Stage 2: Exploratory Data Analysis

Isaac Slagel and Jack Welsh 4/18/2019

Main Report

Pitbulls in Dallas Shelters

In our EDA we found a distinct difference between outcomes for pitbulls compared to other breeds of dogs. What we saw was that pitbulls tend to be killed at a higher rate than other dogs. However we also found there were other variables that influence the outcome of dogs like chip status, outcome month, and intake condition. Inorder to quantify the difference in treatment between dog breeds, we condensed our large dataset into a smaller form and conducted a series of binomial regressions described in Table 1.

Table 1: Modeling Dog Outcomes in Dallas Animal Shelters

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Intercept	0.116***	0.111***	0.552***
	(0.069, 0.186)	(0.069, 0.170)	(0.454, 0.669)
Pitbull	3.440***	3.424***	3.489***
	(1.795, 6.557)	(1.905, 6.130)	(3.022, 4.027)
Scannable Chip	0.789	0.799	0.781***
	(0.377, 1.566)	(0.412, 1.483)	(0.667, 0.911)
Summer Outcome	1.461	1.447	1.478***
	(0.725, 2.852)	(0.771, 2.649)	(1.271, 1.718)
Contagious		7.286**	3.975***
		(1.324, 44.137)	(2.568, 6.168)
Treatable At Intake			0.161***
			(0.133, 0.196)
Overdisperson Parameter	139.72	111.46	6.27
Nested F Test		$F:5.1142^*$	$F:313.62^{***}$
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

See from the above table that all of our models has variable estimates that are significant including Model 3 which accounted for whether or not an animal was deemed "treatable" at the time of intake. For some reason our table does not indicate the significance of the treatable intake variable in model 3, it is indeed significant. Further, by two F tests we see each of our models is an improvement on the one before it (Model $1 \rightarrow$ Model 2: F = 5.1141, p-value = 0.031) (Model $2 \rightarrow$ Model 3: F = 313.62, p-value <<< .05).

Using model 3 as our best model of dog outcomes from the animal shelter, we glean several insights about how certain characteristics of dogs have relationships with those animal's outcome from the animal shelter.

For instance, if a dog is a pitbull, we expect it's odds of leaving the animal shelter dead increase by 348%, after controlling for chip status, season, and intake condition. Yikes! On the other hand, if a dog comes into the shelter with a scannable chip, we expect the animals odds of dying within the shelter system to drop by about 22%, after controlling for pitbull, season, and intake condition. Interestingly enough, if a dog has its outcome in the summer, the odds of that outcome being death are about 47% higher, controlling for breed, chip status, and intake condition.

Dogs and Cats

Interestingly enough, we were not able to find any significant relationships between dog and cat outcomes. We tried multiple binomial models accounting for stray, summer, and chip status. None of these models showed any significance. This suprised us a lot, as we saw a few trends in our EDA and have heard stories about poorer outcomes for cats in animal shelters. We may consider looking into a few other outcomes for our final report (we only considered death at outcome).

Annotated Appendix

(Intercept) -2.15259

1.23537

pitbull

Pitbulls

One of our research interests was to look into how different species of dogs fare in the animal shelter system. Specifically, we were interested in why pitbulls are more likely to be dead at the outcome of their time in the shelter. Does this trend continue after controlling for other variables in our dataset?

Initially we fit a model which factors in the chip status, whether or not the outcome was in the summer, and whether the dog was a pitbull or not.

```
pitbull_binom <- adoptions %>%
  filter(!str_detect(intake_subtype, "(DEAD)|(DIED)")) %>%
  filter(dog == 1) %>%
  mutate(out_dead = outcome_type %in% c("DEAD ON ARRIVAL", "EUTHANIZED", "DIED"),
         summer = ifelse(month %in% c(5, 6, 7, 8, 9), 1, 0)) %>%
  mutate(chip_status = ifelse(chip_status=="SCAN CHIP", 1, 0)) %>%
  group_by(pitbull, chip_status, summer, month) %>%
  summarize(prop_dead = sum(out_dead)/n(), count = n())
pitbull_model1_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer , weights = count, family = binom
pitbull_model1_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer , weights = count, family = quas
summary(pitbull_model1_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer, family = binomial,
##
       data = pitbull_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                 10
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -7.4184 -1.8174 -0.4575
                               1.5859
                                        9.4807
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
```

