1] is uncountable.

*Proof.* Assume [0,1] is countable. That is,  $[0,1] = I = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\}$ . Select a closed interval  $I_1 \subseteq I$  such that  $x_1 \notin I_1$ . Next, select a closed interval  $I_2 \subseteq I_1$  such that  $x_2 \notin I_2$ , and so on. Then, we have

$$I_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I$$

and  $x_n \notin I_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By **Theorem 3.3**,  $\exists \delta \in I$  such that  $\delta \in I_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\delta \neq x_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\delta \notin I$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, [0,1] is uncountable.

# September 8

## 4.1 Limits of Sequences

#### Definition 6: Limit of a sequence

A sequence  $a_n$  is said to converge to a real number s, if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a real number k such that for all  $n \ge k$ , the terms  $a_n$  satisfy  $|a_n - s| < \epsilon$ .

#### Theorem 14

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.$ 

*Proof.* We need to find some N such that  $n > N \forall \epsilon > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - 0| &< \epsilon \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} &< \epsilon \\ \frac{1}{n} &< \epsilon^2 \\ n &> \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ . Then, if n > N, we have that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - 0 \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}}}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = 0$ .

#### Theorem 15

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}1+\tfrac{1}{2^n}=1.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . Then, we have

$$|1 + \frac{1}{2^n} - 1| < \epsilon$$

$$|\frac{1}{2^n}| = \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} < \epsilon$$

$$n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$ .

#### Theorem 16

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $S_n$  be a convergent sequence with a limit s and  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then, there exists some N such that  $|S_n - s| < 1$  when n > N. That is,  $|S_n| < |s| + 1$ .

Let  $M = \max\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n, |s|+1\}$ . Then,  $|S_n| \leq M$ , so  $S_n$  is bounded.

#### Theorem 17

If a sequence converges, its limit is unique.

*Proof.* Suppose a sequence  $S_n$  converges to s and t. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,  $\exists N_1$  such that  $|S_n - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For  $n > N_1$ ,  $\exists N_2$  such that  $|S_n - t| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For  $n > N_2$ , let  $N = m + \{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then, for n > N, we have

$$|s - t| = |s + S_n - S_n - t|$$

$$= |s - S_n + S_n - t|$$

$$\leq |s - S_n| + |S_n - t|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$|s - t| = \epsilon$$

Thus, the limit is unique.

# September 13

### 5.1 Monotone Sequences

#### Definition 7: Monotone sequence

A sequence  $S_n$  of real numbers is said to be increasing  $\iff S_n \leq S_{n+1} \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}$  and decreasing  $\iff S_n \geq S_{n+1} \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The Fibonacci sequence is an example of an increasing sequence.

#### Definition 8: Monotone convergence theorem

A monotone sequence is convergent if and only if it is bounded.

#### Theorem 18

An increasing bounded sequence is convergent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $S_n$  is a bounded increasing sequence. Let S be the set  $\{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . By the completeness axiom, sup S exists. Let  $s = \sup S$ . We claim  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = s$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $s - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound for S. Thus,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_N > s - \epsilon$ . Furthermore, since  $S_n$  is increasing and s is an upper bound for S, we have  $s - \epsilon < S_N \le S_n \le s \ \forall n \ge N$ .

#### $\mathbf{Note}$

This is an elementary proof because it only uses axioms to make the conclusion.

Ex. 
$$S_{n+1} = \sqrt{1 + S_n}, S_1 = 1.$$

#### Theorem 19

If  $S_n$  is an unbounded increasing sequence, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_n$  be an increasing unbounded sequence. Then,  $\{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not bounded above, but S is bounded below by  $S_1$ . Thus, given  $M \in \mathbb{R}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_N > M$ . But since  $S_n$  is increasing,  $S_n > M \, \forall \, n > N$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = \infty$ .

# September 15

### 6.1 Cauchy Sequences

#### Definition 9: Cauchy sequence

A sequence of real numbers  $S_n$  is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  such that  $m, n > N \implies |S_m - S_n| < \epsilon$ .

Note

This means the elements of the sequence get closer to each other as N increases.

#### Theorem 20

Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

*Proof.* Let  $S_n$  be a convergent sequence. Then  $\exists N$  such that  $n > N \implies |S_n - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for n, m > N, we have

$$|S_n - S_m| = |S_n - s + s - S_m|$$

$$\leq |S_n - s| + |s - S_m|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Thus,  $S_n$  is Cauchy.

