#### Concurrency

#### AA 2014-2015

# An Implementation of a non-blocking Binary Search Tree in Java

#### Project report

Davide Spadini (id 164393)

#### 1 Introduction

A non-blocking Binary Search Tree (BST) is a binary search tree in which the three operations Find(key), Insert(key), and Delete(key) maybe invoked by concurrent threads.

The goal of this project is to implement a BST in Java using the algorithm described in [1]. This algorithm is *non-blocking* (starting from any configuration of any infinite asynchronous execution, with any number of crash failures, some operation always completes) and *linearizable*.

# 2 Usage

In order to compile the project, open the terminal in the root directory and digit:

```
javac -d bin src/*.java
```

To run it, go in the *bin* directory and digit:

java Main

In the configuration that is used there are **5 threads**, concurrently performing 15 insert, 10 delete and 10 find.

# 3 Output

When the program starts, each thread prints on the terminal when it starts and ends a new operation (i.e. Thread-1 trying to insert 8, Thread-1 inserted correctly key 8). In this way, we can see the order in which the operation has been performed. When all the threads have finished to execute their operations, the program prints the resulting tree in two different formats:

- OCaml syntax Printed on the terminal
- Dot format<sup>1</sup> Saved on an external file tree.dot

To build the graph starting from the dot file, open the terminal in the root directory and launch:

```
dot tree.dot | gvpr -c -ftree.gv | neato -n -Tpng -o binarytree.png and then open binarytree.png.
```

In order to obtain a better result, in the command above I used an external script tree.gv that enforces the horizontal node ordering.<sup>2</sup>

# 4 Implementation

As explained before, the aim of this project is to give an implementation of a non-blocking BST using the algorithm described in [1], so I will not discuss the algorithm but only the implementation of it. It follows step by step the algorithm, it has the same classes and methods. The only differences or extra method that I implemented are explained in Sec.[4.1].

The main classes are the following:

- Leaf The node with the key
- Internal The node used to traverse the tree
- **Update** Contains the state of the node and an *Info* record.

<sup>1</sup>see http://www.graphviz.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>All credits of this script goes to *Emden R. Gansner*, script available at https://mailman.research.att.com/pipermail/graphviz-interest/2010q2/007101.html

- IInfo When an insert is performed, the thread create an IInfo record in order to save enough information so that another thread that encounters the flagged node can complete the operation
- **DInfo** The same as the IInfo, just for the delete function
- BinarySearchTree The real program, contains all the functions of the BST
- Main The main of the program. In this class are instantiated 5 threads and 1 BST Furthermore there are minor class, but for more information I remind the reader to Sec.[5]

#### 4.1 Implementation Details

- Compare-And-Set (CAS) The non-blocking algorithm uses CAS<sup>3</sup> in order to update atomically some information (i.e. change the left child pointer of a node from one node to another one). Another point in which CAS is used is when we need to change the *Update* field of a node, and here is where the problem comes out: in the algorithm they CAS a record composed by two field (state and info), but in Java there is not this possibility. So instead of using a simple reference I use an *AtomicReference*<br/> *Update*>. At the beginning of the functions that use the CAS on that field (i.e. insert or delete) I copy the reference on a local variable (a sort of *snapshot*, in this way I'm sure that no-one can change it during the execution of the function), and then I CAS the current value of the field with the *snapshot* that I took before.
- TreeThread class I use this class in order to give a sequence of operations to the threads. The list of operations are passed by parameters in form of a tuple <action, value> (i.e. <insert, 4 >). When a thread completes all the operations, it exits.
- Output in OCaml syntax In order to print the tree with this syntax, I use the *toString()* function recursively from the *Root* until the leafs. The implementation is simply:

```
Node(key , left.toString(), right.toString())
```

• **Dot format** There is a function toDot() which writes the tree according to the dot format in an external file tree.dot. This function is recursive, and it is called starting from the root. The result is a directed graph, in which the internal nodes are represented by black circles, and the leafs are represented by blue squares.

All the other functions are the identical representation in Java of the algorithm desribed in [1]. In the next sections we will briefly see them, for a complete overview see the Javadoc in Sec.[5].

#### 4.2 Find

This function takes in input a key, and it uses the *search* method to traverse the tree searching for the leaf with that key. If this leaf exists return it, otherwise return *null*.

#### 4.3 Insert

This function takes in input a key and it inserts a new leaf in the tree with that key. The main steps are the following:

- First of all it checks if the key already exists (duplicated keys are not admitted in the search tree)
- It checks if there are other pending operations on the same nodes that it needs to operate on, in this case it tries to complete them, otherwise it builds a new structure with the key.
- After that it tries to change the state of its parent to "IFLAG" (inform other processes that an insert is being performed)
  - If it fails, it helps the operation that has flagged or marked the parent, if any, and begins a new attempt.
  - If it succeeds, it completes the insertion.

