

Fast Polarization Manipulation

Ismail Nassar

Research Proposal

Under the supervision of

Prof. David Gershoni

April 2023

Abstract

The generation of a pair of entangled photons from the biexciton-exciton cascade is complicated by the presence of fine structure splitting (FSS)[[1](#)] that leads to the degradation of the degree of entanglement. Many methods were implemented to overcome the problem with varying degrees of success, but the problem continues to persist.

This research proposal aims to take a different approach to the problem by implementing a scheme for the restoration of the degree of entanglement of the photon pairs by fast polarization manipulation [[2](#), [3](#)].

This scheme can be potentially used as a method for fast photon rerouting in integrated photonics.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Self assembled QDs	1
1.2	The QD exciton fine structure	2
1.3	Pulsed On-Demand Sources of Photonic Cluster State Strings . .	5
2	Preliminary Results	9
3	The Proposal	10

1 Introduction

1.1 Self assembled QDs

Self-assembled quantum dots (QDs) are localized nano-scale semiconductor structures that create a three-dimensional(3D) potential well, both in the valence and conduction bands, which trap charge carriers. Due to their small confinement length relative to the particle's wavelength, the energy levels of the QD are quantized with properties similar to atoms which lead them to be described as "Artificial Atoms" [4].

In recent years, semiconductor QDs were thoroughly investigated as technology-compatible single photon sources, providing a quantum source of "flying qubits" on demand.[5, 6, 7, 8] Moreover, recently it has been shown that QDs can emit pair of entangled photons [9, 10] and that an emitted photon can be entangled with the remaining spin in the QD. [11, 12, 13] These achievements form the required building blocks for quantum information processing. [14, 15]

In semiconductor QDs, at low temperatures, the basic optical excitation, in which an electron is optically excited from the full valence band, thereby leaving there a hole, to the empty conduction band is called a bright exciton(BE). In self-assembled QDs the BE consists of an electron - heavy-hole pair. This optical excitation preserves the spin orientation of the excited electron and therefore the electron and hole are said to have anti-parallel spins. The total angular momentum projection of the BE on the direction of the exciting light is therefore ± 1 (like that of the absorbed photon), reflecting the orbital momentum difference between the electron in the valence band to that in the conduction band. If the excited conduction electron's spin projection is in addition opposite to the spin projection of the ground

valence band electron the electron and the 2 hole have parallel spins, with total angular momentum projection of ± 2 . This type of excitation is optically forbidden since the light electric field cannot change the electronic spin and therefore it is called dark exciton (DE). Excitons in the QD can be generated also while the QD contains additional charges, such as a single electron or a single hole. Similarly, many other types of multiple carrier configurations can populate the QD at a given time. For example, a biexciton is two excitons (two electrons and two holes), and a positively (negatively) charged exciton (trion) is an exciton with addition of one hole (electron), just to mention a few basic QD excitations.

1.2 The QD exciton fine structure

Figure 1 presents the four lowest energy configurations of electron-heavy hole pairs (excitons) and describes the energy difference between the parallel and anti-parallel spin configurations. The energy splitting between the DE and the BE is $\Delta_0 \approx 300\mu eV$ due to the isotropic long and short range electron-hole exchange interaction (EHEI). Additional splitting is caused by the anisotropic (mainly long range) EHEI which removes the degeneracy between the two BE eigenstates by $\Delta_2 \cong 30\mu eV$. The BE eigenstates are the symmetric and the antisymmetric configurations of the electron and hole with anti-parallel spins $|S\rangle_{BE} = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|A\rangle_{BE} = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ respectively. Here, a single arrow represents an electron and a double-arrow represents a heavy-hole in their respective ground levels. The DE eigenstates, similar to that of the BE, are the symmetric and the anti-symmetric configurations of the electron-hole pair with parallel spins $|S\rangle_{DE} = (|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|A\rangle_{DE} = (|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

