State Feedback Control Synthesis

Raktim Bhattacharya Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University

- Given system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$
- Design a stabilizing controller u := Kx such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|x(t)\| \to 0$ in the Lyapunov sense.
- Let $V(x) := x^T P x$, $P = P^T > 0$ be a candidate Lyapunov function
- Therefore.

$$\dot{V} = \dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x}$$
$$= x^T ((A + BK)^T P + P(A + BK)) x.$$

$$\dot{V} \leq 0 \implies$$

$$A^T P + PA + K^T B^T P + PBK \le 0.$$

BMI in P, K.

contd.

BMI in P, K:

$$A^T P + PA + K^T B^T P + PBK \le 0.$$

Use substitution

$$P := Y^{-1}, \ K := WY^{-1}$$

$$A^{T}Y^{-1} + Y^{-1}A + Y^{-1}W^{T}B^{T}Y^{-1} + Y^{-1}BWY^{-1} \le 0.$$

Multiply both sides by Y, congruent transformation

LMI in Y, W

$$YA^T + AY + W^TB^T + BW \le 0.$$

Bounded Exponent

Lemma

$$\dot{V} \le -\alpha V \implies ||x(t)||_2^2 \le \beta ||x(0)||_2^2 e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)}$$

Proof:

$$\dot{V} \le -\alpha V$$

$$\frac{dV}{V} \le -\alpha d\tau.$$

Integrating from $[t_0, t]$, we get

$$V(x(t)) \le V(x(0))e^{\alpha(t-t_0)}$$
$$x^T P x \le x(0)^T P x(0)e^{\alpha(t-t_0)}.$$

Bounded Exponent (contd.)

Recall

$$\lambda_{\min}(P)\|x\|_2^2 \le x^T P x \le \lambda_{\max}(P)\|x\|_2^2$$

Implies

$$\lambda_{\min}(P) \|x\|_2^2 \le \lambda_{\max}(P) \|x(0)\|_2^2 e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)}$$

or

$$||x||_2^2 \le \kappa(P)||x(0)||_2^2 e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)}.$$

The condition

$$\dot{V} \le -\alpha V$$

for dynamical system $\dot{x} = (A + BK)x$ results in the following LMI in Y, W

LMI for Bounded Exponent

$$YA^T + AY + W^TB^T + BW + \alpha Y \le 0.$$

Bounded Exponent (contd.)

The condition

$$\dot{V} \le -\alpha V$$

for dynamical system $\dot{x} = (A + BK)x$ results in the following LMI in Y, W

LMI for Bounded Exponent

$$YA^T + AY + W^TB^T + BW + \alpha Y \le 0.$$

Stabilizing with Finsler's Lemma

Lemma (Finsler) Consider $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_x \times n_x}$ with rank $(\mathcal{B}) < n_r$, and \mathcal{B}^{\perp} a basis for the null space of \mathcal{B} $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^{\perp}=0)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. $w^T \mathcal{L} w < 0, \ \forall w \neq 0 : \mathcal{B} w = 0$
- $2 \mathcal{B}^{\perp T} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{B}^{\perp} < 0$
- 3. $\exists \mu \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{L} \mu \mathcal{B}^T \mathcal{B} < 0$
- 4. $\exists \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times m_x} : \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{X}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}^T \mathcal{X}^T < 0$

Proof: Olivera & Skelton. 2001.

contd.

Given closed-loop system $\dot{x} = (A + BK)x$, define the following

$$w := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B} := \begin{bmatrix} (A + BK) & -I \end{bmatrix}, \implies \mathcal{B}^{\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ (A + BK) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{L} := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha P & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Property 1 of Finsler's Lemma:

$$\mathcal{B}w = 0 \iff \dot{x} = (A + BK)x$$
$$w^T \mathcal{L}w < 0 \iff x^T \left((A + BK)^T P + P(A + BK) + \alpha P \right) x < 0$$

contd.

Property 2 of Finsler's Lemma: $\exists P = P^T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I \\ (A+BK) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \alpha P & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ (A+BK) \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(A+BK)^T P + P(A+BK) + \alpha P < 0.$$

Property 3 of Finsler's Lemma:

$$\mathcal{L} - \mu \mathcal{B}^T \mathcal{B} < 0 \iff \begin{bmatrix} \alpha P - \mu (A + BK)^T (A + BK) & P + \mu (A + BK)^T \\ P + \mu (A + BK) & -\mu I \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

contd.

