

Immelmann turn

A flight mechanics study

Contents

Introduction	1
Maneuver study	1
Maneuver Computations	2
Maneuver Computations	2
Maneuver Computations	2
Maneuver Computations	2
Maneuver Computations	2
Introduction	2

Introduction

The Immelmann turn, named after German World War I flying ace Max Immelmann, is an aerobatic maneuver that results in level-flight of the aircraft in the opposite direction and higher altitude.




Figure 1 Immelmann turn overview

The aircraft initiates this maneuver in straight levelled flight, and describes an upwards semicircle contained in its symmetry plane. This increases the flight altitude while changing the trajectory's to the original's opposite direction and leaves the plane turned upside down. It then rolls while still flying in a straight line, and returns to the initial condition of levelled flight.

This maneuver can be performed for various velocities and radius, but there are some dependencies and limitations to be taken into account, such as the maximum deflections or forces the control surfaces can provide or support, respectively. The higher the velocity is, the bigger the radius - this

is, the greater the increase of altitude - given that the wing's flaps cannot deflect enough nor can support as much force to reduce the radius as to the same maneuver for a lower velocity.

Note that the centripetal force follows:

$$F = \frac{mV^2}{R}$$

Where m , V and R are the aircraft's mass (in kg), velocity (in m/s) and radius (in m) respectively.

The only angles affected are gamma γ and mu μ if performed flawlessly. The former is the angle formed between the x axis of the horizontal set and the x axis of the wind set, while the latter is the one formed between the y axis of the same sets. Note that for the whole maneuver the body set remains consistent with the wind set.

Figure 2 shows how these change in the different phases of the Immelmann turn




Figure 2 Angles evolution over time

Note that for γ the evolution is perfectly defined, as the increment of said angle needs to be positive in order to increase the flight altitude. Conversely, for μ the pilot could choose to rotate in the opposite direction thus changing μ from 0 to $-\pi$ instead - note that π and $-\pi$ are equivalent -, and the result would be virtually the same.

Furthermore, in order to describe a perfect semicircle the angular speed $\dot{\gamma}$ needs to remain constant. This results in the necessary condition of gamma's evolution to be a straight slope. For μ , however, the pilot could also perform the roll at non-constant rotating speed without this having an impact on the maneuver performance. This would be reflected as a curve on mu's temporal evolution, instead of a straight line.


Maneuver study

Let us assume symmetric flight (no lateral aerodynamic force $Q = 0$ nor lateral thrust force as $\nu = 0$),

the thrust generated by the engines is parallel to the x wind axis (thus $\epsilon = 0$), and that the maneuver takes place flawlessly, this is in the vertical plane too; $\xi = \dot{\xi} = 0$.

As the maneuver is a rather short, we will also assume that the fuel consumed is negligible and no mass fraction is lost; $\dot{m} = 0$.

The three force configurations during the maneuver correspond to the free body diagrams at stages 1, 2 and 3 (see Figure 1).



Note that at all times the lift behaves as the centripetal force, thus indicating that both the velocity and radius limitations to perform the Immelmann turn are inherent to the wing design and its maximum and minimum lift generation.

As a result, the radius can be written as a function of the angle of attack. By operating with the third equation of system number 2, which is in wind system of reference, very convenient as is also polar system:

$$L = m \left(\frac{V^2}{R} + g \cos(\gamma) \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho S V^2 C_L(\alpha) = m \left(\frac{V^2}{R} + g \cos(\gamma) \right)$$

$$R = \frac{2mV^2}{2W \cos(\gamma) + \rho S V^2 C_L(\alpha)}$$

As the plane must describe a semicircle, the radius must be constant.

Maneuver Computations

The motion equations follow:

$$\begin{cases} T \cos(\epsilon) \cos(\nu) - D - mg \sin \gamma - m\dot{V} = 0 \\ T \cos(\epsilon) \sin(\nu) - Q + mg \cos \gamma \sin \mu + mV(\dot{\gamma} \sin \mu - \dot{\Xi} \cos \gamma \cos \mu) = 0 \\ -T \sin \epsilon - L + mg \cos \gamma \cos \mu + mV(\dot{\gamma} \cos \mu - \dot{\Xi} \cos \gamma \sin \mu) = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = V \cos \gamma \cos \Xi \\ \dot{y}_e = V \cos \gamma \sin \Xi \\ \dot{x}_e = -V \sin \gamma \end{cases}$$

And for each of the phases that compose the maneuver, they can be simplified by substituting the

flight conditions.

First phases - cruise

$$\begin{cases} T - D - mg - m\dot{V} = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \\ -L + mg = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = V \\ \dot{y}_e = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Total degrees of freedom are:

Second phase - semicircle

$$\begin{cases} T - D - mg \sin \gamma - m\dot{V} = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \\ -L + mg \cos \gamma + mV\dot{\gamma} = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = V \cos \gamma \\ \dot{y}_e = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = -V \sin \gamma \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Total degrees of freedom are:

Third phase - turnaround

$$\begin{cases} T - D - m\dot{V} = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \\ -L - mg = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = V \\ \dot{y}_e = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Total degrees of freedom are:

Forth phase - cruise

$$\begin{cases} T - D - mg - m\dot{V} = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \\ -L + mg = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = V \\ \dot{y}_e = 0 \\ \dot{x}_e = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Total degrees of freedom are:

Other data