FINC-UB 1 Homework 3

Ishan Pranav

September 20, 2023

Part I Stock returns

$$\mu_{5X+Y} = 5\mu_X + 1\mu_Y \qquad properties \ of \ mean \\ = 5\mu + 1\mu \qquad \mu_X = \mu_Y = \mu \\ = 6\mu. \qquad arithmetic \\$$

$$\mu_{3X+3Y} = 3\mu_X + 3\mu_Y \qquad properties \ of \ mean \\ = 3\mu + 3\mu \qquad \mu_X = \mu_Y = \mu \\ = 6\mu. \qquad arithmetic \\$$

$$\sigma_{5X+Y}^2 = (5^2)\sigma_X^2 + (1^2)\sigma_Y^2 + 2(5)(1)\rho_{X,Y}\sigma_X\sigma_Y \qquad properties \ of \ variance \\ = (5^2)\sigma^2 + (1^2)\sigma^2 + 2(5)(1)\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2 \qquad \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 = \sigma^2 \\ = 26\sigma^2 + 10\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2. \qquad properties \ of \ variance \\ = (3^2)\sigma_X^2 + (3^2)\sigma_Y^2 + 2(3)(3)\rho_{X,Y}\sigma_X\sigma_Y \qquad properties \ of \ variance \\ = (3^2)\sigma^2 + (3^2)\sigma^2 + 2(3)(3)\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2 \qquad \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 = \sigma^2 \\ = 18\sigma^2 + 18\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2. \qquad arithmetic$$

If the second portfolio is preferred over the first portfolio and both portfolios have the same mean $(\mu_X = \mu_Y)$, then the variance of the first (σ_{5X+Y}^2) must be greater than that of the second (σ_{3X+3Y}^2) . That is, the second portfolio must provide the same expected return with less volatility.

$$\sigma_{5X+Y}^2 > \sigma_{3X+3Y}^2 \qquad axiom$$

$$26\sigma^2 + 10\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2 > 18\sigma^2 + 18\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2 \qquad substitution property of equality$$

$$8\sigma^2 > 8\rho_{X,Y}\sigma^2 \qquad properties of equality$$

$$1 > \rho_{X,Y} \qquad X \text{ and } Y \text{ are } risky, \ \sigma^2 \neq 0$$

$$\rho_{X,Y} < 1. \qquad properties of less-than$$

It is safe to assume that X and Y are risky securities. If X is risk-free, then Y must also be risk-free since $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$. We have determined that $\rho_{X,Y} < 1$. However, this is already a given by the definition of correlation. Meanwhile, there are infinitely many solutions for σ^2 . We conclude that the investor will *always* prefer the second portfolio.

Part II Performance measures

1 A 5-year zero-coupon Treasury bond

a. Let the future value F = \$1000, the annualized yield r = 5%, and the number of years n = 5. The compounding frequency is annual. Find the price P.

$$P = \text{pv}(F)$$
 fundamental theorem
 $= \frac{F}{(1+r)^n}$ definition of present value
 $= \frac{\$1000}{(100\% + 5\%)^5}$ substitution property of equality
 $= \$783.53.$ arithmetic

b. Let F = \$1000, r = 5%, P = \$325.57. Solve for n.

The number of years is 23.

2 Which of the following investments do you prefer?

Let F = \$1000, P = \$550, n = 10. Solve for r.

$$P(1+r)^n = F$$
 prior demonstration
$$(1+r)^n = \frac{F}{P}$$
 $P \neq 0$
$$r = \left(\frac{F}{P}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$$
 properties of equality
$$r = \left(\frac{\$1000}{\$550}\right)^{\frac{1}{10}} - 1$$
 substitution property of equality
$$r \approx 6.1607\% \dots$$
 arithmetic

The implied rate of return on the zero-coupon bond is 6.1607%. The maturity and investment amounts are identical. Assume the difference in credit risk is negligible or

nonexistent (perhaps because of FDIC insurance on the Chase investment and government backing for the zero-coupon bond). The compound annualized expected return on the Chase investment is 5.5%, compared to the compound annualized expected return on the zero-coupon bond, 6.1607%.

Assuming a rational (return-maximizing) investor, the zero-coupon bond is the obvious choice.

