

Protolize!: A new computational tool for frequency analysis of electroencephalographic signals

Sergio Conde, Alexandre Ricardo Romariz, Carlos Tomaz

May 23, 2016

Abstract

In this research a computational tool named *Protolize!* was developed for biological signal processing, with emphasis on electroencephalographic signals, in the time-frequency domain. The tool performs analysis using the Fourier Transform, the Short Time Fourier Transform, the Continuous Wavelet Transform and multi-resolution analysis through Discrete Wavelet Transform. It offers configuration options to choose between different kinds of windows and wavelets families. Performance and usefulness of the computational tool were illustrated by processing electroencephalography signals collected, in 47 volunteers, where alpha block phenomenon was reproduced. *Protolize!* was implemented using Matlab® R2007b, and it's a free tool available in a public repository.

Keywords: Signal Processing, Frequency analysis, Fourier, Wavelets, software, EEG.

1 About the authors

Sergio Conde (sconde@unb.br), Laboratory of Neuroscience and Behavior, Department of Physiological Sciences, Institute of Biology, University of Brasilia, CEP 70910-900 Brasilia, DF, Brazil.

Alexandre Ricardo Romariz (romariz@ene.unb.br), School of Technology, Department of Electric Engineering, University of Brasília, Brasilia, Brazil.

Carlos Tomaz (ctomaz@unb.br), Laboratory of Neuroscience and Behavior, Department of Physiological Sciences, Institute of Biology, University of Brasilia, CEP 70910-900 Brasilia, DF, Brazil.

2 Introduction

Research development has driven the development of several methods for data analysis. The understanding of these methods, and an interdisciplinary scientific culture, leads us to new applications and scenarios for them. Methods, as the Fourier Transform (FT), originally thought for temperature transfer analysis [1] today are used widely for several kind of data analysis. Specifically in the biomedical signals field, the FT has been used, besides many other applications, to analyze the relationship between EEG spectrum and electrocardiographic signal [2,3], to find correlations between EEG potentials and indices of attention in children [4], to analyze the EEG posterior-dominant rhythm (PDR) in adolescents [5] and to analyze event related potentials elicited with auditory stimuli [6]. One of the main drawbacks of using the Fourier transform is the loss of time-domain information. A Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) emerges as an alternative to overcome this problem. Several works have used this analysis method in different applications as a study of time-frequency density of energy in event-related potentials [7] or the power-spectrum analysis of the electroencephalogram and the heart rate variability during sleep periods in humans [8].

More recently, techniques such as the Continuous and Discrete Wavelet Transform (CWT and DWT); have contributed to the time-frequency analysis of a variety of signals. For electroencephalographic signals, these methods have been used, for example, in the study of evoked potentials [9-11], the study of EEG in processes of attention [12] or the influence of electromagnetic fields in this signal [13].

These methods are important, then, for biological signal analysis, and for other kind of signals. However, applying these techniques can be far from obvious, which calls for a computational tool to facilitate their application. This work introduces a program named *Protolize!* developed to allow an easy and intuitive use of analysis methods in the frequency domain. It includes modules to process signals using the Fourier Transform, the Short Time Fourier Transform, Continuous Wavelet Transform and Discrete Wavelet Transform.

3 Computational methods and mathematical theory

Protolize! includes four different approaches to frequency analysis: DFT (Discrete Fourier Transform) calculated through the FFT algorithm, STFT (Short time Fourier Transform), CWT (Continuous Wavelets Transform) and DWT (Discrete Wavelet Transform). Through the Discrete Fourier Transform, defined by (Eq. (1)), it is possible to identify the different frequency components present in the signal by projecting it in a sinusoidal orthogonal basis.

