# A proof of the Mardešić Conjecture by using countable elementary submodels.

Tetsuya Ishiu

Department of Mathematics Miami University

Sunday, November 21st, 2021

# Space-filling curves

Recall the following famous theorem proved by G. Peano in 1890.

#### Theorem

There exists a continuous surjection from [0,1] onto  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .

It was a groundbreaking result, which challenged the notion of dimensions.

# Space-filling curves

Recall the following famous theorem proved by G. Peano in 1890.

### Theorem

There exists a continuous surjection from [0,1] onto  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .

It was a groundbreaking result, which challenged the notion of dimensions.

# Treybig Product Theorem

This situation is very different when we consider nonseparable compact linearly ordered topological spaces (LOTS).

In 1964, L. B. Treybig proved the following theorem called Treybig Product Theorem.

#### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a compact LOTS, then both X and Y are metrizable.

# **Treybig Product Theorem**

This situation is very different when we consider nonseparable compact linearly ordered topological spaces (LOTS). In 1964, L. B. Treybig proved the following theorem called *Treybig Product Theorem*.

#### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a compact LOTS, then both X and Y are metrizable.

# **Treybig Product Theorem**

This situation is very different when we consider nonseparable compact linearly ordered topological spaces (LOTS). In 1964, L. B. Treybig proved the following theorem called *Treybig Product Theorem*.

### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a compact LOTS, then both X and Y are metrizable.

### Higher dimensional version?

So, intuitively, we cannot build a continuous surjection from a 'one-dimensional space' (i.e. LOTS) onto a 'space of dimension  $\geq$ 2' in case of nonseparable spaces.

How about continuous surjections from an n-dimensional space onto an (n + 1)-dimensional space for some positive integer n? It is shown to be impossible also.

# Higher dimensional version?

So, intuitively, we cannot build a continuous surjection from a 'one-dimensional space' (i.e. LOTS) onto a 'space of dimension  $\geq$ 2' in case of nonseparable spaces.

How about continuous surjections from an n-dimensional space onto an (n + 1)-dimensional space for some positive integer n? It is shown to be impossible also.

### Higher dimensional version?

So, intuitively, we cannot build a continuous surjection from a 'one-dimensional space' (i.e. LOTS) onto a 'space of dimension  $\geq$ 2' in case of nonseparable spaces.

How about continuous surjections from an n-dimensional space onto an (n + 1)-dimensional space for some positive integer n? It is shown to be impossible also.

# The Mardešić Conjecture

In 2018, G. Martínez-Cervantes and G.Plebanek showed the following theorem. This statement is called the Mardešić Conjecture because it was proposed by him in 1970.

#### Theorem

Let d and s be positive integers. Let  $K_i$  be a compact LOTS for each i < d and  $Z_j$  an infinite Hausdorff space for each j < d + s. If there exists a continuous surjection from  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  onto  $\prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ , then there exist at least (s + 1)-many metrizable factors  $Z_j$ .

Their argument uses the *free dimension*, which is introduced in the same paper. It plays the role of the intuitive dimension in the previous slide.

# The Mardešić Conjecture

In 2018, G. Martínez-Cervantes and G.Plebanek showed the following theorem. This statement is called the Mardešić Conjecture because it was proposed by him in 1970.

#### Theorem

Let d and s be positive integers. Let  $K_i$  be a compact LOTS for each i < d and  $Z_j$  an infinite Hausdorff space for each j < d + s. If there exists a continuous surjection from  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  onto  $\prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ , then there exist at least (s + 1)-many metrizable factors  $Z_i$ .

Their argument uses the *free dimension*, which is introduced in the same paper. It plays the role of the intuitive dimension in the previous slide.

# The Mardešić Conjecture

In 2018, G. Martínez-Cervantes and G.Plebanek showed the following theorem. This statement is called the Mardešić Conjecture because it was proposed by him in 1970.

#### Theorem

Let d and s be positive integers. Let  $K_i$  be a compact LOTS for each i < d and  $Z_j$  an infinite Hausdorff space for each j < d + s. If there exists a continuous surjection from  $\prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ , then there exist at least (s + 1)-many metrizable factors  $Z_j$ .

Their argument uses the *free dimension*, which is introduced in the same paper. It plays the role of the intuitive dimension in the previous slide.

