

THE 4 Solutions

Answer 1

(10 pts)

$$\begin{aligned}a_n &= 2a_{n-1} + 2^{n-1} \\ a_1 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

When constructing the cube graph of the next dimension, we first copy the graph of the current dimension. This gives the $2a_{n-1}$ term. Now we need to join these two graphs by adding 2^{n-1} new edges.

Answer 2

(15 pts) Start with the following identity:

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots \rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-x}$$

Take derivative:

$$\langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots \rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$$

Shift right:

$$\langle 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$$

Scale with 3:

$$\langle 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, \dots \rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{3x}{(1-x)^2}$$

Add the first identity we used to the last one we found:

$$\langle 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, \dots \rangle \leftrightarrow \frac{2x+1}{(1-x)^2}$$

Answer 3

(25 pts) Let $G(x)$ be the generating function for the sequence $\{a_n\}$, that is, $G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$.

First note that

$$xG(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} x^n.$$

Using the recurrence relation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x) - xG(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} x^n \\
&= a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n-1}) x^n \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n x^n,
\end{aligned}$$

because $a_0 = 1$ and $a_n - a_{n-1} = 2^n$.

Also we know that $1/(1 - ax) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n x^n$.

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x) - xG(x) &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n - 1 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - 2x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Solving for $G(x)$ shows that

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{(1 - 2x)(1 - x)}.$$

Expanding the right-hand side of this equation into partial fractions gives

$$G(x) = \frac{2}{1 - 2x} - \frac{1}{1 - x}.$$

Using identities $1/(1 - ax) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n x^n$ and $1/(1 - x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ gives

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{n+1} - 1) x^n.$$

Consequently, we have shown that

$$a_n = 2^{n+1} - 1.$$

Answer 4

a)

(4 pts)




Figure 1: Hasse Diagram.

b)

(4 pts)

$$R = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 9 & 18 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 18 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

c)

(4 pts) Yes, for every pair of elements there is a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound.

d)

(4 pts) We need to add $(2, 1), (3, 1), (9, 1), (18, 1), (18, 2), (9, 3), (18, 3)$ and $(18, 9)$ to produce R_s .

$$R_s = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 9 & 18 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 18 \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

e)

(4 pts) The integers 2 and 9 are incomparable, because $2 \nmid 9$ and $9 \nmid 2$. The integers 3 and 18 are comparable, because $3 \mid 18$.

Answer 5

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$$

$$R = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} a_1 & & \dots & & a_n \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & e_{i,j} & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & e_{j,i} & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

All the diagonal elements must be 1 in order for the relation to be reflexive.

a)

(10 pts) For the other cells, there are two possibilities for each pair, because $(e_{i,j}, e_{j,i})$ can be equal to $(0, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$. The number of ways to select a pair from n elements is equal to $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. So for this condition we get $2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ possibilities.

Therefore, the number of different **reflexive** and **symmetric** binary relations on A is $2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

b)

(10 pts) For the other cells, there are three possibilities for each pair, because $(e_{i,j}, e_{j,i})$ can be equal to $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ or $(1, 0)$. The number of ways to select a pair from n elements is equal to $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. So for this condition we get $3^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ possibilities.

Therefore, the number of different **reflexive** and **antisymmetric** binary relations on A is $3^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

Answer 6

(10 pts) The answer is no. Let's give a counter example:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{a, b, c\} \\ R &= \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, a)\} \end{aligned}$$

As you can see R is an antisymmetric relation defined on A . Let's find the transitive closure of R .

$$R^* = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, a), (a, c), (b, a), (c, b)\}$$

Now we see that R^* is not antisymmetric. Therefore we disproved the claim that the transitive closure of an antisymmetric relation is always antisymmetric.