



Analytical SQL

Case Study

Israa Ali Ahmed
Data Management - ITI

Overview

Customers has purchasing transaction that we shall be monitoring to get intuition behind each customer behavior to target the customers in the most efficient and proactive way, to increase sales/revenue, improve customer retention and decrease churn.

Objective

This case study offers a comprehensive analysis of customer transactions, aiming to provide actionable insights for businesses to improve their marketing strategies, inventory management, customer retention, and pricing.

Dataset

Our dataset consists of 12,858 records, containing information such as invoice numbers, stock codes, quantities, dates, prices, customer IDs, and countries.

Q1:

1) Identifying Seasonal Trends in Revenue:

This query calculates the monthly revenue for each distinct month in the dataset and ranks them based on their revenue, presenting the result set with the highest revenue months appearing first. Helping in identifying seasonal patterns and assessing the effectiveness of marketing efforts over time.

- **Query:**

```
with cte as (  
-- Select distinct month-year combinations from the 'InvoiceDate' column and calculate total  
revenue for each month-year combination  
select distinct  
    to_char(to_date(InvoiceDate, 'MM/DD/YYYY HH24:MI'), 'YYYY-MM') as month,  
-- Calculate monthly revenue by summing up Quantity * Price over each month-year partition  
    sum(Quantity * Price) over (partition by to_char(to_date(InvoiceDate, 'MM/DD/YYYY  
HH24:MI'), 'YYYY-MM')) as monthly_revenue  
from tableRetail  
)  
-- Main Query  
Select  
    -- Select the month and its corresponding monthly revenue from cte  
    month,  
    monthly_revenue,  
-- Assign a rank to each month based on its revenue (higher revenue gets lower rank)  
    rank() over (order by monthly_revenue desc) as month_rank  
from cte  
-- Order the result set by the month rank in ascending order  
order by month_rank;
```



| ini | MONTH | MONTHLY_REVENUE | MONTH_RANK |
|-----|---------|-----------------|------------|
| ▶ | 2011-11 | 45633.38 | 1 |
| | 2011-08 | 38374.64 | 2 |
| | 2011-09 | 27853.82 | 3 |
| | 2011-10 | 19735.07 | 4 |
| | 2011-05 | 19496.18 | 5 |
| | 2011-03 | 17038.01 | 6 |
| | 2011-07 | 15664.54 | 7 |
| | 2011-06 | 13517.01 | 8 |
| | 2010-12 | 13422.96 | 9 |
| | 2011-02 | 13336.84 | 10 |
| | 2011-12 | 11124.13 | 11 |
| | 2011-04 | 10980.51 | 12 |
| | 2011-01 | 9541.29 | 13 |

2) The Highest Single Transaction value for each customer

This query retrieves the maximum value of a single transaction for each customer from the tableRetail table and order the result to show customers with the highest single transaction value.

- **Query:**

with cte as (

-- select relevant columns and calculate the transaction value for each invoice

select

invoice,

customer_id,

quantity * price as transaction_value,

-- assign a row number for each row within each partition of 'customer_id', ordering them by the transaction value for each invoice for him in descending order

row_number() over (partition by customer_id order by quantity * price desc) as row_num

from tableretail

)

select

invoice,

customer_id,

transaction_value AS max_single_transaction_value

from cte

/ filter the results to only include rows where the row number is equal to 1,*

meaning it selects only the invoices with the highest transaction value for each customer

and order the results by the transaction_value desc



*values */*

where row_num = 1

order by max_single_transaction_value desc;

| INVOICE | CUSTOMER_ID | MAX_SINGLE_TRANSACTION_VALUE |
|---------|-------------|------------------------------|
| 562439 | 12931 | 4176 |
| 567309 | 12901 | 1519.8 |
| 576389 | 12748 | 850.5 |
| 540507 | 12939 | 650.88 |
| 561672 | 12830 | 587.52 |
| 568214 | 12823 | 535.5 |
| 557092 | 12908 | 432 |
| 567882 | 12906 | 360 |
| 571685 | 12863 | 340 |
| 562270 | 12917 | 297 |
| 538420 | 12875 | 293.76 |
| 554084 | 12909 | 290 |
| 579837 | 12882 | 283.2 |
| 569397 | 12747 | 243 |
| 546462 | 12845 | 208.8 |
| 551595 | 12891 | 204 |
| 537433 | 12913 | 204 |

3) Identifying price variance across countries:

This query examines the average, maximum, and minimum prices for each product (StockCode) in different countries and ranks each stock code based on its average price within each country. It helps businesses understand their pricing strategies, segment markets based on price sensitivity, compare pricing competitiveness with competitors, and track product performance over time. Ultimately, it enables businesses to make informed decisions about pricing and product positioning to maximize profitability and market share.

