

# Problem 139

## Pythagorean tiles

Iñaki Silanes

October 23, 2014

### 1 Definition

Let  $(a, b, c)$  represent the three sides of a right angle triangle with integral length sides. It is possible to place four such triangles together to form a square with length  $c$ .

For example,  $(3, 4, 5)$  triangles can be placed together to form a 5 by 5 square with a 1 by 1 hole in the middle and it can be seen that the 5 by 5 square can be tiled with twenty-five 1 by 1 squares.

However, if  $(5, 12, 13)$  triangles were used then the hole would measure 7 by 7 and these could not be used to tile the 13 by 13 square.

Given that the perimeter of the right triangle is less than one-hundred million, how many Pythagorean triangles would allow such a tiling to take place?

### 2 Solution(s) and proof

We will call  $d$  the value of the side of the hole in the middle, and  $(i, j, k)$  the values of the sides of the triangle, in increasing order. From that is evident that  $j - i = d$ , and  $i^2 + j^2 = k^2$ . Also, as  $k$  must be divisible by  $d$ , we can define  $k = dk_0$ . From that:

$$i^2 + j^2 = k^2 \tag{1}$$

$$i^2 + (j + d)^2 = d^2 k_0^2 \tag{2}$$

$$2i^2 + 2id + d^2(1 - k_0^2) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Solving for  $i$ , we get:

$$i = \frac{d}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2k_0^2 - 1}) = i_0 d \tag{5}$$

$$i_0 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{2k_0^2 - 1}}{2} \tag{6}$$

Since  $j = i + d$ , we can put it as a function of  $i_0$  and  $d$ :  $j = (i_0 + 1)d$ . From that, we can solve for the perimeter:

$$P = i + j + k \tag{7}$$

$$P = i_0 d + (i_0 + 1)d + k_0 d \tag{8}$$

$$P = d(2i_0 + k_0 + 1) \tag{9}$$

$$P = P_0 d \tag{10}$$

## 2.1 f0

Eq. ?? already gives as a method for solving p138. We can try successive integer values of  $B$ , and check whether the result of  $5B^2 \pm 4B + 1$  is a perfect square. If it is, calculate  $L$  and add up. This method is too slow to go beyond the 7th triangle.

## 2.2 f1

According to Eq. ??, we can take successive  $L$  values, square them, then check whether the equation returns an integer value for  $B$ . This method turns out to be a bit slower than **f0**.

## 2.3 f2

I have realized that the values of  $L$  in Eq. ?? that return an integer  $B$  are exactly half the value of members of the Fibonacci sequence, more precisely of the form  $F_{9+6m}/2$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3...$  It is then trivial to iterate over every 6th Fibonacci number from the 9th on, adding their halves up as needed.