#### Theorem 21

A sequence of real numbers is Cauchy if and only if it is convergent.

Note

We cannot prove this yet.

# September 20

### 7.1 Empty Set

#### Theorem 22

The empty set is a subset of any set.

*Proof.* Suppose not. That is, suppose  $\exists A$  such that  $\emptyset \not\subset A$ . Thus,  $\exists x \in \emptyset$  such that  $x \notin A$ . This is a contradiction because the empty set has no elements. Therefore,  $\emptyset \subset A$ .

#### Theorem 23

There is only one set with no elements.

*Proof.* Suppose not. That is, suppose  $\exists$  two empty sets  $E_1, E_2$ . Then  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$  and  $E_2 \subseteq E_1$ . Thus,  $E_1 = E_2$ . This is a contradiction because  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are two different sets. Therefore, there is only one empty set.

[Closedness of  $\emptyset$ ] The empty set is open and closed (vacuously true).

#### 7.1.1 Topology

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  for the following definitions.

#### Definition 10: A

eighborhood of x in S can be thought of an epsilon-sized ball around x, i.e.  $N(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in R \mid 0 \le |x - y| < \epsilon\}$ .

#### Definition 11: A

eleted neighborhood is the same as a neighborhood except that x is not included, i.e.  $N^*(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in R \mid 0 < |x - y| < \epsilon\}.$ 

#### Definition 12: Accumulation point

 $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is an accumulation point of S if and only if every deleted neighborhood of x contains a point of S.

#### Note

 $(0, \infty)$  has accumulation points  $[0, \infty)$ . (0, 1) does not contain all of its accumulation points since 0 and 1 are both accumulation points of the set.

#### Theorem 24

 $S \in \mathbb{R}$  is closed if and only if S contains all of its accumulation points.

*Proof.* Suppose S is closed. Let x be an accumulation point of S. If  $x \notin S$ , then  $x \in S^{\complement}$ . Thus,  $\exists$  a neighborhood N of x such that  $N \subseteq S^{\complement}$ . But  $N \cap S = \emptyset$ , which contradicts x being an accumulation point of S.

Conversely, suppose S contains all of its accumulation points. Let  $x \in S^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then x is not an accumulation point of S. Thus,  $\exists N^{\star}(x,\epsilon)$  that misses S. Since  $x \notin S$ ,  $N(x,\epsilon)$  misses S. Therefore,  $S^{\mathbb{C}}$  is open, which means S is closed.

#### Theorem 25

If S is a nonempty closed bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then S has a max.

*Proof.* Let  $s = \sup S$ . Then, s is an accumulation point of S. Since S is closed,  $s \in S$ . Thus, s is a max of S.

#### Definition 13:

 $\in S$  is an interior point of S if and only if  $\exists N(x,t)$  such that  $N(x,t) \subset S$ .

#### Definition 14: Boundary point

 $x \in S$  is a boundary point of S if and only if every neighborhood N of x has  $N \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $N \cap S^{\complement} \neq \emptyset$ .

#### 7.2 Closure

#### Definition 15: Open set

S is an open set if and only if every point in S is an interior point of S.  $\forall x \in S, \exists$  a neighborhood  $N(x, \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq S$ .

#### Definition 16: Closed set

S is a closed set if and only S contains at least one of its boundary points. Additionally,  $S^{\complement}$  must be an open set.

#### Note

 $\mathbb{R}$  is open because all of its points are interior points.  $\mathbb{R}$  is also closed because  $\mathbb{R}$  has no boundary points, therefore implying that it contains at least one of its boundary points (vacuously true).

#### Theorem 26

The union of two open sets is open.

*Proof.* Let A and B be open sets. Let  $x \in A \cup B$ . Then  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $\exists$  a neighborhood  $N_1$  of x such that  $N_1 \subseteq A$ . But then,  $N_1 \subseteq A \cup B$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $\exists$  a neighborhood  $N_2$  of x such that  $N_2 \subseteq B$ . But then,  $N_2 \subseteq A \cup B$ .

Thus, in either case,  $\exists$  a neighborhood N of x such that  $N \subseteq A \cup B$ . Therefore,  $A \cup B$  is open.

