

# Polynomial Chaos

Workshop on Uncertainty Analysis & Estimation (ACC 2015)

**Raktim Bhattacharya**

Laboratory For Uncertainty Quantification  
Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University.

[uq.tamu.edu](http://uq.tamu.edu)

# Introduction

## What is polynomial chaos theory?

It provides a **non-sampling** based method to determine **evolution of uncertainty** in dynamical system, when there is **probabilistic uncertainty** in the system **parameters**.

**Consider a dynamical system**

- $\dot{x} = -ax$ ,  $x(t_0) = x_0$  is given (known)
- $a$  is an unknown parameter in the range  $[0, 1]$  (equally likely values)

## Polynomial chaos theory helps us answer these questions

- How does  $x(t)$  evolve for various values of  $a$ ?
- What is the ensemble behavior of  $x$  (mean, variance, PDF)?

## Monte-Carlo Approach

### Summary of Steps

- $\dot{x} = -ax, x(t_0) = 1$
- $a$  is an unknown parameter in the range  $[0, 1]$  (equally likely values)
- Sample  $a \in [0, 1]$
- Plot  $x(t)$  for every value of  $a$
- Estimate statistics from data






Figure : Sample paths

## Monte-Carlo Approach


### Solution Interpretation



(a) Sample paths



(b) Moments



(c) State PDF

Figure : Solution from Monte-Carlo simulations

- The solution  $x(t)$  depends on parameter  $a$
- More appropriate to write it as  $x(t, a)$
- Solution statistics are time varying
- State PDF is also time varying
- Other methods to characterize  $x(t, a)$ ?

# Polynomial Chaos

## Basic Idea

- Approximate  $x(t, a)$ , solution of  $\dot{x} = -ax$  as

$$\hat{x}(t, a) \approx \sum_i x_i(t) \phi_i(a)$$

- $\phi_i(a)$  are known polynomials of parameter  $a$
- $x_i(t)$  are unknown time varying coefficients
- Determine  $x_i(t)$  that minimises equation error  $e(t, a) = \dot{\hat{x}} - a\hat{x}$ 
  - ▶ **Galerkin Projection:** minimize  $\|e(t, a)\|_2$
  - ▶ **Stochastic Collocation:** set  $e(t, a) = 0$  at certain locations
- Resulting system
  - ▶ is in higher dimensional state space
  - ▶ doesn't involve parameter  $a$

# Galerkin Projection

Raktim Bhattacharya

Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University

[uq.tamu.edu](http://uq.tamu.edu)

# Stochastic Finite Element

## Generalized Formulation

- Let system be

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \Delta),$$

where state  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and parameter  $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

- More precisely,  $\Delta := \Delta(\omega)$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued continuous random variable
- $\omega$  is an event in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

- A **second order process**  $x(t, \Delta(\omega))$  can be expressed by polynomial chaos as

$$x(t, \Delta(\omega)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta(\omega))$$

- In practice, approximate with finite terms

$$\mathbf{x}(t, \Delta) \approx \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t, \Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbf{x}_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$





# Inner product

Define

$$\langle e(t, \Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}} e(t, \Delta) \phi_i(\Delta) p(\Delta) d\Delta,$$

where  $p(\Delta)$  is the probability density function of  $\Delta$ .

Also

$$\mathbf{E} [e(t, \Delta) \phi_i(\Delta)] := \int_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}} e(t, \Delta) \phi_i(\Delta) p(\Delta) d\Delta$$

Therefore,

$$\langle e(t, \Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle \equiv \mathbf{E} [e(t, \Delta) \phi_i(\Delta)]$$

# Basis Functions

Basis functions are such that

$$\mathbf{E} [\phi_i(\Delta)\phi_j(\Delta)] = 0, \text{ when } i \neq j$$

i.e. orthogonal w.r.t  $p(\Delta)$

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_\Lambda} \phi_i(\Delta) \phi_j(\Delta) p(\Delta) d\Delta = 0, \text{ when } i \neq j$$