Schur complement of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha P - \mu (A + BK)^T (A + BK) & P + \mu (A + BK)^T \\ P + \mu (A + BK) & -\mu I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

implies $-\mu I < 0$, and

$$\gamma P - \mu (A + BK)^{T} (A + BK) - \left(P + \mu (A + BK)^{T} \right) (-\mu I)^{-1} \left(P + \mu (A + BK)^{T} \right) < 0$$

$$\implies (A+BK)^T P + P(A+BK) + \alpha P < \frac{PP}{\mu}$$
 trivial

contd.

Property 4 of Finsler's Lemma: $\exists \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times m_x}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{X}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}^T \mathcal{X}^T < 0.$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma P & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{X} \begin{bmatrix} (A+BK) & -I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (A+BK)^T \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{X}^T < 0.$$

Define $\mathcal{X}:=\begin{bmatrix}Z\\aZ\end{bmatrix}$, with $Z\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, invertible but not necessarily symmetric, and a>0 a fixed relaxation constant.

contd.

Substituting and applying congruent transformation $\begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & Z^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$

on left and $\begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & Z^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^T$ on the right we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1}(A^T + K^TB^T) + (*)^T + \alpha Z^{-1}PZ^{-T} & (*)^T \\ Z^{-1}PZ^{-T} + a(A + BK)Z^{-T} - Z^{-1} & -a(Z^{-1} + Z^{-T}) \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

contd.

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1}(A^T + K^TB^T) + (*)^T + \alpha Z^{-1}PZ^{-T} & (*)^T \\ Z^{-1}PZ^{-T} + a(A + BK)Z^{-T} - Z^{-1} & -a(Z^{-1} + Z^{-T}) \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Substitute:

$$Y := Z^{-T}, \qquad W := KY, \qquad \text{and} \quad Q := Y^T P Y$$

we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} AY + Y^T A^T + BW + W^T B^T + \alpha Q & Q + a(Y^T A^T + W^T B^T) - Y \\ Q + a(AY + BW) - Y^T & -a(Y + Y^T) \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Variables: $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \neq Y^T, W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} > 0$. Parameter a is given.

Greater degree of freedom

Stabilizing with Reciprocal Projection Lemma

Recall With $X = X^T > 0$, the following are true

$$\Psi + S + S^T < 0 \iff \begin{bmatrix} \Psi + X - (W + W^T) & S^T + W^T \\ S + W & -X \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Consider Lyapunov inequality with decay-rate

$$(A + BK)Y + Y(A + BK)^{T} + \alpha Y < 0, Y > 0 \quad V(x) := x^{T}Y^{-1}x$$

Let

$$\Psi := 0, S^T := (A + BK)Y + \frac{\alpha}{2}Y$$

Implies

$$\Psi + S^T + S < 0 \iff (A + BK)Y + Y(A + BK)^T + \alpha Y < 0.$$

contd.

From Reciprocal Projection Lemma we get

$$\Psi + S^T + S < 0 \iff (A + BK)Y + Y(A + BK)^T + \alpha Y < 0$$
$$\iff \begin{bmatrix} X - (W + W^T) & (A + BK)Y + \frac{\alpha}{2}Y + W^T \\ Y(A + BK)^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}Y + W & -X \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Multiplying on both sides by $\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} X - (W + W^T) & (A + BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}I + W^T \mathbf{P} \\ (A + BK)^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}I + \mathbf{P}W & -\mathbf{P}X\mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

contd.

Multiply

$$\begin{bmatrix} X - (W + W^T) & (A + BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}I + W^T \textbf{\textit{P}} \\ (A + BK)^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}I + \textbf{\textit{P}}W & -\textbf{\textit{P}}X\textbf{\textit{P}} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

on left hand side with $\begin{bmatrix} W^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T$ and right hand side with

$$\begin{bmatrix} W^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$
, and substitute $V := W^{-1}$ to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} V^TXV - (V + V^T) & V^T(A + BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P \\ (A + BK)^TV + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -PXP \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

contd.

Using Schur complement it can be shown that

$$\begin{bmatrix} V^TXV - (V + V^T) & V^T(A + BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P \\ (A + BK)^TV + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -PXP \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(V+V^T) & V^T(A+BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P & V^T \\ (A+BK)^TV + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -PXP & 0 \\ V & 0 & -X^{-1} \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Now substitute $X := P^{-1}$ to get

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(V+V^T) & V^T(A+BK) + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P & V^T \\ (A+BK)^TV + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -P & 0 \\ V & 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

contd.