#### Theorem 27

An arbitrary union of open sets is open.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_n$  be open sets. Let  $x\in\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Then  $x\in A_i$  for some i. Let  $N_i$  be a neighborhood of x such that  $N_i\subseteq A_i$ . Then  $N_i\subseteq A_i\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^nN_i\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^nA_i$  is open.  $\square$ 

#### Theorem 28

The intersection of two open sets is open.

*Proof.* Let A and B be open sets. Let  $x \in A \cap B$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ . Thus,  $\exists$  neighborhoods  $N_1(x, \epsilon_1)$  and  $N_2(x,\epsilon_2)$ . Let  $\epsilon = min\{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\}$ . Then  $N_1(x,\epsilon) \subseteq A$  and  $N_2(x,\epsilon) \subseteq B$ .

Thus,  $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq A \cap B$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B$  is open.

#### Theorem 29

A finite intersection of open sets is open.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be open sets. Let  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Then  $x \in A_i$  for all i. Let  $N_i$  be a neighborhood of xsuch that  $N_i \subseteq A_i$ . Then  $N_i \subseteq A_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Thus,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$  is a neighborhood of x such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  is open.

#### Theorem 30

An arbitrary intersection of open sets is open.

Note  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right) = \emptyset$ 

# September 22

#### 8.1 Set Covers

#### Definition 17: Open cover

An open cover F of some subset  $S \in \mathbb{R}$  is a collection of open sets whose union contains S.

#### Note

If  $E \subseteq F$  and E also covers S, we call E a **subcover**.

#### **Definition 18: Compact**

A set S is said to be compact is and only if whenever S is contained in the union of a family F of open sets, then it is contained in a finite number of the sets in F (every open cover has a finite subcover).

#### Note

It is hard to show that a set is compact since we have to consider *every* open cover.

#### Theorem 31 Heine-Borel

A subset S of  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact if and only if S is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* Let S be a compact set. Observe the open cover  $(-n, n) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since S is compact,  $\exists$  a finite subcover  $(-n_1, n_1), (-n_2, n_2), \ldots, (-n_k, n_k)$ .  $\exists$  one of these sets such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k (-n_i, n_i) = (-n_m, n_m)$  for some  $m = 1, 2, \ldots k$ . Thus,  $S \subseteq (-n_m, n_m)$ , so S is bounded.

Let S be a compact set. Suppose S is not closed. Let p be a boundary point of S, and Let  $U_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus [p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n}] \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $S \subseteq \bigcup U_n = \mathbb{R} \ p$ .  $\exists$  a finite subcover  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$  such that  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{n_i}$ .  $\exists k$  such that  $S \subseteq U_{n_k}$ . But, this is a contradiction with p being a boundary point. Therefore, S is closed.

The proof in the other direction is similar, yet non-trivial.

#### Theorem 32 Bolzano-Weierstrass

If a bounded subset S of  $\mathbb{R}$  contains infinitely many points, then  $\exists$  at least one accumulation point of S.

*Proof.* Let S be a bounded infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose S has no accumulation points, then S is closed. By Heine-Borel, S must be compact. Define neighborhoods  $N_x$  such that  $N_x(x) \cap S = x \forall x \in S$ . Clearly,  $S \subseteq \bigcup_x N_x$ . But, the collection of all  $N_x$  must contain a finite subcover. That is,

$$S \subseteq N_{x_1} \cup N_{x_2} \cup \ldots \cup N_{x_k}$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This contradicts that S is infinite. Therefore, S has an accumulation point.

### 8.2 Cauchy Convergence

#### Theorem 33

Every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

*Proof.*  $S_n$  is Cauchy, so  $S = \{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . By Bolzano-Weierstrass,  $\exists$  an accumulation point s of S. We claim that  $S_n \to s$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists$  N such that m, n > N. Then  $|S_m - S_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .  $(S - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, S + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  contains an infinite number of points.

 $\exists m > N \text{ such that } S_m \in N(s, \frac{\epsilon}{2}).$  But then,  $|S_n - s| = |S_n - S_m + S_m - s| \le |S_n - S_m| + |S_m - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$  Therefore,  $S_n \to s$ .

