## **EULER'S DESCENT**

## ISHAN LEVY

Which odd primes are of the form  $x^2+ny^2$ ? One approach to solve this problem for small n taken by Euler is to split it into two problems, the reciprocity step, or finding when  $p|x^2+ny^2,p\nmid x,y$  and the descent step, to take the number that p divides and replace it with p itself. For the reciprocity step,  $p|x^2+ny^2\iff (\frac{-n}{p})=1$ , and this can be characterized via Jacobi reciprocity. Supposing we have  $p|N=x^2+ny^2$ , we can always choose |x|,|y|< p/2,(x,y)=1, so that  $N<(1+n)(\frac{p^2}{4})$ . If  $n\leq 3$ , all other factors of N have to be smaller than p.

Now the main lemma is that if  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  are of the form  $a^2 + nb^2$  for relatively prime a, b, then N/q is too. From this, we can either continue to get smaller N that p divides until p = N, or find a smaller prime not of the form  $a^2 + nb^2$ . By Fermat descent this is impossible (for n=3 one has to be make sure that the descending sequence of primes is odd).

So we are left to prove the main lemma, which holds for any n.

**Lemma 0.1.** If  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  is of the form  $a^2 + nb^2$  and  $q \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  is of the form  $x^2 + ny^2$  and  $q \mid N, q \nmid n$ , then  $N/q = c^2 + nd^2$ . Moreover, if (a, b) = 1, (c, d) = 1.

*Proof.* The key to proving this will be two view it as a partial converse to the fact that

$$(x^2 + ny^2)(c^2 + nd^2) = (xc - nyd)^2 + n(xd + yc)^2$$

To get the result, we will try to reconstruct c, d from the data a, b, x, y, q, N, using the fact that q is prime. To use this fact, we will need q to divide something that factors, namely,  $Nx^2 - qa^2 = n(xb - ya)(xb + ya)$ . By possibly changing the sign of a, we can assume q|xb-ya. But note that xb-ya=dq in the equation above, so we can call define d according to the main equation above. Similarly,  $q|Nx^2 - nqb^2 = (ax + nby)(ax - nby)$  so after a change of sign q|ax + nby = qc, so we can recover c. To check that this is sufficient, we calculate

$$q(c^2 + nd^2) = \frac{(ax + nby)^2 + n(xb - ya)^2}{q} = \frac{Nq}{q} = N$$

Finally if we can show that actually a = xc - nyd, b = xd + yc, it will be shown that (c, d) = 1 if (a, b) = 1. To do this, we can recover c in a different way. Namely we can try to show that x|a + nyd = xc, and since (x, y) = 1, this is equivalent to

 $x|ay+ny^2d=bx-dx^2$ , so we can define c this way. Then the main equation still holds.

Remark: the condition  $q \nmid n$  has to do with ramification in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-n}]$ . Remark: We should not expect in general for it to be the case that  $p|a^2+nb^2 \Longrightarrow p=a^2+nb^2$ . For example,  $2|1^2+5*1^2$ , but 2 is not of the desired form. This can be explained by the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is not a UFD. Indeed  $\mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  are UFDs away from the prime 2 so, from a more modern point of view we can see why this argument only works for small n.