### Čertanov's Theorem

It seems that the researchers focus on continuous images of *compact LOTS*, but in 1976, G. I. Čertanov proved the following theorem, which says that Treybig Product Theorem holds even when we replace 'compact LOTS' by 'countably compact GO-space'.

#### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a countably compact GO-space, then both X and Y are metrizable.

### Čertanov's Theorem

It seems that the researchers focus on continuous images of *compact LOTS*, but in 1976, G. I. Čertanov proved the following theorem, which says that Treybig Product Theorem holds even when we replace 'compact LOTS' by 'countably compact GO-space'.

#### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a countably compact GO-space, then both X and Y are metrizable.

### Čertanov's Theorem

It seems that the researchers focus on continuous images of *compact LOTS*, but in 1976, G. I. Čertanov proved the following theorem, which says that Treybig Product Theorem holds even when we replace 'compact LOTS' by 'countably compact GO-space'.

#### Theorem

If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces and  $X \times Y$  is a continuous image of a countably compact GO-space, then both X and Y are metrizable.

# Countably compact version of the Mardešić Conjecture

Given Čertanov's Theorem, it is natural to ask if we may replace 'compact LOTS' by 'countably compact GO-space' in the Mardešić Conjecture. Namely,

#### Question

Let d and s be positive integers. Let  $K_i$  be a countably compact GO-space for each i < d and  $Z_j$  an infinite Hausdorff spaces for each j < d + s. If there exists a continuous surjection from  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  onto  $\prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ , then do there exist at least (s + 1)-many metrizable factors  $Z_j$ ?

# Countably compact version of the Mardešić Conjecture

Given Čertanov's Theorem, it is natural to ask if we may replace 'compact LOTS' by 'countably compact GO-space' in the Mardešić Conjecture. Namely,

### Question

Let d and s be positive integers. Let  $K_i$  be a countably compact GO-space for each i < d and  $Z_j$  an infinite Hausdorff spaces for each j < d + s. If there exists a continuous surjection from  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  onto  $\prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ , then do there exist at least (s+1)-many metrizable factors  $Z_j$ ?

# Countably compact version (Cont.)

The proof of G. Martínez-Cervantes and G. Plebanek seems heavily dependent on the compactness and cannot be modified to answer this question.

I will present an outline of the proof that gives a positive answer to this question. It is done by using countable elementary submodels.

# Countably compact version (Cont.)

The proof of G. Martínez-Cervantes and G. Plebanek seems heavily dependent on the compactness and cannot be modified to answer this question.

I will present an outline of the proof that gives a positive answer to this question. It is done by using countable elementary submodels.

### The use of countable elementary submodels

I found a way to use countable elementary submodels to investigate nonseparable LOTS and GO-spaces.

For example, Čertanov's Theorem can be proved by using this technique.

First, we shall introduce some notations and terms.

### The use of countable elementary submodels

I found a way to use countable elementary submodels to investigate nonseparable LOTS and GO-spaces.

For example, Čertanov's Theorem can be proved by using this technique.

First, we shall introduce some notations and terms.

### The use of countable elementary submodels

I found a way to use countable elementary submodels to investigate nonseparable LOTS and GO-spaces.

For example, Čertanov's Theorem can be proved by using this technique.

First, we shall introduce some notations and terms.

# $\eta, \zeta, I$

Let K be a countably compact GO-space and M a countable elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  with  $K \in M$  for some sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$ . We shall defined the following: for every  $p \in K$ ,

$$\eta(K, M, p) = \sup \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \leq p \}$$

$$\zeta(K, M, p) = \inf \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \geq p \}$$

$$I(K, M, p) = [\eta(K, M, p), \zeta(K, M, p)]$$

Let me ignore the case  $p < \inf(K \cap M)$  or  $p > \sup(K \cap M)$ .

# $\eta, \zeta, I$

Let K be a countably compact GO-space and M a countable elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  with  $K \in M$  for some sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$ . We shall defined the following: for every  $p \in K$ ,

$$\eta(K, M, p) = \sup \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \leq p \}$$
  
$$\zeta(K, M, p) = \inf \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \geq p \}$$
  
$$I(K, M, p) = [\eta(K, M, p), \zeta(K, M, p)]$$

Let me ignore the case  $p < \inf(K \cap M)$  or  $p > \sup(K \cap M)$ .