44.387 < 2e-16 ***

< 2e-16 ***

0.02131 -100.994

0.02783

```
## chip_status -0.23649
                          0.03050
                                    -7.753 8.97e-15 ***
## summer
               0.37879
                          0.02938
                                    12.891 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 2641.29 on 51 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 544.19 on 48 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: 867.48
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(pitbull_model1_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                  2.5 %
##
                           97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1114096 0.1211179
## pitbull
              3.2569778 3.6324244
## chip_status 0.7434426 0.8378715
## summer
              1.3786281 1.5469365
summary(pitbull_model1_quasi)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer, family = quasibinomial,
##
       data = pitbull_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                     Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -7.4184 -1.8174 -0.4575
                             1.5859
                                       9.4807
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          0.06971 -30.880 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) -2.15259
## pitbull
               1.23537
                          0.09103 13.572 < 2e-16 ***
## chip_status -0.23649
                          0.09976 -2.371 0.021826 *
               0.37879
                          0.09610
                                    3.942 0.000262 ***
## summer
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 10.69677)
##
      Null deviance: 2641.29 on 51 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 544.19 on 48 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: NA
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(pitbull_model1_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
```

```
##
                   2.5 %
                             97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1011467 0.1329404
## pitbull
               2.8769183 4.1109439
## chip_status 0.6478111 0.9580232
## summer
               1.2082342 1.7612914
```

Initially we see that all variables included have a significant relationship to the proportion of dogs who are dead at the end of their time in the shelter, even after inflating our standard errors to account for the variance structure of our data.

Next lets try to control for whether or not a animal was contagious when it was brought into the shelter.

```
pitbull_binom <- adoptions %>%
  filter(!str_detect(intake_subtype, "(DEAD)|(DIED)")) %>%
  filter(dog == 1) %>%
  mutate(out_dead = outcome_type %in% c("DEAD ON ARRIVAL", "EUTHANIZED", "DIED"),
         summer = ifelse(month \frac{1}{2} c(5, 6, 7, 8, 9), 1, 0),
         chip_status = ifelse(chip_status=="SCAN CHIP", 1, 0),
         contagious=ifelse(grep1(".*[^NON-]CONTAGIOUS", intake_condition),1,0)) %>%
  group_by(pitbull, chip_status, summer, contagious) %>%
  summarize(prop_dead = sum(out_dead)/n(), count = n())
pitbull_model2_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status +</pre>
                      summer + contagious, weights = count,
                    family = binomial, data = pitbull_binom)
pitbull_model2_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status +</pre>
                      summer + contagious , weights = count,
                    family = quasibinomial, data = pitbull_binom)
summary(pitbull_model2_binom)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer + contagious,
       family = binomial, data = pitbull_binom, weights = count)
##
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                         Median
##
        Min
                   1Q
                                       3Q
                                                 Max
## -2.89655 -1.32251 -0.08517
                                  1.08867
                                            2.12765
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -2.20165
                           0.02169 -101.518 < 2e-16 ***
## pitbull
                1.23078
                           0.02814
                                     43.742 < 2e-16 ***
## chip status -0.22483
                           0.03077
                                     -7.306 2.74e-13 ***
                0.36978
                           0.02969
                                     12.456 < 2e-16 ***
## summer
## contagious
              1.98593
                           0.08113
                                     24.477 < 2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 2699.882 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance:
                        32.758 on 11
                                      degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
```

```
## AIC: 143.79
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
exp(confint(pitbull_model2_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
##
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1059964 0.1154017
## pitbull
              3.2401347 3.6179798
## chip_status 0.7517627 0.8481433
## summer
               1.3654369 1.5339545
## contagious 6.2166833 8.5454709
summary(pitbull_model2_quasi)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer + contagious,
##
       family = quasibinomial, data = pitbull_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
                   1Q
                         Median
                                       3Q
                                                Max
## -2.89655 -1.32251 -0.08517
                                            2.12765
                                  1.08867
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -2.20165
                          0.03766 -58.455 4.54e-15 ***
               1.23078
                           0.04887 25.187 4.45e-11 ***
## pitbull
## chip status -0.22483
                           0.05344 -4.207 0.00147 **
                                    7.172 1.82e-05 ***
## summer
               0.36978
                           0.05156
## contagious
              1.98593
                           0.14091 14.094 2.19e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
  (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 3.01608)
##
##
##
       Null deviance: 2699.882 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance:
                        32.758 on 11 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: NA
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
exp(confint(pitbull_model2_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1026891 0.1190278
## pitbull
              3.1110085 3.7678996
## chip status 0.7188083 0.8863497
## summer
               1.3078316 1.6007855
## contagious 5.5323688 9.6176550
```

