

Supplementary Material for the paper: “Humans can learn bimodal priors in complex sensorimotor behaviour”

Stephan Zahno, Damian Beck, Ernst-Joachim Hossner, Konrad Kording

Extended data

Table 1. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the fast condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (2673)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	−18.32	4.01	[−26.19, −10.46]	−4.57	< .001
Ball position	0.16	0.06	[0.03, 0.28]	2.45	.014
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	3.24	1.66	[−0.01, 6.49]	1.95	.153
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	280.98	—	—	—	—
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.06	—	—	—	—
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.99	—	—	—	—
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	98.98	—	—	—	—
ICC	0.24	—	—	—	—

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom).

ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .148$. Model comparison in Table 2.

Table 2. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the fast condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	21171.00	21182.80	−10583.50			
2 Base model	3	20755.30	20773.01	−10374.65	1 vs 2	417.697	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	20631.67	20655.28	−10311.84	2 vs 3	125.632	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	20211.17	20246.57	−10099.58	3 vs 4	424.502	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	20209.35	20250.65	−10097.67	4 vs 5	3.820	.051

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 3. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the moderate condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (3096)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	−13.02	3.72	[−20.30, −5.73]	−3.50	< .001
Ball position	0.17	0.06	[0.06, 0.28]	3.04	.002
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	0.27	1.46	[−2.60, 3.15]	0.19	.852
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	251.55	–	–	–	–
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.04	–	–	–	–
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.96	–	–	–	–
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	90.90	–	–	–	–
ICC	0.29	–	–	–	–

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom). ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .090$. Model comparison in Table 4.

Table 4. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the moderate condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	24246.25	24258.34	−12121.13			
2 Base model	3	23938.17	23956.31	−11966.09	1 vs 2	310.078	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	23570.14	22594.32	−11781.07	2 vs 3	370.034	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	23107.90	23144.18	−11547.95	3 vs 4	466.239	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	23109.87	23152.19	−11547.93	4 vs 5	0.035	.852

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 5. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the slow condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (3049)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	1.53	3.25	[−4.84, 7.90]	0.47	.638
Ball position	0.08	0.05	[−0.02, 0.18]	1.62	.105
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	−2.24	1.39	[−4.97, 0.49]	−1.61	.216
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	181.19	–	–	–	–
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.03	–	–	–	–
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.94	–	–	–	–
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	79.84	–	–	–	–
ICC	0.29	–	–	–	–

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom). ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .003$. Model comparison in Table 6.

Table 6. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 1 in the slow condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	23242.37	23254.44	−11619.19			
2 Base model	3	23229.23	23247.33	−11611.62	1 vs 2	15.141	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	22743.84	22767.97	−11367.92	2 vs 3	487.399	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	22366.15	22402.34	−11177.08	3 vs 4	381.693	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	22365.56	22407.78	−11175.78	4 vs 5	2.595	.108

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 7. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the fast condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (5571)	<i>p</i> one-sided
Intercept	−27.10	3.09	[−33.16, −21.04]	−8.76	< .001
Ball position	0.36	0.05	[0.27, 0.45]	7.79	< .001
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	−2.36	1.04	[−4.39, −0.33]	−2.28	.023
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	190.39	—	—	—	—
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.04	—	—	—	—
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.98	—	—	—	—
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	78.39	—	—	—	—
ICC	0.23	—	—	—	—

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom). ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .273$. Model comparison in Table 8.

Table 8. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the fast condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	43268.33	43281.59	−21632.17			
2 Base model	3	41641.60	41661.49	−20817.80	1 vs 2	1628.732	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	41273.01	41273.53	−20619.51	2 vs 3	396.587	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	40521.26	40521.04	−20234.63	3 vs 4	769.756	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	40524.07	40524.48	−20232.04	4 vs 5	5.184	.023

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 9. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the moderate condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (6584)	<i>p</i> one-sided
Intercept	−18.20	2.90	[−24.08, −12.70]	−6.28	< .001
Ball position	0.29	0.04	[0.21, 0.37]	7.26	< .001
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	−2.64	0.91	[−4.36, −0.94]	−2.90	.006
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	171.07	–	–	–	–
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.03	–	–	–	–
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.97	–	–	–	–
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	71.51	–	–	–	–
ICC	0.24	–	–	–	–

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom). ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .182$. Model comparison in Table 10.

