

# M 361K Homework 2

Ishan Shah

October 10, 2022

## 3.3

5. Let  $y_1 := \sqrt{p}$ , where  $p > 0$ , and  $y_{n+1} := \sqrt{p + y_n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $(y_n)$  converges and find the limit.

*Proof.* We want to show that  $(y_n)$  is both monotonically increasing and bounded. First, we show that  $(y_n)$  is monotonically increasing. We have that  $y_1 = \sqrt{p}$  and  $y_2 = \sqrt{p + y_1} = \sqrt{p + \sqrt{p}}$ . Thus,  $y_2^2 - y_1^2 = p + \sqrt{p} - p = \sqrt{p} > 0$ . Thus,  $y_2 > y_1$ . We can continue this argument to show that  $(y_{n+1}) > (y_n)$  as  $(y_{n+1}) - (y_n) = (y_n) + (y_{n-1}) > 0$ . Thus,  $(y_n)$  is monotonically increasing.

Now, we show that  $(y_n)$  is bounded. We have that  $y_1 = \sqrt{p} < 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$ . Next, we have that  $(y_n) < 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$ . From here,  $(y_{n+1}^2) < p + (y_n) < p + 1 + \sqrt{p} < (\sqrt{p} + 1)^2 < 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$ . Thus, since  $(y_n) < 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$ ,  $(y_n)$  is bounded, so  $(y_n)$  converges to some value  $c$ .

Now, we want to find this value  $c$ . We have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{p + y_{n-1}} = \sqrt{p + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_{n-1})}$ . Then,  $c = \sqrt{p + c}$ . Thus,  $c^2 = p + c \implies c = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4p})$ .  $\square$

8. Let  $(a_n)$  be an increasing sequence,  $(b_n)$  be a decreasing sequence, and assume that  $a_n \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $\lim(a_n) \leq \lim(b_n)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(b_n)$  is decreasing,  $b_1$  is the upper bound of  $(b_n)$  and also the upper bound of  $(a_n)$  since  $a_n \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $(a_n)$  is bounded below by  $a_1$  and above by  $b_1$ , and  $(a_n)$  is monotonic, so it must converge to some limit. Similarly,  $(b_n)$  is bounded below by  $a_1$  and above by  $b_1$ , and  $(b_n)$  is monotonic, so it must converge to some limit.

Now, we can use Theorem 3.2.5 which states that for two convergent sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$ , if  $a_n \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim(a_n) \leq \lim(b_n)$ . Thus,  $\lim(a_n) \leq \lim(b_n)$ .  $\square$

12. Establish the convergence and find the limits of the following sequences.

(a)  $((1 + 1/n)^{n+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^{n+1} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n \cdot (1 + 1/n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n) \\ &= e \cdot 1 \\ &= e \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $((1 + 1/n)^{2n})$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^{2n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n \cdot (1 + 1/n)^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n \\ &= e \cdot e \\ &= e^2\end{aligned}$$

### 3.4

1. Give an example of an unbounded sequence that has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Let there be some sequence  $(x_n)$  where  $(x_n) = 1$  if  $n$  is even and  $(x_n) = n$  if  $n$  is odd. Then,  $(x_n)$  is an unbounded sequence, yet the subsequence  $(x_{2n})$  is convergent as it is bounded and monotonic. Thus,  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence.  $\square$

4b. Show that the sequence  $(\sin n\pi/4)$  is divergent.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n) = \sin n\pi/4$ . We want to show that  $(x_n)$  has two convergent subsequences whose limits are not equal. Let  $(y_n) = (x_{4n})$  and  $(z_n) = (x_{8n+1})$  be subsequences of  $(x_n)$ .

Then,  $(y_n) = \sin(4n\pi/4) = \sin(n\pi) = 0$  and  $(z_n) = \sin((8n+1)\pi/4) = \sin(2n\pi + \pi/4) = \sin(\pi/4) = \sqrt{2}/2$ . Thus,  $(y_n)$  and  $(z_n)$  are both convergent subsequences of  $(x_n)$ , yet their limits are not equal. Therefore,  $(x_n)$  is divergent.  $\square$

10. Let  $(x_n)$  be a bounded subsequence and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $s_n := \sup\{x_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $S := \inf\{s_n\}$ . Show that there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to  $S$ .

*Proof.* For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_n < S + \epsilon$ . We can choose  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $m_1$  such that  $s_{m_1} - 1 < S + 1$  and  $k_1 \geq m_1$  such that  $s_{m_1} - 1 < x_{k_1} < s_{m_1}$  since  $s_{m_1} = \sup\{x_n : k \geq m_1\}$ .

