

# Vectors are objects that move around space

Ishan Mukherjee

April 2023

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The length of a vector</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The dot product</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Definition . . . . .	2
2.2	Properties . . . . .	2
2.2.1	Commutativity . . . . .	2
2.2.2	Distributivity over addition . . . . .	2
2.2.3	Associativity over scalar multiplication . . . . .	3
2.3	The length of a vector . . . . .	3
2.4	The angle between two vectors . . . . .	4
2.5	Projection . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>The reference frame</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Basis . . . . .	6
3.2	Changing basis . . . . .	7

## 1 The length of a vector

If the coordinate space is constructed out of two orthogonal unit vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{v} = a\hat{\mathbf{i}} + b\hat{\mathbf{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that  $\mathbf{v}$  forms the hypotenuse of a right angle whose other two sides are  $a\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $b\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ . So, we can use the Pythagorean theorem to find the **length**, also called the **size**, of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , denoted by  $|\mathbf{v}|$ :

$$|\mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

## 2 The dot product

### 2.1 Definition

The dot product is one way of several of “multiplying” two vectors. It is a scalar and is defined by the  $\cdot$  (dot operator) in this way:

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{bmatrix} = r_1 s_1 + r_2 s_2 + \cdots + r_n s_n$$

The dot product is also called

- the **inner product**,
- the **scalar product**, or
- the **projection product**.

### 2.2 Properties

#### 2.2.1 Commutativity

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= r_1 s_1 + r_2 s_2 + \cdots + r_n s_n \\ &= s_1 r_1 + s_2 r_2 + \cdots + s_n r_n \\ &= \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 2.2.2 Distributivity over addition

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{t}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}) &= \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_n \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= r_1(s_1 + t_1) + r_2(s_2 + t_2) + \cdots + r_n(s_n + t_n) \\
&= r_1s_1 + r_1t_1 + r_2s_2 + r_2t_2 + \cdots + r_ns_n + r_nt_n \\
&= (r_1s_1 + r_2s_2 + \cdots + r_ns_n) + (r_1t_1 + r_2t_2 + \cdots + r_nt_n) \\
&= \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{t}
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 2.2.3 Associativity over scalar multiplication

$$\boxed{\mathbf{r}(k\mathbf{s}) = k(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s})}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}(k\mathbf{s}) &= \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} ks_1 \\ ks_2 \\ \vdots \\ ks_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= r_1(ks_1) + r_2(ks_2) + \cdots + r_n(ks_n) \\
&= k(r_1s_1 + r_2s_2 + \cdots + r_ns_n) \\
&= k(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s})
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.3 The length of a vector

By “dotting” a vector with itself, we can find its length.

$$\boxed{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} = |\mathbf{r}|^2}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} &= r_1r_1 + r_2r_2 + \cdots + r_nr_n \\
&= r_1^2 + r_2^2 + \cdots + r_n^2 \\
&= \left( \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + \cdots + r_n^2} \right)^2 \\
&= |\mathbf{r}|^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.4 The angle between two vectors

The angle  $\theta$  between  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  has this relationship with the vectors' dot product:

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} = |\mathbf{r}| |\mathbf{s}| \cos \theta$$

*Proof.* Recall the **cosine rule**:

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta$$

We can represent the sides of a triangle using vectors. If two sides (originating from a common point) are  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{s}$ , we can draw a vector diagram to confirm that the third side must be the difference between the two sides,  $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}$ .

Then, we can write the relationship between the lengths of these sides using the cosine rule:

$$|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}|^2 = |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{s}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{r}| |\mathbf{s}| \cos \theta \quad (1)$$

Recall the relationship between a vector's length and its dot product with itself, from section 2.3:

$$|\mathbf{r}|^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

So, we can rewrite the left hand side of equation 1 as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}|^2 &= (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}) \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}) \\ &= \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s} - 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} \\ &= |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{s}|^2 - 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{s}|^2 - 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{s}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{r}| |\mathbf{s}| \cos \theta \\ \cancel{|\mathbf{r}|^2} + \cancel{|\mathbf{s}|^2} - 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= \cancel{|\mathbf{r}|^2} + \cancel{|\mathbf{s}|^2} - 2|\mathbf{r}| |\mathbf{s}| \cos \theta \\ \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= |\mathbf{r}| |\mathbf{s}| \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.5 Projection




Figure 1: The **projection** of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ , via [Wikimedia](#)

The **projection** of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$  can be thought of as the shadow  $\mathbf{A}$  casts on  $\mathbf{B}$  when a light source orthogonal to  $\mathbf{B}$  is shone on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

$|\mathbf{A}| \cos \theta$  is the **scalar projection** of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ , or the *length* of the shadow. We know from section 2.4 that

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = |\mathbf{A}| |\mathbf{B}| \cos \theta$$

So,

$$\text{scalar projection of } \mathbf{A} \text{ onto } \mathbf{B} = |\mathbf{A}| \cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|}$$

Suppose we also want to encode information about the *direction* of the projection into its representation. Then, we can multiply the scalar projection by the unit vector of  $\mathbf{B}$  to get the **vector projection** of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ .

So, the vector projection of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$  is literally a vector with the same origin as  $\mathbf{A}$  that has the length  $|\mathbf{A}| \cos \theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{vector projection of } \mathbf{A} \text{ onto } \mathbf{B} &= \text{scalar projection} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}| |\mathbf{B}|} \mathbf{B} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} \mathbf{B} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\text{vector projection of } \mathbf{A} \text{ onto } \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} \mathbf{B}$$

The vector projection can be used to find the velocity of an object in the direction of an object moving with another velocity.

For example, a ship's velocity is  $\mathbf{u}$  and a current's velocity is  $\mathbf{v}$ , both with respect to some coordinate axes. The velocity of the ship in the direction of the current is the vector projection of  $\mathbf{u}$  onto  $\mathbf{v}$ .

## 3 The reference frame

### 3.1 Basis

A **basis** is a set of  $n$  vectors that

- i are **linearly independent**), and
- ii **span the space**.

The space is then  $n$ -dimensional.

A **linear combination** is a vector obtained by adding two or more vectors (with different directions) which are multiplied by scalar values. The linear combination of vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  with scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  as coefficients is

$$a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

A set of vectors has **linear independence** if no vector is a linear combination of another.

The **span** of a set of vectors is the set of all linear combinations of the vectors. The set **spans the space** if every vector in a given space is a linear combination of the vectors in that set.

One nonzero vector  $\mathbf{b}_1$  spans the 1D space. No other vector in the 1D space can be a valid second basis vector, since it could be expressed as a product of  $\mathbf{b}_1$  with a scalar, i.e., as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{b}_1$ , violating the definition for the basis vector.

Two vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2$  that are neither parallel nor antiparallel to each other span the 2D space. No other vector in the 2D space could be a valid third basis vector, since it could be expressed as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2$ , violating the definition for the basis vector.

Similarly, three vectors could span the 3D space, four vectors span the 4D space, and so on.

Notice what basis vectors *do not* have to be: of length 1, or orthogonal to each other. However, calculations become much simpler if they are.

## 3.2 Changing basis

Consider the basis vectors

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

used to describe

$$\mathbf{r}_e = 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + 4\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}_e$$

We have chosen the most commonly used set of basis vectors ( $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2$  are usually written as  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$  respectively), but we could have chosen some other set as well, like

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

How can we write  $\mathbf{r}$  in this new set of basis vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2$ , i.e, as  $\mathbf{r}_b$ ? Stated another way,

$$\mathbf{r}_b = ?\mathbf{b}_1 + ?\mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} ? \\ ? \end{bmatrix}_b$$

First, notice that  $\mathbf{r}$  is the sum of the vector projections of  $\mathbf{r}$  onto  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2$ . (Draw a vector diagram to convince yourself of this if needed.)

Using the formula for vector projection from section 2.5, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{vector projection of } \mathbf{r} \text{ onto } \mathbf{b}_1 &= \frac{\mathbf{r}_e \cdot \mathbf{b}_1}{|\mathbf{b}_1|^2} \mathbf{b}_1 \\
 &= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}{(\sqrt{2^2 + 1^2})^2} \mathbf{b}_1 \\
 &= 2\mathbf{b}_1 \\
 \text{vector projection of } \mathbf{r} \text{ onto } \mathbf{b}_2 &= \frac{\mathbf{r}_e \cdot \mathbf{b}_2}{|\mathbf{b}_2|^2} \mathbf{b}_2 \\
 &= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}}{(\sqrt{(-2)^2 + 4^2})^2} \mathbf{b}_2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}_2
 \end{aligned}$$

By adding these vector projections, we can rewrite  $\mathbf{r}$  as

$$\mathbf{r}_b = 2\mathbf{b}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_b$$

We can also confirm that  $\mathbf{r}_b = \mathbf{r}_e$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{r}_b &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_b \\
 &= 2\mathbf{b}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_2 \\
 &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_e + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}_e \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_e + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_e \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 - 1 \\ 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}_e \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}_e \\
 &= \mathbf{r}_e
 \end{aligned}$$



In general, given an old set of basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}$ , a vector  $\mathbf{r}$  can be written in a new set of basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}'$  as

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{e}'} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{e}'_1}{|\mathbf{e}'_1|^2} \\ \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{e}'_2}{|\mathbf{e}'_2|^2} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{e}'}$$

Note:

1. The method described above for changing basis works *only* if the new basis vectors are orthogonal to each other. (You can check for yourself that  $\mathbf{b}_1$  and  $\mathbf{b}_2$  are orthogonal to each other; their dot product is zero.)

Why this restriction of orthogonality? Because the method relies on the assumption that the vector projections of  $\mathbf{r}$  onto the new basis vectors add up to  $\mathbf{r}$ . This assumption does not hold if the new basis vectors are not orthogonal to each other. To see for yourself, draw a new pair of basis vectors for  $\mathbf{r}$  that are not orthogonal to each other. Then, take the vector projections of  $\mathbf{r}$  onto these new basis vectors, and add them. Their sum will not be  $\mathbf{r}$ .

2. An interesting implication of the fact that it is possible to change basis is that a vector has an existence in some deep mathematical sense, independently of the coordinate system used to describe it. No matter the basis, a vector will always represent the same distance along a fixed direction from the origin.