# $\eta, \zeta, I$

Let K be a countably compact GO-space and M a countable elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  with  $K \in M$  for some sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$ . We shall defined the following: for every  $p \in K$ ,

$$\eta(K, M, p) = \sup \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \leq p \}$$
  
$$\zeta(K, M, p) = \inf \{ q \in \operatorname{cl}(K \cap M) \mid q \geq p \}$$
  
$$I(K, M, p) = [\eta(K, M, p), \zeta(K, M, p)]$$

Let me ignore the case  $p < \inf(K \cap M)$  or  $p > \sup(K \cap M)$ .

### Now, we shall start the proof.

We shall prove the following slightly stronger statement.

#### Theorem

Let d, s be positive integers. For each i < d,  $K_i$  is a countably compact GO-space, and for each j < d + s,  $Z_j$  is an infinite Hausdorff space. Suppose that there exist a countably compact subspace Y of  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  and a continuous surjection  $f: Y \to \prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ . Then, there exist at least (s + 1)-many factors  $Z_j$  such that  $Z_j$  is metrizable.

Namely, *f* can be a partial function with countably compact domain



Now, we shall start the proof. We shall prove the following slightly stronger statement.

#### Theorem

Let d, s be positive integers. For each i < d,  $K_i$  is a countably compact GO-space, and for each j < d + s,  $Z_j$  is an infinite Hausdorff space. Suppose that there exist a countably compact subspace Y of  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  and a continuous surjection  $f: Y \to \prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ . Then, there exist at least (s + 1)-many factors  $Z_j$  such that  $Z_j$  is metrizable.

Namely, *f* can be a partial function with countably compact domain



Now, we shall start the proof. We shall prove the following slightly stronger statement.

#### **Theorem**

Let d, s be positive integers. For each i < d,  $K_i$  is a countably compact GO-space, and for each j < d + s,  $Z_j$  is an infinite Hausdorff space. Suppose that there exist a countably compact subspace Y of  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  and a continuous surjection  $f: Y \to \prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ . Then, there exist at least (s + 1)-many factors  $Z_j$  such that  $Z_j$  is metrizable.

Namely, *f* can be a partial function with countably compact domain.

Now, we shall start the proof. We shall prove the following slightly stronger statement.

### **Theorem**

Let d, s be positive integers. For each i < d,  $K_i$  is a countably compact GO-space, and for each j < d + s,  $Z_j$  is an infinite Hausdorff space. Suppose that there exist a countably compact subspace Y of  $\prod_{i < d} K_i$  and a continuous surjection  $f: Y \to \prod_{j < d + s} Z_j$ . Then, there exist at least (s + 1)-many factors  $Z_j$  such that  $Z_j$  is metrizable.

Namely, *f* can be a partial function with countably compact domain.

### Mardešić's Theorem

In the same paper as he proposed the Mardešić Conjecture, he proved the following theorem.

### Theorem

The Mardešić Conjecture holds when we assume all  $Z_j$ 's are separable.

We shall appeal to this theorem.

### Mardešić's Theorem

In the same paper as he proposed the Mardešić Conjecture, he proved the following theorem.

### Theorem

The Mardešić Conjecture holds when we assume all  $Z_j$ 's are separable.

We shall appeal to this theorem.

### Strategy

By using the observation by G. Martínez-Certvantez and G. Plebanek, we may focus on the case of s = 1.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose  $Z_0$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{1 \le i < d+1} Z_i$ .

### Strategy

By using the observation by G. Martínez-Certvantez and G. Plebanek, we may focus on the case of s=1. We shall show the following lemma.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose  $Z_0$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{1 < d-1} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{1 < i < d+1} Z_i$ .

### Strategy

By using the observation by G. Martínez-Certvantez and G. Plebanek, we may focus on the case of s = 1. We shall show the following lemma.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose  $Z_0$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{1 < j < d+1} Z_j$ .

### Strategy (Cont.)

By repeatedly applying this lemma, we can prove the following.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose that for all j < n,  $Z_j$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-n)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-n} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{n < j < d+1} Z_j$ .

If there are (d-1)-many nonseparable  $Z_j$ 's, then we can get to the situation where we can apply Čertanov's Theorem. Otherwise, we can get to the situation where we can apply Mardešić's Theorem

### Strategy (Cont.)

By repeatedly applying this lemma, we can prove the following.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose that for all j < n,  $Z_j$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-n)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-n} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{n < j < d+1} Z_j$ .

If there are (d-1)-many nonseparable  $Z_j$ 's, then we can get to the situation where we can apply Čertanov's Theorem.