#### Theorem 34

Let  $x_n$  be a sequence of non-negative real numbers.  $\sum x_n$  converges if  $S_k$ , the sequence of partial sums is bounded

*Proof.*  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_k$ .  $S_k$  is increasing and bounded, it is convergent by the monotone convergence theorem.

# September 27

#### **Limits of Functions** 9.1

#### Definition 19: Limit of a function

Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  and let c be an accumulation point of the function. Then,  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$  if and only if given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that if  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ .

#### Note

Suppose we want to show that  $\lim_{x\to 2} S_x + 1 = 11$ . We are looking for some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 \le |x-2| < \delta$ and  $|S_x + 1 - 11| < \epsilon$ . This is structured similarly to proofs of limits of sequences.

Additionally, the limit must go to an accumulation point of the function because we cannot find the limit of a value outside the function's domain.

#### Theorem 35

 $\lim_{x \to 5} 10x + 2 = 52.$ 

*Proof.* We need to find some  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $0 < |x - 5| < \delta$ ,  $|10x + 2 - 52| < \epsilon$ .

$$|10x - 50| < \epsilon$$

$$10|x - 5| < \epsilon$$

$$|x - 5| < \frac{\epsilon}{10}$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{10}$ . Then, whenever  $0 < |x-5| < \delta$ , we have  $|10x+2-52| = |10x-50| = 10|x-5| < 10*\frac{\epsilon}{10} = 10|x-5|$ 

#### Theorem 36

 $\lim_{x \to 3} x^2 + 2x + 6 = 21.$ 

*Proof.* We need to find some  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $0 < |x-3| < \delta$ ,  $|(x^2+2x+6)-21| < \epsilon$ .

$$|x^{2} + 2x + 6 - 21| < \epsilon$$
$$|x^{2} + 2x - 15| < \epsilon$$
$$|x + 5||x - 3| < \epsilon$$

If  $\delta < 1 \implies |x+5||x-3| < 9|x-3| < \epsilon$ . Thus  $|x-3| < \frac{\epsilon}{9}$ . We let  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{9}\}$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{9}\}$ . Then, whenever  $0 < |x-3| < \delta$ , we have that |x+5| < 9, thus,  $|(x^2 + 2x + 6) - 21| = |x^2 + 2x - 15| = |x + 5||x - 3| < \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{9}\} * \frac{\epsilon}{9} = \epsilon.$ 

#### Note

These proofs have two phases. First, we determine some  $\delta$  as an upper bound. Then, we show how this choice of  $\delta$  implies the limit is bounded by some  $\epsilon$ .

#### Theorem 37

Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  and c is an accumulation point of D. Then,  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$  if and only if for every sequence  $S_n \in D$  such that  $S_n \to c$ ,  $S_n \neq c \forall n$ , then  $f(S_n)$  converges to L.

*Proof.*  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$  and  $S_n \to L \implies f(S_n) \to L$ . We need to find N such that n > N and  $|f(S_n) - L| < \epsilon$ . We know that  $\exists \delta$  such that  $0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$  and  $\exists N$  such that  $n > N \implies |S_n - c| < \delta$ . Thus, for n > N we have  $|f(S_n) - L| \in \epsilon$ .

Suppose L is not the limit of f as x approaches c. We must find  $(S_n)$  that converges to c, but  $f(S_n)$  does not converge to L (contrapositive).  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0, 0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| \ge \epsilon$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists S_n \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $0 < |S_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $|f(S_n) - L| \ge \epsilon$ . Then,  $S_n \to c$ , but  $f(S_n) \not\to L$ . This is a contradiction.

# September 29

#### 10.1 Sums of Limits

#### Theorem 38

Let  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ ,  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = M$ . Then,  $\lim_{x\to c} (f+g)(x) = L + M$ .

Proof (Definition 9.1). Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta_1 > 0$  be such that  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $\delta_2 > 0$  be such that  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2 \implies |g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Let  $\delta = min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Then, for  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(x)+g(x)-(L+M)|=|(f(x)-L)+(g(x)-M)|\leq |f(x)-L|+|g(x)-M|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon.$$

Proof (Theorem 9.3). Let  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ ,  $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = M$ , and  $S_n$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $S_n \to c$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (f+g)(S_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(S_n) + g(S_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(S_n) + \lim_{n\to\infty} g(S_n) = L + M$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x\to c} (f+g)(x) = L+M$ .

#### Note

This is true for -,  $\times$ , and  $\div$  as well.