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[n]e^{-j\omega n} \quad (1)$$

where j is the imaginary unit. Frequently, the power spectrum, defined by (Eq. (2))

$$PS(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{|X(e^{j\omega})|^2}{N} \quad (2)$$

where N is the number of signal points, is calculated as a measure of these components. This information is fundamental to analyze the signal frequency behavior, however, an important drawback is that by applying the FFT all time domain information is lost. Thus, for non-stationary signals such as biological signals, there is no trace left about when these frequency components appeared, reducing the quality of signal characterization.

An alternative to overcome the lost of time information is the STFT, defined by (Eq. (3)),

$$STFT[\omega, \tau] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} h[n - \tau]x[n]e^{-j\omega n} \quad (3)$$

where limited signal intervals are taken to calculate separate power spectrums corresponding to different time intervals. This is accomplished by multiplying a signal with a window function $h[n - \tau]$, which is nonzero only for a short period of time. As this window sweeps the time axis, different power spectrums are calculated. As a result, a spectrogram is obtained, where it is possible to identify one frequency behavior for each processed time interval.

The CWT is similar to the Fourier Transform, but it does not use a sinusoidal orthogonal basis. In this case, the CWT, defined by (Eq. (4)),

$$C_{a,b} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t)\Psi_{a,b}(t)dt \quad (4)$$

uses a basis of functions named wavelets, defined by (Eq. (5))

$$\Psi_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\Psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \quad (5)$$

where $a \in \mathfrak{R}$

0 is a scalar parameter, and $b \in \mathfrak{R}$ a shift parameter. The CWT calculation, however, result in an enormous quantity of redundant information, thus, it is common to use values for a and b defined by (Eq. (6)) and (Eq. (7)) changing the basis of functions to (Eq. (8)).

$$a = a_0^n : n \in Z \quad (6)$$

$$b = kb_0a_0^n : k, n \in Z \quad (7)$$

$$\Psi_{n,k}(t) = a_0^{-n/2} \Psi(a_0^{-n}t - kb_0) \quad (8)$$

There are different arguments for selecting a_0 and b_0 , however, the dyadic scale is normally used ($a_0 = 2$ and $b_0 = 1$) obtaining:

$$\Psi_{n,k}(t) = 2^{-n/2} \Psi(2^{-n}t - k) \quad (9)$$

By using (Eq. (9)) as a function basis a sampling version of the CWT is obtained and, by using discrete versions of (Eq. (9)), the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) is obtained. The DWT analysis can be approximated by using a high-pass filter $h[n]$ and a low-pass filter $g[n]$ and a downsampling process [14]. This allows decomposing a signal $x(t)$ in a set of approximation and detail coefficients defined by (Eq. (10)) and (Eq. (11))

$$a_{n,k} = \langle x, \varphi_{n,k} \rangle \quad (10)$$

$$d_{n,k} = \langle x, \psi_{n,k} \rangle \quad (11)$$

With $\varphi_{n,k}$ and $\psi_{n,k}$ defined as (Eq. (9)). The functions φ and ϕ can be defined by (Eq. (12)) and (Eq. (13)) based on the filters $h[n]$ and $g[n]$:

$$\varphi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_k h[k] \varphi(2t - k) \quad (12)$$

$$\psi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_k g[k] \psi(2t - k) \quad (13)$$

This process can be continued until the n -th decomposition level resulting in a multi-resolution analysis (MRA) represented by (Fig. 1)

4 Program description

Protolize! has a main panel (Fig. 2) from where a set of interfaces (modules) is accessed, that makes possible an easy and intuitive use of the frequency analysis techniques.

InsertFigure2aboutthere

All modules were programmed to read ASCII files and to export to text or Excel files the data resulting from the analysis. Here we describe the main features offered by each module.

The Fourier Module (Fig. 3) offers the following options:

- Process up to seven different signals simultaneously.
- Calculate the power spectrum of each signal.
- Show, according to the user's choice, besides the power spectrum, also the signals in the time domain for a better visual relationship between the two domains.
- As the module is focused in EEG signals, a power calculation of the main EEG rhythms delta ($0.5Hz - 3.5Hz$), theta ($3.5Hz - 7Hz$), alpha ($8Hz - 13Hz$), beta ($13Hz - 24Hz$) and gamma ($30Hz - 70Hz$) was included.

