# SANDY MAGUIRE

 $343.262.3363 \, \bullet \, sandy@sandymaguire.me \, \bullet \, https://reasonablypolymorphic.com$ 

# Research Interests

Functional programming, compiler design, free monads

2010-2015 BSE, Software Engineering, Honours, Co-operative Program

# Education

		University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON
		• 77.16 Cumulative GPA
		• Graduated in Excellent Standing
		Classes and Grades
2	015	
		-
	015	3
2	015	and the second s
2	015	<b>Software Testing and Quality Assurance</b> - 76
2	015	Introduction to Microeconomics - 74
2	015	Cybernetics and Society - 66
2	014	Design Project 1 - 92
2	014	Quantum Physics 1 - 83
2	014	Computer Networks - 78
2	014	Software Design and Architectures - 73
2	014	Cooperative and Adaptive Algorithms - 72
2	013	<b>Design Project Planning</b> - 96
2	013	Operating Systems - 87
2	013	The Use of English - 86
2	013	Software Requirements Specification and Analysis - 83
2	013	<b>Concurrent and Parallel Programming</b> - 75
2	013	User Interfaces - 74
2	013	Introduction to Database Management - 71
2	013	Introduction to the Theory of Computing - 70
2	013	Numerical Computation - 68
2	013	Introduction to Feedback Control - 65
2	013	Algorithms 1 - 58
2	012	Data Structures and Data Management - 80
2	012	Software Engineering Principles - 75
2	012	Introduction to Combinatorics - 74

2012 Waves, Electricity and Magnetism - 72

2012 Advanced Mathematics for Software Engineers - 67

2012	Engineering Economics - 67
2011	Foundations of Sequantial Programs - 85
2011	Chemistry for Engineers - 81
2011	Digital Circuits and Systems - 80
2011	Functional Programming and Data Abstraction - 79
2011	Calculus 2 for Engineering - 76
2011	Digital Computers - 75
2011	<b>Statistics for Software Engineering</b> - 75
2011	<b>Physics of Electrical Engineering 2</b> - 73
2011	Introduction to Philosophy - 70
2011	Algebra for Honours Mathematics - 62
2011	Logic and Computation - 62
2010	Linear Algebra for Engineering - 96
2010	<b>Programming Principles</b> - 93
2010	Physics of Electrical Engineering 1 - 86
2010	<b>Introduction to Methods of Software Engineering</b> - 85
2010	Calculus 1 for Engineers - 78
2010	Linear Circuits - 73

# **Professional Experience**

# 2016-2018 Senior Haskell Software Engineer

Takt

- Led a team of four to reimplement a core subcomponent of the product --- increased the cadence of new feature development from months to days.
- Directed a team of three to implement a high-throughput, low-latency brokered streaming library. Resulting library became the company's core inter-service communication protocol.

#### 2015-2016 Engineer III (Identity and Access Management)

Google

- Led the architectural design effort of a user-defined permission model for the cloud --- the team's only project for the subsequent quarter.
- Took ownership over an unmaintained, service-critical internal compiler; improved compile times by 96% and test coverage by 65%.

#### 2014 Engineering Intern (Ads Ranking)

Facebook

- Analyzed the advertising platform's spending behaviors, and subsequently implemented algorithmic changes --- resulting in a 0.5% revenue increase.
- Parallelized the back-end graph ranker, resulting in site-wide response time gains of 0.4%.

#### 2013 Engineering Intern (FIFA Mobile)

Electronic Arts Canada

• Single-handedly cleaned up 60% of the project's technical debt alongside assigned tasks.

#### 2012 Gameplay Programmer Intern

Digital Extremes

- Architected and implemented the game's entire voice-over-IP stack.
- Rewrote a significant portion of the AI and PhysX engines to support arbitrary 3-space rotation.
- Designed and implemented a type-system for the game's proprietary scripting language runtime.