#### Definition 20:

 $x \to c f(x) = L$  if and only if whenever  $S_n \to c$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(S_n) = L$ .

#### Theorem 39 L

t  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ , then  $\lim_{x\to c} kf(x) = kL$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $S_n$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $S_n \to c$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} kf(S_n) = k \lim_{n\to\infty} f(S_n) = kL$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x\to c} kf(x) = kL$ .

### 10.2 Continuity of Functions

#### **Definition 21: Continuous Function**

A function f is continuous at x = c if and only if  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = f(c)$ . Let s be an accumulation point of the domain  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then, f is continuous at s if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that whenever  $0 < |x - s| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(s)| < \epsilon$ .

#### Note

Let  $f(x) = x \sin(\frac{1}{x})$  where  $x \neq 0$ , f(0) = 0. If we want to show that this function is continuous, we need to find some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(0)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\delta = \epsilon$ , then when  $|x| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(0)| = |x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) - 0| = |x \sin(\frac{1}{x})| \le |x| < \epsilon$ .

#### Theorem 40

If f and g are continuous at x = c, then f + g is also continuous at x = c.

*Proof.* Let f and g be continuous at c and  $S_n$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $S_n \to c$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(f+g)(S_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}f(S_n)+\lim_{n\to\infty}g(S_n)=f(c)+g(c)$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x\to c} (f+g)(x) = (f+g)(c)$ .

#### Theorem 41

Let  $f: D \to E$  be continuous at x = c and let  $g: E \to R$  be continuous at x = f(c). Then, the composition  $g \circ f$  is continuous at x = c.

*Proof.* This is left as an exercise for the reader.

## October 6

### 11.1 Derivatives

#### Definition 22: Derivative

Let f be a real-valued function defined on an open interval containing c. We say f is differentiable at c if  $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c}$  exists. We call this limit f'(c).

#### Theorem 42

If f is differentiable at c, then f is continuous at c.

*Proof.* Let f be defined on some interval I containing c. Then if f is differentiable at c, if and only if for  $x \neq c$ ,

$$f(x) = (x - c)\frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} + f(c)$$

Then,  $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = \lim_{x\to c} (x-c) \frac{f(x)-f(c)}{x-c} + f(c) = \lim_{x\to c} (x-c) f'(c) + f(c) = f(c)$ . Therefore, f is continuous at c.

#### Derivative Rules

- $\frac{d}{dx}kf = k\frac{df}{dx}$
- $\bullet \ \frac{d}{dx}f + g = \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{dg}{dx}$
- $\frac{d}{dx}f \cdot g = \frac{df}{dx}g + \frac{dg}{dx}f$
- $\frac{d}{dx}\frac{f}{g} = \frac{\frac{df}{dx}g \frac{dg}{dx}f}{g^2}$

#### Theorem 43 Product rule

$$(fg)' = f'g + fg'$$

*Proof.* Suppose f and g are differentiable at c. Then,

$$\lim_{x \to c} \frac{(fg)(x) - (fg)(c)}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x)g(x) - f(c)g(c)}{x - c}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(c) + f(x)g(c) - f(c)g(c)}{x - c}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x)(g(x) - g(c))}{x - c} + \frac{g(x)(f(x) - f(c))}{x - c}.$$

$$= f(c)g'(c) + g(c)f'(c)$$

#### Theorem 44 Quotient rule

$$(\frac{f}{g})' = \frac{f'g - fg'}{g^2}$$

*Proof.* Let f and g be differentiable at c. Then,

$$\lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{f}{g}(x) - \frac{f}{g}(c)}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(c)}{g(c)}}{x - c}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{f(x)g(c) - f(c)g(x)}{x - c}}{\frac{g(x)g(c)}{x - c}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{f(x)g(c) - g(c)f(c) + g(c)f(c) - f(c)g(x)}{x - c}}{(x - c)g(x)g(c)}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{g(c)\frac{f(x) - f(c)}{(x - c)} + f(c)\frac{g(x) - g(c)}{(x - c)}}{g(c)g(x)}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\frac{g(c)f'(c) - f(c)g'(c)}{g^2(c)} Aa$$