Next lets try to control for whether or not a animal was treatable when it was brought into the shelter.

```
pitbull_binom <- adoptions %>%
 filter(!str_detect(intake_subtype, "(DEAD)|(DIED)")) %>%
 filter(dog == 1) %>%
 mutate(out dead = outcome type %in% c("DEAD ON ARRIVAL", "EUTHANIZED", "DIED"),
        summer = ifelse(month \frac{1}{2} c(5, 6, 7, 8, 9), 1, 0),
        chip_status = ifelse(chip_status=="SCAN CHIP", 1, 0),
        contagious=ifelse(grepl(".*[^NON-]CONTAGIOUS", intake_condition),1,0),
        treatable=ifelse(grep1("^TREATABLE.*", intake condition),1,0)) %>%
 group_by(pitbull, chip_status, summer, month, contagious, treatable) %>%
 summarize(prop_dead = sum(out_dead)/n(), count = n())
pitbull_model3_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status +</pre>
                     summer + contagious + treatable, weights = count,
                   family = binomial, data = pitbull_binom)
pitbull_model3_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status +</pre>
                     summer + contagious + treatable,
                     weights = count,
                     family = quasibinomial, data = pitbull_binom)
summary(pitbull model3 binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer + contagious +
##
      treatable, family = binomial, data = pitbull_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                     Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
                10
## -6.0491 -1.4236 -0.1897
                              0.9336
                                       9.5936
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
42.72 < 2e-16 ***
## pitbull
              1.24955
                          0.02925
## chip_status -0.24774
                                    -7.80 6.21e-15 ***
                          0.03176
               0.39095
                          0.03070
                                    12.73 < 2e-16 ***
## summer
                          0.08913
## contagious
              1.38010
                                  15.48 < 2e-16 ***
                          0.03953 -46.14 < 2e-16 ***
## treatable -1.82378
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 5475.42 on 194 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 842.76 on 189 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: 1514.1
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(pitbull_model3_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
```

```
##
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.5106406 0.5961339
## pitbull
               3.2943807 3.6946299
## chip_status 0.7333041 0.8305406
## summer
               1.3918868 1.5699049
## contagious 3.3384762 4.7351594
## treatable
               0.1493824 0.1744212
summary(pitbull model3 quasi)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = prop_dead ~ pitbull + chip_status + summer + contagious +
       treatable, family = quasibinomial, data = pitbull_binom,
##
##
       weights = count)
##
##
  Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                 10
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
##
   -6.0491
            -1.4236
                     -0.1897
                               0.9336
                                         9.5936
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -0.59457
                           0.08240
                                    -7.216 1.26e-11 ***
## pitbull
                1.24955
                           0.06104
                                    20.472 < 2e-16 ***
## chip_status -0.24774
                           0.06628
                                    -3.738 0.000246 ***
## summer
                0.39095
                           0.06407
                                     6.102 5.80e-09 ***
## contagious
                           0.18600
                                     7.420 3.86e-12 ***
                1.38010
## treatable
               -1.82378
                           0.08249 -22.110 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
   (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 4.354402)
##
       Null deviance: 5475.42 on 194 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 842.76 on 189
                                       degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: NA
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(pitbull_model3_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.4692407 0.6482124
               3.0952904 3.9321196
## pitbull
## chip status 0.6848701 0.8881191
## summer
               1.3032732 1.6754195
## contagious
               2.7616713 5.7307395
## treatable
               0.1373228 0.1897619
```

Note that these models have different confidence intervals than the ones mentioned in the main report of our paper. While each of the three models in the appendix was fit on a dataset summarised specifically for each model, the models in the main report were all fit using the same dataset. By using the same dataset we can compare models using nested F tests, but SEs may be artificially small.