Then, we can choose some  $m_n > m_{n-1}$  such that  $S \leq s_{m_n} < S + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $k_n \geq m_n$  and  $k_n > k_{n-1}$  such that  $s_{m_n} - \frac{1}{n} < x_{k_n} < s_{m_n}$ . Now, we have a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  where  $|x_{k_n} - S| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Finally, we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = S$ .  $\square$

12. Show that if  $(x_n)$  is unbounded, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1/x_{n_k}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be unbounded. Then, there exists some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{n_1}| \geq 1$ . There also exists some  $n_2 > n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{n_2}| \geq 2$ . We can continue this with some arbitrary sequence  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{n_k}| \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  because this sequence is unbounded. Then,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{|x_{n_k}|} \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

We know that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} = 0$ , so  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_{n_k}} = 0$  by Theorem 3.2.7 (Squeeze Theorem).  $\square$

### 3.5

2. Show directly from the definition that the following are Cauchy sequences.

(a)  $(\frac{n+1}{n})$

We want to show that  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m, n > N$  and  $|S_m - S_n| < \epsilon$ . Let there be some arbitrary  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and some  $m > n \geq N$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{m+1}{m} - \frac{n+1}{n} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{N} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\frac{n+1}{n})$  is a Cauchy sequence.

(b)  $(1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!})$  We want to show that  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m, n > N$  and  $|S_m - S_n| < \epsilon$ . Let there be some arbitrary  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^N} < \epsilon$  and some  $m > n \geq N$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m!} \right) - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \right) \right| &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} * 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^N} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!})$  is a Cauchy sequence.

7. Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence such that  $x_n$  is an integer for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $(x_n)$  is ultimately constant.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then, there must exist some  $N$  such that  $m, n > N$  and  $|x_m - x_n| < \epsilon$ . However, since  $x_m$  and  $x_n$  are integers,  $x_m = x_n$  in order to satisfy the Cauchy condition. Thus,  $(x_n)$  is ultimately constant.  $\square$

8. Show directly that a bounded, monotone increasing sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let there be some bounded, monotone increasing sequence  $(x_n)$  that has some supremum  $M$ . We know that there exists some  $n_0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $M - \epsilon < x_{n_0} < M$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is increasing, we also know that there exists some  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that  $x_{n_0} \leq x_{n_1} \leq x_{n_2}$ . We can combine these inequalities to get that  $M - \epsilon < x_{n_0} \leq x_{n_1} \leq x_{n_2} < M$ .

Now, we want to show that  $|x_{n_1} - x_{n_2}| < \epsilon$  to satisfy the definition of a Cauchy sequence. Since we know that  $M - \epsilon < x_{n_1} < M$  and  $M - \epsilon < x_{n_2} < M$ , we know that  $-M < -x_{n_2} < \epsilon - M$ . Then, we can combine our equations like so:

$$\begin{aligned} M - \epsilon - M &< x_{n_1} - x_{n_2} < M + \epsilon - M \\ \implies \epsilon &< x_{n_1} - x_{n_2} < \epsilon \\ \implies |x_{n_1} - x_{n_2}| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. □

## 4.1

2. Determine a condition on  $|x - 4|$  to assure the following inequalities.

We can break down our original equation to yield a more useful form assuming that  $x \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x - 4 &= (\sqrt{x} + 2)(\sqrt{x} - 2) \\ |\sqrt{x} - 2| &= \frac{|x - 4|}{\sqrt{x} + 2} \\ |\sqrt{x} - 2| &\leq \frac{|x - 4|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We can use this new form to easily solve these inequalities.

(a)  $|\sqrt{x} - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$

*Proof.* Let  $|x - 4| < 1$ . Then,  $|\sqrt{x} - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$ . □

(b)  $|\sqrt{x} - 2| < 10^{-2}$

*Proof.* Let  $|x - 4| < 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ . Then,  $|\sqrt{x} - 2| < 10^{-2}$ . □

6. Let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $c \in I$ . Suppose that there exists constants  $K$  and  $L$  such that  $|f(x) - L| \leq K|x - c|$  for  $x \in I$ . Show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ .

*Proof.* We want to show that  $\epsilon > 0$  as we can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ . Because  $|f(x) - L| \leq K|x - c|$ , we have  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{K}$ . If  $|x - c| < \frac{\epsilon}{K}$ , then  $|f(x) - L| \leq K|x - c| < \epsilon$ . Thus,  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ , so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ . □

**9a.** Use either the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of a limit or the Sequential Criterion for limits to establish that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{1}{1-x} = -1$ .

*Proof.* We assume we have some  $(s_n) \rightarrow 2$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1-s_n} = \frac{1}{1-2} = -1$$

Then, by the Sequential Criterion for limits, we have that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \frac{1}{1-x} = -1$ .  $\square$

**10a.** Use the definition of the limit to show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (x^2 + 4x) = 12$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\epsilon}{9}\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We also have  $x$  such that  $|x - 2| < \delta$ . We want to show that the difference at any two arbitrary points is less than  $\epsilon$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |x^2 + 4x - 12| &= |(x - 2)(x + 6)| \\ &\leq |x - 2||x + 6| \\ &\leq \delta|x + 6| \\ &= \delta|x - 2 + 8| \\ &\leq \delta|\delta + 8| \\ &\leq \delta(1 + 8) \\ &= \delta(9) \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (x^2 + 4x) = 12$ .  $\square$

**15.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by setting  $f(x) := x$  if  $x$  is rational, and  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is irrational.

(a) Show that  $f$  has a limit at  $x = 0$ .