the mixing of the heavy-hole with the light hole. This mixing is constructive for one DE eigenstate and destructive for the other. Thus one DE eigenstate is darker than the other. 27] Figure 1.1: Schematic description of the bright and dark exciton energy levels and spin eigenstates. The polarization of light that interacts with the BE eigenstates is marked underneath the corresponding BE eigenstate.⁴ In a physical two level system, according to quantum mechanics, any superposition of the two eigenstates is an allowed state of the system. Such a state, decays like the eigenstates. In addition, however, due to the energy difference between the two eigenstates the relative phase between them varies in time. This temporal evolution can be described as a precession (Larmor precession) of the state vector at an angular frequency which is given by the energy difference between the two eigenstates divided by the Planck constant. The precession lasts as long as the physical system lives and maintains its coherence. In other words, the phase between the two eigenstates is not destroyed by interactions of the system with its environment. The BE precession time of 100 psecs was measured by Benny et al [20]. The DE precession time of 3 nsec was measured by Poem et al [22]. The spin coherence time of the BE is much longer than its radiative lifetime, and therefore, cannot be directly measured. A first lowest bound measurement for the DE coherence time is presented in the preliminary results section of this research proposal. Quantum information processing is based on replacing the classical information bit (either 0 or 1) by a physical two level system or a quantum bit (Qubit). The BE and the DE are thus potential candidates for Qubits. [20, 22, 28]

1.3 Pulsed On-Demand Sources of Photonic Cluster State Strings

The projection of the spin of the electron on the z-axis (growth axis) of the QD can be either $1/2$ or $-1/2$ while for the heavy hole, the spin projection is either $3/2$ or $-3/2$ such that the two spin states of the bright exciton are $|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{3}\rangle$ and $|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\rangle$ with a total spin in the z direction of ± 1 . While in the case of electron-hole pair with parallel spin, the spin states are $|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\rangle$ and $|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{3}\rangle$ with a total spin of ± 2 . In figure 2 we describe two of the simple configurations that we can have in a QD. We start with an empty dot which we denote by $|0\rangle$.




Figure 2: Schematic of the energy levels in the quantum dot for empty quantum dot (a), exciton (b) and a biexciton (c)

while having two electron-hole pairs falling in the dot a biexciton is formed and we denote it by $|XX_0\rangle$. The total energy of the biexciton differs from twice the energy of the exciton due to the interaction between all the particles.

In an ideal QD, the radiative decay path of the biexciton back to the ground state goes via one path, but due to the anisotropy of the QD, the energy of exciton is split in what is defined as the fine structure splitting (FSS) as seen in figure ??b. Here we refer to the up (down) spin of the electron as $|\uparrow\rangle$ ($|\downarrow\rangle$) and the up (down)

spin of the hole as $|\uparrow\rangle$ ($|\downarrow\rangle$) such as the two eigenstates of the exciton are $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$, and the decay from these states result in the emission of photons with co-linear polarization. Here we refer to them as horizontal $|H\rangle$ and vertical $|V\rangle$. We can represent these two rectilinear bases using the Bloch sphere where the poles in the sphere are $|H\rangle$ and $|V\rangle$ bases.

In addition to the rectilinear polarization states, We can define the diagonal linear and the circular polarization bases:

$$\begin{aligned} |L\rangle &= (|H\rangle + i|V\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ |R\rangle &= (|H\rangle - i|V\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $|R\rangle$ and $|L\rangle$ are the left and right circular bases respectively, and:

$$\begin{aligned} |D\rangle &= (|H\rangle + |V\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ |\bar{D}\rangle &= (|H\rangle - |V\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$|D\rangle$ and $|\bar{D}\rangle$ are the diagonal and anti-diagonal bases respectively




Figure 3: a) A Bloch sphere representation of the spin state. A point on the sphere represents an arbitrarily polarized spin state. b) Decay paths of the biexciton back the ground state where the red arrows represent the emission of a H polarized photon and blue arrows represent the emission of V polarized photon.

When the biexciton spontaneously radiatively decays it emits a photon leaving in the QD an exciton in coherent superposition of its two eigenstates. The optical selection rules for the biexciton radiative recombination and the lack of information by “which path” the recombination proceeds result in entanglement between the exciton state and the polarization state of the emitted photon. Their mutual wave function is given by:

$$|\psi_{X0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H_1 H_H^1\rangle + |V_1 V_V^1\rangle) \quad (3)$$

here $|H_1\rangle$ and $|V_1\rangle$ are the two rectilinear polarization states of the first (biexciton) photon. since the two exciton eigenstates are not degenerate, the relative phase between these eigenstates precesses in time with a period of $T_P = h/\Delta$, where where h is the Planck constant and Δ is the exciton FSS [rwinik2017]. This

precession is schematically described on the exciton Bloch sphere in figure. 3a. The precession “stops” when the exciton recombines and the radiative cascade is completed with the emission of a second photon. The two photons are thus entangled. Their mutual wave function depends on the recombination time and is given by:

$$|\psi_{X0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H_1H_1\rangle + e^{-i2\pi t/T_P} |V_1V_2\rangle) \quad (4)$$

where $|H_2\rangle$ and $|V_2\rangle$ are the second (exciton) photon polarization states and $t = t_{X0} - t_{XX0}$ is the time between the emission of the biexciton photon t_{XX0} and that of the exciton t_{X0} .

