

## MACHINE ASSERTIONS

Human generated assertions were found to be superior to generated

- this is probably still true
- assertion generation is hard

What are automated tests good at?

- excellent for coverage
- generate generic assertions
- mutant generation can help

## EFFECTIVENESS

Is there an upper bound on the usefulness of assertions?

- there is a limit on what you can assert for a given method
- some have many hundreds of assertions! some only need few
- Research Question: is there a correlation between # of assertions per case and test effectiveness



## MUTATION TESTING

Works better as a meta-testing techn.



- off-by-one, typos
- automatically generated
- only starting to come into industry

## TEST SUITE EFFECTIVENESS



WITH ALI MESBAH

## TEACHING/LEARNING

How do we communicate these lessons with students?

Can automated test assertions help inexperienced devs?

- automation is not a replacement for experience
- teach testing as part of introductory education

## SECURITY TESTING

How do we test for security?

- software testing is for functional testing
- security is a non-functional property of systems
- rely on specific domain experts

## GENERALIZABILITY

The paper studies Java. Do the findings translate?

- probably, but it's not been shown yet.
- all testing frameworks contain assertions

## ASSERTION TYPE

Some types of assertions seem to be more effective.

- After more research, the correlation was not found