Using the dual form $(A + BK) \mapsto (A + BK)^T$ we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(V+V^T) & V^T(A+BK)^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P & V^T \\ (A+BK)V + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -P & 0 \\ V & 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

With change of variable Z := KV, we get the final LMI

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(V+V^T) & V^TA^T + Z^TB^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P & V^T \\ AV + BZ + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -P & 0 \\ V & 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Variables $P > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

Controller is decoupled from Lyapunov function $K := ZV^{-1}$

Minimum Norm Controller

Pointwise minimum norm

We are interested in stabilizing controller that minimizes instantaneous u^Tu , where

$$u^T u = x^T K^T K x = x^T Y^{-1} W^T W Y^{-1} x.$$

Optimization problem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \min \gamma \\ Y &\geq \mu_0 I_n \\ W^T W &\leq \gamma I_m, \\ YA^T + AY + W^T B^T + BW + \alpha Y &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} YA^T + AY + W^TB^T + BW + \alpha Y &\leq 0 \\ \min \gamma, \text{ subject to } \begin{bmatrix} \gamma I_m & W \\ W^T & I_n \end{bmatrix} &\geq 0, Y \geq \mu_0 I_n. \end{aligned}$$

Better Formulation

$$\min \gamma,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} & W^T \\ W & \gamma I_m \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$

$$Y \ge \mu_0 I_n,$$

$$YA^T + AY + W^T B^T + BW + \alpha Y \le 0.$$

From Schur complement about γI_m we get

$$\gamma I_m > 0, \ Y - W^T (\gamma I_m)^{-1} W \ge 0.$$

Or

$$W^TW < \gamma Y$$

Better Formulation (contd.)

We have

$$W^TW \le \gamma Y$$
.

Substitute W = KY, to get

$$YK^TKY \le \gamma Y \implies K^TK \le \gamma Y^{-1}.$$

But $X > \mu_0 I_n$ constraint in LMI.

Therefore

$$K^T K \le \frac{\gamma}{\mu_0} I_n.$$

With Finsler's Lemma

 $\min \gamma$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & W^T \\ W & \gamma I_m \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$

$$Q \ge \mu_0 I_n,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} AY + Y^T A^T + BW + W^T B^T + \alpha Q & Q + a(Y^T A^T + W^T B^T) - Y \\ Q + a(AY + BW) - Y^T & -a(Y + Y^T) \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

With Reciprocal Projection Lemma

 $\min \gamma$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n & Z^T \\ Z & \gamma I_m \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

$$P \ge \mu_0 I_n$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(V+V^T) & V^TA^T + Z^TB^T + \frac{\alpha}{2}V^T + P & V^T \\ AV + BZ + \frac{\alpha}{2}V + P & -P & 0 \\ V & 0 & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Linear Quadratic Regulator

Find $P = P^T > 0$ and K such that with $V := x^T P x$,

$$\min_{P,K} V(x(0)) = x(0)^T Px(0) \text{ Cost Function}$$

subject to

$$\dot{V} \leq -x^T(Q+K^TRK)x$$
 Constraint Function

Or equivalently

$$\min_{P,K} \mathbf{tr} P$$

subject to

$$(A+BK)^T P + P(A+BK) + Q + K^T RK \le 0.$$

contd.

$$\min_{P,K} \mathbf{tr} P$$

subject to

$$(A+BK)^T P + P(A+BK) + Q + K^T RK \le 0$$

is not an LMI.

Substitution: $Y := P^{-1}$, and W := KY and applying congruent transformation with Y we get

$$AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW + YQY + W^TRW \le 0.$$

contd.

Matrix inequality

$$AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW + YQY + W^TRW \le 0,$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW & Y & W^T \\ Y & -Q^{-1} & 0 \\ W & 0 & -R^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW & (\sqrt{Q}Y)^T & (\sqrt{R}W)^T \\ \sqrt{Q}Y & -I_n & 0 \\ \sqrt{R}W & 0 & -I_m \end{bmatrix} \le 0.$$

contd.