Distribution	Polynomial Basis Function	Support
Uniform: $\frac{1}{2}$	Legendre	$x \in [-1, 1]$
Standard Normal: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$	Hermite	$x \in (-\infty, \infty)$
Beta: $\frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}$	Jacobi	$x \in [0, 1]$
Gamma: $\frac{x^{k-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}}{\theta^k \Gamma(k)}$	Laguerre	$x \in (0, \infty)$

# Basis Functions (contd.)

## In general

- $\{\phi_i(\Delta)\}$  are orthogonal polynomials with weight  $p(\Delta)$
- $\mathcal{L}_2$  **exponential** convergence in corresponding Hilbert functional space
- Askey scheme of hypergeometric polynomials for common  $p(\Delta)$ 
  - Normal, uniform, beta, gamma, etc
- Numerically generate for arbitrary  $p(\Delta)$ :
  - Gram-Schmidt
  - Chebyshev
  - Gauss-Wigert
  - Discretized Stieltjes

# Basis Functions (contd.)

## Mixed Basis Functions

- Let  $\Delta := [\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2]^T$ ,  $\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2$  are independent
  - $\Delta_1$  is uniform over  $[-1, 1]$
  - $\Delta_2$  is standard normal over  $(-\infty, \infty)$
- What is the basis function for  $\Delta$ ?
- $\{\phi_i(\Delta)\}$  is multivariate polynomial
  - $\{\psi_j(\Delta_1)\}$ : Legendre polynomials
  - $\{\theta_k(\Delta_2)\}$ : Hermite polynomials
  - $\{\phi_i(\Delta)\}$ : **tensor product** of  $\{\psi_j(\Delta_1)\}$  and  $\{\theta_k(\Delta_2)\}$

# Example: First Order Linear System

Consider system  $\dot{x} = -ax$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}$  (uniform distribution)

1. Define  $a(\Delta) := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta)$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathcal{U}_{[-1,1]}$

Now dynamics is  $\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x$ .

2. Approximate solution as  $\hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t)\phi_i(\Delta)$   
( $\phi_i$  are Legendre polynomials)

3. Residue:

$$\begin{aligned} e(t, \Delta) &:= \dot{\hat{x}} - a(\Delta)\hat{x} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N \dot{x}_i(t)\phi_i(\Delta) - a(\Delta) \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t)\phi_i(\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

# Example: First Order Linear System (contd.)

4. Project residue on  $j^{th}$  basis function:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e(t, \Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^N \dot{x}_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \right\rangle - \left\langle a(\Delta) \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N \dot{x}_i(t) \langle \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \langle a(\Delta) \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

5. If  $\langle \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  (orthogonal)

$$\langle e(t, \Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle = \dot{x}_j \langle \phi_j(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \langle a(\Delta) \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle$$

6.  $\langle e(t, \Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle = 0$  implies

$$\dot{x}_j = \frac{1}{\langle \phi_j(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle} \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \langle a(\Delta) \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle$$

7. This gives use  $N + 1$  ordinary differential equations

( $x \in \mathbb{R}$  in this example)

# Example: First Order Linear System (contd.)

The equation

$$\dot{x}_j = \frac{1}{\langle \phi_j(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle} \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \langle a(\Delta) \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$$

in more compact form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\langle \phi_j(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle} \begin{bmatrix} \langle a(\Delta) \phi_0(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle & \cdots & \langle a(\Delta) \phi_N(\Delta), \phi_j(\Delta) \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Define  $\mathbf{x}_{pc} := (x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_N)^T$ , then

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{pc} = \mathbf{A}_{pc} \mathbf{x}_{pc}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{pc} := \mathbf{W}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \langle a(\Delta) \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle & \cdots & \langle a(\Delta) \phi_N, \phi_0 \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle a(\Delta) \phi_0, \phi_N \rangle & \cdots & \langle a(\Delta) \phi_N, \phi_N \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{W} := \mathbf{diag}(\langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle \ \cdots \ \langle \phi_N, \phi_N \rangle)$$