Table 10. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the moderate condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	50105.85	50119.45	−25050.93			
2 Base model	3	48784.41	48804.80	−24389.21	1 vs 2	1323.442	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	48022.77	48049.96	−24007.39	2 vs 3	763.638	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	47179.99	47220.77	−23584.00	3 vs 4	846.782	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	47173.56	47221.13	−23579.78	4 vs 5	8.435	.004

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 11. Multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the slow condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (6462)	<i>p</i> one-sided
Intercept	−0.95	2.34	[−5.53, 3.64]	−0.40	.686
Ball position	0.10	0.03	[0.03, 0.16]	2.79	.005
Segment (0 = left, 1 = right)	−0.81	0.80	[−2.38, 0.76]	−1.02	.154
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	107.93	–	–	–	–
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.02	–	–	–	–
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.96	–	–	–	–
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	54.89	–	–	–	–
ICC	0.24	–	–	–	–

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom). ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .033$. Model comparison in Table 12.

Table 12. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation on day 2+3 in the slow condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	46272.21	46285.77	−23134.11			
2 Base model	3	46045.41	46065.74	−23019.70	1 vs 2	228.806	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	45356.42	45383.53	−22674.21	2 vs 3	690.987	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	44591.78	44632.44	−22289.89	3 vs 4	768.645	< .001
5 Base model + RS + Bimodal segment factor	7	44592.74	44640.19	−22289.37	4 vs 5	1.034	.309

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 13. Multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the fast condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (2738)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	−31.69	2.72	[−37.02, −26.35]	−11.65	< .001
Ball position	0.38	0.04	[0.31, 0.45]	10.80	< .001
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	156.34	—	—	—	—
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.03	—	—	—	—
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.91	—	—	—	—
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	129.81	—	—	—	—
ICC	0.21	—	—	—	—

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom).

ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .207$. Model comparison in Table 14.

Table 14. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the fast condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	22636.72	22648.57	−11316.36			
2 Base model	4	21959.43	21977.20	−10976.71	1 vs 2	679.295	< .001
3 Base model + RI	5	21539.11	21562.80	−10765.55	2 vs 3	422.321	< .001
4 Base model + RS	7	21423.08	21458.62	−10705.54	3 vs 4	120.028	< .001

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 15. Multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the moderate condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (3018)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	−13.13	2.40	[−17.83, −8.42]	−5.46	< .001
Ball position	0.15	0.03	[0.09, 0.21]	4.93	< .001
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	124.11	—	—	—	—
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.02	—	—	—	—
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.88	—	—	—	—
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	100.02	—	—	—	—
ICC	0.25	—	—	—	—

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom).

ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .050$. Model comparison in Table 16.

Table 16. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the moderate condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	23660.52	23672.56	−11828.26			
2 Base model	4	23465.21	23483.28	−11729.61	1 vs 2	197.304	< .001
3 Base model + RI	5	22921.17	22945.26	−11456.59	2 vs 3	546.042	< .001
4 Base model + RS	7	22798.38	22834.51	−11393.19	3 vs 4	126.790	< .001

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.

Table 17. Multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the slow condition.

Fixed effects	<i>B</i>	<i>SE B</i>	95% CI	<i>t</i> (2894)	<i>p</i> two-sided
Intercept	7.22	2.18	[2.96, 11.49]	3.32	.001
Ball position	−0.05	0.02	[−0.09, 0.00]	−1.96	.050
Random effects					
Intercept variance (τ_{00})	101.92	–	–	–	–
Slope variance (τ_{11})	0.01	–	–	–	–
Intercept-slope covariance (ρ_{01})	−0.88	–	–	–	–
Level-1 residual (σ^2)	81.31	–	–	–	–
ICC	0.26	–	–	–	–

Note. *B* = unstandardized regression coefficients, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence intervals, *t*(degrees of freedom).

ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient. Model statistics: $N_{\text{participants}} = 24$, $R^2_{\text{marginal}} = .006$. Model comparison in Table 18.

Table 18. Model comparison for multilevel regression model of error estimation for the control experiment in the slow condition.

Model	<i>df</i>	AIC	BIC	logLik	Comparison	χ^2	<i>p</i>
1 Intercept	2	21976.13	21988.09	−10986.07			
2 Base model	3	21963.32	21981.26	−10978.66	1 vs 2	14.810	< .001
3 Base model + RI	4	21364.30	21370.22	−10669.15	2 vs 3	619.024	< .001
4 Base model + RS	6	21263.17	21299.04	−10625.58	3 vs 4	87.130	< .001

Note. *df* = degrees of freedom, AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log-likelihood, RI = random intercepts, RS = random intercept and slopes. The base model includes the predictor ball position.