- Query

-- This cte calculates the average, maximum, and minimum prices for each stock code in each country

with cte as (

select

country,

stockcode,

avg(price) as avg_price,

max(price) as max_price,



min(price) as min_price

from tableretail

group by country, stockcode

)

-- Main query to select country, stock code, average price, maximum price, minimum price, and rank stock codes based on average price within each country

SELECT

country,

stockcode,

avg_price,

max_price,

min_price,

row_number() over (partition by country order by avg_price desc) as avg_price_rank

from cte;

| | COUNTRY | STOCKCODE | AVG_PRICE | MAX_PRICE | MIN_PRICE | AVG_PRICE_RANK |
|---|----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| ▶ | United Kingdom | 22827 | 155 | 165 | 145 | 1 |
| | United Kingdom | 22826 | 140 | 195 | 85 | 2 |
| | United Kingdom | M | 70.1626315789474 | 850.5 | 0 | 3 |
| | United Kingdom | 22929 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 4 |
| | United Kingdom | C2 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 5 |
| | United Kingdom | 84816 | 39.95 | 39.95 | 39.95 | 6 |
| | United Kingdom | 21763 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 7 |
| | United Kingdom | 22503 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 8 |
| | United Kingdom | 84616 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 9 |
| | United Kingdom | 22504 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 29.95 | 10 |
| | United Kingdom | 23485 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 11 |
| | United Kingdom | 22782 | 24.95 | 24.95 | 24.95 | 12 |
| | United Kingdom | 23462 | 19.95 | 19.95 | 19.95 | 13 |
| | United Kingdom | 21473 | 19.95 | 19.95 | 19.95 | 14 |
| | United Kingdom | 85161 | 18.95 | 18.95 | 18.95 | 15 |
| | United Kingdom | 22848 | 16.95 | 16.95 | 16.95 | 16 |
| | United Kingdom | 23010 | 16.95 | 16.95 | 16.95 | 17 |
| | United Kingdom | 22707 | 16.05 | 16.05 | 16.05 | 18 |

4) Customer Lifetime Cycle:

Customer lifetime insight is a valuable metric for businesses to understand the overall value a customer brings over their entire relationship with the company.



Information Technology Institute

This helps businesses in optimizing their strategies for acquiring, retaining, and maximizing the value of their customer base, ultimately leading to sustainable growth and profitability.

- **Query:**

-- CTE to calculate customer transactions and activity

with customer_activity **as** (

-- calculate total spent, first purchase date, and last purchase date, total_transactions and unique products for each customer

select

customer_id,

sum(price) **as** total_spent, *-- cumulative amount of money spent by each customer*

min(to_date(invoicedate, 'MM/DD/YYYY HH24:MI')) **as** first_transaction_date,

max(to_date(invoicedate, 'MM/DD/YYYY HH24:MI')) **as** last_transaction_date,

count(distinct invoice) **as** total_transactions,

count(distinct stockcode) **as** unique_products_purchased

from **table**retail

group by customer_id

)

-- Main query

select

customer_id,

total_spent,

first_transaction_date,

last_transaction_date,

total_transactions,

unique_products_purchased,

round(total_spent / total_transactions, 2) **as** avg_transaction_value,

--Duration of the customer's lifetime in days (last purchase date - first purchase date)

round((last_transaction_date - first_transaction_date)) **as** customer_lifetime_days

from customer_activity

-- Order the results by total transactions for each customer desc which means the first row is the most active customer

order by total_transactions **desc**;