# Reduced Order System

Therefore

$$\underbrace{\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x}_{\text{stochastic in } \mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\text{Polynomial Chaos}} \underbrace{\dot{x}_{pc} = A_{pc}x_{pc}}_{\text{deterministic in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}}$$

In general

$$\underbrace{\dot{x} = f(x, \Delta)}_{\text{stochastic in } \mathbb{R}^n} \xrightarrow{\text{Polynomial Chaos}} \underbrace{\dot{x}_{pc} = F_{pc}(x_{pc})}_{\text{deterministic in } \mathbb{R}^{n(N+1)}}$$

$$\text{where } x_{pc} := \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \hat{x} = \sum_{i=0}^N x_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$



# Initial Condition Uncertainty

Transform uncertainty in dynamics as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) \xrightarrow{\text{Polynomial Chaos}} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{pc} = \mathbf{F}_{pc}(\mathbf{x}_{pc})$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{pc} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbf{x}_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$

Let I.C. uncertainty be:  $\mathbf{x}_0(\Delta)$

Initialize  $\mathbf{x}_{pc}$  as

$$\mathbf{x}_i(t_0) := \langle \mathbf{x}_0(\Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle$$

Random variable  $\Delta$  is

$$\Delta := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_0 \\ \Delta_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \Delta_0 \text{ is I.C. uncertainty} \\ \Delta_p \text{ is system parameter uncertainty} \end{array}$$

Basis functions  $\phi_i(\Delta)$  are defined w.r.t  $\Delta$

# Linear Systems

## Consider Linear System

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}(\Delta)\mathbf{x}, \text{ with } \mathbf{x}(t_0) := \mathbf{x}_0(\Delta), \text{ and } \Delta := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_0 \\ \Delta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

- System has random parameters in  $\mathbf{A}$  matrix and I.C.
- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- Define basis function vector  $\Phi(\Delta) := (\phi_0(\Delta) \cdots \phi_N(\Delta))^T$
- Approximate solution is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} := \sum_{i=0}^N \mathbf{x}_i \phi_i(\Delta) = \mathbf{X} \Phi(\Delta),$$

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_0 \ \mathbf{x}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (N+1)}$$

# Linear Systems (contd.)

Approximate solution

$$\hat{x} = X\Phi(\Delta), X = [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_N]$$

Define

$$x_{pc} := \text{vec}(X) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(\hat{x}) &= \text{vec}(X\Phi) \\ \hat{x} &= (\Phi^T \otimes I_n) x_{pc} \quad \text{vec}(ABC) \equiv (C^T \otimes A) \text{vec}(B) \end{aligned}$$

# Linear Systems (contd.)

Residue

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(t, \Delta) &:= \dot{\hat{x}} - A(\Delta)\hat{x} = \dot{X}\Phi(\Delta) - A(\Delta)X \\
 \text{vec}(e) = e &= \text{vec}\left(\dot{X}\Phi(\Delta) - A(\Delta)X\Phi(\Delta)\right) \\
 &= (\Phi^T \otimes I_n)\dot{x}_{pc} - \left(\Phi^T(\Delta) \otimes A(\Delta)\right)x_{pc}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\langle e, \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle = 0$  implies

$$\dot{x}_i = (\langle \phi_i(\Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle \otimes I_n)^{-1} \left\langle \Phi^T(\Delta) \otimes A(\Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \right\rangle x_{pc}$$

# Linear Systems (contd.)

## Deterministic linear dynamics

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{pc} = \mathbf{A}_{pc} \mathbf{x}_{pc}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{pc} \in \mathbb{R}^{n(N+1)}, \mathbf{A}_{pc} \in \mathbb{R}^{n(N+1) \times n(N+1)}$$

$\mathbf{A}_{pc}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{A}_{pc} := (\mathbf{W} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \langle \Phi^T \otimes \mathbf{A}(\Delta), \phi_0 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \Phi^T \otimes \mathbf{A}(\Delta), \phi_N \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall

$$\mathbf{W} := \text{diag}(\langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle \cdots \langle \phi_N, \phi_N \rangle)$$