Otherwise, we can get to the situation where we can apply Mardešić's Theorem.



# Strategy (Cont.)

By repeatedly applying this lemma, we can prove the following.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose that for all j < n,  $Z_j$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-n)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-n} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{n \le j < d+1} Z_j$ .

If there are (d-1)-many nonseparable  $Z_j$ 's, then we can get to the situation where we can apply Čertanov's Theorem. Otherwise, we can get to the situation where we can apply Mardešić's Theorem.

# The proof of the lemma

Now, the proof of our theorem is reduced to the following lemma.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose  $Z_0$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{1 \le j < d+1} Z_d$ .

Let M be a countable elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  for a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  such that M knows everything in this context.

### The proof of the lemma

Now, the proof of our theorem is reduced to the following lemma.

#### Lemma

Let  $K_i(i < d)$ ,  $Z_j(j < d+1)$ , Y, and f be as in the assumption of the theorem. Suppose  $Z_0$  is not separable. Then, there exist countably compact GO-spaces  $K_i'(i < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K_i'$ , and a continuous surjection  $g: Y' \to \prod_{1 \le j < d+1} Z_d$ .

Let M be a countable elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  for a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  such that M knows everything in this context.

### Stars

Since  $Z_0$  is not separable, there exists  $z_0 \in Z_0$  such that  $z_0 \notin \operatorname{cl}(Z_0 \cap M)$ . Let  $f_j$  be the coordinate function of f.

#### Claim

There exist finitely many elements  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1} \in Y$  such that for all  $x \in Y$ , if  $f_0(x) = z_0$ , then there exists i < d and k < m such that  $x(i) \in I(K_i, M, x_k(i))$ .

Here x(i) denotes the i-th component of x. For each i < d, let  $\pi_i$  be the projection onto  $K_i$ , i.e.,  $\pi_i(x) = x(i)$ . Then,  $x(i) \in I(K_i, M, x_k(i))$  can be written as  $x \in \pi_i \subset I(K_i, M, x_k(i))$ .

### **Proof**

### Proof.

Suppose not. Then, there exists a sequence  $\langle x_k | k < \omega \rangle$  in Y such that for all  $k' < k < \omega$  and i < d,  $f_0(x_k) = z_0$  and  $x_k(i) \not\in I(K_i, M, x_{k'}(i))$ . Without loss of generality, for every i < d,  $\langle x_k(i) | k < \omega \rangle$  is monotone. Let x be the limit point of  $\{x_k \mid k < \omega\}$ . Then, clearly  $f_0(x) = z_0$ . However, by using the assumption that for every  $k < \omega$  and i < d,  $x_{k+1}(i) \not\in I(K_i, M, x_k(i))$ , we can build a sequence  $\langle x_k' | k < \omega \rangle$  in  $Y \cap M$  such that  $x \in \text{cl}\{x_k' \mid k < \omega\}$  and hence  $f_0(x) \in \text{cl}(Z_0 \cap M)$ . This is a contradiction.

### **Boards**

By looking at each coordinate of  $x_k$ 's, we can show the following claim.

### Claim

There exist a finite set  $\{\langle i_k, p_k \rangle \mid k < m\}$  such that for all k < m,  $i_k < d$ ,  $p_k \in K_{i_k}$ , and for all  $x \in Y$ , if  $f_0(x) = z_0$ , then there exists k < m such that  $x \in \pi_{i_k} \leftarrow I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$ .

#### Remark

By the previous claim, since f is surjective, for every  $z \in \prod_{0 < j < d+1} Z_j$ , there exists  $x \in Y \cap \bigcup_{k < m} \pi_{i_k} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{} I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$  such that  $f_{\neq 0}(x) = z$ . Here  $f_{\neq 0}(x) = f(x) \upharpoonright [1, d+1)$ .

### Boards

By looking at each coordinate of  $x_k$ 's, we can show the following claim.

### Claim

There exist a finite set  $\{\langle i_k, p_k \rangle \mid k < m\}$  such that for all k < m,  $i_k < d$ ,  $p_k \in K_{i_k}$ , and for all  $x \in Y$ , if  $f_0(x) = z_0$ , then there exists k < m such that  $x \in \pi_{i_k} \leftarrow I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$ .

