

Prediction Interval Scoring Rules

Reference guide distilled from Greenberg (2018), "Calibration Scoring Rules for Practical Prediction Training"

1. Overview

This document summarizes the scoring system for **prediction interval** questions, where a user provides a confidence interval $[L, U]$ at a fixed confidence level β (e.g. $\beta = 0.8$ for 80% confidence) and receives a score based on where the true answer x falls relative to that interval. The paper introduces two scoring rules: the **Distance** rule and the **Order of Magnitude** rule. Both share the same formula structure but differ in how they measure distance.

Source: Sections 7-9 of the original paper (pages 12-22).

2. Choosing a Scoring Rule

Distance rule — Use when the scale of the answer is roughly known to forecasters ahead of time.

Examples: predicting a historical year, a percentage, an Oscar count. The score depends on raw numerical distance between x and the interval.

Order of Magnitude rule — Use when the answer could span many orders of magnitude and the main challenge is getting the rough scale right. Examples: "How many trees in the US?" or "How many babies born per day worldwide?" The score depends on the logarithmic ratio between x and the interval boundaries. This rule is also **unit-invariant** (changing inches to miles doesn't change the score).

Source: Sections 9.1.1 and 9.1.2 (pages 18-20). Guidance on choice: page 14-15.

3. Intermediate Variables: r , s , t

Both rules use three variables r , s , and t . They represent the same conceptual quantities (distance below, interval width, distance above) but are computed differently depending on the rule.

Variable	Meaning	Distance Rule	Order of Magnitude Rule
s	Width of interval	$(U - L) / c$	$\log(U / L) / c$
r	How far x is below L (when $x < L$)	$(L - x) / c$	$\log(L / x) / c$
t	How far x is above U (when $x > U$)	$(x - U) / c$	$\log(x / U) / c$

The constant c is a scale parameter. The paper uses $c = 100$ for the Distance rule and $c = \ln(100) \sim 4.605$ for the Order of Magnitude rule.

When x is **inside** the interval ($L \leq x \leq U$), r and t are redefined to measure position within the interval: for Distance, $r = (x - L)/c$ and $t = (U - x)/c$; for Order of Magnitude, $r = \log(x/L)/c$ and $t = \log(U/x)/c$. Note that $s = r + t$ in both cases when x is inside the interval.

Source: Section 9.1.1 (page 19) and Section 9.1.2 (page 20).

4. The Core Scoring Formula

Both rules use the **same piecewise formula** (Section 9.2, page 20). Let beta be the confidence level (e.g. 0.8). Then:

Case 1: x is inside the interval ($L \leq x \leq U$)

$$\text{Score} = 4 * \text{smax} * (r * t / s^2) * (1 - s / (1 + s))$$

The term $4 * r * t / s^2$ is a parabolic shape that equals 0 at the interval edges ($x = L$ or $x = U$) and peaks at 1 when x is at the midpoint (arithmetic mean for Distance, geometric mean for Order of Magnitude). The term $(1 - s / (1 + s)) = 1 / (1 + s)$ penalizes wider intervals, approaching 0 as s grows to infinity. The maximum possible score is **smax**, achieved when the interval is infinitely narrow and perfectly centered on x .

Case 2: x is below the interval ($x < L$)

$$\text{Score} = (-2 / (1 - \text{beta})) * r - (r / (1 + r)) * s$$

With $\text{beta} = 0.8$, the coefficient $-2/(1-\text{beta}) = -10$. The first term penalizes proportionally to how far x is below L . The second term adds a penalty that scales with interval width s , but is dampened by the factor $r/(1+r)$ which approaches 1 for large misses.

Case 3: x is above the interval ($x > U$)

$$\text{Score} = (-2 / (1 - \text{beta})) * t - (t / (1 + t)) * s$$

Symmetric to Case 2, using t instead of r .

Source: Unified formula in Section 9.2, page 20. Derivation/explanation on pages 20-21.

5. Final Adjustments (Section 9.3, page 22)

The raw formula above (called S') is modified in two ways to produce the final rules:

Adjustment 1: Interval expansion. Before scoring, the interval is slightly expanded by a factor δ (paper uses $\delta = 0.4$). For the Distance rule, use $[L - \delta, U + \delta]$ instead of $[L, U]$. For the Order of Magnitude rule, use $[L * (1 - \delta), U * (1 + \delta)]$. This ensures that if x lands right on the original boundary, the user still receives a small positive score rather than exactly zero.

Adjustment 2: Floor the score at s_{\min} . If the computed score falls below s_{\min} , clamp it to s_{\min} . The paper uses $s_{\min} = -57.269$ (derived as $-(10 * \log(99/50)) / \log(50)$). This prevents one catastrophic prediction from destroying a user's cumulative score.

Source: Section 9.3 (page 22) and Section 9.4 (pages 22-23).