# Computation of Mean

Given  $x(\Delta) := X\Phi(\Delta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}[x(\Delta)] &= \mathbf{E}[X\Phi(\Delta)] \\
 &= X\mathbf{E}[\Phi(\Delta)] \\
 &= X(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)^T \\
 &= x_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\mathbf{E}[x(\Delta)] = \mathbf{E}[(\Phi^T \otimes I_n)x_{pc}] = (\mathbf{E}[\Phi^T] \otimes I_n)x_{pc} = (F^T \otimes I_n)x_{pc}$$

where  $F^T = (1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)$ .

# Computation of Variance

Given  $x(\Delta) := X\Phi(\Delta)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(\Delta)x^T(\Delta) &= X\Phi(\Delta)\Phi^T(\Delta)X^T \\
 \mathbf{E}[x(\Delta)x^T(\Delta)] &= \mathbf{E}[X\Phi(\Delta)\Phi^T(\Delta)X^T] \\
 &= X\mathbf{E}[\Phi(\Delta)\Phi^T(\Delta)]X^T \\
 &= X \begin{bmatrix} \langle \phi_0, \phi_0 \rangle & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \langle \phi_N, \phi_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} X^T \\
 &= XW X^T
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\text{Var}[x] := \mathbf{E}[(x - \mathbf{E}[x])(x - \mathbf{E}[x])^T] = X(W - FF^T)X^T$$

# Computation of Statistics – summary

## Mean

$$\mathbf{E}[x] = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{F} = x_0$$

## Variance

$$\text{Var}[x] = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^T)\mathbf{X}^T$$

where

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{E}[\Phi(\Delta)] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{E}[\Phi\Phi^T] = \begin{bmatrix} \langle\phi_0, \phi_0\rangle & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \langle\phi_1, \phi_1\rangle & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \langle\phi_N, \phi_N\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$



# Polynomial Nonlinearity

Polynomials  $x^n(\Delta)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x^n(\Delta) &= (\mathbf{X}\Phi(\Delta))^n \\ \langle x^n(\Delta), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle &= \langle (\mathbf{X}\Phi(\Delta))^n, \phi_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1=0}^N \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^N x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \langle \phi_{i_1} \cdots \phi_{i_n}, \phi_i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Essentially integration of polynomials
  - ▶ analytical or numerical (exact).
- Inner product  $\langle \phi_{i_1} \cdots \phi_{i_n}, \phi_i \rangle$ 
  - ▶ can be computed offline
  - ▶ stored in sparse, symmetric tensor

# Rational polynomials

Functions such as  $\frac{x^n(\Delta)}{y^m(\Delta)}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  can be **approximated** as

$$z(\Delta) = \frac{x^n(\Delta)}{y^m(\Delta)}$$

$$Z\Phi(\Delta) = \frac{(X\Phi(\Delta))^n}{(Y\Phi(\Delta))^m}$$

$$(Y\Phi)^m Z\Phi = (X\Phi)^n$$

$$\langle (Y\Phi)^m Z\Phi, \phi_i \rangle = \langle (X\Phi)^n, \phi_i \rangle, \quad i = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$$

Given  $X, Y$  solve system of linear equations to obtain  $Z$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle \Phi^T \otimes (Y\Phi)^m, \phi_0 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \Phi^T \otimes (Y\Phi)^m, \phi_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} z_{pc} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle (X\Phi)^n, \phi_0 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle (X\Phi)^n, \phi_N \rangle \end{pmatrix} \text{ Polynomial integrations}$$

# Transcendental Functions

Let  $f(x)$  be a transcendental function:

- e.g.  $x^a, e^x, x^{1/x}, \log(x), \sin(x)$ , etc.