 $<sup>^3</sup> for \ more \ details \ see \ https://docs.oracle.com/javase/7/docs/api/java/util/concurrent/atomic/AtomicReference.html$ 

#### 4.4 Delete

This function takes in input a key and it delete the corresponding leaf from the tree. The main steps are the following:

- First calls the *search* method to find the leaf to be deleted, if it fails returns False.
- If some other operation has already flagged or marked the parent or grandparent, it helps that operation complete and then begins over with a new attempt.
- Otherwise, it attempts to set the flag of the grandparent to "DFLAG"
  - If this fails, it helps the operation that has flagged or marked the grandparent, if any, and then begins a new attempt
  - If the "DFLAG" is successful, then it attempts to set the flag of the parent to "MARK"
    - \* If it is successful, it completes the deletion
    - \* Otherwise backtrack and restart the procedure

In the delete function we have to "DFLAG" the grandparent of the node, and "MARK" the parent of the node. Due to the fact that this 2 steps may be not being executed sequentially, what could happens is that the first step is completed correctly and second one fails (because for example another process changes the state of the parent). So, in this case, there is the possibility to reset the state of the grandparent from "DFLAG" to "CLEAN" and restart again all the procedure (backtrack).

### 5 Javadoc

In the root of the project there is a directory  $Javadoc^4$  containing the API documentation in HTML format. Each class and method's working principles are described in detail, so for all the functions that I don't explain in this paper, or to see them in more details, refers to it.

## 6 Test

In order to test the system, I run the program with different configurations and I checked if the resulting tree was consistent w.r.t. the order of the completed operations (i.e. if there is a successful "insert 5" and then a successful "delete 5", in the final tree there must not be "leaf 5" unless another thread re-insert the value). An example could be the following:

- Thread-0 operations:
  - insert 1
  - insert 2
  - find 2
  - delete 8
- Thread-1 operations:
  - insert 8
  - delete 2
  - find 1
  - delete 1

In this configuration there are only 2 threads and 8 operations. One possible program output is:

```
Thread-1 trying to insert 8
Thread-0 trying to insert 1
Thread-1 inserted correctly key 8
Thread-1 trying to deleting 2
Thread-0 failed the iflag CAS; let's help the operation that caused failure
Thread-1 didn't find key 2
Thread-0 inserted correctly key 1
Thread-0 trying to insert 2
Thread-1 trying to find 1
```

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{For}$  the documentation and more information see http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/articles/java/index-137868.html

```
Thread-0 inserted correctly key 2
Thread-0 trying to find 2
Thread-1 has found key 1
Thread-0 has found key 2
Thread-1 trying to deleting 1
Thread-0 trying to deleting 8
Thread-1 deleted correctly key 1
Thread-0 deleted correctly key 8
All the threads have finished their operations. Printing the tree...
Node(2147483647, Node(2147483646, Leaf 2, Leaf 2147483646), Leaf 2147483647)
```

In the resulting tree there is only the  $leaf\ 2$  (and 2 "fake" nodes created just for handle initial cases, as described in the algorithm). Notice that this is one of the possible solutions, in fact while the order of the operations inside a thread must be respected (i.e. thread-0 has to insert 1 before it can insert 2), the order of the operations of different threads could happen in various ways. Another possible solution of this scenario is the one in which thread-0 inserts 2 before thread-1 deletes 2, so in the resulting tree there will not be the  $leaf\ 2$ .

# 7 Final Considerations

This implementation does not use "lock", indeed is non-blocking, but the "state" field is actually acting as a lock for the child pointers of the node. In fact, when a thread marks a node as "IFLAG" (or "DLFAG"), what it actually does is take a lock on that node. In this way other threads can not complete their operation if the marked node is involved, instead they have to help the other thread to complete its operation.

Notice that non-blocking does not imply starvation-free, in fact what could happen is that one thread is blocked forever trying to insert a new node, while another thread continuously inserts and removes its parent. This scenario satisfies the non-blocking requirement, in fact there is an infinite execution that always succeed, the one that continuously does insert and remove.

In [1] there is an entire section that explain why this algorithm is correct, and in [2] there is also the formal proof. My implementation as explained in Sec.[4] respect step by step the algorithm, so the same proof can be applied to it. In my opinion, and very informally, this implementation works thanks also to this "helping mechanism": when a thread has to compute some operations, it appends also a structure with enough information so that another thread can complete the operation. In this way, if a thread crashes or is stuck, other processes can help it to complete and then continue on their operations. Thanks to this mechanism, and also to the "fake" lock explained at the beginning of this section, in which we ensure that no-one inserts or deletes a node which is being modified by some other threads, the result is that we have obtained a non-blocking BST.

#### References

- [1] Faith Ellen, Panagiota Fatourou, Eric Ruppert, Franck van Breugel Non-blocking binary search trees Available at http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1835698.1835736
- [2] Faith Ellen, Panagiota Fatourou, Eric Ruppert, Franck van Breugel Non-blocking binary search trees Technical Report CSE-2010-04, York University, 2010.