3 Which security would you choose?

Consider the annuity. Let the annual payment p = \$10000, the interest rate r = 5%, and the number of years $n_1 = 6$. The compounding frequency is annual. Find the price P_1 .

$$P_{1} = \text{pva}(p, r, n_{1}) \qquad \qquad \text{fundamental theorem}$$

$$= p \times \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{(1+r_{1})^{n_{1}}}\right)}{r_{1}} \qquad \qquad \text{definition of present value of annuity}$$

$$= \$10000 \times \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{(100\% + 5\%)^{6}}\right)}{5\%} \qquad \text{substitution property of equality}$$

$$\approx \$50756.92. \qquad \qquad \text{arithmetic}$$

Consider the deferred perpetuity. Let $n_2 \to \infty$. Ten years in the future, the value of the perpetuity is $F = \frac{p}{r} = \frac{\$10000}{5\%} = \$200000$ by definition. However, that value must be discounted to the present $(n_3 = 10 \text{ years prior})$ to obtain today's price P_2 .

$$P_{2} = \frac{F}{(1+r)^{n_{3}}}$$
 prior demonstration
$$= \frac{\$200000}{(100\% + 5\%)^{10}}$$
 substitution property of equality
$$\approx \$122782.65.$$
 arithmetic

I would choose the perpetuity because its worth is more than twice the annuity, even considering the delayed payments.

If the annual interest rate were 10%, the answer would change. This is because the cash flows would be further discounted. The present value of both securities would decrease. With a 10% interest rate, the annuity is worth about \$43552.61, and the perpetuity is only worth about \$38554.33. The perpetuity suffers, first because it is only worth half now that the interest rate is doubled, and again because the 10-year initial delay incurs opportunity costs that grow exponentially at twice the original rate.

4 A hedge fund manager

Let the daily interest rate r = 1% and the number of days n = 250.

a. Let F be the future value and pv(F) = \$100. The compounding frequency is

daily. Solve for F.

$$pv(F) = \frac{F}{(1+r)^n}$$
 definition of present value

$$F = pv(F) \times (1+r)^n$$

$$(1+r)^n > 0$$

$$F = \$100 \times (100\% + 1\%)^{250}$$
 substitution property of equality

$$F = \$1203.22.$$
 arithmetic

Obtain the implicit interest rate r_A where the number of years $n_A = 1$. The compounding frequency is annual.

$$r_{\rm A} = \left(\frac{F}{{
m pv}(F)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n_{\rm A}}} - 1$$
 prior demonstration
 $pprox \left(\frac{\$1203.22\ldots}{\$100}\right)^{\frac{1}{1}} - 1$ substitution property of equality
 $pprox 1103.2156\ldots\%$.

Your annual return would be over 11 times, or about 1103%.

- b. Since interest is not reinvested, there is no compounding. No interest is earned on the interest earned in prior periods. This is equivalent to the case of simple interest. Your annual return would therefore be the same as the daily return (1%), multiplied by the number of days (250): $1\% \times 250 = 250\%$, or two-and-a-half times.
- c. From an investor's perspective, it is never "proper" to use the annual percentage rate (APR)—the effective annual rate (EAR) accounts for compounding, which is crucial. However, U.S. regulators require that banks report interest in terms of APR. For a bank, it would be appropriate to quote a loan using APR. If there is no reinvestment or if there is no compounding (simple interest), then the EAR and APR are the same, so they can be used interchangeably.

5 Some alternative investments

Let r be the effective annual rate, i = 8% be the quoted annual interest rate, and n be the number of periods. Then $r = \left(1 + \frac{i}{n}\right)^n - 1$ by definition.

- a. Note n = 1. Of course, r = 8%.
- b. Suppose n = 365. Then $r = \left(1 + \frac{8\%}{365}\right)^{365} \approx 8.3276...\%$.

c. Note $n \to \infty$.

$$r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{8\%}{n} \right)^n - 1 \right] \qquad definition \ of \ continuous \ compounding$$

$$r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{8\%}{n} \right)^n - 1 \qquad properties \ of \ limit$$

$$e^x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^n \qquad definition \ of \ exponential$$

$$e^{8\%} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{8\%}{n} \right)^n \qquad substitution \ property \ of \ equality$$

$$r = e^{8\%} - 1 \qquad transitive \ property \ of \ equality$$

$$r \approx 8.3287...\%.$$

The more frequent the compounding, the better the annual return. Continuous compounding provides superior results, to a limit. Bank A provides the lowest EAR, followed by B, and then C with the highest EAR.

