FACILITY OR OPERATIONAL CHANGE REVIEW AND AUTHORIZATION PACKAGE

Step	1 Description of Proposed	Change	Change No				
		Produ	ction Representative				
Step	2 Assessment of Potential	Hazard					
	Change Type (refer to SEC "Assessment of Potential F						
Risk Level (refer to SECTION IV of attached "Assessment of Potential Hazard" checklist).							
	At what point of implemen	ntation will tl	his be done?				
Step	3 Endorsement to Proceed	d with Desig	n Signature	Date			
	Department Head						
Step	4 Endorsement to Proceed	d with Instal		Data			
			Signature	Date			
	Engineering Manager	(Note 2)					
	Technology Manager Plant EP Department						
	Department Heat						

Step 5 Endorsement to Proceed with Start-up

		Signature	Date
Department Head			
Plant EP Department	(Note 3)		
Plant S/H Department	(Note 4)		
Assistant Plant Manager	(Note 5)		

Note 1 Level 1: None recommended.

Level 2: PRS or "What if?" analysis recommended.

Level 3: FMEA or HAZOP recommended.

Level 4: FMEA or HAZOP and Consequence Analysis recommended.

Note 2 Mandatory for type [I] [J] [K] [L] [O] [R] and Level 4.

Note 3 Mandatory for type [I] [J] [M].

Note 4 Mandatory for type [H] [I] [J] [R] [S].

Note 5 Mandatory for type [I] [J] [K] [L] [O] [R] [S] and Level 4.

ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL HAZARD

SECTION I - CHANGE TYPE

Indicate which of the following may be applicable to the type of change proposed (Check *all* that may apply.):

Equipment Installation or Revision

- [A] Install or revise a vessel or heat exchanger
- [B] Install or revise piping/valves
- [C] Install or revise rotating or mechanical equipment
- [D] Install or revise instrumentation (hardware or software)
- [E] Install or revise electrical systems
- [F] Install or revise underground piping or sewers
- [G] Install or revise a pressure or vacuum relief device
- [H] Install or revise a building or structure

Process Change

- [I] Introduce a new chemical
- [J] Reintroduce a chemical after 2-year absence
- [K] Change process parameter outside of safe operating envelope
- [L] Change chemical service of an operating system
- [M]Change quantity, composition, frequency of emissions or waste streams
- [N] Change standard or emergency operating procedure
- [O] Change affecting Operational Safety Standard or COP

Unit/Equipment Status Change
[P] Shut down for 6 months or more
[Q] Restart after 6 months or more
Facility Installation or Revision
[R] Major Capital Project
[S] Working Budget Project
[T] Engineering Work Order
[U] Departmentally engineered
SECTION II – DEGREE OF HAZARD
Provide a "Yes" or "No" answer to each of the following questions regarding the degree of potential hazard:
1. Does the change introduce or affect a significant source of potential chemical, mechanical, thermal, or electrical energy?
2. Does the change result in any increase in inventory of toxic, flammable, or reactive materials? (Consider raw materials, intermediates, additives, catalysts, products, and by-products.) If so, by what percent will the inventory increase?
3. Will the changed process system contain any materials known or suspected to be thermally, chemically, or physically unstable?
4. Does the change significantly increase the potential for personnel exposure to a
hazardous material?
5. Is there an Operational Safety Standard associated with the process system undergoing the change, or could a process incident in the process system undergoing the change result in a significant negative community impact?
Hazard Rule
Two or more "Yes" answers <i>or</i> a hazardous inventory increase of 25% or greater
(Question 2) constitutes a "High" Degree of Hazard.
The degree of hazard is HIGH LOW LOW

SECTION III – SIGNIFICANCE OF PROPOSED CHANGE

Provide a "Yes" or "No" answer to each of t the proposed change:	he following	questions re	egarding the significance of				
 Could the change take the process or system outside previous limits of normal operation (that is, outside the well-understood and documented "safe operating envelope") during steady-state or transient conditions? Does the change introduce new molecules? (Consider raw materials, intermediates, additives, catalysts, products, and by-products.) Does the change reorder or alter the processing sequence (with the same process and equipment)? Does the change significantly impact the energy balance or mass balance? Does the change alter, affect and/or bypass a safety device or a critical control system or component? Does the change necessitate significant or unique training for operators or technical personnel, or is substantial operator interface needed for normal and/or emergency operation of the existing system? Does the existing system handle reactively incompatible materials in the same equipment during different sequences or campaigns? (Consider raw materials, intermediates, additives, catalysts, products, and by-products.) Significance Rule							
organicance reac							
A "Yes" answer to Question 1, a "Yes" answer to Question 2, or "Yes" answers to any two other questions constitutes a "High" Significance.							
The significance of change is	HIGH	LOW []				
SECTION IV – RISK LEVEL Using the degree of hazard and significance of change results from Sections II and III above, determine the risk level of the proposed change:							
Significance of Change LOW HIGH							
Degree of	Level 1	Level 2					
Hazard	Level 3	Level 4					
The risk level of the proposed change is							

Note

The methodologies below specify the thought process recommended for the perceived level of hazard and significance of the change. They do not prescribe the required depth of the review in terms of number of persons and specialized skills of the reviewers. When multiple causes exist for an undesirable event, or when a single scenario results in a major hazard event, a detailed risk assessment study with specialized assistance should be considered.

Level 1: Checklists (Design Review and Pre-Start-up Review)

Level 2: Checklists and "What if?" or PRS Level 3: Checklists and FMEA or HAZOP

Level 4: Checklists and FMEA or HAZOP with Consequence Analysis