### Remark

By the previous claim, since f is surjective, for every  $z \in \prod_{0 < j < d+1} Z_j$ , there exists  $x \in Y \cap \bigcup_{k < m} \pi_{i_k} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{} I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$  such that  $f_{\neq 0}(x) = z$ . Here  $f_{\neq 0}(x) = f(x) \upharpoonright [1, d+1)$ .

# Hyperplanes

For each k < m, we shall replace a 'board'  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$  by finitely many countably compact subspaces of the products of (d-1)-many countably compact GO-spaces.

Two of them are 
$$\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \eta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$$
 and  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \zeta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$ .

There can be one element  $p'_k \in M$  of the Dedekind completion of  $K_{i_k}$  that belongs to  $I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$ . We can consider the 'limits' of f from the above and below  $p'_k$ . These limits may not be taken in  $\prod_{i < d, i \neq i_k} K_i$ , so we may need to extend each  $K_i$  to a larger GO-space. But we can do it.

# Hyperplanes

For each k < m, we shall replace a 'board'  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$  by finitely many countably compact subspaces of the products of (d-1)-many countably compact GO-spaces.

Two of them are  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \eta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$  and  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \zeta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$ .

There can be one element  $p'_k \in M$  of the Dedekind completion of  $K_{i_k}$  that belongs to  $I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$ . We can consider the 'limits' of f from the above and below  $p'_k$ . These limits may not be taken in  $\prod_{i < d, i \neq i_k} K_i$ , so we may need to extend each  $K_i$  to a larger GO-space. But we can do it.

# Hyperplanes

For each k < m, we shall replace a 'board'  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$  by finitely many countably compact subspaces of the products of (d-1)-many countably compact GO-spaces.

Two of them are  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \eta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$  and  $\pi_{i_k} \leftarrow \{ \zeta(K_{i_k}, M, p_k) \}$ .

There can be one element  $p'_k \in M$  of the Dedekind completion of  $K_{i_k}$  that belongs to  $I(K_{i_k}, M, p_k)$ . We can consider the 'limits' of f from the above and below  $p'_k$ . These limits may not be taken in  $\prod_{i < d, i \neq i_k} K_i$ , so we may need to extend each  $K_i$  to a larger GO-space. But we can do it.

# The end of the proof of the lemma

By combining all hyperplanes and associated functions made in the previous slide, we can find countably compact GO-spaces  $K'_{k,i}(k < m', i < d-1)$ , countably compact subspaces  $Y_k(k < m')$  of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_{k,i}$ , and continuous functions  $g_k : Y_k \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$  such that  $\bigcup_{k < m'} \operatorname{ran}(g_k) = \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . We can combine them to construct countably compact GO-space  $K'_k(k < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_k$ , and continuous surjection  $g : Y' \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . This completes the proof of the lemma, and hence the proof of our theorem.

# The end of the proof of the lemma

By combining all hyperplanes and associated functions made in the previous slide, we can find countably compact GO-spaces  $K'_{k,i}(k < m', i < d-1)$ , countably compact subspaces  $Y_k(k < m')$  of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_{k,i}$ , and continuous functions  $g_k : Y_k \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$  such that  $\bigcup_{k < m'} \operatorname{ran}(g_k) = \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . We can combine them to construct countably compact GO-space  $K'_k(k < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_k$ , and continuous surjection  $g : Y' \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . This completes the proof of the lemma, and hence the proof of our theorem.

# The end of the proof of the lemma

By combining all hyperplanes and associated functions made in the previous slide, we can find countably compact GO-spaces  $K'_{k,i}(k < m', i < d-1)$ , countably compact subspaces  $Y_k(k < m')$  of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_{k,i}$ , and continuous functions  $g_k : Y_k \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$  such that  $\bigcup_{k < m'} \operatorname{ran}(g_k) = \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . We can combine them to construct countably compact GO-space  $K'_k(k < d-1)$ , a countably compact subspace Y' of  $\prod_{i < d-1} K'_k$ , and continuous surjection  $g : Y' \to \prod_{0 < j < d+1} X_j$ . This completes the proof of the lemma, and hence the proof of our theorem.

# Open problems

### Question

Can we generalize this theorem to larger classes than GO-spaces? Is there any good way to define 'one-dimensinal'?

#### Question

Can we find more qualitative differences between the reals and nonseparable LOTS or GO-spaces?

# Open problems

### Question

Can we generalize this theorem to larger classes than GO-spaces? Is there any good way to define 'one-dimensinal'?

### Question

Can we find more qualitative differences between the reals and nonseparable LOTS or GO-spaces?