Dogs vs Cats

```
cat_dog_binom <- adoptions %>%
  filter(!str_detect(intake_subtype, "(DEAD)|(DIED)")) %>%
  filter(dog == 1 | cat == 1) %>%
  mutate(out_dead = outcome_type %in% c("DEAD ON ARRIVAL", "EUTHANIZED", "DIED"),
         summer = ifelse(month \frac{1}{n} c(5, 6, 7, 8, 9), 1, 0))%>%
  mutate(chip_status = ifelse(chip_status=="SCAN CHIP", 1, 0)) %>%
  group_by(dog, chip_status, summer, stray) %>%
  summarize(prop_dead = sum(out_dead)/n(), count = n())
###### MODEL 1: dog ########
dogcat_model1_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ dog, weights = count, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom)</pre>
dogcat_model1_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ dog, weights = count, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_bin
summary(dogcat_model1_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ dog, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
##
       weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -19.837
                     -2.250
                                        15.639
            -7.385
                                4.985
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                           0.02199 -65.38 <2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) -1.43741
              -0.30509
                           0.02563 -11.90
                                             <2e-16 ***
## dog
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 1745.6 on 16 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1866
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model1_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.2274800 0.2479563
## dog
              0.7010303 0.7751213
summary(dogcat_model1_quasi)
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ dog, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
```

```
##
      weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                1Q
##
      Min
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -19.837
           -7.385
                     -2.250
                               4.985
                                       15.639
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.4374
                           0.2249 -6.393 8.91e-06 ***
               -0.3051
## dog
                           0.2621 -1.164
                                             0.261
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 104.5899)
##
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1745.6 on 16 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model1_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
##
                  2.5 %
                           97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1495373 0.3624932
## dog
              0.4457027 1.2501118
###### MODEL 2: summer #######
dogcat_model2_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ summer, weights = count, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom
dogcat_model2_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ summer, weights = count, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_
summary(dogcat_model2_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ summer, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
      weights = count)
##
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -18.202
           -7.386
                    -4.440
                               5.671
                                       17.663
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -1.81259
                          0.01405 - 129.0
                                            <2e-16 ***
## summer
               0.45539
                          0.02372
                                     19.2
                                            <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
##
```

```
## Residual deviance: 1524.9 on 16 degrees of freedom
## ATC: 1645.3
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model2_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1587829 0.1677739
              1.5050770 1.6517349
## summer
summary(dogcat_model2_quasi)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ summer, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
##
      weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                1Q
                     Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -18.202
           -7.386
                     -4.440
                               5.671
                                       17.663
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.8126
                           0.1344 -13.490 3.71e-10 ***
## summer
                0.4554
                            0.2268
                                    2.008
                                           0.0619 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 91.44736)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1524.9 on 16 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model2_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1243408 0.2107409
              1.0043970 2.4491693
## summer
###### MODEL 3: chip #####
dogcat_model3_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ dog + chip_status, weights = count, family = binomial, data = ca
dogcat_model3_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ dog + chip_status, weights = count, family = quasibinomial, data
summary(dogcat_model3_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ dog + chip_status, family = binomial,
```

```
##
       data = cat_dog_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                  1Q
                        Median
                                       3Q
                                                Max
## -15.6677
             -4.1391
                        0.1846
                                  10.8318
                                            14.4792
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -1.40636
                          0.02211 -63.599
                                             <2e-16 ***
## dog
              -0.24565
                          0.02620 -9.377
                                             <2e-16 ***
## chip_status -0.29305
                          0.02828 -10.363
                                             <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1750.8 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1503.0 on 13 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## AIC: 1625.4
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model3_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                            97.5 %
##
                   2.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.2345951 0.2558385
              0.7431337 0.8235017
## dog
## chip_status 0.7056151 0.7883420
summary(dogcat_model3_quasi)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ dog + chip_status, family = quasibinomial,
