Answers to Problem Set 4

Ishan Pranav MATH-UA 120 Discrete Mathematics

due October 13, 2023

These are to be written up in LATEX and turned in to Gradescope.

Assigned Problems

1. Consider the set $A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. Define a relation R on A by

$$a R b \iff a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{9}.$$

- (a) Show that R is an equivalence relation,
- (b) then determine all its (distinct) equivalence classes.

Answer.

(a) Let R be the relation $R = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{9}\}$. We must demonstrate that R is an equivalence relation. An equivalence relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Claim: R is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 \cdot 9 = 0$, we have $9 \mid 0$, which we can rewrite as $9 \mid (x^2 - x^2)$. So $x^2 \equiv x^2 \pmod{9}$. Therefore $x \mid R \mid x$. Thus R is reflexive.

Claim: R is symmetric. Let $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that x R y. This means that $9\mid (x^2-y^2)$. So there exists $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x^2-y^2)=9k$. Then $(y^2-x^2)=9\cdot(-k)$. And so $9\mid (y^2-x^2)$. So $x^2\equiv y^2\pmod 9$. Therefore y R x. Thus R is symmetric.

Claim: R is transitive. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x R y and y R z. Then $9 \mid (x^2 - y^2)$ and $9 \mid (y^2 - z^2)$. So there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x^2-y^2)=9k_1$ and $k_2\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(y^2-z^2)=9k_2$. Observe

$$(x^{2} - y^{2}) + (y^{2} - z^{2}) = 9k_{1} + 9k_{2}$$
$$x^{2} - z^{2} = 9k_{1} + 9k_{2}$$
$$x^{2} - z^{2} = 9(k_{1} + k_{2}).$$

Since $(x^2-z^2)=9(k_1+k_2)$, $9\mid (x^2-z^2)$. So $x^2\equiv z^2\pmod 9$. Therefore $x\ R\ z$. Thus R is transitive.

Hence R is an equivalence relation. \square

(b) There distinct equivalence classes are:

$$[0] = [3] = [6] = \{0, 3, 6\}.$$
$$[1] = [8] = \{1, 8\}.$$
$$[2] = [7] = \{2, 7\}.$$
$$[4] = [5] = \{4, 5\}.$$

- 2. Are the given relations irreflexive? antisymmetric? transitive? Either prove generally or disprove via counterexample.
 - (a) For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x R y \iff |x y| > 0$.
 - (b) x R y means that x and y have a common prime factor (a prime number that divides both x and y), where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - (c) For $x, y \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. $x R y \iff x \cap y \neq \emptyset$.

Answer.

(a) Let R be the relation $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |x - y| > 0\}.$

Claim: R is irreflexive. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that R is not irreflexive. Then there exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x R x is true. So |x-x|>0, thus 0>0. This is absurd. Therefore R is irreflexive. \square

Claim: R is not antisymmetric. Consider $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note |0-1| > 0. So 0 R 1. Note also |1-0| > 0. So 1 R 0. However, $0 \neq 1$. Therefore R is not antisymmetric. \square

Claim: R is not transitive. Consider $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note |0-1| > 0, and |1-0| > 0. So 0 R 1, and |1 R 0| = 0. However, |0-0| = 0, so |1 R 0| = 0. Therefore |1 R 0| = 0. Therefore |1 R 0| = 0.

(b) Let R be the relation $R = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x \text{ and } y \text{ have a common prime factor}\}$. Claim: R is not irreflexive. Consider $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The only prime factor of 2 is 2. Note $2 = 2 \cdot 1$. So $2 \mid 2$, and 2 is prime. Thus $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \mid R \mid 2$. Therefore R is not irreflexive. \square

Claim: R is not antisymmetric. Consider $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $4 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note $2 = 2 \cdot 1$, and $4 = 2 \cdot 2$, so $2 \mid 2$, $2 \mid 4$, and 2 is prime. Since 2 and 4 share the same prime factor 2, $2 \mid R$ 4. Similarly, 4 and 2 share the prime factor 2, so $4 \mid R$ 2. However, $2 \neq 4$. Therefore, R is not antisymmetric. \square

Claim: R is not transitive. Consider $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $6 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $9 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note $2 = 2 \cdot 1$, and $6 = 3 \cdot 2$, so $2 \mid 2$, $2 \mid 6$, $3 \mid 6$, and 2 is prime. Since 2 and 6 share the same prime factor 2, $2 \mid R \mid 6$. Of course, $3 = 3 \cdot 1$, so 3 is prime. Note also $9 = 3 \cdot 3$, so $3 \mid 9$. Since $3 \mid 6$, $3 \mid 9$, and 3 is prime, 6 and 9 share the same prime factor 3. Thus $6 \mid R \mid 9$. Now $2 \mid R \mid 6$ and $6 \mid R \mid 9$. However, 2 and 9 share no prime factors, so $2 \mid R \mid 9$. Therefore, $R \mid 8$ is not transitive. \square

(c) Let R be the relation $R = \{(x, y) \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \times 2^{\mathbb{Z}} : x \cap y \neq \emptyset\}.$

Claim: R is not irreflexive. Consider $\{0\} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Note $(\{0\} \cap \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\{0\} R \{0\}$. Therefore, R is not irreflexive. \square