#### Theorem 45 Power rule

$$(x^n)' = nx^{n-1}f' \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof by induction. 
$$p(n) = (x^n)' = nx^{n-1}f'$$
.  
 $p(1)$ :  $f(x) = x$ .  $\lim_{x \to c} \frac{x-c}{x-c} = 1 = 1 \cdot x^0$ .  
 $p(k) \to p(k+1)$ :

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^{k+1} = \frac{d}{dx}x^k \cdot x$$

$$= (\frac{d}{dx}x^k) \cdot x + x^k(\frac{d}{dx}x)$$

$$= kx^{k-1} \cdot x + x^k \cdot 1$$

$$= kx^k + x^k$$

$$= (k+1)x^k$$

#### Theorem 46

$$g(f(x))' = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$$

Proof.

$$\lim_{x \to c} \frac{g(f(x)) - g(f(c))}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{g(f(x)) - g(f(c))}{f(x) - f(c)} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$$
$$= g'(f(x))f'(x)$$

This will not hold if f(x) = f(c). This is not the full proof.

#### 11.2 October 13

### 11.2.1 Differentiability and Continuity

#### Theorem 47 L

t f be defined on an interval I containing c. Then, f is differentiable at c if and only if  $\exists$  a function  $\varphi$  on I such that  $\varphi$  is continuous at c and

$$f(x) - f(c) = \varphi(x)(x - c) \forall x \neq c$$

In this case, we have  $\varphi(c) = f'(c)$ .

Let 
$$f(x) = x^3$$
. Then,  $f(x) - f(c) = x^3 - c^3 = (x^2 + xc + c^2)(x - c)$ .  $\phi(c) = c^2 + c \cdot c + c^2 = 3c^2 = f'(c)$ .

*Proof.* If f'(c) exists, we can define  $\varphi$  as

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} & \text{if } x \neq c \\ f'(c) & \text{if } x = c \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\varphi$  is continuous. Since  $\lim_{x\to c} \varphi(x) = f'(c) = \varphi(c)$ . Thus, the function is differentiable. If x=c, the equation from the theorem holds as 0=0.

Assume  $\varphi$  is continuous at c and satisfies the equation. Then, continuity of  $\varphi$  implies  $\varphi(c) = \lim_{x \to c} \varphi(x) = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \implies \varphi(c) = f'(c)$  since f is differentiable.

#### Theorem 48

$$g(f(c))' = g'(f(c)) \cdot f'(c)$$

*Proof.* Let  $c \in I$ . f is continuous at c. Define

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(y) - g(f(c))}{y - f(c)} & \text{if } y \neq f(c) \\ g'(f(c)) & \text{if } y = f(c) \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  is continuous at c. Then,

$$\lim_{x \to c} \varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(f(c)) = g'(f(c))$$

$$g(y) - g(f(c)) = \varphi(y)(y - f(c))$$

$$g(f(x)) - g(f(c)) = \varphi(f(x))(f(x) - f(c))$$

$$\lim_{x \to c} \frac{g(f(x)) - g(f(c))}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\varphi(f(x))(f(x) - f(c))}{x - c}$$

$$g'(f(c)) = \lim_{x \to c} \varphi(f(x)) \cdot \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$$

$$g'(f(c)) = g'(f(c)) \cdot f'(c)$$

Thus, the chain rule holds.

#### Theorem 49 |

S is a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , S has a max and a min.

*Proof.* Let  $m = \sup S$  exist by the completeness axiom. Given t > 0,  $\exists x$  such that m - t < x < m. Then, m is an accumulation point of S. But S is closed by Heine-Borel. Thus,  $m \in S$ .

The same proof holds for the min.

#### Theorem 50 |

f is continuous and D is compact, then f(D) is compact. (Note: this will be on the final).

*Proof.* We know that the inverse of a continuous function is continuous (final exam proof) and that if an open set is continuous its inverse is also continuous (exam 2 proof).

Take an open cover  $U = \{u_i\}$  of f(D). Then,  $f^{-1}(u_i)$  is an open cover for D. But, only a finite number are needed  $(\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\})$ . Then,  $(\{f(u_1), f(u_2), \ldots, f(u_n)\})$  is a finite subcover of  $u_i$  for f(D).

#### Theorem 51 L

t D be compact and suppose  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then f assumes a min and a max.

