

# MEASURE THEORY

ISHAN LEVY

## 1. ABSTRACT MEASURES/INTEGRATION

An **algebra** is a set  $M$  of “measurable” subsets of a set  $X$  that are closed under finite unions, complements, and including the empty set. A  **$\sigma$ -algebra** is an algebra that is closed under countable unions as well. **measure** on a  $M$  is a function  $\mu : M \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that has the property that  $\mu(\cup_0^\infty X_i) = \sum_0^\infty \mu(X_i)$  whenever the  $X_i$  are pairwise disjoint. A **measure space** is the data of a set, a  $\sigma$ -algebra on that set, and a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra. The measure space is said to be  **$\sigma$ -finite** if there is a countable cover of finite measure subspaces. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of measure spaces is a map such that the preimage of a measurable set is measurable and such that the measure of the preimage of a subset is measure of the set.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Say that  $E_i \searrow E$  if it is a sequence of sets getting smaller and  $\cap E_i = E$ . Similarly say that  $E_i \nearrow E$  if it is a sequence of sets getting larger and  $\cup E_i = E$ . If  $E_i$  are measurable and  $E_i \nearrow E$ , then  $\mu(E_i) \rightarrow \mu(E)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $E_1$  is finite measure and  $E_i \searrow E$  then  $\mu(E_i) \rightarrow \mu(E)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mu(\emptyset) + \mu(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset \cup \emptyset)$  gives the first part. If  $E_i \nearrow E$ , note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E_1) + \sum_1^\infty \mu(E_{i+1} - E_i) = \mu(E)$ . Finally if  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $E_i \searrow E$ , then  $E_1 - E_i \nearrow E_1 - E$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_i) = \mu(E_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_1 - E_i) = \mu(E)$ .  $\square$

One way to construct measures is through an exterior or **outer measure**. This is a function  $\mu^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that is a lattice homomorphism, meaning  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0, A \subset B \implies \mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$ , and for a countably infinite family  $E_i$ ,  $\mu^*(\cup_1^\infty E_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(E_i)$ .

Given an outer measure on  $X$ , we say that a subset  $E$  is **Carathéodory measurable** or just measurable if  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$  for any  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Given an outer measure, the set of measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Moreover,  $\mu^*$  restricted to measurable sets is a measure.*

*Proof.* It is clearly closed under complements, and contains the empty set. To see it is closed under finite intersections, suppose  $E_1, E_2$  measurable, and then  $\mu^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2) + \mu^*(A \cap (E_1 \cap E_2)^c) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E_1) - \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c) + \mu^*(A \cap E_1^c) = \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_1^c) = \mu^*(A)$ . The opposite inequality is clear. To show that it is closed under countable unions  $\cap E_i$ , note that we only need to show that  $\mu^*(A \cap \cap_1^\infty E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cap_1^\infty E_i)^c) \leq \mu^*(A)$ . Now for infinitely many  $E_i$ , we will first observe by induction that  $\mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^n E_i)) = \sum_1^n \mu^*(A \cap (E_i - \cup_{j < i} E_j))$ . Indeed the inductive step is solved by setting  $E'_i = E_i$  for  $i < n - 1$  and  $E'_{n-1} = E_{n-1} \cup E_n$ , and using the inductive hypothesis and the fact that the sets are measurable. Then observe that  $\mu(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^n E_i)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^n E_i)^c) \geq \sum_1^n \mu^*(A \cap (E_i - \cup_{j < i} E_j)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^n E_i)^c)$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\mu^*(A) \geq \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(A \cap (E_i - \cup_{j < i} E_j)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^\infty E_i)^c) \geq \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^\infty E_i)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cup_1^\infty E_i)^c)$ . and all the inequalities must be equalities. If the  $E_i$  are disjoint, these equalities imply that  $\mu^*$  on the measurable sets is a measure.  $\square$

It is easy to see that the measure constructed above is **complete**, meaning that if  $F \subset E$ , and  $E$  is measure 0, then  $F$  is measurable.

Given a topological space, a  $\sigma$ -algebra often of interest is the **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra**, namely the smallest one containing the open sets. A measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing this is called Borel. Given a metric space, an outer measure is called **metric** if it has the property that  $d(A, B) > 0 \implies \mu^*(A \cup B) = \mu^*(A) \cup \mu^*(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *A metric outer measure is Borel.*

*Proof.* We will show that a closed set  $A$  is measurable. Let  $A_n$  be the points of distance  $\geq \frac{1}{n}$  from  $A$ , and since  $A$  is closed,  $\cup A_i = A^c$ . Let  $C$  WLOG have finite measure. Then  $\mu(C) = \mu(C \cap (A \cup A_n)) \geq \mu(C \cap A) + \mu(C \cap A^c) + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \mu((A_{n+1} - A_n) \cap C)$ . Let  $D_n = \mu((A_{n+1} - A_n) \cap C)$ , and note that by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it suffices to show  $\sum_1^{\infty} D_n$  is bounded. To show this, note that if  $|n - m| > 2$ , the triangle inequality implies  $d(D_n, D_m) > 0$ . If the series diverges, then some subseries diverges where each consecutive term is spaced at least 2 apart, but this contradicts the fact that by the metric property of the measure, the partial sums of this subseries are bounded by  $\mu(C)$ .  $\square$

A Borel measure is **inner regular** if  $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset A \text{ is compact}\}$  and **outer regular** if  $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \supset A \text{ is open}\}$ . It is **regular** if both of these are true.

**Theorem 1.4.** *If a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of a metric space is finite on balls of finite radius, then  $\mu$  is outer regular and  $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset A \text{ is closed}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the subset of the Borel sets satisfying the theorem. It is not hard to see that this is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, so it only needs to be shown that it contains open sets. Indeed, we may assume we have some open set  $U$  in a ball of radius 1. Then consider  $K_i$  to be elements in  $U$  whose distance from  $U^c$  is  $\geq \frac{1}{i}$ .  $K_i \nearrow U$  so by Lemma 1.1 we are done.  $\square$

There is a way to construct an outer measure from simpler information on the size of certain sets. A **premeasure** on an algebra  $A$  is a function  $\mu_0 : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that if  $E_i$  are disjoint sets in  $A$  whose union are in  $A$ , then  $\mu_0(\cup_1^{\infty} E_k) = \sum_1^{\infty} \mu_0(E_k)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *A premeasure on  $A$  can be extended to an outer measure, such that  $A$  becomes measurable.*

*Proof.* Define  $\mu^*(E) = \inf\{\sum_1^{\infty} \mu_0 E_j \mid E_j \text{ covers } E, E_j \in A\}$ . First we will have to show that  $\mu^*(E) = \mu_0(E)$  for  $E \in A$ .  $\square$