6 A 3-year zero-coupon bond

Let the future value F = \$1000, the present value P = \$850, and the number of years n = 3. Find the annual rate of return r.

a.

$$r = \left(\frac{F}{P}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 = \left(\frac{\$1000}{\$850}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 \approx 5.5667...\%.$$

b. The coupon payment is 7% of the face value of \$1000, or \$70. The face value is paid in the third year.

$$$975 = \frac{\$70}{(1+r)^1} + \frac{\$70}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{\$1000 + \$70}{(1+r)^3}.$$

Solving for the internal rate of return gives $r \approx 7.9696...\%$.

7 The monthly S&P 500 prices

- a. My best estimate for the next month's return is approximately 1.7976...%. This is the sample mean monthly return for the period. Since we assume that the returns are independent and identically distributed random variables, our prediction should be equal to the expected value.
- b. Let r_A the annualized holding period return. Let $V_0 = \$2704.10$ be the price of the index fund on January 1, 2019. Let $V_t = \$4522.68$ be the price of the index

fund on August 1, 2021. The holding period was 32 months, or $2.\overline{6}$ years.

$$r_{\rm A} = \left(\frac{V_t}{V_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} - 1$$
 definition of annualized holding period return
$$= \left(\frac{\$4522.68}{\$2704.10}\right)^{\frac{12}{32}}$$
 substitution property of equality
$$\approx 21.2732...\%.$$
 arithmetic

c. The month with the lowest monthly return was March 2020 (a loss of roughly 12.5%). It is very likely that a panic following the U.S. implementation of coronavirus lockdowns and general pandemic-inspired bearishness caused the crash.

Part III Forecasting loss probabilities

1 Find
$$P(X > 0.5)$$
 and $P(X < 0.5)$

We can use the normal cumulative distribution function $\Phi(z)$.

$$P(X < x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}.$$

$$P(X > 0.5) = [1 - \Phi(0.4)] \approx [1 - 0.6554...] \approx 0.3446...$$

$$P(X < 0.5) = \Phi(0.4) \approx 0.6554...$$

2 The probability of losing money

We know that X is normally distributed with a mean of $\mu = 0.3$ and standard deviation of $\sigma = 0.5$.

The expected return over 10 years is $(1+0.3)^{10}\approx 13.7858\ldots$ Assuming that individual X values are independent of one another, the standard deviation of the 10-year return is $\sigma\sqrt{10}=0.5\cdot\sqrt{10}\approx 1.5811\ldots$ The probability of losing money is the probability that the 10-year return is less than 0, or approximately $\Phi\left(\frac{0-13.7858...}{1.5811...}\right)\approx\Phi(-8.7191\ldots)\approx 0.0000$. The probability of loss over 10 years is statistically insignificant.

The probability of losing money is the probability that the 20-year return is less than 0, or $\Phi\left(\frac{0-(1+\mu)^{20}}{\sigma\sqrt{20}}\right) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{0-190.0496...}{2.236...}\right) \approx \Phi(-84.9928...) \approx 0.0000$. The probability of loss over 20 years is statistically insignificant.

Part IV EAR and APR

1 Calculating EAR

Let $r_{APR} = 18\%$ be the annual percentage rate (APR). Find the effective annual rate r_A . Let r_M be the compounded monthly growth rate.

$$r_{
m APR} = 12 r_{
m M}$$
 definition of annual percentage rate $18\% = 12 r_{
m M}$ substitution property of equality $r_{
m M} = 1.5\%$. multiplication property of equality

Then
$$r_{\rm A} = (1 + r_{\rm M})^{12} - 1 \approx 19.5618...\%$$
.

2 Finding APR from EAR

Let r_{APR} be the annualized percentage rate and $r_A = 9\%$ be the effective annual rate. The compounding frequency is semiannual (n = 2 periods per year).

Then
$$r_{APR} = n \left((r_A + 1)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right) \approx 8.8061...\%$$

3 Simple interest APR to EAR

Since there is no compounding, APR and EAR are interchangeable. Therefore the EAR is 6%.

4 Daily compounding

The compound daily interest rate is $r_{\rm D}=3.5\%/365\approx 0.0096...\%$. Therefore the effective annual interest rate $r_{\rm A}=(1+r_{\rm D})^{365}-1\approx 3.5618...\%$.

5 Comparing loans

Loan A has an effective annual rate of $(100\% + \frac{5.5\%}{2})^2 - 100\% \approx 5.5756...\%$. Loan B has an effective annual rate of $(100\% + \frac{5.4\%}{12})^{12} - 100\% \approx 5.5357...\%$. Loan B has a lower effective annual rate.