- The user can define a different band frequency for each EEG rhythm if necessary.
- Select a specific time interval of the signal(s) analyzed in order to calculate the power spectrum only for that interval.

InsertFigure3abouthere

The STFT Module (Fig. 4) offers the following options:

- Choose between six different windows types: Hamming, Gaussian, Blackman, Hanning, Kaiser or Hann.
- Define the time resolution by setting the window size and overlapping.
- Generate the spectrogram with a possibility of rotate it in three dimensions.
- Visualization of the power spectrum from each time interval separately.
- Visualization of a specific frequency power trough time.

InsertFigure4abouthere

The CWT module (Fig. 5) offers the following options:

- Calculate the Continuous Wavelet Transform using one of ten different wavelets families (Haar, Daubechies, Symlets, Coiflets, Biorthogonal, R Biorthogonal, Meyer, Gaussian, Mexican, Morlet)
- Choose the scale interval and resolution.
- Pre-defined scale configuration in order to represent one of the EEG rhythms of interest.
- Plot separately the variation of one specific scale, selected by the user, through time.
- Plot separately all scales values of one specific time selected by the user.
- Scale/Pseudo-frequency converter.

InsertFigure5abouthere

The DWT Module (Fig. 6) offers the following options:

- Calculate the Discrete Wavelet Transform using one of six different wavelets families available. (Haar, Daubechies, Symlets, Coiflets, Biorthogonal, R Biorthogonal)
- Decompose the signal defining one of the 10 first levels of resolution (Multi Resolution Analysis).
- View the coefficients or reconstruction of details and approximations at any calculated level.
- Edit the coefficients of any detail level or/and last approximation.
- Reconstruct the signal from details and last approximation after their edit process.

InsertFigure6abouthere

5 System performance and examples

To illustrate the system performance we used *Protolize!* to detect the classical phenomenon of alpha block. It is well known that during relaxing periods, with closed eyes, an increase in alpha rhythm power can be detected [15]. This increase is blocked in presence of visual or auditory stimuli.

In order to reproduce and detect this phenomenon by using *Protolize!*, a set of EEG signals was collected, from 47 students of University of Brasilia, using an equipment Neuromap® (Neurotec®) model 40i and *Ag – AgCl* electrodes located according to the 10-20 system in the positions *t3* and *t4*. The impedances were kept under $5k\Omega$. The signal was acquired with a $256Hz$ sample rate and band-pass filtered between $0.01Hz$ and $100Hz$ using Neuromap v.2.03 software. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee from the University of Brasilia, Faculty of Health Sciences. The signal contained three intervals of one minute each: the first and third intervals corresponded to time intervals where the subject was asked to relax and keep their eyes closed. At the second interval, the subject was asked to keep their eyes opened. Using the Fourier Module, the power of the alpha rhythm for each subject was calculated at each interval. An ANOVA test to compare the alpha power at *t3* electrode showed statistically significant differences between intervals ($F_{2,39} = 5.88, p = 0.006$). The Bonferroni test showed that the alpha power in the second (eyes opened) interval was significantly lower than first ($t = 3.08, p = 0.011$) and third ($t = 2.83, p = 0.022$) intervals (eyes closed). But there was no differences between the first and the third intervals ($t = 0.198, p = 1$). The same result was obtained for the *t4* electrode. An ANOVA test showed differences between intervals ($F_{2,39} = 8.143, p = 0.001$) and Bonferroni showed differences between the first ($t = 3.523, p = 0.003$) and third ($t = 3.445, p = 0.004$) intervals if compared with the second interval, but no differences between them ($t = 0.141, p = 1$) (Fig. 7).

InsertFigure7abouthere

6 Hardware and software requirements

7 Advantages and availability

8 Recommendations

9 Acknowledgements

10 References