

An Implementation of a non-blocking Binary Search Tree in Java

Project report

Davide Spadini (id 164393)

1 Introduction

A non-blocking Binary Search Tree (BST) is a binary search tree in which the three operations Find(key), Insert(key), and Delete(key) may be invoked by concurrent threads.

The goal of this project is to implement a BST in Java using the algorithm described in [1]. This algorithm is *non-blocking* (starting from any configuration of any infinite asynchronous execution, with any number of crash failures, some operation always completes) and *linearizable*.

2 Usage

In order to compile the project, open the terminal in the root directory and digit:

```
javac -d bin src/*.java
```

To run it, go in the *bin* directory and digit:

```
java Main
```

In the configuration that is used there are **5 threads**, concurrently performing 15 insert, 10 delete and 10 find.

3 Output

When the program starts, each thread prints on the terminal when it starts and ends a new operation (i.e. Thread-1 trying to insert 8, Thread-1 inserted correctly key 8). In this way, we can see the order in which the operation has been performed. When all the threads have finished to execute their operations, the program prints the resulting tree in two different formats:

- **OCaml syntax** Printed on the terminal
- **Dot format**¹ Saved on an external file *tree.dot*

To build the graph starting from the *dot* file, open the terminal in the root directory and launch:

```
dot tree.dot | gvpr -c -ftree.gv | neato -n -Tpng -o binarytree.png
```

and then open *binarytree.png*.

In order to obtain a better result, in the command above I used an external script *tree.gv* that enforces the horizontal node ordering.²

4 Implementation

As explained before, the aim of this project is to give an implementation of a non-blocking BST using the algorithm described in [1], so I will not discuss the algorithm but only the implementation of it.

It follows the algorithm step by step and it has the same classes and methods. The only differences or extra methods that I implemented are explained in Sec.[4.1].

The main classes are the following:

- **Leaf** The node with the key
- **Internal** The node used to traverse the tree
- **Update** Contains the state of the node and an *Info* record.

¹see <http://www.graphviz.org>

²All credits of this script goes to *Emden R. Gansner*, script available at <https://mailman.research.att.com/pipermail/graphviz-interest/2010q2/007101.html>

- **IInfo** When an insert is performed, the thread creates an IInfo record in order to save enough information so that another thread that encounters the flagged node can complete the operation
 - **DInfo** The same as the IInfo, just for the delete function
 - **BinarySearchTree** The real program, contains all the functions of the BST
 - **Main** The main of the program. In this class 5 threads and 1 BST are instantiated.
- Furthermore there are minor classes, but for more information I remind the reader to Sec.[5]

4.1 Implementation Details

- **Compare-And-Set (CAS)** The non-blocking algorithm uses CAS³ in order to update atomically some information (i.e. change the left child pointer of a node from one node to another one). Another point in which CAS is used is when we need to change the *Update* field of a node, and here is where the problem comes out: in the algorithm they CAS at the same time two fields (state and info), but in Java there is not this possibility. Using two different *AtomicReference* (one for the state record and another one for the info record) would not be correct because in this way the two CAS would not be executed atomically. So I use an *AtomicReference<Update>*: at the beginning of the functions that use the CAS on that field (i.e. insert or delete) I copy the reference on a local variable (a sort of *snapshot*, in this way I'm sure that no-one can change it during the execution of the function), and then I CAS the current value of the field with the *snapshot* that I took before.
- **TreeThread class** I use this class in order to give a sequence of operations to the threads. The list of operations is passed by parameters in form of a tuple <action, value> (i.e. <insert, 4 >). When a thread completes all the operations, it exits.
- **Output in OCaml syntax** In order to print the tree with this syntax, I use the *toString()* function recursively from the *Root* until the leaves. The implementation is simply:

```
Node(key , left.toString(), right.toString())
```
- **Dot format** There is a function *toDot()* which writes the tree according to the dot format in an external file *tree.dot*. This function is recursive, and it is called starting from the root. The result is a directed graph, in which the internal nodes are represented by black circles, and the leaves are represented by blue squares.

All the other functions are the identical representation in Java of the algorithm described in [1]. In the next sections we will briefly see them, for a complete overview see the Javadoc in Sec.[5].

4.2 Find

This function takes a key in input, and it uses the *search* method to traverse the tree looking for the leaf with that key. If this leaf exists the function returns it, otherwise it returns *null*.

4.3 Insert

This function takes a key in input and it inserts a new leaf in the tree with that key. The main steps are the following:

- First of all it checks if the key already exists (duplicated keys are not admitted in the search tree)
- It checks if there are other pending operations on the same nodes on which it needs to operate, in this case it tries to complete them, otherwise it builds a new structure with the key.
- After that it tries to change the state of its parent to “IFLAG” (to inform other processes that an insert is going to be performed)
 - If it fails, it helps the operation that has flagged or marked the parent, if any, and begins a new attempt.
 - If it succeeds, it completes the insertion.