| CUSTOMER_ID | TOTAL_SPENT | FIRST_TRANSACTION_DATE | LAST_TRANSACTION_DATE | TOTAL_TRANSACTIONS | UNIQUE_PRODUCTS_PURCHASED | AVG_TRANSACTION_VALUE | CUSTOMER_LIFETIME_DAYS |
|-------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 12748 | 12205.6 | 12/1/2010 12:48:00 PM | 12/9/2011 12:20:00 PM | 210 | 1768 | 58.12 | 373 |
| 12971 | 392.71 | 12/2/2010 4:42:00 PM | 6/24/2011 2:36:00 PM | 45 | 66 | 8.73 | 204 |
| 12921 | 1684.94 | 12/1/2010 3:06:00 PM | 11/30/2011 4:22:00 PM | 37 | 233 | 45.54 | 364 |
| 12901 | 170.1 | 3/14/2011 10:41:00 AM | 12/1/2011 10:07:00 AM | 28 | 30 | 6.08 | 262 |
| 12841 | 1005.97 | 12/3/2010 10:43:00 AM | 12/5/2011 11:13:00 AM | 25 | 229 | 40.24 | 367 |
| 12931 | 139.54 | 12/17/2010 9:45:00 AM | 11/18/2011 12:39:00 PM | 15 | 28 | 9.3 | 336 |
| 12839 | 602.95 | 12/7/2010 3:48:00 PM | 12/7/2011 12:33:00 PM | 14 | 95 | 43.07 | 365 |
| 12877 | 292.43 | 12/16/2010 12:23:00 PM | 12/6/2011 10:30:00 AM | 12 | 100 | 24.37 | 355 |
| 12747 | 449.89 | 12/5/2010 3:38:00 PM | 12/7/2011 2:34:00 PM | 11 | 42 | 40.9 | 367 |
| 12955 | 304.19 | 3/17/2011 9:03:00 AM | 12/8/2011 4:29:00 PM | 11 | 81 | 27.65 | 266 |
| 12843 | 361.86 | 3/20/2011 2:38:00 PM | 10/5/2011 12:09:00 PM | 8 | 69 | 45.23 | 199 |
| 12910 | 156.96 | 4/12/2011 10:01:00 AM | 11/16/2011 2:28:00 PM | 8 | 48 | 19.62 | 218 |
| 12963 | 313.01 | 12/9/2010 9:14:00 AM | 12/1/2011 12:55:00 PM | 8 | 75 | 39.13 | 357 |
| 12949 | 618.91 | 4/1/2011 1:20:00 PM | 11/9/2011 11:25:00 AM | 8 | 166 | 77.36 | 222 |
| 12957 | 670.58 | 1/4/2011 12:18:00 PM | 11/30/2011 9:53:00 AM | 8 | 208 | 83.82 | 330 |
| 12939 | 112.96 | 1/9/2011 11:20:00 AM | 10/6/2011 2:33:00 PM | 8 | 21 | 14.12 | 270 |
| 12826 | 175.42 | 12/9/2010 3:21:00 PM | 12/7/2011 10:25:00 AM | 7 | 58 | 25.06 | 363 |
| 12948 | 340.2 | 3/20/2011 10:17:00 AM | 11/23/2011 5:10:00 PM | 7 | 87 | 48.6 | 248 |

5) Best-Selling Products:

Identifying the best-selling products helps businesses understand customer preferences and demand patterns. By ranking products based on total quantity sold, businesses can prioritize their inventory, focus on popular items, and allocate resources effectively. This insight enables businesses to optimize their product offerings, streamline inventory management, and capitalize on high-demand products to maximize sales and profitability.

- **Query:**

-- CTE to calculate total quantity sold for each product



```
select
    stockcode,
    sum(quantity) as total_quantity_sold
from tableretail
group by stockcode
)
-- main query to rank products based on total quantity sold
select
    stockcode,
    total_quantity_sold,
    rank() over (order by total_quantity_sold desc) as sales_rank
from product_sales
order by total_quantity_sold desc;
```

| STOCKCODE | TOTAL_QUANTITY_SOLD | SALES_RANK |
|-----------|---------------------|------------|
| 84077 | 7824 | 1 |
| 84879 | 6117 | 2 |
| 22197 | 5918 | 3 |
| 21787 | 5075 | 4 |
| 21977 | 4691 | 5 |
| 21703 | 2996 | 6 |
| 17096 | 2019 | 7 |
| 15036 | 1920 | 8 |
| 23203 | 1803 | 9 |
| 21790 | 1579 | 10 |
| 22988 | 1565 | 11 |
| 23215 | 1492 | 12 |
| 20974 | 1478 | 13 |
| 22992 | 1359 | 14 |
| 21731 | 1342 | 15 |
| 22693 | 1320 | 16 |
| 40016 | 1284 | 17 |
| 22001 | 1277 | 18 |