6. Recommended Parameter Values

Parameter	Value	Meaning
-----------	-------	---------

smax	10	Max points per prediction (best case)
smin	-57.269	Min points per prediction (floor)
pmax	0.99	Max allowed probability (for choice predictions)
beta	0.8	Confidence level (80% interval)
delta	0.4	Interval expansion factor
c (Distance)	100	Scale: a miss of 100 units is 'moderately large'
c (OoM)	$\ln(100) \sim 4.605$	Scale: a miss of 2 orders of magnitude is 'moderately large'

Source: Section 9.4 (pages 22-23).

7. Implementation Pseudocode

Below is pseudocode for the **Distance** rule. For the Order of Magnitude rule, replace the variable definitions as shown in Section 3 above.

```
function score_distance(x, L, U, beta=0.8, smax=10,
                      smin=-57.269, delta=0.4, c=100):
    # Step 1: Expand the interval
    L_exp = L - delta
    U_exp = U + delta

    # Step 2: Compute s (interval width)
    s = (U_exp - L_exp) / c

    # Step 3: Score based on where x falls
    if x < L_exp:
        r = (L_exp - x) / c
        raw = (-2/(1-beta)) * r - (r/(1+r)) * s
    elif x > U_exp:
        t = (x - U_exp) / c
        raw = (-2/(1-beta)) * t - (t/(1+t)) * s
    else: # L_exp <= x <= U_exp
        r = (x - L_exp) / c
        t = (U_exp - x) / c
        raw = 4 * smax * (r * t) / (s * s) * (1 - s/(1+s))

    # Step 4: Clamp to floor
    return max(raw, smin)

function score_order_of_magnitude(x, L, U, beta=0.8, smax=10,
                                  smin=-57.269, delta=0.4, c=4.605):
    # Step 1: Expand the interval
```

```
L_exp = L * (1 - delta)
U_exp = U * (1 + delta)

# Step 2: Compute s
s = log(U_exp / L_exp) / c

# Step 3: Score based on where x falls
if x < L_exp:
    r = log(L_exp / x) / c
    raw = (-2/(1-beta)) * r - (r/(1+r)) * s
elif x > U_exp:
    t = log(x / U_exp) / c
    raw = (-2/(1-beta)) * t - (t/(1+t)) * s
else: # L_exp <= x <= U_exp
    r = log(x / L_exp) / c
    t = log(U_exp / x) / c
    raw = 4 * smax * (r * t) / (s * s) * (1 - s/(1+s))

# Step 4: Clamp to floor
return max(raw, smin)
```

8. Score Behavior Summary

Scenario	Score
x exactly at midpoint of a tiny interval	Approaches smax (best: +10)
x inside interval, near center	Positive, scales with precision
x inside interval, near edge	Small positive
x right at the boundary (x = L or x = U)	Small positive (due to delta expansion)
x just outside the interval	Small negative
x far outside the interval	Large negative (clamped at smin = -57.27)
Interval is infinitely wide	Approaches 0 (no information = no reward)

9. Key Design Properties (Why This Scoring Rule)

The paper identifies several properties that make these rules practical for training (Section 3, pages 2-3, and Section 9.2, pages 20-21):

Positive = correct, Negative = incorrect: The sign of the score immediately tells the user if they got it right.

Bounded scores: Scores range from smin (-57.27) to smax (+10). One bad prediction can't destroy everything.

Zero at boundary: Scoring ~ 0 when x lands on the interval edge provides a natural 'break-even' point.

Precision rewarded: Narrower intervals that still contain x earn more points.

Centering rewarded: x near the midpoint of the interval scores higher than x near the edge.

Infinite interval = zero: An infinitely wide interval (no information) earns zero points.

Continuous: Small changes in L , U , or x produce small changes in score. No jumps.

10. Important Caveat: Not Proper

The Distance and Order of Magnitude rules are **not proper scoring rules**. A proper scoring rule incentivizes honest reporting of beliefs (i.e., the user maximizes expected score by reporting their true confidence interval). The paper acknowledges this trade-off explicitly (Section 9.2, page 20): properness was sacrificed to gain the intuitive properties listed above. The standard proper scoring rules for prediction intervals (linear and log, Sections 7.1-7.2) lack bounded scores, the zero-at-boundary property, and within-interval sensitivity to centering.

11. Quick Reference: Where to Find Things in the Original Paper

Topic	Section	Pages
Desirable properties of scoring rules	3	2-3
Intuitive properties (7 criteria)	4	4-5
Formal definitions ($S(p,e)$, $S(x,L,U)$)	5	6
Proper scoring rules definition	5.2	6-7
Quadratic scoring rule	6.1	7-8
Brier scoring rule	6.2	8
Logarithmic scoring rule	6.3	9-11
General proper rule for intervals (theorem)	7	12
Linear interval scoring rule	7.1	13-14
Log interval scoring rule	7.2	14
Drawbacks of standard interval rules	7.3	15
Choice Predictions explained	8.1	16
Practical scoring rule transform	8.2	16-18
Distance scoring rule formula	9.1.1	18-19
Order of Magnitude scoring rule formula	9.1.2	19-20
Unified formula + explanation	9.2	20-21
Final adjustments (δ , s_{min})	9.3	22
Parameter choices	9.4	22-23