2 Preliminary Results

3 The Proposal

References

- [1] R. Winik et al. “On-demand source of maximally entangled photon pairs using the biexciton-exciton radiative cascade”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 95 (23 June 2017).
- [2] A. Fognini et al. “Universal fine-structure eraser for quantum dots”. In: *Opt. Express* 26.19 (Sept. 2018), pp. 24487–24496.
- [3] Simone Varo, Gediminas Juska, and Emanuele Pelucchi. “An intuitive protocol for polarization-entanglement restoral of quantum dot photon sources with non-vanishing fine-structure splitting”. In: *Scientific Reports* 2022 12:1 12 (Mar. 2022), pp. 1–8.
- [4] Marc A. Kastner. “Artificial Atoms”. In: *Physics Today* 46.1 (Jan. 1993), pp. 24–31. DOI: [10.1063/1.881393](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.881393).
- [5] E. Dekel et al. “Carrier-carrier correlations in an optically excited single semiconductor quantum dot”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (16 Apr. 2000), pp. 11009–11020. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.61.11009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.11009).
- [6] P. Michler, A Imamoğlu, and M. Mason. “Quantum correlation among photons from a single quantum dot at room temperature”. In: *Nature* (2000), pp. 968–970.
- [7] P. Michler et al. “A Quantum Dot Single-Photon Turnstile Device”. In: *Science* 290.5500 (2000), pp. 2282–2285. DOI: [10.1126/science.290.5500.2282](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5500.2282).
- [8] Zhiliang Yuan et al. “Electrically Driven Single-Photon Source”. In: *Science* 295.5552 (2002), pp. 102–105. DOI: [10.1126/science.1066790](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1066790).

- [9] N Akopian et al. In: *Physical Review Letters* 96 (Apr. 2006). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.130501](#).
- [10] R Hafenbrak et al. “Triggered polarization-entangled photon pairs from a single quantum dot up to 30 K”. In: *New Journal of Physics* 9.9 (Sept. 2007), p. 315. DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/9/9/315](#).
- [11] “Downconversion quantum interface for a single quantum dot spin and 1550-nm single-photon channel”. In: *Optics Express, Vol. 20, Issue 25, pp. 27510-27519* 20 (25 Dec. 2012), pp. 27510–27519. ISSN: 1094-4087. DOI: [10.1364/OE.20.027510](#).
- [12] J. R. Schaibley et al. “Demonstration of Quantum Entanglement between a Single Electron Spin Confined to an InAs Quantum Dot and a Photon”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (16 Apr. 2013), p. 167401. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.167401](#).
- [13] W. B. Gao et al. “Observation of entanglement between a quantum dot spin and a single photon”. In: *Nature* 2012 491:7424 491 (7424 Nov. 2012), pp. 426–430. ISSN: 1476-4687. DOI: [10.1038/nature11573](#).
- [14] Daniel Loss and David P. DiVincenzo. “Quantum computation with quantum dots”. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 57 (1 Jan. 1998), pp. 120–126. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.57.120](#).
- [15] L. M. Duan et al. “Long-distance quantum communication with atomic ensembles and linear optics”. In: *Nature* 2001 414:6862 414 (6862 Nov. 2001), pp. 413–418. DOI: [10.1038/35106500](#).

- [16] J. McFarlane et al. “Gigahertz bandwidth electrical control over a dark exciton-based memory bit in a single quantum dot”. In: *Applied Physics Letters* 94.9 (2009), p. 093113. DOI: [10.1063/1.3086461](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3086461).
- [17] I. Schwartz et al. “Deterministic Writing and Control of the Dark Exciton Spin Using Single Short Optical Pulses”. In: *Physical Review X* (Jan. 2015). DOI: [10.1103/physrevx.5.011009](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevx.5.011009).
- [18] Marek Korkusinski and Pawel Hawrylak. “Atomistic theory of emission from dark excitons in self-assembled quantum dots”. In: *Phys. Rev. B* (Mar. 2013). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.87.115310](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.115310).
- [19] M. Zieliński. *Valence band offset, strain and shape effects on confined states in self-assembled InAs/InP and InAs/GaAs quantum dots*. 2013. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.1303.4417](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1303.4417).

Appendices

Appendix I

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Appendix 2

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.