6) Cross-Selling Analysis:

This insight aims to identify frequently co-purchased products to optimize cross-selling strategies and improve revenue generation. Understanding which products are frequently purchased together allows businesses to implement effective cross-selling strategies. By recommending complementary products to customers during the purchase process, businesses can increase the average order value and enhance customer satisfaction.



- **Query:**

```
-- CTE to generate pairs of co-purchased products
with cte as (
  -- selects pairs of products that are purchased together, avoiding duplicate pairs
  select
    a.stockcode as product_A, -- The first product in the pair
    b.stockcode AS product_B, -- The second product in the pair
    count(*) as co_purchase_count -- Counts the number of times the pair purchased together
  from tableretail a
  join tableretail b
  on a.invoice = b.invoice and a.stockcode < b.stockcode
  /* Joins the table with itself to find co-purchased items, ensuring product_1 < product_2
  using a.stockcode < b.stockcode ensures that each pair of products is only counted once.
  This condition prevents duplicate pairs where the same two products are swapped in
  positions
  (a.StockCode and b.StockCode are interchanged) */
  group by a.stockcode, b.stockcode -- group the results by the two products in each pair
)
select
  product_A,
  product_B,
  co_purchase_count,
  row_number() over (order by co_purchase_count desc) as rank
  -- assigns a rank to each pair based on their frequency
from cte
order by co_purchase_count desc; -- orders the results by the frequency of co-purchase counts
```



| PRODUCT_A | PRODUCT_B | CO_PURCHASE_COUNT | RANK |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|------|
| 20724 | 22355 | 23 | 1 |
| 20725 | 20728 | 22 | 2 |
| 82482 | 82494L | 21 | 3 |
| 20719 | 22355 | 21 | 4 |
| 20725 | 22382 | 21 | 5 |
| 20725 | 22384 | 21 | 6 |
| 22697 | 22699 | 20 | 7 |
| 20719 | 20724 | 20 | 8 |
| 22355 | 22661 | 20 | 9 |
| 23084 | 23120 | 20 | 10 |
| 20725 | 20726 | 19 | 11 |
| 23120 | 23121 | 19 | 12 |
| 20727 | 22382 | 19 | 13 |
| 23199 | 85099B | 19 | 14 |
| 20724 | 22661 | 19 | 15 |
| 20723 | 20724 | 18 | 16 |
| 20726 | 22382 | 18 | 17 |
| 85099B | 85099F | 18 | 18 |

Q2: A Monetary model for customers behavior:

A monetary model in customer analytics is used to segment customers based on their monetary value or spending behavior. It focuses on how much money each customer has spent over a certain period and helps identify high-value customers, low-value customers, and everything in between.

- **Query:**

```
-- cte to calculate RFM (Recency, Frequency, Monetary) metrics for each customer
with RFM as (
select
    customer_id,
```



**Information
Technology
Institute**

-- calculate recency as the difference between the maximum invoice date and the maximum invoice date for each individual customer(last transaction).

```
round((select max(to_date(invoicedate, 'mm/dd/yyyy hh24:mi')) from tableretail) -  
max(to_date(invoicedate, 'mm/dd/yyyy hh24:mi'))) as recency,
```

-- calculate frequency as the count of distinct invoices for each customer

```
count(distinct invoice) as frequency,
```

-- calculate monetary as the sum of quantity multiplied by price for each customer

```
sum(quantity * price) as monetary
```

```
from tableretail
```

```
group by customer_id
```

```
),
```

--CTE to calculate scores

```
rfm_scores as (
```

```
select
```

```
customer_id,
```

```
recency,
```

```
frequency,
```

```
monetary,
```

-- assign r_score based on the recency, dividing customers into 5 groups

```
ntile(5) over (order by recency desc) as r_score,
```

```
ntile(5) over (order by Frequency ) as f_score,
```

```
ntile(5) over (order by Monetary ) as m_score
```

```
from rfm
```

```
),
```

