

Tensor Network Decoders for Quantum Error Correcting Codes

Varun Seshadri

September 12, 2022

Contents

1	Literature Review	4
1.1	Decoding	4
1.2	Tensor Networks	4
1.3	Noise Models and Simulations	4
1.4	Stat Mech	4
2	Statistical Mechanical Mapping of QEC Codes	5
2.1	The Statistical Physics of Error Recovery	5
2.2	Statistical Mechanical Model	6
3	Noise Models	7
3.1	Phenomenological Noise Models	7
3.2	Circuit Level Noise Models	7
	References	8
	Appendix	10

Todo list

remove todo list when doc is complete 3

remove
todo list
when doc
is com-
plete

Chapter 1

Literature Review

1.1 Decoding

- Chubb2021[4] discusses tensor networking decoding of 2D local codes. These are under phenomenological noise models for bit-flip, phase-flip and depolarizing noise. This is the results to replicate by mid October
- BSV2014[1] discusses the equivalence between maximum Likelihood decoding and tensor network contraction.
-

1.2 Tensor Networks

- Quantum Lego[3] considers building quantum error correcting codes as tensor networks.
- TN Codes[14] introduces tensor network stabilizer codes.
- Hand-Wavy[2] is an introduction to Tensor Networks.

1.3 Noise Models and Simulations

- Stim[16] is probably the backend we are going to be using to generate noise data.
-

1.4 Stat Mech

- DLKP's[9] paper on Topological Quantum Memory is one of the first paper to discuss the statistical physics of error recovery.
- Infamous-Chubb-Flammia[5] generalises the proof for the statistical mechanical mapping from DLKP[9] for independent noise to weakly correlated noise. It also discusses the link between Maximum Likelihood Decoding and Tensor Network Contraction.
-

Chapter 2

Statistical Mechanical Mapping of QEC Codes

2.1 The Statistical Physics of Error Recovery

Conditions and Assumptions about Fault Tolerance[8]

- Constant Error Rate
- Weakly correlated errors
- Parallel Operation
- Resuable Memory
- Fast Measurements
- Fast and accurate classical processing
- No leakage, however leakage errors do exist and we have to deal with them
- Non local quantum gates
- However if local gates are only available, a high coordination number is demanded. (a lot more nearest neighbors per qubit)

An order parameter is formulated that distinguishes two phases of a quantum memory.

- “ordered” phase: reliable storage of encoded quantum information is possible.
- “disordered” phase: errors afflict the encoded quantum information.

The Error Model used

We assume that X and Z errors are equally likely with probability p and these are uncorrelated and independent. The error channel is then represented as

$$\rho \rightarrow (1-p)^2 I \rho I + p(1-p) X \rho X + p(1-p) Z \rho Z + p^2 Y \rho Y \quad (2.1)$$

Measurement errors are also allowed to occur. The probability that a particular syndrome bit is faulty is q . Measurement errors are also uncorrelated with qubit errors in both time and space.

2.2 Statistical Mechanical Model

A classical spin model and its' statistical mechanical properties capture the error correction properties of the quantum code, in a way that the threshold of the error correcting code is the phase transition of the classical spin model.[6, 8]

Definition 1 (Stat Mech Hamiltonian: *independent noise*). For a Pauli $E \in P^{\ell} \times n$, and coupling strengths $\{J_i : \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_i$, the hamiltonian of a spin configuration \vec{c} is given as

$$H_E(\vec{c}) = - \sum_{i, \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_i} J_i(\sigma) [\sigma, E] \prod_k [\sigma, S_k]^{c_k}$$

The sum is taken over all the sites i and all elements σ in the single site Pauli group at site i . The commutator used here is the scalar commutator, which is defined as

$$[A, B] \tag{2.2}$$

Chapter 3

Noise Models

3.1 Phenomenological Noise Models

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

3.2 Circuit Level Noise Models

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

References

- [1] S. Bravyi, M. Suchara, and A. Vargo. “Efficient algorithms for maximum likelihood decoding in the surface code”. In: (May 2014). arXiv: [1405.4883 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [2] J. C. Bridgeman and C. T. Chubb. “Hand-waving and interpretive dance: an introductory course on tensor networks”. en. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 50.22 (May 2017), p. 223001.
- [3] C. Cao and B. Lackey. “Quantum Lego: Building Quantum Error Correction Codes from Tensor Networks”. In: *PRX Quantum* 3.2 (May 2022), p. 020332.
- [4] C. T. Chubb. “General tensor network decoding of 2D Pauli codes”. In: (Jan. 2021). arXiv: [2101.04125 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [5] C. T. Chubb and S. T. Flammia. “Statistical mechanical models for quantum codes with correlated noise”. In: (Sept. 2018). arXiv: [1809.10704 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [6] C. T. Chubb and S. T. Flammia. “Statistical mechanical models for quantum codes with correlated noise”. In: *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré D* 8.2 (May 2021), pp. 269–321. DOI: [10.4171/aihpd/105](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/aihpd/105>.
- [7] Cupjin Huang, Fang Zhang, Michael Newman, Xiaotong Ni, Dawei Ding , Junjie Cai, Xun Gao, Tenghui Wang, Feng Wu, Gengyan Zhang, Hsiang-Sheng Ku, Zhengxiong Tian, Junyin Wu, Haihong Xu, Huanjun Yu, Bo Yuan, Mario Szegedy, Yaoyun Shi , Hui-Hai Zhao, Chunging Deng and Jianxin Chen. “Efficient parallelization of tensor network contraction for simulating quantum computation”. In: ().
- [8] E. Dennis et al. “Topological quantum memory”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 43.9 (Sept. 2002), pp. 4452–4505. DOI: [10.1063/1.1499754](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1499754>.
- [9] E. Dennis et al. “Topological quantum memory”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 43.9 (Sept. 2002), pp. 4452–4505.
- [10] J. Doe. “Insert title here”. In: *Insert journal here* insert metadata here.metadata continued here (20xx).
- [11] J. Doe. *Untitled Book*. Dummy Publisher, 2019.
- [12] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio. “Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy”. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82.1 (Feb. 2010), pp. 277–306.
- [13] T. Farrelly et al. “Parallel decoding of multiple logical qubits in tensor-network codes”. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 105.5 (May 2022), p. 052446.
- [14] T. Farrelly et al. “Tensor-Network Codes”. en. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 127.4 (July 2021), p. 040507.
- [15] M. Fishman, S. R. White, and E. Miles Stoudenmire. “The ITensor Software Library for Tensor Network Calculations”. In: (July 2020). arXiv: [2007.14822 \[cs.MS\]](#).

- [16] C. Gidney. “Stim: a fast stabilizer circuit simulator”. In: (Mar. 2021). arXiv: [2103.02202](#) [\[quant-ph\]](#).
- [17] A. Jahn and J. Eisert. “Holographic tensor network models and quantum error correction: a topical review”. en. In: *Quantum Sci. Technol.* 6.3 (June 2021), p. 033002.
- [18] Terry Farrelly David K Tuckett and Thomas M Stace. “Local tensor-network codes”. In: ().

Appendix

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.