*Proof.* For some  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \epsilon$  such that  $|x - 0| = |x| < \delta$ . Then,  $|f(x) - 0| = |f(x)| \leq |x| \leq \delta = \epsilon$ . Thus,  $f(x)$  has a limit at  $x = 0$ .  $\square$

(b) Use a sequential argument to show that if  $c \neq 0$ , then  $f$  does not have a limit at  $c$ .

*Proof.* Let there be some  $(x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(y_n) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  such that they both converge to  $c$  where  $c \neq 0$ . We know that  $f(x_n) = x_n$  and  $f(y_n) = 0$  from the definition of the function. Thus, we have two convergent subsequences that do not converge to the same limit. Therefore,  $f$  does not have a limit at  $c$ .  $\square$

## 4.2

1. Apply Theorem 4.2.4 to determine the following limits:

(a)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x + 1)(2x + 3)$  where  $(x \in \mathbb{R})$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x + 1)(2x + 3) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x + 1) \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (2x + 3) \\ &= 2 \cdot 5 \\ &= 10\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x + 1)(2x + 3) = 10$ . □

(b)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 2}$  where  $(x > 0)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 2} &= \frac{\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x^2 + 2)}{\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x^2 - 2)} \\ &= \frac{3}{-1} \\ &= -3\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 + 2}{x^2 - 2} = -3$ . □

(c)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{2x} \right)$  where  $(x > 0)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{2x} \right) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} \right) - \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \left( \frac{1}{2x} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \frac{1}{12}\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{2x} \right) = \frac{1}{12}$ . □

(d)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+2}$  where  $(x \in \mathbb{R})$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+2} &= \frac{\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (x+1)}{\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (x^2+2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$ . □

4. Prove that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos(1/x)$  does not exist but that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \cos(1/x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* First, we will show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos(1/x)$  does not exist. Let  $(x_n) = \frac{1}{n+\pi/2}$  and  $(y_n) = \frac{1}{n+2\pi}$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = 0$ .  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\cos(1/x_n) = \cos(n + \pi/2) = 0$  and  $\cos(1/y_n) = \cos(n + 2\pi) = 1$ . Thus, we have two convergent subsequences that do not converge to the same limit, so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \cos(1/x)$  does not exist.

Now, we will show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \cos(1/x) = 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We know  $\exists \delta = \epsilon$  such that  $|x - 0| = |x| < \delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |x \cos(1/x) - 0| &= |x \cos(1/x)| \\ &\leq |x| \\ &\leq \delta \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \cos(1/x) = 0$ . □

6. Use the definition of the limit to prove that if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f = L$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g = M$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g) = L + M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, we know there exists some  $\delta_f, \delta_g > 0$  such that  $|x - c| < \delta_f$  and  $|x - c| < \delta_g \implies |f(x) - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $|g(x) - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

We choose  $\delta = \max\{\delta_f, \delta_g\}$ . Then, for  $|x - c| < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) + g(x) - (L + M)| &= |(f(x) - L) + (g(x) - M)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f + g) = L + M$ . □

10. Give examples of functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  do not have limits at a point  $c$ , but such that both  $f + g$  and  $fg$  have limits at  $c$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = \text{sgn}(x)$  and  $g(x) = -\text{sgn}(x)$  and  $c = 0$ . Then,  $f$  and  $g$  do not have limits at  $c$ , but  $f + g = 0$  and  $fg = -1$ . Thus,  $f + g$  and  $fg$  have limits at  $c$ . □

11. Determine whether the following limits exist at  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(a)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(1/x^2)$  where  $(x \neq 0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}$  and  $(y_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n + \pi/2}}$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = 0$ .  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sin(1/x_n^2) = \sin(n\pi) = 0$  and  $\sin(1/y_n^2) = \sin(2\pi n + \pi/2) = 1$ . Thus, we have two convergent subsequences that do not converge to the same limit, so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(1/x^2)$  does not exist.  $\square$

(b)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \sin(1/x^2)$  where  $(x \neq 0)$ .

*Proof.* We have some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta = \epsilon$  such that  $|x - 0| = \delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |x \sin(1/x^2) - 0| &= |x \sin(1/x^2)| \\ &\leq |x| \\ &\leq \delta \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \sin(1/x^2) = 0$ .  $\square$

(c)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{sgn} \sin(1/x)$  where  $(x \neq 0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n) = \frac{1}{n\pi}$  and  $(y_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi n + \pi/2}$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n) = 0$ .  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\operatorname{sgn} \sin(1/x_n) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin(n\pi) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{sgn} \sin(1/y_n) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin(2\pi n + \pi/2) = 1$ . Thus, we have two convergent subsequences that do not converge to the same limit, so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{sgn} \sin(1/x)$  does not exist.  $\square$

(d)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{x} \sin(1/x^2)$  where  $(x > 0)$ .

*Proof.* We have some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta = \epsilon$  such that  $|\sqrt{x} - 0| = \delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{x} \sin(1/x^2) - 0| &= |\sqrt{x} \sin(1/x^2)| \\ &\leq |\sqrt{x}| \\ &\leq \delta \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{x} \sin(1/x^2) = 0$ .  $\square$