Claim: R is not antisymmetric. Consider $\{0\} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{0,1\} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note $\{0\} \cap \{0,1\} \neq \emptyset$, and $(\{0,1\} \cap \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\{0\} R \{0,1\}$, and $\{0,1\} R \{0\}$. However, $1 \notin \{0\}$ so $\{1,0\} \subseteq \{0\}$, and thus $\{0\} \neq \{0,1\}$. Therefore, R is not antisymmetric. \square

Claim: R is not transitive. Consider $\{0,1\} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{1,2\} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $\{2,3\} \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Note $\{0,1\} \cap \{1,2\} \neq \emptyset$, and $\{1,2\} \cap \{2,3\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\{0,1\} R \{1,2\}$, and $\{1,2\} R \{2,3\}$. However, $\{0,1\} \cap \{2,3\} = \emptyset$. Thus, $\{0,1\} R \{2,3\}$. Therefore, R is not transitive. \square

3.

- (a) What is the total number of partitions in two of $\{1, 2, ..., 100\}$? Remember, both parts should be non-empty.
- (b) Suppose that a single character is stored in a computer using eight bits. How many bit patterns have at least two 1's?

Answer.

- (a) There are $2^{99}-1$ possibilities. There are 2 options for each of the 100 elements in the set: it is either in the first partition or the second. This gives 2^{100} possibilities. However, a partition is a set of non-empty subsets. If all 100 elements are in one partition, then the other partition must be empty. We must remove the possibility that the first set is empty and the possibility that the second set is empty. Subtracting these cases gives $2^{100}-2$. However, a partition is a set of sets, and the ordering of the parts is irrelevant. Therefore we divide by 2!, the number of rearrangements of the 2 parts within the partition. This gives $\frac{2^{100}-2}{2!}=2^{99}-1$ possibilities.
- (b) There are $2^8 9$ possibilities. Without constraining the number of 1 bits, there are 2^8 possibilities where each of 8 bits has 2 options. However, the number of 1 bits must be at least 2. That is, the number of 1 bits is not

0 and not 1. There is only 1 possibility where there are no 1 bits: The case in which all bits are 0. There are 8 possibilities where there is only a single 1 bit: either the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, or eighth bit is the 1. After subtracting the 1+8=9 unfeasible possibilities, we have 2^8-9 possibilities.

4.

- (a) Twenty people are to be divided into two teams with ten players on each team. In how many ways can this be done?
- (b) Thirty five discrete math students are to be divided into seven discussion groups, each consisting of five students. In how many ways can this be done?

Answer.

- (a) This can be done in $\frac{\binom{20}{10}}{2!}$ ways. We will choose one team of ten players from 20: $\binom{20}{10}$. The remaining 10 will form the other team: $\binom{10}{10}=1$. There are $\binom{20}{10}$ ways to select 10 elements from a set of size 20. However, if two teams could be identical. To eliminate double counting, we must divide by the number of teams counted per unique teams: 2!. This gives the solution $\frac{\binom{20}{10}}{\frac{10}{2}}$.
- (b) The number of possibilities is given by the product:

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{7} \binom{35-5(i-1)}{5}}{7!} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{6} \binom{35-5i}{5}}{7!}.$$

For the first group, there are $\binom{35}{5}$ ways to select a group of 5 students from the class of 35. For the second group, there are $\binom{30}{5}$ options, followed by $\binom{25}{5}$ for the third, $\binom{20}{5}$ for the fourth, $\binom{15}{5}$ for the fifth, and $\binom{10}{5}$ for the sixth. The seventh group is made up of the remaining 5 students: $\binom{5}{5} = 1$. Their product gives the total number of possibilities. Then, we eliminate the "double-counting" of identical teams by dividing by 7!, the number of groups counted per unique group.

5. Prove combinatorially that

$$3^{n} = \binom{n}{0} \cdot 2^{0} + \binom{n}{1} \cdot 2^{1} + \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \cdot 2^{n}.$$

You may not manipulate the question algebraically.

Answer. Claim: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$3^{n} = \binom{n}{0} \cdot 2^{0} + \binom{n}{1} \cdot 2^{1} + \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \cdot 2^{n}.$$

We seek a question to which both sides of the equation give a correct answer. Suppose that question is "On a route with n traffic lights where each traffic light is either red, yellow, or green, how many unique sequences of light colors are possible?"

Answer 1: We can treat the sequence as an ordered list of n elements. Each element represents a light color. Therefore, there are 3 options for each of n lights. Hence, the number of unique sequences is 3^n .

Answer 2: We will separate the problem into n+1 cases from i=0 to i=n. Each case corresponds to the number of lights that are not red (that is, the number of lights that are either yellow or green). For example, in the first case, there are 0 non-red lights, there is 1 in the second, and so on. In the last case, all n lights are not red. In each case i, the number of non-red lights selected from n lights is $\binom{n}{i}$. For each of these cases, there are 2 options for each of the i non-red lights, since it could be either yellow or green. Thus, each case i has $\binom{n}{i} \cdot 2^i$ possibilities. These cases are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive. Therefore, the sum of their possibilities gives the solution $\binom{n}{0} \cdot 2^0 + \binom{n}{1} \cdot 2^1 + \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + \binom{n}{n} \cdot 2^n$.

Since Answers 1 and 2 are both correct solutions to the counting problem, we have

$$3^n = \binom{n}{0} \cdot 2^0 + \binom{n}{1} \cdot 2^1 + \binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \cdot 2^n. \square$$