Therefore, synthesis optimization problem is

$$\max_{Y,W} \mathbf{tr} Y$$

subject to

$$\begin{bmatrix} AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW & (\sqrt{Q}Y)^T & (\sqrt{R}W)^T \\ \sqrt{Q}Y & -I_n & 0 \\ \sqrt{R}W & 0 & -I_m \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$

The solution is the same as Riccati solution

Solution

If
$$K = -R^{-1}B^TY^{-1} = WY^{-1}$$
, then

$$W = -R^{-1}B^T.$$

Substitute it in

$$AY + YA^T + W^TB^T + BW + YQY + W^TRW \le 0.$$

to get

$$AY + YA^T - BR^{-1}B^T + YQY \le 0,$$

or

$$AY + YA^T + YQY \le \underbrace{BR^{-1}B^T}_{\ge 0}.$$

 $\max \mathbf{tr} Y \implies AY + YA^T + YQY - BR^{-1}B^T = 0$. Max at boundary

With $K = -R^{-1}B^TP$

With the controller $K = -R^{-1}B^TP$, the condition

$$(A + BK)^T P + P(A + BK) + Q + K^T RK \le 0,$$

becomes

$$A^T P + PA + Q - PBR^{-1}B^T P \le 0.$$

This is not a convex constraint in P

Schur complement (about A_{22}) of

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + PA + Q & PB \\ B^T P & R \end{bmatrix} \le 0.$$

gives

$$A^{T}P + PA + Q - PBR^{-1}B^{T}P \le 0, R \le 0.$$

With $K = -R^{-1}R^{T}P$

contd.

Let $Y := P^{-1}$ and substitute in

$$A^T P + PA + Q - PBR^{-1}B^T P \le 0,$$

to get

$$A^{T}Y^{-1} + Y^{-1}A + Q - Y^{-1}BR^{-1}B^{T}Y^{-1} \le 0.$$

Multiply by Y on both sides congruent transform

$$YA^T + AY + YQY - BR^{-1}B^T \le 0.$$

This is convex

$$\begin{bmatrix} YA^T + AY - BR^{-1}B^T & Y\sqrt{Q} \\ \sqrt{Q}Y & -I \end{bmatrix} \le 0.$$

With
$$K = -R^{-1}B^TP$$

contd.

Therefore optimization problem is

$$\max_{Y}\mathbf{tr}Y$$

subject to

$$\begin{bmatrix} YA^T + AY - BR^{-1}B^T & Y\sqrt{Q} \\ \sqrt{Q}Y & -I_n \end{bmatrix} \le 0.$$

Minimization of $||y||_2^2$

System is

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \ y = Cx + Du.$$

Then

$$||y||_2^2 = \int_0^\infty (x^T C^T C x + u^T D^T D u) dt,$$

assuming (for simplicity) D^TD is invertible and $D^TC=0$.

Therefore, with

$$Q = C^T C, \ R = D^T D,$$

Optimization problem in Y is

$$\max_{Y} \mathbf{tr} Y, \text{ subject to } \begin{bmatrix} YA^T + AY - B(D^TD)^{-1}B^T & YC^T \\ CY & -I_n \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$

Apply "completion of squares" idea.

Consider

$$\dot{V} = \frac{d}{dt}x^T P x$$

= $x^T (A^T P + P A)x + x^T P B u + u^T B^T P x$.

Add $x^T O x + u^T R u$ on both sides to get

$$\dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u = x^T (A^T P + P A) x + x^T P B u + u^T B^T P x + x^T Q x + u^T R u.$$

- Add and subtract $x^T PBR^{-1}B^T Px$ on RHS.
- Let $R = U^T U$ for some square invertible U.

contd.

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u &= x^T (A^T P + P A) x + x^T P B u + u^T B^T P x \\ &+ x^T Q x + u^T R u \\ &- x^T P B R^{-1} B^T P x + x^T P B R^{-1} B^T P x. \end{split}$$

Or

$$\dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u = x^T (A^T P + P A + Q - P B R^{-1} B^T P) x + \|U u + U^{-T} B^T P x\|^2.$$

contd.

$$\dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u = x^T \left(A^T P + P A + Q - P B R^{-1} B^T P \right) x + \|U u + U^{-T} B^T P x\|^2.$$

Let P be such that

$$A^T P + PA + Q - PBR^{-1}B^T P = 0.$$

Then,

$$\dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u = \|U u + U^{-T} B^T P x\|^2.$$

contd.

Integrating

$$\dot{V} + x^T Q x + u^T R u = \|U u + U^{-T} B^T P x\|^2 \ge 0$$

over [0,T] we get

$$x(T)^T P x(T) + \int_0^T (x^T Q x + u^T R u) dt \ge x_0^T P x_0$$

With $T \to \infty$, $x(T) \to 0$

$$\implies \int_0^T (x^TQx + u^TRu)dt \ge x_0^TPx_0$$
 Lower Bound

Equality when

$$Uu + U^{-T}B^TPx = 0 \implies u = -R^{-1}B^TPx.$$