##
       data = cat_dog_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                        Median
       Min
                   1Q
                                       3Q
                                                Max
## -15.6677
             -4.1391
                        0.1846
                                  10.8318
                                            14.4792
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                           0.2391 -5.883 5.38e-05 ***
## (Intercept) -1.4064
                -0.2456
                            0.2832 -0.867
                                              0.401
## dog
                                              0.355
## chip status -0.2931
                           0.3057 - 0.959
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 116.867)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1750.8 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1503.0 on 13 degrees of freedom
     (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
```

```
## AIC: NA
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model3_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                  2.5 %
##
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1496550 0.3838132
## dog
              0.4540004 1.3839138
## chip_status 0.3987708 1.3312323
###### MODEL 4: summer + dog + summer:dog #####
dogcat_model4_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ summer+dog+ summer:dog, weights = count, family = binomial, data
dogcat_model4_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ summer+dog+ summer:dog, weights = count, family = quasibinomial,</pre>
summary(dogcat_model4_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ summer + dog + summer:dog, family = binomial,
##
      data = cat_dog_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                1Q
                    Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -17.392
           -7.749
                    -4.789
                               6.527
                                        18.670
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                          0.03030 -55.313 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) -1.67600
                          0.04434 12.634 < 2e-16 ***
## summer
               0.56018
## dog
              -0.17185
                          0.03420 -5.024 5.05e-07 ***
                          0.05275 -3.549 0.000387 ***
## summer:dog -0.18718
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1421.8 on 14 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1546.2
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model4_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1762591 0.1984903
## summer
              1.6052408 1.9099840
## dog
              0.7877336 0.9007624
## summer:dog 0.7478053 0.9195843
```

```
summary(dogcat_model4_quasi)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ summer + dog + summer:dog, family = quasibinomial,
       data = cat_dog_binom, weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                1Q
                    Median
##
      Min
                                   3Q
                                           Max
           -7.749
## -17.392
                    -4.789
                               6.527
                                        18.670
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.6760
                            0.2978 -5.628 6.23e-05 ***
                0.5602
                            0.4358
                                    1.285
                                              0.220
## summer
## dog
               -0.1718
                            0.3362 -0.511
                                              0.617
## summer:dog
              -0.1872
                            0.5185 -0.361
                                              0.723
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 96.60753)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1421.8 on 14 degrees of freedom
## AIC: NA
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model4_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
##
                   2.5 %
                            97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.09987833 0.324086
              0.74071253 4.144038
## summer
              0.44621757 1.682512
## dog
## summer:dog 0.29772816 2.292399
###### MODEL 5: stray #####
dogcat_model5_binom <- glm(prop_dead ~ stray, weights = count, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom)
dogcat_model5_quasi <- glm(prop_dead ~ stray, weights = count, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_b
summary(dogcat_model5_binom)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ stray, family = binomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
##
       weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                     Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
                1Q
## -18.398
           -7.178
                    -2.626
                               4.353
                                        23.455
##
## Coefficients:
```

```
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.44258
                          0.01775 -81.28 <2e-16 ***
## stray
                                            <2e-16 ***
              -0.36155
                          0.02303 -15.70
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1640.2 on 16 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1760.6
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model5_binom))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
                 2.5 %
##
                          97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.228210 0.2446524
## stray
              0.665877 0.7287805
summary(dogcat_model5_quasi)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = prop_dead ~ stray, family = quasibinomial, data = cat_dog_binom,
##
      weights = count)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min 1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -18.398 -7.178 -2.626
                               4.353
                                       23.455
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1.4426
                          0.1803 -8.002 5.53e-07 ***
               -0.3616
                           0.2339 - 1.546
## stray
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for quasibinomial family taken to be 103.1719)
##
      Null deviance: 1883.0 on 17 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1640.2 on 16 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(confint(dogcat_model5_quasi))
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
##
                  2.5 %
                           97.5 %
## (Intercept) 0.1636774 0.3324977
## stray
              0.4414678 1.1069417
```

Multilevel on Council District