Use Taylor series expansion about mean

- Define  $x := x_0 + d$ ,  $d$  is deviation from mean  $x_0$
- Expand

$$f(x) = f(x_0 + d) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)d + f''(x_0)\frac{d^2}{2!} + \dots$$

$$\text{■ } x(\Delta) := x_0 + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \phi_i(\Delta)}_{d(\Delta)}$$

- Therefore

$$\langle f(x(\Delta)), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle \approx f(x_0) \langle 1, \phi_i \rangle + f'(x_0) \langle d, \phi_i \rangle + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2!} \langle d^2, \phi_i \rangle + \dots$$

# Transcendental Functions (contd.)

## Taylor Series Approximation

$$\langle f(x(\Delta)), \phi_i(\Delta) \rangle \approx f(x_0) \langle 1, \phi_i \rangle + f'(x_0) \langle d, \phi_i \rangle + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2!} \langle d^2, \phi_i \rangle + \dots$$

- $\langle d^n, \phi_i \rangle$  is integration of polynomials
- Straightforward
- Computationally efficient
- Severe inaccuracies for higher order PC approximations

## Remedies

- Approximate  $f(x)$  using polynomials, piecewise polynomials
- **Non-intrusive:** multi-dimensional integrals via sampling, tensor-product quadrature, Smolyak sparse grid, or cubature
- **Regression Approach:**  $\mathcal{L}_2$  optimization


# Example: First Order Linear System

Dynamics:


$$\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x, \quad a \in \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}$$

Analytical Statistics:

$$\bar{x}(t) = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t}, \quad \sigma(t) = \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{2t} - \left( \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} \right)^2$$



(a) Mean



(b) Variance

Figure : Errors in estimates obtained from gPC for  $\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x$ . **Analytical:** (red solid); gPC:  $2^{nd}$  order(\*),  $3^{rd}$  order(o),  $5^{th}$  (+).

# Errors Due to Finite Terms

Dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x, \quad a \in \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}$$


Analytical Solution:

$$x(t, \Delta) = x(t_0)e^{-a(\Delta)t}$$


PC Solution:

$$\hat{x}(t, \Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^P x_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$

Error: Finite term approximation of exponential.



(a) Mean



(b) Variance

# Example: Eigen Analysis – Linear F-16 Aircraft

$$A(\Delta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1658 & -13.1013 & -7.2748(1 + 0.2\Delta) & -32.1739 & 0.2780 \\ 0.0018 & -0.1301 & 0.9276(1 + 0.2\Delta) & 0 & -0.0012 \\ 0 & -0.6436 & -0.4763 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Linearized about flight condition  $V = 160 \text{ ft/s}$  and  $\alpha = 35^\circ$
- Uncertainty due to damping term  $C_{xq}$
- Difficult to model at high angle of attack
- 20% uncertainty about nominal

# Example: Eigen Analysis – Linear F-16 Aircraft




Figure : 5<sup>th</sup> Order PC ODE Eigen Values, Sampled ODE Eigen Values



# Example: Eigen Analysis – Linear F-16 Aircraft





Figure : PC eigen values bounded by convex hull of sampled ODE eigen values (conservative!)\*

\* Eigenvalues of the Jacobian of a Galerkin-Projected Uncertain ODE System, Sunday, et al.

# Example: Spread of Spectrum – Linear F-16 Aircraft



Better characterization of spectrum spread is needed.

# Example: Nonlinear System – Lorenz Attractor

## Dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = \sigma(y - x), \quad \dot{y} = x(\rho - z) - y, \quad \dot{z} = xy - \beta z.$$

## Initial Condition:

$$[x, y, z]^T = [1.50887, -1.531271, 25.46091]^T$$

## Parameters:

$$\sigma = 10(1 + 0.1\Delta_1), \quad \rho = 28(1 + 0.1\Delta_2), \quad \beta = 8/3, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{U}_{[-1,1]}^2.$$

$$x(t, \Delta) \approx \sum_{i=0}^P x_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$

$$\langle \phi_k^2 \rangle \dot{x}_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^P \langle \sigma \phi_i \phi_k \rangle (y_i - x_i)$$

$$y(t, \Delta) \approx \sum_{i=0}^P y_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$

$$\langle \phi_k^2 \rangle \dot{y}_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^P \langle \rho \phi_i \phi_k \rangle x_i - \sum_{i=0}^P \sum_{j=0}^P \langle \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \rangle x_i z_j - \langle \phi_k^2 \rangle y_k$$

$$z(t, \Delta) \approx \sum_{i=0}^P z_i(t) \phi_i(\Delta)$$

$$\langle \phi_k^2 \rangle \dot{z}_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^P \sum_{j=0}^P \langle \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \rangle x_i y_j - \beta \langle \phi_k^2 \rangle z_k$$