*Proof.* Since D is compact, f(D) is compact. Thus, f(D) has a min  $y_1$  and a max  $y_2$ . Since  $y_1, y_2 \in f(D)$ ,  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in D$  such that  $f(x_1) = y_1$  and  $f(x_2) = y_2$ . Thus,  $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2) \forall x \in D$ .

#### Theorem 52 |

f is differentiable on an (a,b) and f assumes a max or min for some  $c \in (a,b)$ , then f'(c) = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose f assumes its max is at c. That is to say  $f(x) \le f(c) \forall x \in (a,b)$ . Let  $x_n$  be a sequence converging to c such that  $a < x_n < c$ . Then,

$$\frac{f(x_n) - f(c)}{x_n - c}$$

converges to f'(c). But, each term is nonnegative. Therefore, the derivative is nonnegative  $\implies f'(c) \ge 0$ . Now, define  $y_n$  as a sequence converging to c such that  $c < y_n < b$ .

If we look at the sequence  $\frac{f(y_n)-f(c)}{y_n-c}$ , we see that it converges to f'(c). But, each term is nonpositive. Therefore, the derivative is nonpositive, so  $f'(c) \le 0$ .  $0 \le f'(c) \le 0$ , so we must have that f'(c) = 0.

### 11.3 October 20

#### 11.3.1 Mean Value Theorem

#### Theorem 53 L

t f be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b), and let f(a)=f(b). Then  $\exists c \in (a,b)$  such that f'(c)=0.

*Proof.* Since f is continuous and [a,b] is compact,  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in [a,b]$  such that  $f(x_1) \leq f(x) \leq f(x_2) \forall x \in [a,b]$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the endpoints of the interval, then f is a compact function, thus  $f'(c) = 0 \forall c \in (a,b)$ . Otherwise, f contains a max at  $x_2 : f'(x_2) = 0$ . Thus  $\exists c \in (a,b)$  such that f'(c) = 0.

#### Theorem 54 L

t f be continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b). Then  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$ .

*Proof.* Let g(x) be defined as  $g(x) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}(x - a) + f(a)$ . Let h(x) be the distance from the graph of  $f \circ g$ . That is, h = f - g. Then, h is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b). Furthermore, h(a) = h(b) = 0. By Rolle's Theorem,  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  such that h'(c) = 0. Thus,

$$0 = h'(c) = f'(c) - g'(c) = f'(c) - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

Therefore,  $f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$ .

#### Theorem 55 L

t f be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). Then if  $f'(x) = 0 \forall x \in (a,b)$ , then f is constant on [a,b].

*Proof.* Suppose f is not constant. Then,  $\exists x_1, x_2$  such that  $a \le x_1 < x_2 \le b$  and  $f(x_1) \ne f(x_2)$ . By the Mean Value Theorem,  $\exists c \in (x_1, x_2)$  such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \neq 0$$

But, this is a contradiction. Therefore, f is constant on [a,b].

#### Theorem 56 L

t f be differentiable on an interval I. If  $f'(x) > 0 \forall x \in I$ , then f is strictly increasing on I.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f'(x) > 0 \forall x \in I$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in I$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$ . Mean Value Theorem implies that  $\exists c \in (x_1, x_2)$  such that  $f'(c) = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}$ . Which is to say that

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(c)(x_2 - x_1)$$

Thus,  $f(x_2) - f(x_1)$  is positive since f'(c) and  $(x_2 - x_1)$  are both positive. Therefore, f is increasing.

#### 11.3.2 Intermediate Value Theorem

#### Theorem 57 L

t f be continuous on [a, b] and suppose f(a) < 0 < f(b). Then  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  such that f(c) = 0.

*Proof.* Let c be the largest value for which  $f(x) \le 0$ . Let  $S = \{x \in [a,b] \mid f(x) \le 0\}$ . Since  $a \in S, S$ , is nonempty. Thus,  $\sup S = c$  exists.

We claim that f(c) = 0. Suppose f(c) < 0, then  $\exists$  a neighborhood U of c such that  $f(x) < 0 \forall x \in U \cap [a, b]$ . Now,  $c \neq b$  since f(a) < 0 < f(b). Thus, U contains a point p such that c where <math>f(p) < 0. But, this is a contradiction since  $p \in S$  and p > c. Therefore,  $f(c) \nleq 0$ .

Similarly, suppose f(c) > 0. We can follow this proof in the other direction to show that f(c) = 0.