#### 2011 .NET Consultant

Systemgroup Consulting Inc,

· Found and closed over ten highly-exploitable vulnerabilities in a multi-billion-dollar company's website.

#### **Publications**

#### Books

#### 2018 Thinking with Types: Type-Level Programming in Haskell [link]

Sandy Maguire, self-published

- Favourably reviewed; described as being "well written and very approacable", "absolutely brilliant," and "a great read."
- Sold over 1,000 copies --- a significant portion of the estimated 10,000 members in the Haskell community.

#### 2016 How These Things Work [link]

Sandy Maguire, self-published

Blog

#### 2015- Reasonably Polymorphic [link]

Sandy Maguire

• Professional and research blog.

#### Presentations

#### 2019 Chasing the Performance of Free Monads

Lambda Montreal · Montreal, QC

#### 2018 Existentialization: What Is It Good For? [slides]

Denver FP • Denver, CO

#### 2017 Some1 Like You: Dependent Pairs in Haskell [video]

LambdaConf • Boulder, CO

#### 2017 Don't Eff It Up: Freer Monads in Action [video]

BayHac • San Francisco, CA

# **Open Source Contributions**

#### Maintainer

### 2019- isovector/polysemy [link]

Higher-order, no-boilerplate, zero-cost free monad library.

#### 2019- isovector/suavemente [link]

An applicative functor capable of talking directly to HTML inputs.

## 2018- isovector/do-notation [link]

Generalized do-notation for indexed monads.

#### 2018- isovector/latex-live-snippets [link]

Keep code snippets up to date in LaTeX documents.

#### 2018- isovector/constraints-emerge [link]

Runtime reification of Haskell's instance resolution machinery.

#### 2018- isovector/ecstasy [link]

A boilerplate-free entity component system library.

#### 2017- isovector/th-dict-discovery [link]

Compile-time reification of every typeclass instance in scope.

#### 2017 TaktInc/freer [link]

Corporate fork of a freer monad library.

#### Contributor

#### 2019 Lysxia/first-class-families [link]

A library for higher-order type-level programming in Haskell.

2017	google/proto-lens [link] API for protocol buffers using modern Haskell language and library patterns.
2017	IxpertaSolutions/freer-effects [link] A free monad library in the style of "Freer Monads, More Extensible Effects."
2017	k0001/exinst [link] An implementation of sigma types in Haskell.
	Committer
2018	nomeata/inspection-testing [link] Automated testing of Haskell's Core representations.
2018	AshleyMoni/QuadTree [link] QuadTree library for Haskell, with lens support.
2018	conal/concat [link] A GHC plugin to compile Haskell to constrained categories.
2018	diagrams/diagrams-contrib [link] User contributed extensions to diagrams.

Haskell implementation of the OpenTracing instrumentation API.

# Honours, Awards and Scholarships

2015 SoftEng Capstone Design Symposium (2nd place) Yelp

 $2010 \quad \textbf{President's Scholarship}$ 

2017 kim/opentracing [link]

University of Waterloo

#### Research Statement

A friend of mine often jokes that "Haskellers are just early adopters of correctness." Despite being tongue-in-cheek, I think he's onto something here.

My program in university was co-operative, meaning that after each school term I was expected to find a job and spend four months excelling out in the "real world." Four months is just about as long as it takes most people to start feeling comfortable in a new codebase, and as a result, I needed to learn how to learn fast.

It became clear to me that a great deal of human capital was being wasted simply trying to reverse-engineer the codebases in which my cohort and I found ourselves. The industry prioritizes writing "readable" code, characterized by being verbose and "doing nothing clever". While each step along the way is indeed comprehensible, too often this desideratum manages to lose the original *intention* behind the code. There's usually somebody who indeed understands the code, but finding that person is often nontrivial.

Some friends and I decided to solve this. As our graduation capstone project, we built an analytics tool to determine *who knows what* in a codebase. It consisted of editor plugins to record what code was being read, by whom. We cross-referenced this against diffs, and found that high scores on both measures correlated strongly with the team members considered to be "experts" in those areas.