# Example: Nonlinear System – Lorenz Attractor

MC: 1000 samples

PC: 7<sup>th</sup> order approximation

■ using MATLAB rand(...)

■ 36 basis functions



# Stochastic Collocation

Raktim Bhattacharya

Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University

[uq.tamu.edu](http://uq.tamu.edu)

## Basic Idea

- Sample domain  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$  suitably
  - ▶ roots of basis functions –  $\phi(\Delta)$  same as Galerkin projection
  - ▶ multi-dimension samples  $\Leftrightarrow$  tensor product of roots or sparse grid
- Enforce stochastic dynamics at each sample point
  - ▶ Time varying coefficient at each sample point
- Interpolate (Lagrangian) for intermediate points

# Algorithm

1. Given stochastic dynamics with uncertainty  $\Delta$

$$\dot{x} = f(x, \Delta)$$

2. For  $p^{\text{th}}$  order approximation:

- ▶ sample domain  $\mathcal{D}_\Delta$  with roots of  $p + 1$  order polynomial
- ▶ tensor grid, sparse grid, etc.
- ▶ samples  $\Delta := \{\Delta_i\}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, p$ .

3. Coefficient  $x_i$  evolves according to

$$\dot{x}_i = f(x_i, \Delta_i), \text{ deterministic solution}$$

4. Approximate stochastic solution

$$\hat{x}(t, \Delta) := \sum_{i=0}^p x_i(t) L_i(\Delta)$$

$L_i$  are Lagrangian interpolants  $L_i(y) = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^p \frac{y - y_j}{y_i - y_j}$ .



# Computation of Statistics

## ■ Mean

$$\mathbf{E}[x(t)] \approx \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^p x_i(t) L_i(\Delta)\right] = \sum_{i=0}^p x_i(t) \mathbf{E}[L_i(\Delta)]$$

- Computation of  $\mathbf{E}[L_i(\Delta)]$  involves high-dimensional polynomial integration
  - ▶ analytical
  - ▶ numerical: quadratures, sparse grids, etc
- Higher order statistics: similar to computation of mean.


## Example: Linear First Order System

### Dynamics:


$$\dot{x} = -a(\Delta)x, \quad a \in \mathcal{U}_{[0,1]}$$

### Analytical Statistics:

$$\bar{x}(t) = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t},$$



(a) SC & Galerkin ( $3^{rd}$  order)



(b) MC (3 samples)

# Example: Nonlinear System – Lorenz Attractor

## Dynamics:


$$\dot{x} = \sigma(y - x), \quad \dot{y} = x(\rho - z) - y, \quad \dot{z} = xy - \beta z.$$

## Initial Condition:

$$[x, y, z]^T = [1.50887, -1.531271, 25.46091]^T$$

## Parameters:

$$\sigma = 10(1 + 0.1\Delta_1), \quad \rho = 28(1 + 0.1\Delta_2), \quad \beta = 8/3, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{U}_{[-1,1]^2}.$$



- MC: 1000 samples
  - ▶ using MATLAB `rand(...)`
- SC: 11 quadrature points
  - ▶ same as 7<sup>th</sup> order PC
  - ▶ 121 grid points in 2D
- SC performance is poor for nonlinear systems!