-- CTE to calculate the FM_score, which is the average of f_score and m_score

```
FM as (
```

```
select
```

```
customer_id,
```

```
ntile(5) over (order by (f_score + m_score) / 2) as FM_score
```

```
from rfm_scores
```

```
)
```

-- Main query to select RFM metrics, scores, and customer segments

```
select
```

```
fm.customer_id,
```

```
recency,
```

```
frequency,
```

```
monetary,
```

```
r_score,
```

```
FM.FM_score,
```

-- assign customer segments based on r_score and FM_score combinations

```
case
```



**Information
Technology
Institute**

```
        when (r_score = 5 and FM_score = 5) or (r_score = 5 and FM_score = 4) or
(r_score = 4 and FM_score = 5) then 'Champions'
        when (r_score = 5 and FM_score = 2) or (r_score = 4 and FM_score = 2) or (r_score = 3 and
FM_score = 3) or (r_score = 4 and FM_score = 3) then 'Potential Loyalists'
        when (r_score = 5 and FM_score = 3) or (r_score = 4 and FM_score = 4) or (r_score = 3 and
FM_score = 5) or (r_score = 3 and FM_score = 4) then 'Loyal Customers'
        when r_score = 5 and FM_score = 1 then 'Recent Customers'
        when (r_score = 4 and FM_score = 1) or (r_score = 3 and FM_score = 1) THEN 'Promising'
        when (r_score = 3 and FM_score = 2) or (r_score = 2 and FM_score = 3) or (r_score = 2 and
FM_score = 2) then 'Customers Needing Attention'
        when (r_score = 2 and FM_score = 5) or (r_score = 2 and FM_score = 4) or (r_score = 1 and
FM_score = 3) then 'At Risk'
        when (r_score = 1 and FM_score = 5) or (r_score = 1 AND FM_score = 4) then 'Cant Lose
Them'
        when (r_score = 1 and FM_score = 2) then 'Hibernating'
        when (r_score = 1 and FM_score = 1) then 'Lost'
        else 'Undefined'
    end as Cust_Segment
from rfm_scores
join FM
on   rfm_scores.customer_id= FM.customer_id
order by customer_id;
```



| | CUSTOMER_ID | RECENCY | FREQUENCY | MONETARY | R_SCORE | FM_SCORE | CUST_SEGMENT |
|---|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------------------------|
| ▶ | 12747 | 2 | 11 | 4196.01 | 5 | 5 | Champions |
| | 12748 | 0 | 210 | 33719.73 | 5 | 5 | Champions |
| | 12749 | 3 | 5 | 4090.88 | 5 | 5 | Champions |
| | 12820 | 3 | 4 | 942.34 | 5 | 3 | Loyal Customers |
| | 12821 | 214 | 1 | 92.72 | 1 | 1 | Lost |
| | 12822 | 70 | 2 | 948.88 | 3 | 3 | Potential Loyalists |
| | 12823 | 74 | 5 | 1759.5 | 2 | 4 | At Risk |
| | 12824 | 59 | 1 | 397.12 | 3 | 2 | Customers Needing Attention |
| | 12826 | 2 | 7 | 1474.72 | 5 | 5 | Champions |
| | 12827 | 5 | 3 | 430.15 | 5 | 3 | Loyal Customers |
| | 12828 | 2 | 6 | 1018.71 | 5 | 4 | Champions |
| | 12829 | 336 | 2 | 293 | 1 | 1 | Lost |
| | 12830 | 37 | 6 | 6814.64 | 3 | 5 | Loyal Customers |
| | 12831 | 262 | 1 | 215.05 | 1 | 1 | Lost |
| | 12832 | 32 | 2 | 383.03 | 3 | 3 | Potential Loyalists |
| | 12833 | 145 | 1 | 417.38 | 2 | 1 | Undefined |
| | 12834 | 282 | 1 | 312.38 | 1 | 1 | Lost |
| | 12836 | 50 | 4 | 2612.86 | 3 | 4 | Loyal Customers |

Q3:

A) The maximum number of consecutive days a customer made purchases:

This SQL query aims to find the maximum number of consecutive days on which each customer made purchases. It starts by ranking customer purchases by calendar date using the CTE. Then, it calculates the consecutive days of purchases for each customer in the Consecutive_Days CTE and put every consecutive day at the same group and then group them using cust_id,group_id. Finally, it selects the maximum consecutive days for each customer and orders the results by customer id.