The software would infer dependencies in the codebase by analyzing which sections were viewed simultaneously, and emit warnings if they weren't logically related. Our tool was useful not only for static analysis, but also during debugging. By following experts through their previous jumps from a problematic part of the code, we found we could hone in on the issue more quickly than other non-experts.

Our results won us second-place in a project competition hosted by Yelp.

When you're new to a codebase, it's unclear if the quirky design choices are solutions to problems you don't yet understand, or if they're just unintentionally bad. In my experience, it's usually the latter. These concerns are unsuccessfully assuaged with comments like "well we know it's ugly, but we're too afraid to change it" or "it's clearly a ticking time-bomb, but we haven't gotten a chance to get around to it."

I try to live my life by leaving everything a little nicer than I found it, and so I decided I should start trying to clean up the dirty code that nobody else wanted to touch. It's thankless work --- customers don't see it, and management doesn't care, but it makes colleagues' lives better, and that seemed worthwhile.

As I fixed more and more technical debt, I started reading between the source lines. I saw the abstractions that the original authors were grasping for, without realising it. I'd write these abstractions in the course of my tidying, and inevitably, my senior colleagues would be using them by the end of the week.

From company to company, people seemed to all be missing the same things. They'd write little domainspecific languages to solve their problems, but which would immediately balloon into ad-hoc obscurity. They'd copy and paste code between files because it wasn't clear exactly how to generalise it.

Cleaning up bad code is fun for a while, but it gets old rather quickly. It's frustrating that today's mainstream tools are simply failing people who want to write better code. These people find often themselves between doing the *right* thing and doing a *quick* thing. Every incentive structure prioritizes the latter. I don't think our tools should punish people for attempting to write good code.

I became interested in free monads at my last company, where our code was an impressively tangled ball of spaghetti. It was untestable, and so people simply didn't write any tests. A stupid bug made it into production, and it cost us a few million dollars. Several people were subsequently let go, a few of whom were good friends of mine. All of this seemed so preventable that I started looking for a solution.

As I dug in, I realized that free monads were the abstraction that *I* had been grasping for without realizing. They allowed me to express my ideas at a very high-level. They let me separate my implementation details from my business logic, and as a bonus, I could turn those details into library code. It let me write code at a faster rate than my peers, and it was easy to prove correct.

Despite my best attempts at proselytizing free monads, my communities simply didn't engage. Try as I might, people pushed back against the idea. They correctly argued that free monads didn't deliver on their promise of static analysis; they correctly argued that free monads were too slow to be practical.

So long as people had valid complaints, they simply wouldn't listen to how free monads could help. I decided to do something about it.

Following the work of Foner et al.'s work on StrictCheck, I realized a similar approach of carefully passing

bottoms around could be used to plumb through binds in free monads. The result was novel, and allowed many classes of free Kleisli morphisms to be inspected statically --- an idea previously considered impossible.

The performance problem however was more taxing. While Wu and Schrijvers have shown that efficient free monads are possible, their technique requires users to write a significant amount of boilerplate --- something I knew would be a stumbling block to wide adoption. If something is too much work to do write, nobody will do it. After several months of work, I came up with a solution that could give free implementations of the boilerplate, at the expense of making the compiler work harder. Unfortunately, the compiler wasn't up to the challenge, so I had to patch it. The code review is still in process, but my local branch allows for free monads to be a true zero-cost abstraction.

I really and truly believe that useable free monads will drastically improve code "out in the wild." They'll lessen the frequency of newcomers flailing around in codebases. Perhaps most importantly, they'll cut down on the frantic four-in-the-morning broken-code alarms that are all too prevalent in the industry.

Alleviating these pain points seems like the most important thing I can be working on, and I'm determined to do whatever I must in order to make that dream a reality.