**TEXAS A&M** | Laboratory for Uncertainty Quantification  
UNIVERSITY. | Department of Aerospace Engineering

# Karhunen-Loève Expansion

Raktim Bhattacharya

Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University

[uq.tamu.edu](http://uq.tamu.edu)

# Basic Idea

Given a random process  $X(t, \omega) := \{X_t(\omega)\}_{t \in [t_1, t_2]}$

- $X_t(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  finite second moment
  - $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) := \{X : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} : \int_{\Omega} |X(\omega)|^2 dP(\omega) < \infty\}$

- Auto Correlation

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) := \mathbf{E}[X_{t_1} X_{t_2}]$$

- Auto Covariance

$$\begin{aligned} C_X(t_1, t_2) &:= R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_{t_1} \mu_{t_2} \\ &= R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu^2 \quad \text{stationary} \end{aligned}$$

- $C_X(t_1, t_2)$  is bounded, symmetric and positive definite, thus

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(t_1) f_i(t_2) \quad \text{spectral decomposition}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  and  $f_i(\cdot)$  are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance kernel.

# Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions

- $\lambda_i$  and  $f_i(\cdot)$  are solutions of

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} C_X(t_1, t_2) f_i(t) dt_1 = \lambda_i f_i(t_2), \text{ Fredholm integral equation of second kind}$$

$$\text{with } \int_{\mathcal{D}} f_i(t) f_j(t) dt = \delta_{ij}.$$

- Write  $X(t, \omega) := \bar{X}(t) + Y(t, \omega)$ , where

$$Y(t, \omega) \stackrel{m.s.}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i} f_i(t), \text{ and } \xi_i(\omega) = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_{\mathcal{D}} Y(t, \omega) f_i(t) dt.$$

- Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

- ▶ Congruence between two Hilbert spaces!
- ▶  $\{f_i(t)\} \mapsto X(t, \omega)$  or equivalently
- ▶  $\{f_i(t)\} \mapsto \{\xi_i(\omega)\}$

# Solution of Integral Equation

- Homogeneous Fredholm integral equation of the second kind,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} C_X(t_1, t_2) f_i(t) dt_1 = \lambda_i f_i(t_2) \quad \text{well studied problem}$$

- $C_X(t_1, t_2)$  is bounded, symmetric, and positive definite, implies

1. The set  $f_i(t)$  of eigenfunctions is orthogonal and complete.
2. For each eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ , there correspond at most a finite number of linearly independent eigenfunctions.
3. There are at most a countably infinite set of eigenvalues.
4. The eigenvalues are all positive real numbers.
5. The kernel  $C_X(t_1, t_2)$  admits of the following uniformly convergent expansion


$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(t_1) f_i(t_2)$$

- Applicable to wide range of processes



## Rational Spectra: Special Case

- 1D random process
- Stationary output of a linear filter, excited by white noise



- Spectral density of the form  $S(s^2) = H(j\omega)H(-j\omega) = \frac{N(s^2)}{D(s^2)}$

$N$  and  $D$  are polynomials in  $s^2$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(-\omega^2) d\omega < \infty$$

$s = j\omega$ , here  $\omega$  is frequency

- ▶ Degree of  $D(s^2)$  must exceed degree of  $N(s^2)$  by at least two.
- ▶ No roots of  $D(s^2)$  on the imaginary axis
- ▶  $S(\omega) \geq 0$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  purely imaginary zeros of  $N(s^2)$  of even multiplicity

- Finite dimensional Markovian process
  - ▶ effect of infinite past on the present is negligible

## Important Kernel

### Study specific kernel

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-c|t_1 - t_2|}$$

$1/c$  is the correlation time or length.