- **Query:**

```
with CTE as (  
  -- a row number to each purchase for every customer, ordered by purchase date  
  select  
    cust_id,  
    calendar_dt ,  
    row_number() over (partition by cust_id order by calendar_dt) as rankk
```



**Information
Technology
Institute**

from customers

```
),
consecutive_days as (
    -- Calculate the difference between the purchase date and its row number to identify
    -- consecutive purchases (the consecutive purchases will have the same group id)
    select
        cust_id,
        calendar_dt,
        rankk,
        calendar_dt - rankk AS group_id
    from CTE
),
grouped_consecutive_days as (
    -- group consecutive purchases by customer and group id
    select
        cust_id,
        group_id,
        min(calendar_dt) as start_date,
        max(calendar_dt) as end_date,
        count(*) as consecutive_days
    from consecutive_days
    group by cust_id, group_id
)
-- Select the maximum consecutive days for each customer
select
    cust_id,
    max(consecutive_days) as max_consecutive_days
from grouped_consecutive_days
group by cust_id
order by cust_id ;
```




| CUST_ID | MAX_CONSECUTIVE_DAYS |
|---------|----------------------|
| 26592 | 35 |
| 45234 | 9 |
| 54815 | 3 |
| 60045 | 15 |
| 66688 | 5 |
| 113502 | 6 |
| 145392 | 6 |
| 150488 | 9 |
| 151293 | 3 |
| 175749 | 2 |
| 196249 | 3 |
| 211629 | 5 |
| 217534 | 25 |
| 232210 | 6 |
| 233119 | 2 |
| 247965 | 2 |
| 259866 | 8 |
| 272472 | 36 |

B) On average, How many days/transactions does it take a customer to reach a spent threshold of 250 L.E?

- **Query:**

-- CTE to calculate cumulative spending for each customer over time

with cumulative_spending as (

select

cust_id,
calendar_dt,
amt_LE,

-- Calculate the total spending for each customer at each transaction

sum(amt_LE) over (partition by cust_id order by calendar_dt) as total_spending,

-- Identify the first transaction date for each customer

first_value(calendar_dt) over (partition by cust_id order by calendar_dt) as

first_transaction_date,

-- Calculate the cumulative count of transactions for each customer

count(*) over(partition by cust_id order by calendar_dt) as total_transactions

from customers

),

-- CTE to calculate the number of days it took for each customer to reach a total spending of 250

to_reach as (



```
select
distinct cust_id ,
min(total_transactions) over (partition by cust_id) as transactions_to_reach_250,
min(calendar_dt - first_transaction_date) over (partition by cust_id) as days_to_reach_250
from cumulative_spending
where total_spending >= 250
order by cust_id asc

)
-- Main query to calculate the avg number of days and transactions it took each customer to
reach 250 in total spending
select
avg(days_to_reach_250) as avg_days_to_reach_250,
avg(transactions_to_reach_250) as avg_transactions_to_reach_250
from to_reach;
```

| | AVG_DAYS_TO_REACH_250 | AVG_TRANSACTIONS_TO_REACH_250 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 11.3541054141269 | 6.25507350304769 |

■ Cumulative Spending CTE (cumulative_spending):

It computes the cumulative spending for each customer over time.

The SUM window function calculates the total spending for each customer at each transaction, partitioned by cust_id and ordered by calendar_dt.

The FIRST_VALUE function identifies the first transaction date for each customer.

The count window function computes the cumulative count of transactions for each customer, also partitioned by cust_id and ordered by calendar_dt.

■ To Reach CTE (to_reach):

It calculates the number of days and transactions it took for each customer to reach a total spending of 250.

The DISTINCT keyword ensures that only unique cust_id values are considered.

The MIN window function is used to find the minimum value of total_transactions and calendar_dt - first_transaction_date for each cust_id, effectively identifying the number of transactions and days it took to reach a spending of 250.

The WHERE clause filters out records where the total spending is less than 250.

■ Main Query:



**Information
Technology
Institute**

It computes the average number of days (`avg_days_to_reach_250`) and transactions (`avg_transactions_to_reach_250`) for each customer to reach a spending of 250.