³for more details see <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/7/docs/api/java/util/concurrent/atomic/AtomicReference.html>

4.4 Delete

This function takes a key in input and it deletes the corresponding leaf from the tree. The main steps are the following:

- First it calls the *search* method to find the leaf to be deleted, if it fails returns False.
- If some other operations have already flagged or marked the parent or grandparent, it helps that operation complete and then begins over with a new attempt.
- Otherwise, it attempts to set the flag of the grandparent to “DFLAG”
 - If this fails, it helps the operation that has flagged or marked the grandparent, if any, and then begins a new attempt
 - If the “DFLAG” is successful, then it attempts to set the flag of the parent to “MARK”
 - * If it is successful, it completes the deletion
 - * Otherwise backtrack and restart the procedure

In the delete function we have to “DFLAG” the grandparent of the node, and “MARK” the parent of the node. Due to the fact that this two steps may not be executed sequentially, what could happen is that the first step is completed correctly and the second one fails (because for example another process changes the state of the parent). So, in this case, it has to reset the state of the grandparent from “DFLAG” to “CLEAN” and restart again the whole procedure (backtrack).

5 Javadoc

In the root of the project there is a directory *Javadoc*⁴ containing the API documentation in *HTML* format. Each class and method’s working principles are described in detail, so to see all the functions, including those that I didn’t explain in this paper, refers to it.

6 Test

In order to test the system, I launched the program with different configurations and I checked if the resulting tree was consistent w.r.t. the order of the completed operations (i.e. if there is a successful “insert 5” and then a successful “delete 5”, in the final tree there must not be “leaf 5” unless another thread re-insert the value). An example could be the following:

- Thread-0 operations:
 - insert 1
 - insert 2
 - find 2
 - delete 8
- Thread-1 operations:
 - insert 8
 - delete 2
 - find 1
 - delete 1

In this configuration there are only 2 threads and 8 operations. One possible program output is:

```
Thread-1 trying to insert 8
Thread-0 trying to insert 1
Thread-1 inserted correctly key 8
Thread-1 trying to deleting 2
Thread-0 failed the iflag CAS; let’s help the operation that caused failure
Thread-1 didn’t find key 2
Thread-0 inserted correctly key 1
Thread-0 trying to insert 2
Thread-1 trying to find 1
```

⁴For the documentation and more information see <http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/articles/java/index-137868.html>

```

Thread-0 inserted correctly key 2
Thread-0 trying to find 2
Thread-1 has found key 1
Thread-0 has found key 2
Thread-1 trying to deleting 1
Thread-0 trying to deleting 8
Thread-1 deleted correctly key 1
Thread-0 deleted correctly key 8
All the threads have finished their operations. Printing the tree...
Node(2147483647, Node(2147483646, Leaf 2, Leaf 2147483646), Leaf 2147483647)

```

In the resulting tree there is only the *leaf 2* (and two “fake” nodes created just to handle initial cases, as described in the algorithm). Notice that this is one of the possible solutions, in fact while the order of the operations inside a thread must be respected (i.e. thread-0 has to insert 1 before it can insert 2), the order of the operations of different threads can occur in various ways. Another possible solution of this scenario is the one in which *thread-0* inserts 2 before *thread-1* deletes 2, so in the resulting tree there will not be the *leaf 2*.

7 Final Remarks

This implementation does not use lock but the “state” field is actually acting as a lock for the child pointers of the node. In fact, when a thread marks a node as “IFLAG” (or “DLFAG”), what it actually does is taking a lock on that node. In this way other threads can not complete their operation if the marked node is involved, but they have to help the other thread to complete its operation instead.

Notice that non-blocking does not imply starvation-free, in fact what could happen is that one thread is blocked forever trying to insert a new node, while another thread continuously inserts and removes its parent. This scenario satisfies the non-blocking requirement, in fact there is an infinite execution that always succeeds: the one that continuously does insert and remove.

In [1] there is an entire section that explains why this algorithm is correct, and in [2] there is also the formal proof. My implementation, as explained in Sec.[4], follows the algorithm step by step, so the same proof can be applied to it. In my opinion this implementation works thanks also to this “helping mechanism”: when a thread has to execute some operations, it also appends a structure with enough information so that another thread can complete the operation. In this way, if a thread crashes or is stuck, other processes can help it to complete and then continue on their operations. Thanks to this mechanism and also to the “fake” lock explained at the beginning of this section, in which we ensure that no-one inserts or deletes a node which is being modified by some other threads, we have obtained a non-blocking BST.

References

- [1] Faith Ellen, Panagiota Fatourou, Eric Ruppert, Franck van Breugel *Non-blocking binary search trees* Available at <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1835698.1835736>
- [2] Faith Ellen, Panagiota Fatourou, Eric Ruppert, Franck van Breugel *Non-blocking binary search trees* Technical Report CSE-2010-04, York University, 2010.