- Many applications.
- Other kernels also possible

## Solve integral equation

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} C_X(t_1, t_2) f_i(t) dt_1 = \lambda_i f_i(t_2).$$

**Or equivalently solve**

ODE:  $\ddot{f}(t) + \omega^2 f(t) = 0$ ,  $\omega^2 = \frac{2c - c^2 \lambda}{\lambda}$ ,  $-a \leq t \leq a$

**Boundary Condition:**  $cf(a) + \dot{f}(a) = 0, \quad cf(-a) - \dot{f}(-a) = 0.$


# Basis Functions

**Equivalently solve for  $\omega, \omega^*$**

**Odd  $i$**

$$c - \omega \tan(\omega a) = 0, \quad \lambda_i = \frac{2c}{\omega_i^2 + c^2}$$

$$f_i(t) = \frac{\cos(\omega_i t)}{\sqrt{a + \frac{\sin(2\omega_i a)}{2\omega_i}}}$$




(a) Eigenvalues

Even  $i$

$$\omega^* + c \tan(\omega^* a) = 0, \quad \lambda_i^* = \frac{2c}{\omega_i^{*2} + c^2}$$

$$f_i^*(t) = \frac{\sin(\omega_i^* t)}{\sqrt{a - \frac{\sin(2\omega_i^* a)}{2\omega_i^*}}}$$



(b) Eigenfunctions

# Coefficients

Recall  $X(t, \omega) := \bar{X}(t) + Y(t, \omega)$ ,

$\omega$  here is an event in the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t, \omega) &\stackrel{m.s.}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i} f_i(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ \xi_i(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i} f_i(t) + \xi_i^*(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i^*} f_i^*(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- $\xi_i(\omega), \xi_i^*(\omega)$  are **uncorrelated** random variables determined from  $Y(t, \omega)$
- $\xi_i(\omega), \xi_i^*(\omega)$  model the **distribution of amplitude** of  $Y(t, \omega)$
- $f_i(t), f_i^*(t)$  models the **distribution of signal power** over time or among frequencies

If  $Y(t, \omega)$  is a Gaussian process

- $\xi_i(\omega), \xi_i^*(\omega)$  Gaussian **independent** random variables
- KL – expansion is **almost surely** convergent

# UQ Application

Dynamical system with process noise  $n(t, \omega)$ 

$$\dot{x} = f(t, \Delta, x) + n(t, \omega)$$

## Replace

$$n(t, \omega) \approx \sum_{i=0}^N \left[ \xi_i(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i} f_i(t) + \xi_i^*(\omega) \sqrt{\lambda_i^*} f_i^*(t) \right]$$

Define new parameter vector

$$\Delta' := (\Delta^T, \xi_0, \xi_0^*, \dots, \xi_N, \xi_N^*)^T$$

Rewrite dynamics as

$$\dot{x} = F(t, \Delta', x),$$

Process noise converted to parametric uncertainty.

- Use PC, SC, or simplified FPK equation to determine  $x(t, \Delta')$
- Increases number of parameters  $\Rightarrow$  increases computational complexity

## Publications

1. J. Fisher, R. Bhattacharya, *Stability Analysis of Stochastic Systems using Polynomial Chaos*, American Control Conference 2008.
2. A. Prabhakar and R. Bhattacharya, *Analysis of Hypersonic Flight Dynamics with Probabilistic Uncertainty in System Parameters*, AIAA GNC 2008.
3. A. Prabhakar, J. Fisher, R. Bhattacharya, *Polynomial Chaos Based Analysis of Probabilistic Uncertainty in Hypersonic Flight Dynamics*, AIAA Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol.33 No.1 (222-234), 2010.
4. J. Fisher, R. Bhattacharya, *Optimal Trajectory Generation with Probabilistic System Uncertainty Using Polynomial Chaos*, Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, volume 133, Issue 1, January 2011.
5. J. Fisher, R. Bhattacharya, *Linear Quadratic Regulation of Systems with Stochastic Parameter Uncertainties*, Automatica, 2009.
6. Roger G. Ghanem, Pol D. Spanos, *Stochastic Finite Elements: A Spectral Approach*, Revised Edition (Dover Civil and Mechanical Engineering
7. Olivier Le Maitre, Omar M Knio, *Spectral Methods for Uncertainty Quantification: With Applications to Computational Fluid Dynamics*, Scientific Computation.
8. Dongbin Xiu, *Numerical Methods for Stochastic Computations: A Spectral Method Approach*, ISBN: 9780691142128, Princeton Press.